SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NEW YORK STATE RIFLE & PISTOL)
ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL.,)
Petitioners,)
v.) No. 18-280
CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ET AL.,)
Respondents.)

Pages: 1 through 73 Place: Washington, D.C. Date: December 2, 2019

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 628-4888 www.hrccourtreporters.com

1

1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES 2 NEW YORK STATE RIFLE & PISTOL 3) 4 ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL.,) 5 Petitioners,) 6) No. 18-280 v. 7 CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ET AL.,) 8 Respondents.) 9 _ 10 Washington, D.C. 11 Monday, December 2, 2019 12 The above-entitled matter came on for 13 14 oral argument before the Supreme Court of the 15 United States at 10:05 a.m. 16 17 **APPEARANCES:** PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; 18 19 on behalf of the Petitioners. JEFFREY B. WALL, Principal Deputy Solicitor 20 21 General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; 22 for the United States, as amicus curiae, 23 supporting the Petitioners. 24 RICHARD P. DEARING, ESQ., New York, New York; 25 on behalf of the Respondents.

CONTENTS ORAL ARGUMENT OF: PAGE: PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ. On behalf of the Petitioners ORAL ARGUMENT OF: б JEFFREY B. WALL, ESQ. For the United States, as amicus curiae, supporting the Petitioners ORAL ARGUMENT OF: RICHARD P. DEARING, ESQ. On behalf of the Respondents REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF: PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ. On behalf of the Petitioners

3

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (10:05 a.m.) CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: 3 We'll hear 4 argument first this morning in Case 18-280, the New York State Rifle & Pistol Association versus 5 6 the City of New York. 7 Mr. Clement. ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT 8 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS 10 MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and 11 may it please the Court: Text, history, and tradition all make 12 13 clear that New York City's restrictive premises 14 license and accompanying transport ban are 15 unconstitutional. The city's restriction on 16 transporting firearms to places where they may 17 be lawfully possessed and its insistence in its 18 revised regulations that any such transport be 19 continuous and uninterrupted are premised on a 20 view of the Second Amendment as a home-bound 21 right, with any ability to venture beyond the 22 curtilage with a firearm, even locked and 23 unloaded, a matter of government grace. 24 That view is inconsistent with text, 25 history, tradition, and this Court's cases. The

Heritage Reporting Corporation

text of the Second Amendment protects rights to 1 2 keep and bear arms. That latter right makes clear that the Second Amendment protects rights 3 4 that are not strictly limited to the premises. 5 And there is no historical analogue 6 for the city's prohibition on transporting 7 firearms to places where they may be lawfully used. To the contrary, the second Congress 8 required the militia to take their own firearms 9 10 from their homes to the training ground. 11 And the regulations on limiting where 12 firearms may be discharged or where training may 13 occur that the city invokes both underscore that 14 the general rule was that firearms could be 15 safely transported between and among places 16 where they could be used and discharged. This 17 Court recognized as much in Heller, both by 18 recognizing the long history of handgun possession outside the home and by recognizing 19 20 the government's interest in limiting possession 21 in sensitive places, not every place outside the 2.2 home. The city, of course, has struggled 23 24 mightily ever since this Court granted 25 certiorari to make this case go away, but those

efforts are unavailing and only underscore their 1 2 continuing view that the transport of firearms is a matter of municipal grace rather than 3 4 constitutional right. The standard for 5 mootness --JUSTICE GINSBURG: But, Mr. -- Mr. 6 7 Clement, the city has now been blocked by a state law, and the state has not been party to 8 9 these proceedings. The state says: City, thou 10 shalt not enforce the regulations. So what's 11 left of this case? The Petitioners have gotten 12 all the relief that they sought. They can carry 13 a gun to a second home. They can carry it to a 14 fire -- to a practice range out of state. 15 MR. CLEMENT: So, Justice Ginsburg, 16 the Petitioners have not gotten all the relief 17 to which they've been entitled if they prevailed 18 in this litigation before the city and the state changed their law. 19 20 I think the best way to illustrate 21 that is if we prevailed in the district court before these changes in the law, we would have 22 23 been entitled, of course, to a declaration that 24 the transport ban is and always was 25 unconstitutional.

But we would also be entitled to an 1 2 injunction that did three things: one, prohibit future enforcement of the transport ban; second, 3 4 prevent the city from taking past conduct in 5 violation of the ban into account in licensing decisions; and, third, an injunction that 6 7 safequard our right to transport meaningfully such that it wouldn't be limited to continuous 8 9 and uninterrupted transport. 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But even as --11 MR. CLEMENT: Now the state law --JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- as far as what 12 13 you said about enforcing past violations, no 14 plaintiff has alleged that they ever violated 15 the regulations when they were in effect? 16 MR. CLEMENT: That's actually not 17 correct, Justice Ginsburg. If you look at 18 paragraphs 12, 15, and 17 of the complaint, at pages 28 and 29 of the Joint Appendix, all three 19 20 of the individual Petitioners allege that they 21 regularly went outside the City of New York to 2.2 firing ranges in -- outside -- Westchester, basically, and in New Jersey. 23 24 So all three of my clients are on the 25 record as saying that, in the past, they engaged

Heritage Reporting Corporation

б

7

in conduct that is inconsistent with the 1 2 transport ban. And if you understand the ways 3 that the City of --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Clement --4 MR. CLEMENT: -- New York licenses 5 6 handguns or --7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- Mr. Clement, I believe that the city has foresworn any future 8 9 prosecution for past violations. 10 MR. CLEMENT: Well --11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I thought that 12 that's the representation they made to this 13 Court. 14 MR. CLEMENT: Well, Justice Sotomayor, 15 in their latest letter, they were very careful 16 about what they represented. They represented 17 that they wouldn't try to prosecute somebody for 18 past conduct if that past conduct didn't violate the current regulations. 19 20 So if the past conduct happened to 21 involve a stop for coffee and not continuous and uninterrupted transport --22 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But that has to do 24 with the current law, and that hasn't been 25 decided by the court below. That -- that's

Heritage Reporting Corporation

something -- that's a complaint about the limits
 of the current law, not the limits of the old
 law. You're asking us to mix apples and oranges
 now.

5 MR. CLEMENT: Well, I don't think so, 6 Justice Sotomayor. I think what I'm asking you 7 to do is exactly what this Court did in the Knox 8 case.

9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, Mr. Clement, 10 what you're asking us to do is to take a case in 11 which the other side has thrown in the towel and 12 completely given you every single thing you 13 demanded in your complaint for relief, and 14 you're asking us to opine on a law that's not on 15 the books anymore, and one that's not on the 16 books, not because of something necessarily the 17 city did but because the state, a party who's not a party to this litigation, has changed the 18 19 law and prohibited them from doing.

20 So this is, I think, something quite 21 different. You're asking us to opine on an old 22 law, not the new law.

23 MR. CLEMENT: Well --

24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And the new law25 hasn't been reviewed below yet.

1 MR. CLEMENT: So, again, Justice 2 Sotomayor, I really think what we're asking you 3 to do is exactly analogous to what was before 4 this Court in Knox. In Knox, the thrust of the 5 underlying complaint was that the supplemental 6 fee assessment that the union imposed on the 7 members was unconstitutional. That's what the complaint framed. And then --8 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But you've got 10 what you want now. In terms of the contiguous, 11 we don't even know whether the city is taking 12 the -- the -- the position that you can't stop 13 for a cup of coffee. Presumably, if you leave 14 your gun in the car, I'm not sure how they would 15 know you were traveling with a gun, but put that 16 aside. MR. CLEMENT: Well, so, before I put 17 18 it aside, let me just say I think we do know the answer to that because, in subsection 7 of the 19 20 new regulations that they promulgated 21 specifically to try to moot this case, they made 22 clear that the kind of transport they were 23 allowing, at least within the City of New York, 24 had to be continuous and uninterrupted. I don't 25 know what "continuous and uninterrupted" means

if it doesn't -- if it -- if it means that you 1 2 can make stops for coffee. 3 And I assure you, I think the right 4 way to think about this for Article III purposes 5 is, if we had been successful in the lower court 6 and proposed an injunction, I quarantee the 7 words "continuous and uninterrupted" would not be in our proposed injunction. 8 9 If the city had offered their proposed 10 injunction and included that limitation, we 11 would have said we don't accept that. We think that's inconsistent with the right that we just 12 13 prevailed on. And that dispute --14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Did you --15 MR. CLEMENT: -- would be a continuing 16 dispute that would render the case not moot, 17 just like in Knox, there was a continuing 18 dispute about the sufficiency of the refund notice that the union offered, post certiorari, 19 20 in its effort to moot the case. The dispute 21 that would still lie between the parties about 2.2 the sufficiency of the refund notice wasn't the exact same dispute that initiated the 23 24 litigation, but the case was still a live 25 controversy for Article III purposes, and this

1 Court decided both the question presented and then also addressed the refund notice. 2 Now this Court could address the 3 4 question presented here and leave the question 5 of "continuous and uninterrupted" for the lower court if it wanted to, but there's no basis for 6 not answering the question presented. 7 So if I could turn to that --8 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry, that --10 that's the oddest decision I've heard. Answer 11 an old law that's no longer in effect and then reserve consideration of the new law's 12 13 interpretation for the lower courts? I don't 14 know how that doesn't constitute mootness on the 15 issue that's before us. If --16 MR. CLEMENT: Well, with respect, 17 Justice Sotomayor --18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- if -- if 19 they've agreed and you agree that everything but 20 the "continuous and uninterrupted" has been 21 resolved and that you've gotten everything you wanted as demanded in your complaint, you can 22 23 travel to a second home, you can travel to any 24 lawful firing range, that's all your original 25 complaint demanded, if you got all of that, that

12

is the issue that was before us. 1 2 MR. CLEMENT: Well --3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And your question 4 is whether -- and you've agreed we should leave 5 that to the courts below, what contiguous --6 "continuous and uninterrupted" is. That happens 7 to go to the new law, not the old one. MR. CLEMENT: With respect, Justice 8 9 Sotomayor, we don't think we've gotten 10 everything that we could have gotten if we 11 prevailed in the district court, including continuous and uninterrupted. 12 13 But also, we would like, with all due 14 respect, given our five years of history in this 15 litigation with my friends on the other side, 16 we'd like something more than their 17 representations to protect us against the use in 18 the future of past conduct --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I -- I have 19 20 one --21 MR. CLEMENT: -- in licensing 2.2 decisions. 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- I have one 24 question. The SG tried to give you a -- a 25 lifeline by saying you could get damages. But I

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1 read your representations to the Court and you 2 said we could get damages. I don't see a request for relief, either damages or nominal, 3 4 in your complaint. And you don't say we want 5 damages in your submissions to us. Did you ask for damages, nominal or --6 7 MR. CLEMENT: We -- we asked for all 8 other appropriate relief in our complaint. We 9 did not make a specific request for damages 10 below. I'm happy to affirm that we'd like 11 damages, but I also think that although we --12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You'd have to ask 13 for permission to amend your complaint to seek 14 that, don't you? 15 MR. CLEMENT: We would have to do 16 that, but with all due respect to the Solicitor 17 General, we were happy that they recognized the 18 case wasn't moot, but we didn't really feel like we needed a damages lifeline because we think we 19 20 had multiple strong arguments based on this 21 Court's precedents, including the Knox case, 2.2 that said that wholly apart from the damages 23 issue this dispute isn't moot. 24 So if I could turn to --25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Clement, just

one more on the damages. As far as I know, this
 Court has never used a late, meaning in this
 Court and not below, request for damages to save
 a case from mootness. I don't know of any such
 case.

6 MR. CLEMENT: I'm not aware of one 7 either, Justice Ginsburg. Perhaps my -- my 8 colleague from the SG's office will have one 9 since it was his suggestion, but we think we 10 have plenty of cases from this Court that are 11 analogous to this situation.

And, indeed, with respect, I don't think the practice of getting the recognition after certiorari is granted that a certiorari grant may not signal anything good for the defendant. I mean, that's quite common practice that they then come up with an idea to moot the case.

19Just if you think of a couple of20recent cases, not just Knox, but Trinity21Lutheran and Parents Involved, all involved22late-breaking efforts, often by government23entities, to make the case go away.24In each case, this Court said, no,25that's too little, too late. And if this Court

Heritage Reporting Corporation

15

1 starts accepting these kind of post-certiorari 2 maneuvers, it's going to be very hard for the 3 Court to continue --4 JUSTICE BREYER: I probably have a --5 MR. CLEMENT: -- to have --JUSTICE BREYER: I mean, I don't think 6 7 it's bad when people who have an argument settle their argument and, thus, there no longer is 8 one, so I wonder if -- should I ask them this 9 10 question? You say this case is still alive 11 because the City of New York might prosecute one 12 of your clients because they stopped for coffee 13 on the way to a firing range. 14 I think I'm going to ask them that. 15 And I have a suspicion they will say no, we aren't going to prosecute that particular 16 17 individual. So then what should I do? Should 18 I -- we have a dispute. You think they will. 19 They think they won't. 20 MR. CLEMENT: Right. So that suggests 21 to me we that we have the kind of live 22 controversy --23 JUSTICE BREYER: Here's your time. Ι 24 _ _ MR. CLEMENT: -- and if the standard 25

for mootness is whether it is possible to provide effectual relief, I guarantee an injunction backed by contempt that enforces those promises is going to give my clients more effectual relief. And do keep in mind what makes this

7 case quite different from a lot of others is 8 this is a discretionary licensing process where 9 the city makes judgments about good moral 10 character. There are 79 officials in the 11 licensing department of the City of New York. 12 Where are they going to look for guidance?

They could, I think, look for guidance to a court-ordered injunction. I'm not sure they're going to pull the transcript from this argument, let alone a letter from the city to the Solicitor General's Office for this. So we think we're entitled to that kind of meaningful, effectual relief.

We think, on the merits, this case is actually quite straightforward because there is no historical analogue for this kind of transportation restriction. As I suggested, if you look at the second Militia Act, passed by the second Congress, they not only understood

that you could transport your firearms from your home to a place where they could be lawfully discharged, but they required it of the members of the militia. If you look at the history and traditions of this country, there are very few laws that tried to do anything like this, and

the few that tried to do this were invalidated

9 by the courts.

8

10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Clement, as I 11 understand New York's scheme, New York has two 12 kinds of licences. It has a premises license 13 and it has a carry license. And you're 14 attacking the premises license scheme on the 15 ground that it doesn't allow you to carry. 16 So why don't you just attack the carry 17 license scheme? If you want to carry, why 18 didn't your clients get a carry license? MR. CLEMENT: Well, Justice Kagan, I 19 20 think what my clients wanted in this lawsuit, 21 and there are plenty of other lawsuits out there challenging carry restrictions, but they wanted 22 23 the right to transport, not the right to carry. 24 Now I --25 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, transporting is

17

Heritage Reporting Corporation

a kind of carrying. You take your gun and it 1 2 goes with you someplace. That's a kind of 3 carrying. 4 MR. CLEMENT: I -- I will agree with 5 that. I think it's also a kind of bearing, 6 which is why I think this is such a 7 straightforward case. I think it's protected --8 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: All I'm asking is --10 is -- is there's a premises scheme and a 11 carrying scheme, and your clients want to carry, 12 which suggests that you should have brought a 13 challenge to the carrying scheme if you thought 14 that that was deficient. 15 MR. CLEMENT: Again, with respect, 16 Justice Kagan, my clients for years had -- at 17 least two of the three, had what the city for a 18 while called a target license, and it didn't give them a full right to carry, but it did give 19 20 them the right to transport their firearms to 21 New Jersey and other places, probably would have 2.2 allowed a second home, though I'm not sure that 23 issue is squarely presented. 24 My clients did not insist on getting a 25 carry license either under the -- before this

Heritage Reporting Corporation

lawsuit was filed or in this lawsuit. What they
 wanted is to restore rights to transport their
 firearms between and among places where they
 could be lawfully used.

That's different from a license that 5 6 says, I get to have this firearm with me at all 7 times, loaded, ready to go. What they wanted is 8 to restore their right to transport firearms, 9 locked and unloaded, between places where they 10 could be lawfully used. That's what they asked 11 for. That is what there is no historical 12 analoque for.

13 And if I could emphasize, I think it 14 would send a very important signal to the lower 15 courts to say that when a regulation like this 16 is inconsistent with text and has no analogue in 17 history or tradition, it is unconstitutional, full stop. The way the lower courts have 18 19 interpreted Heller is like text, history, and 20 tradition is a one-way ratchet.

If text, history, and tradition sort of allow this practice, then they'll uphold the law. But, if text, history, and tradition are to the contrary, then the courts proceed to a watered-down form of scrutiny that's heightened

20

1 in name only. And I think this Court should reaffirm 2 that text, history, and tradition essentially is 3 4 the test and can be administered in a way that 5 provides real protection for --JUSTICE BREYER: How do we go back --6 MR. CLEMENT: -- Second Amendment 7 8 rights. 9 JUSTICE BREYER: -- for one second to 10 the question presented: Does New York City's 11 ban on transporting a licensed, locked, and 12 unloaded handgun to a home or shooting range 13 outside the city limits consistent with the 14 Second Amendment? 15 In New York, now you're going to hear 16 in one minute, there is no New York City ban on 17 transporting a licensed, locked, and unloaded 18 handgun to a home or other place outside. I 19 think you'll hear that. Now what will your, very brief, 20 21 response? There's a question presented, they say there is no ban. And you say? 22 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You can finish 24 the question. 25 JUSTICE BREYER: That was the finish.

Heritage Reporting Corporation

21

1 (Laughter.) 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Briefly. 3 Thank you. MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, thank 4 5 you. So my answer in a -- in a nutshell is 6 7 Knox. My slightly longer answer is every time this Court confronts a post-certiorari maneuver 8 to try to moot a case, it almost by definition 9 10 will try to take away from you the question 11 presented. That's what happened in Knox. 12 The question presented concerned the 13 constitutionality of the special assessment. Ιt didn't concern the adequacy of the refund 14 15 notice, but yet this Court decided both. 16 Thank you, Your Honor. 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 18 counsel. Mr. Wall. 19 20 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JEFFREY B. WALL 21 FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE, 2.2 SUPPORTING THE PETITIONERS MR. WALL: Mr. Chief Justice, and may 23 24 it please the Court: 25 One point on the merits and one on

mootness. On the merits, text, history, and 1 2 tradition all condemn New York's transport ban. Such bans have been rare and commonly struck 3 4 down precisely because the right to keep arms 5 and keep and bear arms must entail and has 6 always entailed the ability of a law-abiding 7 citizen to carry a firearm unloaded and locked 8 from one lawful place to another. 9 On mootness, Petitioners pointed below 10 to economic harms from the violation of their 11 constitutional rights. If they prevail here, the district court could award them damages, 12 13 just like any other 1983 plaintiff. 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But they never 15 asked for it. 16 MR. WALL: That's true, Justice 17 Ginsburg, but there's a specific federal rule on 18 this, Federal Rule 54(c), which says the prayer of relief binds on a default judgment, but it 19 20 doesn't bind when you've litigated on the 21 merits. And so the question for Article III purpose -- and I'll grant that there are 22 23 questions about -- prudential questions about 24 whether, under the rules, a court should allow 25 them to inject a theory, and it would have to

23

weigh that against the city's tardiness in 1 2 changing its theory of the case as well. 3 But, for Article III purposes, the 4 question under Mission Products and Knox is, is 5 it impossible for a court to grant effectual 6 relief? It is not. It is possible for a court to award them the damages they have sustained --7 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Has --9 MR. WALL: -- as a result of the city's 10 conduct. 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- has -- has the SG, the Solicitor General, ever asked this Court 12 13 to allow such a late interjection of a damages 14 question to save a case from mootness? 15 Mr. Clement said he was not aware of any such 16 case. Are you? 17 MR. WALL: So I don't know of any case 18 in which it's directly come up or we've weighed in on it. We, obviously, participated on the 19 20 merits before the city's suggestion of mootness, 21 and we felt compelled to explain to the Court 2.2 our view on mootness. 23 JUSTICE KAGAN: Didn't it come up in 24 Alejandrino? Is that the -- the name of the 25 case? And it was decided the other way, that

24

1 the Court said no, we're not going to allow that 2 to happen. MR. WALL: So I think -- but that's 3 in -- first, it's in 1926, so it predates the 4 5 federal rule. So it predates 54(c), which makes 6 clear that the prayer for relief no longer 7 binds. I also think the facts are somewhat 8 9 distinguishable from here, where they've got 10 evidence in the record at the summary judgment 11 stage of their economic harms. Now, to be sure, 12 they're not focused on damages. What they 13 wanted was to engage in the conduct. They 14 wanted an injunction and they fought for years 15 over it. 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: I mean, not focused on 17 damages is an understatement. They -- they 18 practically won't take damages. They've had 19 every opportunity to say that they want damages, 20 including today, and, for whatever reason, 21 Mr. Clement has, you know, basically said this 22 case is not about damages. That's not why we 23 think it's not moot and that's not what we want. 24 MR. WALL: So I -- I heard Mr. Clement 25 I'm happy to affirm that my clients want sav:

Heritage Reporting Corporation

25

damages, but we don't think we need that 1 2 lifeline from the solicitor general. We think our other theories are good. 3 4 We, obviously, disagree on some of 5 those other theories, but I think the -- the 6 question under Knox and Mission Products is, is 7 it impossible for a court to award damages? Here, there is evidence in the record 8 9 of economic harm. If they get a declaration on 10 the merits that they're right as a matter of the 11 Second Amendment --12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. Would 13 you remind --14 MR. WALL: -- there is no barrier to 15 their receiving an award of damages from a 16 court. 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Would you remind 18 me what -- where in the complaint they set forth 19 damages? 20 MR. WALL: Sure. So I think the best 21 examples are at pages 32, 33, 35, 36 of the 22 Joint Appendix and then again at 52 through 54, 56, 57, and 59 to 61. 23 24 JUSTICE KAGAN: But --25 MR. WALL: Those are both the

pleadings and the summary judgment affidavits, and they rely on two kinds of harm. One is the competitions they were not allowed to attend with the firearms, and the other is the costs of dues and membership fees to the in-city ranges, which I think implicitly they're suggesting are higher than the out-of-city ranges.

8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Wall, I mean, they 9 filed a complaint. They filed a motion for 10 summary judgment. They briefed this case before 11 the Second Circuit. They filed a cert petition. 12 Then, in response to the suggestion of mootness, 13 they filed another brief there.

14 And in none of those places did they 15 ask for damages. Damages has been injected into this case because of the solicitor general in a, 16 17 you know, very late-breaking three-page letter. 18 MR. WALL: Look, Justice Kagan, I'll 19 certainly grant that there's a lot of post-grant 20 maneuvering on both sides. The city has 21 withdrawn its law, and the Petitioners have come up with theories for why the case is not moot. 22 As a matter of Article III, our view is that 23 24 damages could change hands and hence it's not 25 moot.

27

I suppose you could also rest it on 1 2 future consequences and say that the city's representations have come too late. It has an 3 4 express scheme that allows you to consider these 5 things. JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I -- I thought 6 7 that in your brief, in your letter brief, you specifically rejected every other theory of --8 9 of why this case was live. 10 MR. WALL: We do think that the Court 11 credits those kinds of assertions by governmental litigants. It did in DeFunis. 12 The 13 facts here are a little different. You have a 14 scheme that expressly allows you to consider the 15 conduct. You don't have any acknowledgment from 16 the city that its former conduct was 17 unconstitutional, and you have a representation 18 that comes, as Mr. Clement said in his letter, at the 11th and a half hour. 19 20 On those facts, could you say we're 21 not going to take a look at the city's 22 representation? You could. That is not our 23 theory. Our theory is that money could change 24 hands here and they'd be entitled to that money 25 _ _

28

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: And what do you think 2 _ _ MR. WALL: -- if they prevailed on the 3 4 merits. 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: -- of Mr. Clement's 6 theory? I take it that you rejected Mr. 7 Clement's theory about this continuous travel 8 and stopping for coffee? 9 MR. WALL: I -- I think it's a close 10 call. In our view, that's a new controversy 11 that arises from the new law, not the old 12 controversy in the old law, but I -- I think 13 it's a -- I think it's a hard question, and I 14 understand his point that there would have been 15 fighting over the terms of the injunction in the -- in the district court or at least potentially 16 17 there could have been. 18 If I could turn to the -- to the merits for just a minute --19 20 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Well, why -- why 21 isn't that good enough? If under the prior law the plaintiffs would have sought relief that 22 would allow them to take their firearms locked 23 24 safely to a range and stop along the way for a 25 cup of coffee or a bathroom break and that that

is still being denied under the -- if that's a 1 2 proper reading, we'll ask New York about that, I'm sure, but if that's still a proper reading 3 4 of their existing regulations, why isn't there a 5 live controversy remaining? MR. WALL: I think --6 7 JUSTICE GORSUCH: There would seem to be a delta of relief that's been denied them. 8 MR. WALL: Oh, I do think there is a 9 10 -- a live controversy potentially now about the meaning of this "continuous and uninterrupted" 11 requirement. I just think that arises from the 12 13 new law. And the premise, I think --14 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Well, why doesn't --15 MR. WALL: -- we have doubts since --JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- it arise -- why 16 17 isn't the dispute still alive from the old law 18 if that's a form of relief they would have sought and is still, despite the new law, being 19 20 denied them? Isn't that a classic definition of 21 relief that was sought but now still -- despite 22 herculean, late-breaking efforts to moot the 23 case, still alive? 24 MR. WALL: I -- if the Court wanted to 25 say that, I don't think it would harm the United

Heritage Reporting Corporation

30

1 States' interests. So --2 JUSTICE GORSUCH: You're not aware of 3 any precedent that would foreclose that and, in 4 fact, that's pretty much what Knox did, isn't 5 it? 6 MR. WALL: Well, except that Knox wasn't a governmental litigant, so I think the 7 8 presumption of voluntary cessation worked a little differently, but to -- Justice Gorsuch, 9 10 just to go to the question, I think, in the 11 district court, the fight was about whether they 12 could do the thing at all. 13 And now we have a -- what strikes us 14 as a different fight about the manner in which 15 they can go. And the legal restriction is 16 different. The legal restriction now is tied to the new law. But, no, I'm not aware of anything 17 18 _ _ JUSTICE GORSUCH: Sure, they granted 19 20 _ _ 21 MR. WALL: -- that would keep the 22 Court from going there. 23 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- new relief. They 24 have granted but not total relief that the 25 plaintiffs sought. You'd agree with that?

MR. WALL: I -- I would agree with 1 2 I think there is still a controversy that. 3 about the manner in which they can go. That 4 seems somewhat different to us from the 5 controversy that was litigated below and that 6 this Court agreed to hear, but I don't think 7 there's any case that would keep the Court from 8 going down that road. 9 If I could turn to the merits for just 10 a minute, I think all that the Petitioners are

11 asking for, and it's a fairly modest ask, is for the Court to reiterate what it said in Heller, 12 13 that the lower courts have been correct in 14 starting with text and history and tradition, 15 but they have created, as Mr. Clement said, this 16 sort of asymmetry where they find that history 17 and tradition can give a thumbs up to a law but 18 not a thumbs down.

19JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry, can I20go back to that question? In what other area,21constitutional area, the First Amendment in22particular, have we decided any case based23solely on text, history, and tradition?24This seems sort of a made-up new25standard. And I thought Heller was very care --

careful to say we don't do that. We treat it 1 2 like any other constitutional provision. And if I analogize this to the First Amendment, which 3 4 is what Heller suggested we should do, this 5 seems to me to be a time, place, and manner 6 restriction. It may not pass any of the 7 standards of scrutiny, but, if you're looking at 8 a First Amendment right to speak, it's never 9 absolute. There are some words that are not 10 protected. We're going to have a different 11 fight about that at some point. Or there are 12 some weapons that are not protected, just like 13 there might be some words that are not 14 protected.

15 We know under the First Amendment that 16 there are time, place, and manner restrictions 17 that a government can impose on the basis of 18 safety and other things. On the basis of 19 safety, you can't have a demonstration at will. 20 You need a permit, and you have to have certain 21 equipment and certain protections and certain 22 things.

23 So, if I treat it in that way, we 24 might have a fight about whether text, history, 25 and tradition permits a time, manner, and place

33

restriction of this type, but I don't know why 1 2 that's a free-standing test. 3 MR. WALL: So two points, Justice Sotomayor. The first is I understand manner 4 5 restrictions. I understand the requirement that 6 you carry the gun unloaded or that you do it in 7 a locked container. But a ban is not a time, place, or manner restriction. And in 8 9 determining which category it falls into and 10 what's permissible, Heller said you start with 11 text, history, and tradition. 12 And the Court commonly does that, even 13 under the First Amendment with respect to 14 categories, the Fourth Amendment for a search, 15 the Seventh Amendment for the jury trial right. Heller just says you start here. And starting 16 17 here, I think this is a straightforward case. 18 There is no historical analogue and a contrary tradition. 19 20 Thank you. 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 2.2 counsel. 23 Mr. Dearing. 24 25

1 ORAL ARGUMENT OF RICHARD P. DEARING 2 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS MR. DEARING: Mr. Chief Justice, and 3 4 may it please the Court: 5 Contrary to how they're presenting it 6 now, Petitioners framed this case narrowly. 7 They argue that a premises license, a premises license specifically, must allow certain limited 8 9 transport of the licensed handgun to effectuate 10 its possession and use in the premises, and they 11 sought only injunctive and declaratory relief to require the city to allow that limited 12 13 transport. 14 And that narrow framing, in turn, has 15 two implications now. First, the case is moot because changes in state and city law have given 16 17 Petitioners everything they asked for and, 18 indeed, more than that. 19 Petitioners suggest these changes 20 should be viewed skeptically, but it's a good 21 thing and not a cause for concern when the government responds to litigation by resolving 22 23 matters through the democratic process. 24 The Solicitor General agrees that all 25 the objections actually raised by Petitioners to

35

1 mootness are unfounded but suggests that the 2 Court could proceed to the merits of the constitutional questions anyway because 3 Petitioners might be -- in the future be able to 4 add a new claim for damages that they have never 5 6 asserted and still now only most reluctantly 7 embrace. The Court has never adopted that kind 8 of reasoning under Article III and it should not 9 10 begin with this case. 11 And the second implication of the case's framing is that if the case weren't moot, 12 13 the only question presented on the merits would 14 be whether a premises license must, as an 15 adjunct thereto, include the implied transport 16 rights sought by Petitioners. 17 Though Petitioners now invoke a 18 general right to bear arms outside the home, a premises license is not addressed to that 19 20 purpose. A premises license is instead issued 21 for possession in a particular place, and 2.2 Petitioners never challenged the separate New York license that is addressed to carrying 23 24 weapons outside the home, which is the carry 25 license. So those broad questions are not

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1 properly part of this case. 2 Turning first to the issue of 3 mootness, and I'll go straight to the question 4 of coffee stops, there are two -- two levels to 5 this response. First is, there is no dispute on 6 that question. The city's enforcement -- the --7 the governing standard is provided by state law 8 here because the state enactment preempts local 9 law. 10 The "continuous and uninterrupted" 11 language cited by my friend is not in the state 12 law. The city acknowledges that. And the 13 city's enforcement position is that coffee 14 stops, bathroom breaks are entirely permissible 15 _ _ 16 JUSTICE ALITO: But let's go to 17 something --MR. DEARING: -- under current law. 18 JUSTICE ALITO: -- beyond a coffee 19 20 stop or a bathroom break. Suppose they had 21 prevailed under and obtained a judgment that the 22 old law was a violation of the Second Amendment, 23 and suppose that after that, one of the 24 plaintiffs had made a trip to a firing range in, 25 let's say, New Jersey and, while there, decided

to stop to visit his mother for a couple of 1 2 hours to take care of a few things for her. Would there be any law that that would 3 4 violate? 5 MR. DEARING: That would be, I think -- I'm not certain that it would. I think 6 7 that would have to be a question now to be 8 litigated under the state law, which would have 9 nothing --10 JUSTICE ALITO: No, no, no, no, we're back, without the new laws, city or state, would 11 that have been -- would that have been legal 12 13 conduct? 14 MR. DEARING: If that had happened 15 prior to the changes in conduct? 16 JUSTICE ALITO: After a judgment that 17 the old law was unconstitutional, prior to the 18 enactment of any new law. MR. DEARING: I don't think it's --19 20 it's at all clear because that question -- those 21 kind of questions were never put at issue or litigated in the case. And so --22 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what -- you 23 24 don't know what -- you don't know whether 25 there's any city law that that would violate?

38

1	MR. DEARING: If there were a judgment
2	that said that our law had been struck down
3	our former
4	JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah.
5	MR. DEARING: law had been struck
6	down?
7	JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah.
8	MR. DEARING: I'm not aware of any
9	city law that that
10	JUSTICE ALITO: So then why is this
11	case moot? Because they didn't get all that
12	they wanted. They wanted a declaration that the
13	old law was unconstitutional, period.
14	And what they have obtained as a
15	result of the new city ordinance and the new
16	state law is a rule that says, yes, you can take
17	the firearm to a firing range outside of New
18	York City, but it must be a direct trip.
19	It can't include an hour spent with
20	your mother.
21	MR. DEARING: I think that the
22	answer is that Article III analysis is always
23	focused on what the plaintiffs asked for, not
24	speculation about what might have been an
25	injunction here. And the and the only thing

that was ever put at issue here -- and -- and --1 2 and you can see this by looking at the actual injunction that plaintiffs framed -- was the 3 permissible categories of destination, shooting 4 5 ranges and second homes outside the city. JUSTICE KAGAN: Where is the 6 7 injunction that plaintiffs framed? MR. DEARING: It's in -- it's in a 8 number of docket entries, and I don't remember 9 10 the numbers offhand, but they're in the summary 11 judgment in -- in both motions for preliminary judgment -- injunction, motions for summary 12 13 judgment, across several different docket 14 numbers, injunctions were repeatedly proposed by 15 the Petitioners. They're basically verbatim, 16 identical. 17 And what they say is they want an 18 injunction restraining the city from enforcing 19 its old rule in any manner that would prohibit 20 or preclude plaintiffs from traveling to 21 shooting ranges and second homes outside of --2.2 JUSTICE ALITO: And why wouldn't that 23 include a non-direct trip? 24 MR. DEARING: Your Honor, the issue of 25 directness was never ever litigated as part of

Heritage Reporting Corporation

this case. It was never in the complaint. 1 We 2 have no idea what -- what the answer to that question might be if it had been litigated, but 3 it is not what plaintiffs -- the -- the -- the 4 5 Article III analysis focuses on what plaintiffs 6 asked for, and what they asked for dealt with 7 permissible categories of destination, and that 8 is more than fully addressed by the state and 9 city laws.

To -- to turn to -- now to the question of future consequences. We are -- we would -- as I've said, the issue about coffee stops is an entirely feigned dispute. We would not undertake any -- any prosecution or action now based on that or any other violation of the repealed law at this point.

17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is there -- is
18 there any way in which any violation could
19 prejudice a gun owner?

20 MR. DEARING: Not that -- not that I 21 can think of. The city is committed to -- to 22 closing the book on that old rule and we're not 23 going to take it into effect.

24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is there any25 way in which a -- a finding of mootness would

41

prejudice further options available to the 1 2 Petitioners in this case, for example, seeking 3 damages? I don't -- I don't think 4 MR. DEARING: 5 so. I mean, they -- they -- it's possible they'd have -- they'd have a time bar on --6 7 on -- on damages, but it depends -- it would 8 depend on the allegation they've made. They've 9 never made any allegations related to damages, 10 and I think we'd have to assess that based on 11 the allegations they make. 12 I think the other key point on future 13 consequences is there's really no factual basis 14 in the complaint for that. Mr. Clement for the 15 first time today suggests that -- that -- that 16 the complaint may -- alluded to a possibility of 17 past violations. It certainly did not allege 18 that these Petitioners had violated this -- the 19 rule in the past. 20 And the most important thing to know 21 about -- about those paragraphs of the complaint 2.2 is that the -- the Petitioners would have been -- would have had their licenses renewed at 23 24 least twice by now. 25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Counsel, can I just

make sure I understood you correctly earlier? I 1 2 understood you to suggest that there will be no 3 collateral consequences to anyone for violating the city's prior ban, any kind of collateral 4 5 consequences. 6 MR. DEARING: I think there's no basis 7 to think there would be. JUSTICE GORSUCH: No, I'm wondering --8 9 you're -- you're representing the city, and so 10 I'm asking the city's representative here --11 MR. DEARING: Yes. 12 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- that the city --13 that there will be no collateral consequences 14 from the city to individuals who violated the 15 prior ban? 16 MR. DEARING: Absolutely correct, 17 there will be none. 18 JUSTICE GORSUCH: All right. 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And you're making 20 that representation to this Court? 21 MR. DEARING: I'm making that 22 representation to this Court on the record on 23 behalf of the City of New York. 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not going to, 25 because I want to be careful for you and for

43

society, you're not representing if they shot 1 2 somebody with a gun that you're not going to 3 prosecute them for that? 4 MR. DEARING: Correct. 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You're just not 6 going to prosecute them for any violation of 7 this old law? MR. DEARING: Of the repealed 8 9 provisions of the law, that's right. If -- if 10 there were other potential acts of loaded guns, 11 violent acts, that -- that's different. But the repealed provisions of the old law we will 12 13 not prosecute anyone for with any --14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I quess 15 my -- my question and some of the others went 16 beyond prosecution. The question is whether 17 they'd be prejudiced in any way, for example, 18 with respect to qualifying for a -- a premises license under the new law, would the fact of a 19 20 violation of the prior law be used against them? 21 MR. DEARING: It will not. Tt. 22 absolutely will not. And -- and I think a deeper point is there is no reason to think 23 there are -- there are such violations that the 24 25 Petitioners -- that there are such violations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

If we refer back to the complaint as I noted before, these Petitioners have been renewed -their licenses have been renewed twice at least since that complaint was filed. JUSTICE KAGAN: Do you have a way -do you have a way, Mr. Dearing -- I take it these licensing decisions are made by the office, an office in the New York Police Department. Do you have a way of communicating to that office what they are not permitted to do, given your representation? MR. DEARING: Absolutely. And we've -- we've consulted that office. Thev're aware of this. We will communicate to them that -- that no such consequences are -- are to be imposed and the event -- in the extremely unlikely, and I think not going to happen, event that any -- that anyone thought that that might have happened, they should bring that to the attention of the Law Department and we'll review it and make sure that it's addressed. I -- I do, though, want to just put a

24 slightly finer point on the lack of factual 25 basis, in any event, for the claim of future

44

1 consequences.

2 The Petitioners only now have made this allusion to their complaint. They've been 3 4 renewed twice since then. The Court, of course, 5 ordinarily presumes individuals follow the law. Even before this case, our -- our 6 7 practice was not to ask people to disclose past violations unless it had resulted in an arrest, 8 9 summons, revocation, or something like that, and 10 there is no suggestion that any Petitioner has 11 had any of those events. 12 JUSTICE ALITO: But do you think it's 13 really fair for you at this point to look for 14 specific allegations in the complaint to defeat 15 a claim of mootness that the plaintiffs had no 16 reason whatsoever to anticipate until after we 17 granted certiorari and the city decided to try 18 to moot this case? MR. DEARING: This -- that just 19 20 confirms that the plaintiffs got everything they 21 asked for in this case. There's nothing -- the -- the issue of potential --22 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, how does that 23 24 confirm that they got everything that they asked 25 for? If you say, well, they didn't ask for

Heritage Reporting Corporation

46

nominal damages, they didn't ask for actual 1 2 damages, they didn't specifically allege that they violated the old law, you -- you really --3 4 they didn't allege that they wanted to make a 5 non-direct trip, how could any plaintiff 6 possibly have anticipated that until you took 7 the quite extraordinary step of trying to moot the case after we granted review? 8 9 MR. DEARING: First, the state 10 legislature has passed a new state law here. 11 JUSTICE ALITO: Yeah. And did the 12 city have nothing to do with the enactment of 13 that law? 14 MR. DEARING: The city supported the 15 law, as we do with many -- many potential bills, and most of them go nowhere. The state 16 17 legislature and the governor made their own 18 decision -- make their own decisions about what to enact, of course, responsive to their 19 20 state-wide constituency. And that's what 21 happened here. 2.2 And that, by the way, is a good thing, 23 not a bad one. The government should respond to 24 litigation, should assess its laws or other --25 or political subdivisions' laws when they are

1 challenged. And --2 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Counsel, let's say I -- I agree with you -- I mean, I accept that. 3 4 It's -- it's great when local governments respond to the constitutional constraints that 5 6 are suggested by others in litigation. 7 But it does seem a bit much, doesn't it, to fault plaintiffs for not having a 8 9 specific damages requirement in their prayer for 10 relief in a complaint that was framed years ago? 11 This litigation, I think, has taken five-plus 12 years, and that has become relevant only at this 13 late stage after the city and the state have 14 enacted a new law. 15 Why isn't the prospect of allowing 16 damages to be added to the complaint enough? In 17 a 1983 action, damages are clearly available. 18 The complaint, long ago as it was filed, did say that they sought all available relief, you know, 19 20 a typical prayer for relief. Rule 54 doesn't 21 hold people to their prayers for relief. Why 22 isn't there at least a fair prospect that a district court on remand would allow an amended 23 24 complaint to seek actual damages? 25

MR. DEARING: Well, two answers. One

1 is that that's not how the Court has approached 2 mootness questions. And, two, a fair prospect is not enough to sustain a case under Article 3 III. But --4 5 JUSTICE GORSUCH: A fair prospect of 6 relief isn't enough to sustain? 7 MR. DEARING: A fair prospect whether the claim is even in the case at all. That --8 whether the claim -- a decision about whether 9 10 the claim is in the case must precede a decision on the merits. That question is a 11 12 jurisdictional one. And the solicitor general 13 is mistaken that it can be deferred to later and 14 the merits reached anyway. 15 But -- but the prior point, I think, 16 is equally important, which is that it's not a 17 matter of faulting the plaintiffs, but the 18 plaintiffs chose the case they wanted to bring, 19 as plaintiffs do. 20 Demands for relief are taken very 21 seriously. They're crafted carefully. And the 22 -- one of the reasons they're crafted carefully 23 is that litigation -- demands are meant to cause 24 a defendant to consider whether to meet that 25 demand. And in -- in this case, this demand was

crafted not just in the prayer for relief but in 1 2 numerous paragraphs of the complaint. The -the case was consistently litigated in accord 3 4 with that structure of the complaint. And, in 5 fact, even after mootness -- the mootness 6 question arose, the Petitioners in their -- in 7 their lengthy comprehensive response never 8 suggested --9 JUSTICE GORSUCH: So you think it's 10 totally irrelevant that the state has at this 11 late stage sought to moot the case when we're 12 assessing the prospect and the interests of the 13 plaintiff in seeking damages? 14 MR. DEARING: I think it is, because 15 -- because the reason demands are made in litigation is to prompt a defendant to decide 16 17 whether to meet them, not to decide later, if 18 they do meet them, to -- to -- to reinvent the 19 case and make it something else. And the 20 clearest example --21 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Do you agree that 2.2 there --MR. DEARING: -- from this Court's 23 24 cases --25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- do you agree that

1 there would at least be a fair prospect that a 2 district court on remand might disagree with you and find that there is a reasonable excuse for 3 4 the plaintiffs' introduction of damages at this 5 stage? MR. DEARING: I don't think so. 6 T'm not -- I'm not aware of any case where anything 7 8 like that has happened. In fact, consistent decisions from the courts of appeals have said 9 10 these were --11 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Let's say if we 12 disagreed with you, then what? 13 MR. DEARING: Still not enough, I 14 think, because the -- the prospect of adding a 15 potential live claim is not enough to -- to 16 sustain an Article III case or controversy now 17 and to allow the court to reach the merits 18 before that claim is in the case. And the clearest example is Alvarez 19 20 versus Smith. That is a case that -- that --21 where the complaint sought declaratory injunctive relief, just like the complaint here, 22 but a slight -- a difference, a significant 23 24 difference, in that case, the plaintiffs had a 25 motion pending in the district court.

1	JUSTICE GORSUCH: What do you do about
2	the fact that that was pre-Rule 54 and the
3	federal rules and so on?
4	MR. DEARING: Alvarez was not pre-Rule
5	Alvarez was was about a decade ago.
б	Alvarez was long
7	JUSTICE GORSUCH: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm
8	sorry.
9	MR. DEARING: after Rule 54.
10	That's a different that's Alejandrino
11	JUSTICE GORSUCH: Alejandrino, sorry.
12	MR. DEARING: which is a different
13	case. Rule 54, I think, is really a red herring
14	here. Rule 54 is a question that governs the
15	district court's power remedial powers when a
16	live controversy remains continuing before it.
17	It says that the district court is not beholden
18	necessarily to what is categorically beholden
19	to what is included in a prayer for relief and
20	can craft appropriate remedies. But the Court
21	and lower courts do not look to Rule 54 in
22	determining questions under Article III.
23	The right place to look is the
24	complaint, the consistent litigation history,
25	and the courts below that determined what did

1	the plaintiff ask for and has what they asked
2	for been provided. And that has happened here.
3	JUSTICE ALITO: Mr. Dearing, are the
4	are people in New York less safe now as a
5	result of the enactment of the new city and
6	state laws than they were before?
7	MR. DEARING: We we no, I don't
8	think so. We made a judgment expressed by our
9	police commissioner that that it was
10	consistent with public safety to repeal the
11	prior rule and to move forward without it.
12	JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if they're not
13	less safe, then what possible justification
14	could there have been for the old rule, which
15	you have abandoned?
16	MR. DEARING: It was a reasonable
17	as we've outlined in our briefs, it was a
18	reasonable implementation of the of the state
19	premises license, carry license division. I
20	think and we've explained that there was
21	was a verification benefit to the way that that
22	rule was set up. That verification benefit
23	perhaps has not played out as much in practice
24	as it had been predicted, and we believe the
25	police can work harder and make sure that the

1 city stays safe. 2 JUSTICE ALITO: So you think the Second Amendment permits the imposition of a 3 restriction that has no public safety benefit? 4 5 MR. DEARING: I think you have to look, first, to consider whether the -- the type 6 of restriction -- how the restriction accords 7 with the history under the Second Amendment 8 9 before we answer that question. 10 And so I -- I think -- I think the 11 right place to start, and -- and, for our 12 purposes, maybe starting with shooting ranges is 13 the best, first key point is this must be viewed 14 as an adjunct to the premises license. This is 15 not just a general statute or generally 16 applicable statute. It's an adjunct to the premises license. It's --17 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if it's viewed 18 in that way, could the city -- would it be 19 20 consistent with the Second Amendment for the 21 city to prohibit any trip by a person holding a 22 premises license to a firing range? MR. DEARING: I think that would be 23 24 doubtful. And the -- and the reason the city 25 went beyond what state law says about a premises

54

license and -- and authorized transport to 1 2 shooting ranges in the city was because the city recognized that -- that training is -- does 3 4 intersect with and is important to effective use 5 of the handgun in the home. 6 JUSTICE ALITO: So you are 7 conceding -- I take it "doubtful" means that it would be unconstitutional. You can tell me if 8 9 you -- you -- you don't know the answer to that 10 question. 11 But, if it -- if that's what it means, you're conceding that the Second Amendment 12 13 protects the possession of a firearm outside the 14 home under at least some circumstances? 15 MR. DEARING: I think what I'm 16 conceding is that, in the case of a premises 17 license, the Second Amendment has something to 18 say about what effective possession in the home 19 means. And sometimes that may mean that you 20 need to be able to -- that a license holder 21 needs to be able to undertake certain activities 2.2 outside the home. JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if the person is 23 24 taking the firearm, the handgun, from the home 25 to a firing range, the person is out on the

55

streets of New York, and if -- unless a total 1 2 ban on taking it to a firing range would be consistent with the Second Amendment, it follows 3 that the Second Amendment, under at least some 4 5 circumstances, protects the possession of a 6 handgun outside the home. Isn't that correct? 7 MR. DEARING: I think -- I think that's a fair way to look at it, that -- that --8 that -- but -- but, from our perspective, the 9 10 right question regarding a premises license is, 11 did the -- did the rule impermissibly burden effective use of the handgun in the premises? 12 13 In the same way that to get a gun to a premises, 14 you have to get it somewhere outside -- you 15 know, purchase it somewhere outside your 16 premises and bring it there, that certain things 17 that happen outside the home may -- may be 18 integrally related to effective use of a handgun inside the home. 19 20 But, when you look at a premises 21 license, and not speaking about the Second Amendment at large or writ large but the 22 23 premises license specifically, the only proper 24 lens to look at the question through is whether

25 the restriction impinges on effective use of the

1 handgun in the home. 2 And with regard to training, we have two -- two related reasons why it doesn't. The 3 first is to look to historical restrictions, 4 5 which were not themselves directed at premises licenses but are illuminating, and, 6 7 historically, the location where people were permitted to train was -- was fairly extensively 8 restricted, provided that opportunities to train 9 10 remained available. 11 And we -- that's the principle we 12 distill from history. And -- and when you apply 13 it to the premises license here, what our -- the 14 conclusion is that the ability to train locally 15 in a circumstance where market forces are 16 allowed to operate to determine how many 17 facilities are present, where there's no 18 indication that supply was insufficient to meet 19 demand, and where the Petitioners here actually 20 in their summary judgment affidavits never even 21 said they wished to engage in any form of 22 regular training outside the city. 23 All they said is they wanted to go to 24 shooting competitions -- regional shooting 25 competitions out of the city, that on this

57

record, the former restriction or the former 1 2 rule implementing the premises license to allow fire -- training locally meets Second Amendment 3 4 requirements. JUSTICE ALITO: Well, how should 5 6 the -- what methodology should the courts use in 7 approaching Second Amendment questions? 8 If they conclude that text and history 9 protect a -- the text and history of the Second 10 Amendment protect a particular activity, is that 11 the end of the question, or do they then go on and apply some level of scrutiny? 12 13 MR. DEARING: I think -- I think, 14 first, we look -- we look to history and 15 determine whether history answers the question 16 one way or the other, whether it's 17 constitutional or unconstitutional. 18 JUSTICE ALITO: Right. MR. DEARING: And in a significant 19 20 number of cases, history will not speak with one 21 voice or conclusively on that subject, and then 22 the right step is to move on to an assessment of justification and fit under a means and scrutiny 23 24 approach. 25 JUSTICE ALITO: But, if history says

1 this is protected, then that's the end of the 2 question? There's no resort to some level of 3 scrutiny?

4 MR. DEARING: If history conclusively 5 shows that the restriction is impermissible, 6 then I -- I think -- as in Heller, Heller is an 7 example of that phenomenon. Heller determined 8 without consulting means and scrutiny that the -- that the law in question sort of went to 9 10 the core of and destroyed, in essence, the --11 the -- the -- the Second Amendment right and, 12 therefore, was -- and more severe than any --13 any historical, any analogous or -- or prior law 14 in -- in its degree of burden on the Second 15 Amendment --16 JUSTICE BREYER: No --17 MR. DEARING: -- right. 18 JUSTICE BREYER: -- you're supposed to do there, because you're correctly stating the 19 20 views of some judges. 21 MR. DEARING: Right. 2.2 JUSTICE BREYER: And some judges had 23 an opposite view. 24 MR. DEARING: I'm aware -- I'm aware 25 of that, that's correct.

1 (Laughter.) 2 MR. DEARING: Our -- our -- our -- our view is that -- is that history can answer some 3 4 questions pretty directly and -- and in other 5 many -- in other -- in a -- in a significant number of cases, history doesn't speak so 6 7 clearly and that the most reliable method of answering the question in those cases is a -- is 8 9 means and scrutiny. 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: One -- one problem 11 with the prior regulation, if you wanted to have 12 a gun in your second home, you had to buy a 13 second gun. And what public safety or any other 14 reasonable end is served by saying you have to 15 have two guns instead of one and one of those 16 guns has to be maintained in a place that is 17 often unoccupied and, therefore, more vulnerable 18 to theft? I think that the -- the 19 MR. DEARING: 20 question on second homes, there, Petitioners 21 have identified a difficult application of our 2.2 former rule that wasn't really contemplated when 23 the rule was -- was adopted. 24 I still think, though, if you look 25 historically, and the -- the right way to answer

59

60

a question about whether it was unconstitutional 1 2 is to ask whether there had been some historical tradition of enabling individuals to use the 3 4 same handgun to protect two different homes. 5 Of course, our rule never spoke to the question whether an individual could have a 6 7 handgun in a -- in a -- in a residence outside our jurisdiction. That's something completely 8 9 that we don't speak to -- we could never speak 10 to. 11 And when you look at the question about -- about what happened historically, there 12 13 have been incidental burdens that would have 14 burdened similarly that kind of conduct in the 15 past. And --16 Suppose -- I mean, JUSTICE BREYER: 17 this is why these things are difficult for you. 18 All right? I understand that. 19 But, in Massachusetts, historically, 20 all the guns and ammunition were stored in a 21 central place at night, I believe, at the time 2.2 of the resolution -- revolution. Not in anybody's home. And this -- do we have a 23 24 different law for Massachusetts? I quess not. 25 What history do we look to?

And you did at one point, or someone 1 2 said, I am a policeman, I happen to notice 3 there's a gun next to this person in the car who 4 stopped at the stoplight. I say, sir, what are 5 you doing with this gun? He says, I am going to a firing range. Oh, I see. You're going to 6 7 test it. Where is it? Now, if he says it's in Brooklyn, I 8 9 can find it. If he says it's somewhere 14 miles 10 northwest of Utica in the Adirondacks, I have a 11 harder time. And I don't know who to believe, and 12 13 so it's tough. So there are more guns in New 14 York. What happened to that, that argument? 15 MR. DEARING: That argument is the --16 is the argument that -- that is presented on the 17 record of the -- of the detective --18 detective -- detective -- detective's affidavit, 19 sorry. 20 We, of course, took a close look at 21 that question, and the police commissioner 22 determined that -- that the rule could be 23 repealed without a negative impact on public 24 safety. 25 I do think the police will have to

work harder to verify what's happening in those 1 2 situations, but we -- we are confident that they can do it and they will do it --3 4 JUSTICE ALITO: Why --5 MR. DEARING: -- successfully. 6 JUSTICE ALITO: -- why will they have 7 to work harder? Somebody who lives in midtown 8 is stopped and -- with a gun and the officer 9 says, where are you going? I'm going to a 10 firing range in Jersey City, which is right 11 across the river. 12 That's tougher than, I'm going to a 13 firing range in Staten Island. And I think 14 three of your seven ranges are in Staten Island, 15 am I right? 16 MR. DEARING: Two -- two are in Staten 17 Island. 18 JUSTICE ALITO: Two are in Staten 19 Island? 20 MR. DEARING: That's right. I think 21 it is a little bit tougher, but, of course, 22 the -- the person may not say Jersey City 23 either. 24 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. How about 25 if somebody who lives in the north Bronx says,

63

1 I'm going across the border to Westchester 2 County? That's tougher for you to -- to look into than, yes, I'm going all the way to Staten 3 4 Island? MR. DEARING: Well, still, the --5 6 still, what happens in Staten Island is within 7 the Police Department's jurisdiction. They have access to records, immediate access to records. 8 9 They have -- that range is subject to the 10 requirement to maintain a roster of individuals 11 to use it. I agree with you that it's not -- that 12 13 it is enforceable as to Jersey City or as to 14 Westchester, and that's part of the reason the 15 city is determined to change the rule, even 16 ignoring the fact that the state came in and 17 preempted it, but I do think it is not -- it is 18 more difficult and that -- that the judgment 19 previously with -- was that with respect to 20 premises licensees, of course, not a carry 21 license, which is not at issue in this case, has never been challenged, the target license that 22 Mr. Clement referred to was understood to be a 23 24 kind of carry license.

25 And if that was the heart of the

64

complaint, the -- the claim should have been 1 2 that the city needs to reinstate that carry That was not the claim in this case. 3 license. The claim in this case was 4 5 specifically articulated by the Petitioners that they have a premises license, this is about the 6 7 scope of a premises license, and the claim made framed by the Petitioners most clearly in their 8 9 summary judgment papers at page 6 was that the 10 relief sought here is necessary to allow the 11 full exercise of the -- of the right of defense of hearth and home in the home. 12 13 They accepted the premises license 14 framing, and the entire case has been litigated 15 16 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Counsel, can I --17 MR. DEARING: -- through that lens. 18 JUSTICE GORSUCH: I just want to circle back to the direct and continuous travel 19 20 requirement of the current rule and Justice 21 Alito's question about visiting your mother. 2.2 Is it now the city's position that any 23 reasonable stops are permissible? 24 MR. DEARING: That is our 25 enforcement -- reasonably necessary stops in the

```
course of travel --
1
 2
               JUSTICE GORSUCH: Reasonably
 3
     necessary.
                MR. DEARING: -- are permissible.
 4
 5
                JUSTICE GORSUCH: Now does that
 6
      include stopping to visit your mother --
 7
               MR. DEARING: I haven't -- I --
                JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- or use the --
 8
 9
               MR. DEARING: -- I don't know the
10
     answer to that.
11
                JUSTICE GORSUCH: Get a cup of coffee?
12
      I mean, I'm not sure a cup -- is a cup of coffee
13
     reasonably necessary?
14
                (Laughter.)
15
               MR. DEARING: Well, it probably
16
     depends who you ask. But the Police Department
17
     has --
18
                (Laughter.)
19
               MR. DEARING: The Police Department
20
     has affirmed and we have made clear that -- that
21
     the enforcement position is that a stop for a
     cup of coffee is not a problem.
22
23
               JUSTICE GORSUCH: So that's reasonably
24
      _ _
25
               MR. DEARING: And, in fact, there's no
```

1 _ _ 2 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- necessary. So what -- what's going to gualify? I -- I'm just 3 4 a little unclear about that. 5 MR. DEARING: I think that -- well, 6 the controlling standard here -- I'm -- I'm 7 giving you the enforcement position of the 8 Police Department on the questions we have 9 considered. But the controlling standard here, 10 I should hasten to add, is provided by state 11 law. We -- we do not offer a definitive --12 13 cannot offer a definitive construction of that 14 law. And I think the -- the question about what 15 that state law means is one that's going to need 16 to be litigated probably in state courts, but 17 before there's any dispute here ripe for -- for 18 constitutional adjudication, the meaning of that 19 law is going to have to be determined. 20 JUSTICE GORSUCH: So we have no 21 representations to us as to what is -- is direct and continuous, other than coffee's okay? 22 MR. DEARING: Coffee -- what -- what I 23 24 know -- what I -- what I can represent because 25 -- because it's come up before, coffee,

restrooms, food, gas, the kinds of things that 1 2 you ordinarily would stop for in the course of -- of travel, I hadn't considered the mother or 3 4 mother-in-law example before. I think that's 5 going to need to play out in the state courts. 6 The more important point here, though, 7 is that none of those issues were ever part of this controversy. The -- this controversy was 8 9 about two things, as repeatedly emphasized by 10 Petitioners throughout the --11 JUSTICE GORSUCH: I understand --12 MR. DEARING: -- litigation. 13 JUSTICE GORSUCH: -- that. But you're 14 asking us to say that there is no controversy 15 now. So I'm trying to just nail down exactly what is the delta, if any, remaining in the 16 17 relief that might have been sought and the 18 relief you've provided. MR. DEARING: Well, this is all -- I 19 20 guess, in short, what I'm saying is -- Mr. Chief 21 Justice, may I answer? 2.2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Sure. 23 MR. DEARING: In short, what I'm 24 saying is this is not relief that was ever 25 sought. There may be a controversy here, but

68

1	it's a new controversy, it would need to be
2	litigated in a new case. And the relief the
3	the speculation about what an injunction
4	theoretically could have included is not the way
5	this Court analyzes questions under Article III.
б	Thank you.
7	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
8	counsel.
9	Three minutes, Mr. Clement.
10	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT
11	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
12	MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Mr. Just
13	Chief Justice.
14	Just a few points in rebuttal. First
15	of all, Justice Kagan, we never got to the point
16	of a proposed injunction in this case. We
17	didn't exactly succeed really well under the
18	current Second Circuit law, so we never got to
19	the point of proposing an injunction.
20	The only thing my friend is referring
21	to are some allusions to the kind of relief we
22	wanted in a summary judgment motion.
23	If we had gotten to that point, we
24	would have wanted clarity, the kind of clarity
25	that a federal court applying the Second

1 Amendment can provide. You don't have to depend 2 on a city's representation about --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Mr. Clement --3 4 MR. CLEMENT: -- state law. 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- your complaint 6 from relief states it: "An order preliminarily 7 and permanently enjoining the defendants" -- I skip out whoever else -- "who receive actual 8 9 notice of the injunction from enforcing this 10 prohibition from traveling beyond the borders of 11 the City of New York to attend a gun range, 12 shooting competition, or to use a lawfully 13 possessed and licensed firearm for the purposes 14 of defending one's home, person, or property." 15 And you asked for declaratory relief 16 in -- with those same words. 17 MR. CLEMENT: That's right, Justice 18 Sotomayor. I don't think we would have been 19 tethered to those in a proposed injunction. 20 But, if we're going to go to the 21 complaint, I think we should look at page 40 -at paragraph 41, at Joint Appendix 36, where we 22 asked for "unrestricted access to gun ranges and 23 24 second homes." Unrestricted. 25 I don't think at this late stage we

69

are still being offered unrestricted access. 1 2 And I think it's --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, let --3 MR. CLEMENT: -- important to 4 5 understand --6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- let's stop. 7 Justice Alito said stopping at your mother's. 8 When you say unrestricted, does that mean I can 9 carry my gun for three days? 10 Do you think that a court actually 11 would have crafted an injunction at all with hypothetical situations? 12 13 It would have said you can carry your 14 qun to the range, and then would have left for 15 further litigation specific applications of that 16 general rule. 17 MR. CLEMENT: I -- I don't think so, 18 Your Honor. I think --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Unless you had --19 20 MR. CLEMENT: -- I -- I think what 21 would have happened is the parties would have 22 had their proposed injunctions. There would 23 have been a huge delta between them. And then 24 we would have disputed the same kind of 25 questions that are still being disputed here.

71

But we wouldn't have to rely on the 1 2 city's representation about state law because we could have an injunction that enforced the 3 Second Amendment. 4 5 I think it's important to understand 6 how state law and city law --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So you want us --7 8 MR. CLEMENT: -- work together. 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- to create --10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Maybe you could proceed --11 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- the law. 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- with the 14 other points you intended to on your rebuttal. 15 MR. CLEMENT: I -- I -- I would be 16 delighted to, Your Honor. 17 I think the way that city law and 18 state law work together here is all the state 19 law says is we're going to allow your transport if it's direct. It doesn't otherwise specify 20 21 what's direct. 2.2 The city took it on itself in 23 Section 7 of the new regs to tell you what they 24 at least at that point thought was sufficiently 25 direct, which is continuous and uninterrupted.

1 Now they're now making representations 2 that the reg doesn't mean what it seems to mean and the like. And I would say that my client 3 4 shouldn't have to rely on those representations. 5 They should get that in writing in an injunction that would be enforceable. That would be 6 7 effectual relief. Again, I think the damages point was 8 9 not our principal claim here, but let's think 10 about in real time what would have happened is 11 as soon as we filed the lawsuit, the city would 12 have turned around, dropped its case entirely, 13 and then admitted to the court that it served no 14 public safety purpose. 15 Then I think my clients, who for years had tried to comply with the law and restricted 16 17 where they wanted to qo, would have immediately 18 sued for damages. I don't think they should lose that 19 20 right just because the city's maneuvering 21 happened post-certiorari. 2.2 Thank you, Your Honor. 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. The case is submitted. 24 25 (Whereupon, at 11:07 a.m., the case

1 across © 39:13 62:11 63:1 ammunition [106:20 award [42:12 23:7 25:7,15 11:07 [17:255 act [11:16] across © 39:13 62:11 63:1 among [24:15 19:3] award [42:12 23:7 25:7,15 11:07 [17:255 act [11:16] act [11:16] analogize [11:32:3] analogize [11:32:3] award [42:15 42:3:15 30:2,17 38:8] 11:07 [11:16] activity [11:57:10] analogize [11:32:3] analogize [11:32:3] award [42:15 42:32 11:10] 12:06:18 activity [11:57:10] analogize [11:32:3] analogize [11:32:3] analogize [11:32:3] 11:07 [11:16] activity [11:77:16] activity [11:77:16] analyzes [11:65:2] backed [11:63:2] 11:11 address [11:11:3] address [11:11:3] answeri [12] [11:17:59:8] answeri [12] [11:17:59:8] anticipate [11:46:6] 11:11 address [11:12] address [11:12] anticipate [11:46:6] anticipate [11:46:6] barrier [12:14] 11:12 adequacy [12:1:4] anticipate [11:32:2] apart [11:32:2] basis [11:16:32:49:1:41:2] basis [11:6]:52:14:2] anticipate [11:32:2] basis [11:6]:52:14:2] basis [11:6]:52:14:2] application [11:6]:52:14:2] application	Official					
10.05 across #139:13 62:11 53:1 among #14:16 92.3 aware (#2:22:237:25:7:6 aware (#2:22:237:25:7:6 110:107 (17:22:3) activities (#3:4:11 68:1) among #14:16 92.3 aware (#3:4:11 68:1) 120:05:18 activities (#3:4:11 68:1) among #14:16 92.3 among #14:16 92.3 aware (#3:4:11 68:1) 120:05:18 activities (#3:4:11 68:1) among #14:17 41:16 92.3 among #14:17 92.3 among #14:17 92.3 120:05:18 activities (#14:10:16:14 72:4:69.3 among #14:17 92.2 among #14:17 92.2 back #12:03:11.2 120:05:19 addim #3:5:6:10 addim #11:2 among #14:17 95:8 among #14:17 95:8 20:19:11 addim #11:2 addim #11:2 among #14:17 95:8 among #14:17 95:8 20:19:11:11 addim #11:2 addim #11:2 among #14:16 92:11 among #14:16 92:11 21:19:12 addim #11:2 addim #11:2 among #14:16 92:11 among #14:16 92:11 21:19:12 addim #11:2 addim #11:2 among #14:16 92:11 among #14:16 92:11 21:19:12 addim #11:2 addim #11:2 among #14:16 92:11 among #14:16 92:11 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>acknowledgment [1] 27:15</td><td>amicus [3] 1:22 2:7 21:21</td><td>available [4] 41:1 47:17,19 56:10</td></t<>	1	acknowledgment [1] 27:15	amicus [3] 1:22 2:7 21:21	available [4] 41:1 47:17,19 56:10		
11:07 U72:25 Action 102:3 Action 102:3<	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			award [4] 22:12 23:7 25:7,15		
Ith m27-10 activities (M421) activities (M421) activities (M421) activities (M421) activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 618 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 618 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 618 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 611 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 611 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 611 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 12 01 611 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 2 01 611 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 2 01 711 activities (M421) analogue (M45) f1622 1012,16 B 2 01 711 activities (M421) answering (M126) 738, analogue (M45) f122, analogue (M45) f111,16 B 2 01 711 activities (M45) f112, analogue (M45) f111,16 anticipate (M45) f122, analogue (M45) f111,16 B 2 01 72, 2 013, 2 014, 2 014, 014		Act [1] 16:24		aware [9] 14:6 23:15 30:2,17 38:8		
12 Ib.:18 actual is marked				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
14 (161:9) 14 (161:9) 14 (161:9) 14 (161:9) 14 (161:9) 15 (161:8) 17 (161:8) 18 (161:8)				away [3] 4:25 14:23 21:10		
a cts B (43:10,11) a cts B (41:12,12) a cts B (41:12,12) <th (<="" b="" cts="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>B</td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>B</td>				B	
17 (0 -18 attraction 2.4 attraction						
41-280 (m 3:4) 41-280 (m 2:4) 41-280						
1926 (1) 2243 1930 (0)						
1983 IP 22:13 47:17 atded in yat.16 22 atded in yat.16 atded in yat.17 atded in yat.17 atded in yat.16 atded in						
2 adding in x10 adding in x10 adding in x10 2 2 101:11 adding in x10 57:21 101:23:0:0 101:23:0:0 101:						
Z address 01113 address 01113 answering [01177 59:8] bar [012:3 219 [01:11] address 01113 answering [01177 59:8] bar [016:6] bar [016:6] 219 [01:16] address 01113 answering [01177 59:8] bar [016:6] bar [016:6] 31 [02:4] address 01113 answers [017:6] answers [017:6] bar [016:6] 32 [016:1] address 0116:1] answers [017:2] bar [016:2] bars [016:2] 31 [02:4] adjunct [02:6] adjunct [02:6] anybody 81:62:3 bars [016:2] bars [01173 32 [012:2] adjunct [03:6] adjunct [02:6] application [017:2] application [017:2] bars [0116:3] bars [01173 bars						
2 [11:11] addressed [11:12:35:19,23:40; answers [11:12:30; bar (11:41:6) 21 [12:8] addressed [11:12:35:19,23:40; amswers [11:12:30; bar (11:41:6) 28 [16:19] addressed [11:12:35:19,23:40; amswers [11:12:30; bar (11:41:6) 29 [16:19] addressed [11:12:35:19,23:40; amswers [11:12:30; bar (11:41:6) 31 [12:24] addressed [11:12:35:19,23:40; amswers [11:12:30; bar (11:41:6) 31 [12:52:1] addressed [11:12:35:19,23:40; addressed [11:12:32:11:33; addressed [11:12:32:11:33; bar (11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:11:32:11:34; basis [11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:11:32:11:34; basis [11:11:32:11:34; basis [11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:13:32:11:33; basis [11:13:32:12:35:16; basis [11:13:32:12:35:16; basis [11:13:32:12:35:16; basis [11:11:13:12:35:16; basis [11:11:13:12:1	2		-			
2019 (1):111 addresset (a) [1:23:19:3 40:0 anticipate (1):42:57:19 barrier (1):25:14 23 (11:23:2 adequacy (1):21:14 anticipate (1):44:25 7:19 barrier (1):25:14 23 (11:23:2 adequacy (1):21:14 anticipate (1):44:25 7:19 barrier (1):25:14 3 (11:24 adjunct (1):10 anticipate (1):44:25 7:19 barrier (1):25:14 basis (1):11:32:24 0:15 41:10 3 (11:24 adjunct (1):10:24 adjunct (1):10:24 adjunct (1):10:24 basis (1):11:32:24 0:15 41:10 3 (11:24 adjunct (1):10:24 administerd (1):20:4 apple:10:11:32:24 basis (1):11:32:24 0:15 41:10 3 (11:24 administerd (1):20:4 apple:10:11:32:24 basis (1):11:32:24 basis (1):11:32:24 3 (10:22:11 adfide vits (1):21:12:25:24 apple:10:11:32:14 apple:10:11:32:15 basis (1):11:32:14 3 (10:22:11 adfide vits (1):21:12:23 affide vits (1):21:12:23 apple:10:11:32:12 basis (1):11:12:12 3 (1):22:23 52:12:23:14 apple:10:12:11:12:12 apple:11:11:12:12:12:12:12 basis (1):11:12:12:13:12:12 apple:11:11:12:12:12:12:12:13:14 apple:11:12:12:12:12:11:12 apple:11:12:12:12:12:12:11:12 apple:11:11:12:11:12:11:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:	2 [1] 1 :11					
21 (1):28 adequacy (1):21:14 adimicipate (1):48:0 based (1):32:0:12:2:0:15:4:10) 29 (1):61:9 adequacy (1):21:14 anticipate (1):48:0 based (1):10:23:10:24:13:15:10) 3 adjuinct (1):35:15:33:14:16 appression (1):10:32:17:18:41:13:42:16 based (1):10:32:17:18:41:13:42:16 3 10:22:13:31 addimited (1):22:13:4 admitted (1):22:13:41:11 based (1):10:32:17:18:41:13:42:16 3 10:25:21 admitted (1):22:13:41:18:18:18:12:22:41:11:11 adopted (1):45:16:52:20:42:41:12:11:11:11:11:15:12:12:23:41:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:	2019 [1] 1 :11					
28 metrig anticipate (1) 48/6 anticipate (1) 48/6 basis (1) 14/2 (2) 31/2 (2) 3 adjunct (2) (1) 41/3 anyway (1) 35/3 (3) 48/14 adjunct (2) (1) 42/3 (4) (1) 32/2 3 (1) 22/4 adjunct (2) (1) 31/2 appeals (1) 50/9 basis (1) 11/3 22/2 3 (1) 22/4 administered (1) 20/4 appeals (1) 50/9 basis (1) 44/2 22/3 (3)/18 3 (1) 22/4 administered (1) 20/4 appeals (1) 50/9 basis (1) 44/2 22/3 (3)/18 3 (1) 22/4 administered (1) 20/4 appeals (1) 50/9 basis (1) 44/2 22/3 (3)/18 3 (1) 22/2 administered (1) 20/4 appleals (1) 50/9 basis (1) 44/2 22/3 (3)/18 3 (1) 22/2 affirm (1) 43/0 24/2 appleals (1) 50/9 basis (1) 44/2 22/3 (3)/18 3 (1) 22/2 affirm (1) 43/0 24/2 appleals (1) 51/9 appleals (1) 51/9 3 (1) 22/2 affirm (1) 19/2 43/16 appleals (1) 7/16 basis (1) 7/16 3 (1) 22/2 agreed (1) 11/9 12/4 31/6 appleals (1) 3/2 (3)/2 (3)/2 basis (1)/2 (3)/2 3 (1) 22/2 affirm (1)/16 11/9 12/4 31/6 appleals (1)/2 (3)/2 basis (1)/2 (3)/2 3 (1) 22/2 agreed (1)/16 (1)/2 (3)/2	21 [1] 2 :8					
29 If 6:19 adjudication (1) 66:13 adjudication (1) 66:14 adjudication (1) 66:15 adjudication (1) 66:16 basis (9, 114 93:27, 13, 41:13, 42:6 31 (12:4) adjunct (10) 35:15, 53:14, 10 adjunct (10) 35:15, 53:14, 10 apart (1) 13:22 basis (9, 114 93:27, 13, 41:13, 42:6 32 (11) 25:21 admitted (11) 72:13 adpited (11) 72:13 adpited (11) 72:13 apples (116:3) basis (9, 114 93:22; 53:16 basis (9, 114 93:22; 53:16; 114:16 basis (9, 114 93:22; 53:16; 114:16 basis (9, 114 93:22; 53:16; 114:16; 114; 115:22; 52:13; 114:16 basis (9, 114 93:16; 114:16; 114:16; 114; 114; 114; 114; 114:16						
3 adjunct (P) 35:15 55:14,16 apart (P) 13:22 44:25 3 (P) 2:4 adjunct (P) 35:15 55:14,16 apart (P) 13:22 apart (P) 13:23 <td< td=""><td>29 [1] 6:19</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></td<>	29 [1] 6 :19			-		
3 10:2:4 33 (11):2:4 33 (11):2:4 33 (11):2:4 33 (11):2:4 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:4 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:2:1 33 (11):2:1 33 (11)						
Control Control <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>				-		
All Discription Appendix (R = 19 2x) Appendix (R = 19 2x) Dearing (P18:5) 31 (P25:21 adjotted (P335:8 59:23 apples) (P18:10 become (P47:12) 35 (P25:21 affidavit (P16:118 affidavit (P16:118 applicable (P33:16) become (P47:12) 36 (P25:21 affidavit (P16:118 affidavit (P16:118 applicable (P33:16) become (P47:12) 4 ago (P47:10,18 51:5) application (P39:21) application (P39:24) become (P47:17) 5 agree (P11:19 12:4 31:6) approach (P7:24) approach (P7:24) approach (P3:24) 52 (P25:22 APPCAMOLES (P17:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) 61 (P22:10 247:20 51:2,9,13,14,21) APPCAMOLES (P17:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) 61 (P22:22 APPCAMOLES (P17:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) approach (P3:24) 61 (P22:24) APPCAMOLES (P17:24) approach (P3:24) approach (
A In [2:11] attoplet in 90.10 GeV Piperint (90.119 202 20.22 affidavits [2:16:20] affidavits [2:16:20] application [19:21] application [19:21] affidavits [2:2:16:22] affirmed [16:2:0] application [19:2:1] application [19:2:1] affirmed [16:2:0] agg [0:47:10,18 51:5] application [19:2:1] 242:23 66:11 agg [0:47:10,18 51:5] agg [0:47:10,18 51:5] application [19:2:1] 242:23 66:11 agg [0:47:10,18 51:5] agg [0:47:10,18 51:5] application [19:2:1] 242:23 66:11 agg [0:47:10,18 51:5] agg [0:47:10,18 20:5] application [19:5:2] 242:23 68:11 agg [0:47:10,18 20:5] agg [0:47:10,18 20:5] approachel [19:5:2] 25:21:25 13:10 14: agg [0:67:10,18 20:5] agg [11:19 12:4 31:6] approachel [19:18:16:10] 31:20:21 affirmed [19:5:23 agg [14:4,7] approachel [19:18:13:6] approachel [19:119 21:22:10:23:13:14:1] affication [10:10] ALITO [20:37:51:8] argu [10:11:11:10:11:11:10:11] are [11:19:12:20:11:11:10:11] affication [11:19:12:20:21:11:10:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11						
35 (1) (25:2) all (25:2)						
36 in 252:1 affirm (a) 13:10 24:25 application (10:50:2) bplication (10:50:2) 40 (1) 69:21 application (10:50:2) application (10:50:2) application (10:50:2) 41 (1) 69:21 agree (11:11) 13:10 24:25 application (10:50:2) application (10:50:2) 52 (1) 25:22 53 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 52 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 52 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:23) 52 (10:25:24) 52 (10:25:23) 54 (10:25:25) 56 (10:25:24) 54 (10:25:25) 56						
A A				-		
4 ago [9 47:10.18 51:5] apply [9 56:12 57:12] beholden [9 51:17,18] 40 [11 69:22] 3 49:21.25 63:12 approached [19 63:25] approached [19 63:26] approached [19 63:26] 52 [10 25:22] agreed [311:19 13:4 30:25 31:1 47] approached [19 63:26] approached [19 63:26] approached [19 63:26] 52 [10 25:22] agreed [311:19 12:4 31:6] approached [19 63:27] best [15 52:22 53:4] 54 [10 25:23] 53:17 63:25 AL [17 12 93:36:16;19 37:10;16:23] arent [16 11:61:6] arent [16 11:61:6] 59 [12 52:23] 53:37,12 53:21 63:46;23 57:51:8] arent [16 11:61:6] argument [16 11:12;2,5,9,12 3:4] beyond [9 3:21 36:19 43:16 53:25 6 [16 64:9] alive [16 16:10 22:17,23] allegations [14:19,11 45:14] argument [16 11:12;2,5,9,12 3:4] binds [12 2:20] 61 [10 25:23] allegations [14:19,11 45:14] arguments [11 31:20] arguments [11 31:20] binds [12 2:20] binds [12 2:20] 61 [10 25:22 allegations [14:14:14] argus [14 2:21:2] books [16 2:12] books [16 2:12] 7 [2] [19 71:23] allow [11 7:15 19:22 22:24 23:13] argus [14 9:12] books [12 2:14] binds [12 2:26] binds [36 [2] 25:21 69:22					
40 40 69 99<	4			beholden [2] 51:17,18		
41 [10:69:22] 3 49:21,25 63:12 agreed [9] 111:9 12/4 31:6 agproach (10:72.8 (22:9) 31:5 61:2) below (9) 72.8 (22:9 31:5 61:2) 52 [10:25:22] agrees (1) 34:24 approach (10:77.7) approach (10:77.7) benefit (9) 52:21,22 53:4 54 (1) 25:23 33:4,7,10 39:22 45:12,23 46:11 are (2) 31:50:21 62:50,912 34:50,101 are (2) 31:20,21 are (2) 31:20,21 below (9) 72:8 (22:9 31:5 10:21 19:39.70: 59 (10:25:23 53:4,7,10 39:22 45:12,23 46:11 argue (10:34:7) below (9) 72:8 (22:0 53:13) below (9) 72:8 (22:0 53:13) 59 (10:25:23 52:31 25 32:18 54:62 357:51.8; saymonat (10:14:14:22:59,912 34:16) below (9) 32:12 32:10 34:16 below (9) 32:12 32:10 34:16 61 (10:25:23 61 (10:25:23 allegation (10:41:8) argue (10:32:10 34:16) bills (10:41:7, 76:22:10 34:16) bills (10:42:7, 76:22:10 34:16) 61 (10:25:23 allegation (10:41:17 45:2.4) argue (10:22:10 54:16) argue (10:32:24, 53:18) book (14:02:2) bills (10:42:7, 76:22:10 34:16) bills (10:42:7, 76:22:10 34:16) bills (10:42:7, 76:22:10 34:16) bills (10:42:7, 76:22:10 34:16) bills (10:42:17, 76:22:10 34:16) bills (10:42:12, 12:12) bills (10:1	40 [1] 69: 21					
5 agreed B111:19 12:4 31:6 agreed B111:19 12:4 31:6 approached [195:7] appropriate [2] 13:8 51:20 area [2] 31:20 25:20 53:13 between [9] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70: area [2] 31:20 25:20 53:13 between [9] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70: area [2] 31:20 25:20 53:13 between [9] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70: area [2] 31:20 25:20 53:13 between [9] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70: area [2] 31:20 25:20 53:13 between [9] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70: area [2] 31:20 25:20 53:13 between [9] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70: area [2] 31:20 25:13 61:19 43:16 53:25 9:10 bills [1] 42:15 bills [1] 42:16 bills [1] 42:16 bills [1] 42:16 bills [1] 42:17 ares [1] 42:12 4:14 alleged [1] 6:14 alleged [1] 6:14 area [1] 6:15 alleged [1] 6:14 area [1] 6:16 area [1]	41 [1] 69: 22			below [8] 7:25 8:25 12:5 13:10 14:		
52 agrees (1) 34:24 approaching (95:7: appropriate (2) 13:8 51:20 area (2) 31:20.21 benefit (3) 52:21,22 53:4 54 (7) 25:22 47:20 51:29,13,14.21 AL (2) 1:4,7 appropriate (2) 13:8 51:20 area (2) 31:20.21 best (3) 52:20 25:20 53:13 between (5) 41:51 60:22 5:20 50:13 56 (10 25:23 38: 47,10 39:22 45:12,23 46:11 52:3,12 53:21 85:46;20 357:51,12 52:3,12 53:21 85:46;20 357:51,12 52:3,12 53:21 85:46;20 357:51,12 52:3,12 53:21 85:46;20 357:51,12 52:3,12 53:21 85:16,12 39:17,23 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:9:12 22:24 23:43 arcs (1) 49:6 border (1) 65:1 allow (1) 47:15 19:22 22:24 23:43 arcs (1) 49:6 border (1) 65:1 allow (1) 47:15 19:22 22:4 23:43 arcs (1) 45:8 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 all		,		3 22 :9 31 :5 51 :25		
A1 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>benefit [3] 52:21,22 53:4</td></td<>		-		benefit [3] 52:21,22 53:4		
34 (1) 23:22 47:20 47:2		-		best [3] 5:20 25:20 53:13		
54(0 22:18 24:5) ALITO (28) 36:16,19 37:10,16,23 aren't (0.15:16 23 59 (0 25:23 52:3,12 53:2,18 54:6,23 57:5,18, arguemit (0.11:4 2:2,5,9,12 3:4, 61:14, 53:25) beyond (0.3:21 36:19 43:16 53:25) 59 (0 25:23 6 6 11:12:12 arguemit (0.11:4 2:2,5,9,12 3:4, 61:14, 15:16) beyond (0.3:21 36:19 43:16 53:25) 6 (0 64:9) 6 11:12:12 allegation (0.41:8) 15:16 66:10 arguement (0.11:14 2:2,5,9,12 3:4, 16:15) bills (0.45:15) 6 (1 0 52:23 allegation (0.41:8) 15:16 66:10 arise (0.22:14) billeg (0.2:14) billeg (0.2:14) billeg (0.5:14) arise (0.22:11 23:12) bill (0.41:16) bill (0.41:12) 7 79 (0.16:10) 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 arise (0.22:12 23:26) aroun (0.72:12) booke (0.16:14) booke (0.16:14) booke (0.16:16) booke (0.1				between [5] 4:15 10:21 19:3,9 70:		
36: 012:23 38:47,10 39:22 45:12,23 46:11 argue (034:7) beyond (03:21 36:19 43:16 53:25 59: 0125:23 56: 24,61,8,24 70:7 arguments (01:14 2:2,5,9,12 3:4, 68:10 beyond (03:21 36:19 43:16 53:25 6 10: 64:9 alive (01:64:21 a1ito's (0:64:21 atise (0:2):14 bits (0:2):12 (2:2) 7 allegation (0:41:8 arise (0:2):16 bits (0:2):12 (2:2) bits (0:2):12 (2:2) 7 alleget (0:6:14 alleget (0:6:14 arise (0:2):16 bits (0:2):12 (2:2) 7 alleget (0:6:14 alleget (0:6:14 around (0:7):12 books (0:4):05:7 9 (0:16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 arrest (0:45:8 books (0:4):01:22 a.m (0:11:15 3:2:72:25 allow (0:11:15 16 3:2:22 2:2:2 4:23:13) arrest (0:45:8 books (0:4):01:22 allow (0:11:15 16 3:2:2 2:2:2 2:2:3 56:16 aside (0:2):13 50:16 aside (0:2):14:10 books (0:4):01:12 allow (0:11:15 3:2:72:25 allow (0:11:16:16 aside (0:2):14:10 books (0:4):12:12 books (0:4):12:12 abandoned (0:15:15 allow (0:11:16:16 assertions (0:2:11:15:10:12) books (0:4):12:12 books (0:4):12:12 boief (0:2:0:16:16 absolute (0:13:2:16:13:3:12:13:12:12:12:12) <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>23</td></t<>		-		23		
57 (125:23 52:3,12 53:2,18 54:6,23 57:5,18, 25 62:4,6,18,24 70:7 argument [16] 1:14 22:2,5,9,12 3:4, 69:10 69:10 6 1164:9 alive [3] 15:10 29:17,23 argument [16] 1:14 22:2,5, 9,12 3:4, 15 69:10 61 10 25:23 alive [3] 15:10 29:17,23 aliggation [1] 41:8 15,16 68:10 bills [1] 40:15 7 aligge [1] 6:20 41:17 46:2,4 aligge [1] 6:14 arise [1] 29:16 bick [2] 27:17 62:21 81 07:7 62:21 aligge [1] 6:12 41:17 46:2,4 aligge [1] 6:14 arrse [1] 45:8 books [1] 47:7 62:21 9 [1] 16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 arrse [1] 45:8 arround [1] 72:12 books [1] 69:10 9 [1] 16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:15 allowig [3] 9:23 47:15 assertions [1] 7:11 break [2] 28:25 36:20 break [2] 28:26 36:20 break [2] 28:26 36:20				beyond [5] 3:21 36:19 43:16 53:25		
59 (1723:23 25 62:4,6,18,24 70:7 8 15:7,8 16:16 21:20 34:1 61:14, bills [1146:15] 6 (1) 64:9 alive [8] 15:10 29:17,23 allegation (1) 41:8 15;16 68:10 arise [129:16] binds [212:19 24:7] 6 (1) 25:23 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:8 arise [129:16] binds [212:19 24:7] binds [212:19 24:7] 7 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:14 arise [129:16] books (10 5:7) books (218:15,16] 7 allegation (1) 52:12 allegation (1) 51:12 52:22:22:24 23:13] around (1) 72:12 books (10 63:1) books (218:15,16] 10 w (10) 117:13 19:22 22:24 23:13 24:1 28:23 34:8.12 47:23 50:17 artse (1) 21:0:44:8:3 50:16 51:1 both (1) 41:12 12:15 25:25 26:20 39:11 ability [9] 3:21 22:6 56:14 allow (10) 17:13 allow (2) 27:4,14 articul 10:4:25 22:21 23:3 26: 26:20 39:11 ability [9] 3:21 22:6 56:14 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 asserted (1) 35:6 58:16,18,22 60:16 allow (10) 11:13 allusion (1) 45:13 asserted (1) 35:6 58:16,18,22 60:16 brief (1) 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 ability [9] 3:21 42:16 43:22 44:13 allusion (1) 45:1 asserted (1) 35:6 58:16,18,22 60:16 brief (1) 20:20 26:13 27:7,7				69: 10		
6 Alito's (1) 64:9 Is,16 68:10 binds (2) 22:00 6 (1) 64:9 allegation (1) 41:8 arguments (1) 13:20 binds (2) 22:19 24:7 6 (1) 25:23 allegations (2) 41:9,11 45:14 arguments (1) 13:20 binds (2) 22:19 24:7 6 (1) 22:20 allegations (2) 41:9,11 45:14 arise (1) 29:16 bicked (1) 5:7 6 (1) 21:23 allegations (2) 41:9,11 45:14 arise (2) 28:11 29:12 books (1) 40:22 7 (2) 9:19 71:23 alleged (1) 6:14 arrow (1) 42:2 22:4,5 35:18 books (2) 8:15,16 9 (1) 16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 srees (1) 49:6 border (1) 63:1 9 (1) 16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 srees (1) 45:8 border (1) 63:1 allowed (1) 52:15 allowed (2) 9:23 47:15 arrest (1) 45:8 border (1) 64:13 border (1) 64:5 able (2) 35:4 54:20,21 allusion (1) 45:3 assertion (1) 35:6 ssite (1) 20:20 6:13 27:7,7 absolute (1) 32:9 Absolute (1) 32:9 allusion (1) 45:3 assertion (1) 20:20 6:13 27:7,7 brief (1) 20:11 47:3 allusion (1) 45:3 assertion (1) 21:1 brief (1) 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute (1) 32:14		25 62: 4,6,18,24 70: 7				
61 (1) 25:23 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:8 arise (1) 29:16 bit (2) 47:7 62:21 7 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:8 arise (1) 29:16 blocked (1) 5:7 7 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:8 arise (1) 29:16 blocked (1) 5:7 7 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:8 arise (1) 29:16 book (1) 40:22 7 allegation (1) 41:8 allegation (1) 41:8 arise (1) 29:16 blocked (1) 5:7 9 (1) 16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 around (1) 72:12 book (1) 49:13 a.m (3) 1:15 3:2 72:25 allowed (3) 18:22 26:3 56:16 allowing (2) 27:4,14 articulated (1) 64:5 both (7) 4:13,71 11:1 21:15 25:25 able (3) 35:4 54:20,21 allowing (2) 27:4,14 allusion (1) 45:3 asset (1) 35:6 brief (1) 15:4,6,23 20:6,9,25 able (3) 35:4 54:20,21 allusion (1) 45:3 asset (1) 35:6 asset (1) 35:6 brief (1) 26:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute (1) 32:9 allowing (1) 71:1 athough (1) 13:11 assess (2) 41:10 46:24 brief (1) 26:10 accept (2) (1) 14:13 allowing (1) 31:14 accept (2) 19:16:16 asset (1) 10:13 asset (1) 10:13 broad (1) 35:25	6	Alito's [1] 64:21				
61 (1) 25:23 68 (1) 2:14 allegation (1) 41:8 allegations (2) 41:9,11 45:14 allegations (2) 41:17 46:2,4 allegations (2) 41:17 46:2,4 allowing (2) 41:12 45:2 52:21 23:3 26: allowing (2) 9:23 47:15 allowing (2) 9:14 accept (2) 10:11 47:3 accept (2) 10:14 47:3 accept	6 [1] 64 :9	alive [3] 15:10 29:17,23	arguments [1] 13:20			
68 (1) 2:14 allegations (3) 41:9,11 45:14 allege (4) 6:20 41:17 46:2,4 allege (4) 6:14 arises (2) 28:11 29:12 arose (1) 49:6 around (1) 72:12 blocked (1) 5:7 book (1) 40:22 7 (3) 9:19 71:23 79 (1) 16:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 around (1) 72:12 around (1) 72:12 books (2) 8:15,16 booths (2) 8:15,16 a.m (3) 1:15 3:2 72:25 abandoned (1) 52:15 ability (9) 3:21 22:6 56:14 able (9) 33:4 54:20,21 above-entitled (1) 1:13 absolute (1) 32:9 allow (19 18:22 26:3 56:16 allows (12) 27:4,14 articulated (1) 64:5 aside (2) 9:16,18 both (7) 4:13,17 11:1 21:15 25:25 26:20 39:11 above-entitled (1) 1:13 absolute (1) 32:9 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 21:9 assertions (1) 27:11 almost (1) 21:9 brief (4) 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 allow (1) 12:1:9 absolute (1) 32:9 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 45:3 allusion (1) 12:1:9 assertions (1) 27:11 assessing (1) 49:12 assessing (1	61 [1] 25: 23	allegation [1] 41:8				
7 allege [4] 6:20 41:17 46:2,4 alleged [1] 6:14 alleged [1] 6:14 alleged [1] 6:14 allow [1] 9:19 71:23 79 [1] 16:10 arms [4] 4:2 22:4,5 35:18 arose [1] 49:6 arose [1] 49:12 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 articulated [1] 44:12 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 articulated [1] 49:12 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 articulated [1] 49:12 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 briefs [1] 52:17 briefs [1] 52:17 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 broad [1] 35:25 asront [1] 62:25 asront [1] 62:25 asront [1] 62:25 asront [1] 62:3 69:11 attend [2] 26:3 69:11 atte		allegations [3] 41:9,11 45:14	arises [2] 28:11 29:12			
7 [2] 9:19 71:23 alleged [1] 6:14 allow [1] 49:6 border [1] 49:6 7 [2] 9:19 71:23 allow [1] 17:15 19:22 22:24 23:13 around [1] 72:12 border [1] 6:1,6] A allow [1] 17:15 19:22 22:24 23:13 around [1] 72:12 border [1] 6:1,6] A allow [1] 17:15 19:22 22:24 23:13 around [1] 72:12 border [1] 6:1,6] A allow [1] 17:15 19:22 22:24 23:13 around [1] 72:12 border [1] 6:1,6] a.m [3] 1:15 3:2 72:25 allows [2] 9:23 47:15 aside [2] 9:16,18 break [1] 28:25 36:20 able [3] 35:2 4 54:20,21 allows [2] 27:4,14 alluded [1] 45:3 asserted [1] 35:6 asserted [1] 35:6 breaks [1] 28:14 BREYER [10] 15:4,6,23 20:6,9,25 58:16,18,22 60:16 absolute [1] 32:9 Absolute [1] 32:9 allows [1] 68:21 assertions [1] 29:12 asserts [1] 49:12 assertions [1] 29:12 brief [1] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 almost [1] 13:13 accepting [1] 15:1 accepting [1] 15:1 accepting [1] 49:3 assertions [1] 29:12 briefs [1] 39:22 briefs [1] 39:22 briefs [1] 35:26 Broox [1] 62:10		allege [4] 6:20 41:17 46:2,4	arms [4] 4:2 22:4,5 35:18			
A allow (i) 17.15 is.22 22:24 25.15 allow (i) 17.12 borders (i) 69:10 24:1 28:23 34:8,12 47:23 50:17 arrest (i) 45:8 Article (ii) 10:4,25 22:21 23:3 26: borders (i) 69:10 a.m (ii) 11:15 3:27 72:25 allowed (ii) 18:22 26:3 56:16 allowed (ii) 18:22 26:3 56:16 arrest (i) 45:8 Article (ii) 10:4,25 22:21 23:3 26: borders (ii) 69:10 billity (ii) 3:21 22:6 56:14 allowed (ii) 18:22 26:3 56:16 allowed (ii) 18:22 26:3 56:16 arrest (ii) 45:8 Article (ii) 64:5 break (ii) 36:14 able (ii) 32:4 22:6 56:14 allowis (ii) 27:4,14 allowis (ii) 27:4,14 asserted (ii) 56:6 asserted (ii) 56:6 breaks (ii) 36:14 able (ii) 32:9 Absolute (ii) 32:9 allowis (ii) 27:9 asserted (ii) 35:6 ssertions (ii) 27:11 breaks (ii) 36:16 allowis (ii) 21:9 allowis (ii) 21:9 assessig (ii) 49:12 assessig (ii) 49:12 brief (ii) 26:10 accept (ii) 16:13 arend (ii) 13:13 amend (ii) 13:13 assertions (ii) 99:6 21:13 57:22 briefs (ii) 52:17 briefs (ii) 52:17 accord (ii) 49:3 accord (ii) 49:3 accord (ii) 49:3 arend (ii) 13:13 asymmetry (ii) 31:16 Brooklyn (ii) 61:8 brood (ii) 35:25 accord (ii) 49:3 accord (ii) 49:3 <td></td> <td>alleged [1] 6:14</td> <td>arose [1] 49:6</td> <td>,</td>		alleged [1] 6:14	arose [1] 49:6	,		
A 24:1 22:23 34:6, 12 47:23 50:17 antist (1) 43:3 antist (1) 43:3 both [7] 4:13,17 11:1 21:15 25:25 a.m [3] 1:15 3:2 72:25 abandoned (1) 52:15 ablowing [2] 9:23 47:15 Article (12] 10:4,25 22:21 23:3 26: both [7] 4:13,17 11:1 21:15 25:25 abandoned (1) 52:15 ablowing [2] 9:23 47:15 allowing [2] 9:23 47:15 22 68:5 articulated (1) 64:5 allowing [2] 9:23 47:15 aside [2] 9:16,18 asserted (1) 56:14 allued (1) 41:16 asserted (1) 35:6 asserted (1) 35:6 brief (4) 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 brief (4) 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 brief (4) 26:10 brief (4) 26:10 brief (4) 26:10 Briefly (1) 21:2 brief (1) 26:10 Briefly (1) 21:2 brief (1) 26:10 Briefly (1) 21:2 briefs (1) 52:17 brief (1) 26:10 Briefly (1) 21:2 briefs (1) 52:17 brief (1) 26:10 Briefly (1) 21:2 briefs (1) 52:17 brief (1) 26:10 Briefly (1) 21:2 briefs (1) 52:17 briefs (1) 52:17 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 broad (1) 35:25 Bronx (1) 62:25 Bronx (1) 62:25 Bronx (1) 62:25 Bronx (1) 62:25 Brooklyn (1) 61:8 broad (1) 35:25 Brooklyn (1) 18:12 broad (1) 35:25 Brooklyn (1) 18:12 broad (1) 35:25 Brooklyn (1) 18:12 broad (1) 18:12 Brooklyn (1) 18:12 Brooklyn (1) 18:12 B		allow [13] 17:15 19:22 22:24 23:13	around [1] 72:12			
A Difference	79 [1] 16 :10	24: 1 28: 23 34: 8,12 47: 23 50: 17				
a.m [3] 1:15 3:2 72:25 allowed [3] 18:22 26:3 56:16 23 35:9 38:22 40:5 48:3 50:16 51: 26:20 39:11 a.m [3] 1:15 3:2 72:25 allowing [2] 9:23 47:15 22 68:5 break [2] 28:25 36:20 ability [3] 3:21 22:6 56:14 allows [2] 27:4,14 articulated [1] 64:5 breaks [1] 36:14 above-entitled [1] 1:13 allowing [2] 9:23 47:15 asserted [1] 35:6 breaks [1] 36:14 above-entitled [1] 1:13 allusion [1] 45:3 asserted [1] 35:6 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute [1] 32:9 alloog [1] 21:9 assertions [1] 27:11 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 alloog [1] 16:16 assessing [1] 49:12 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 alloog [1] 16:16 assessing [1] 49:12 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 alloog [1] 16:16 assessing [1] 49:12 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 alloog [1] 16:16 assessing [1] 49:12 briefs [1] 52:17 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 broad [1] 35:25 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 accepting [1] 15:1 accompanying [1] 3:14 accompanying [1] 3:14 assume [1] 10:3 accord [1] 49:3 amendment [29] 3:20 4:1,3 20:7, attack [1] 17:16 brooklyn [1] 61:8 accord [1] 49:3 fi 25:11 38:12	A			-		
abandoned (1) 52:15 allowing [2] 9:23 47:15 22 68:5 broaks (1) 36:14 ability [3] 3:21 22:6 56:14 allows [2] 27:4,14 articulated [1] 64:5 breaks (1) 36:14 able [3] 35:4 54:20,21 alluded [1] 41:16 aside [2] 9:16,18 breaks (1) 36:14 above-entitled [1] 1:13 allusion [1] 45:3 asserted [1] 35:6 brief (4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute [1] 32:9 almost [1] 21:9 asserts [2] 41:10 46:24 brief (4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 Absolutely [3] 42:16 43:22 44:13 allough [1] 13:11 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 brief (1] 26:10 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 alnost [1] 21:9 assessing [1] 49:12 briefs [1] 52:17 altough [1] 13:14 accept [1] 64:13 assure [1] 10:3 briefs [1] 52:17 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 amended [1] 47:23 assure [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 accords [1] 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attack [1] 17:16 brought [1] 18:12 accords [1] 53:7 14,15 36:22 53:3,8,20 54:12,17 attach [2] 26:3 69:11 burdened [1] 60:14 burdened [1] 60:14 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdened [1] 60:14						
ability [3] 3:21 22:6 56:14 allows [2] 27:4,14 articulated [1] 64:5 brows [2] 27:4,14 able [3] 35:4 54:20,21 alluded [1] 41:16 aside [2] 9:16,18 brows [2] 20:10,18 above-entitled [1] 1:13 allusion [1] 45:3 asserted [1] 35:6 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute [1] 32:9 allusions [1] 68:21 assertions [1] 27:11 assertions [1] 27:11 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 Absolutely [3] 42:16 43:22 44:13 allusions [1] 68:21 assertions [1] 27:11 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 alone [1] 16:16 alone [1] 16:16 assessing [1] 49:12 assessing [1] 49:12 briefs [1] 26:10 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although [1] 13:11 assessing [1] 49:12 assessing [1] 49:12 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 accepting [1] 15:1 accept [4] 50:19 51:4,5,6 assure [1] 10:3 assure [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended [1] 47:23 assure [1] 10:3 asymmetry [1] 31:16 broad [1] 35:25 accords [1] 49:3 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attacking [1] 17:16 brought [1] 18:12 brought [1] 18:12 accords [1] 49:3 5:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21						
able [3] 35:4 54:20,21 allucid (1) 41:16 astue [2] 9:10,18 58:16,18,22 60:16 above-entitled [1] 1:13 allusion (1] 45:3 asserted (1] 35:6 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute [1] 32:9 almost (1] 21:9 assertions (1] 27:11 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 Absolutely [3] 42:16 43:22 44:13 almost (1] 16:16 assessing (1] 49:12 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although (1] 13:11 assessing (1] 49:12 briefs (1] 25:17 accepting [1] 15:1 although (1] 13:13 assure (1] 10:3 assure (1] 10:3 accompanying (1] 3:14 amended (1] 47:23 assure (1] 17:16 broak (1] 35:25 accord (1] 49:3 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attack (1] 17:16 Brooklyn (1] 61:8 accords (1] 49:3 14,15 36:22 53:3,8,20 54:12,17 attacking (1] 17:14 brought (1] 18:12 account (1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention (1] 44:21 burdene (1] 60:14						
above-entitled [1] 1:13 allusion [1] 45:3 asserted [1] 35:0 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 absolute [1] 32:9 allusions [1] 68:21 assertions [1] 27:11 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 Absolutely [3] 42:16 43:22 44:13 alone [1] 16:16 assertions [1] 27:11 brief [4] 20:20 26:13 27:7,7 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 alone [1] 16:16 assessing [1] 49:12 briefs [1] 26:10 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although [1] 13:11 assessing [1] 49:12 briefs [1] 52:17 accepting [1] 15:1 alvarez [4] 50:19 51:4,5,6 assure [1] 10:3 assure [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended [1] 47:23 assure [1] 10:3 asymmetry [1] 31:16 Brooklyn [1] 61:8 accord [1] 49:3 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attack [1] 17:16 Brooklyn [1] 61:8 brought [1] 18:12 account [1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdene [1] 60:14						
absolute (1) 32:9 almustoris (1) 66:21 assertions (1) 27:11 briefed (1) 26:10 Absolutely (3) 42:16 43:22 44:13 almost (1) 21:9 assess (2) 41:10 46:24 Briefly (1) 26:10 accept (2) 10:11 47:3 alone (1) 16:16 assessing (1) 49:12 briefed (1) 26:10 accepted (1) 64:13 although (1) 13:11 assessment (3) 9:6 21:13 57:22 briefs (1) 52:17 accepting (1) 15:1 accept (2) 63:8,8 69:23 70:1 amend (1) 13:13 assure (1) 10:3 broad (1) 35:25 accompanying (1) 3:14 accord (1) 49:3 amended (1) 47:23 asymmetry (1) 31:16 Brooklyn (1) 62:25 accord (1) 49:3 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attack (1) 17:16 Brooklyn (1) 61:8 account (1) 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention (1) 44:21 burdene (1) 60:14						
Absolutely [3] 42:16 43:22 44:13 almost [1] 21:9 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 binord [1] 21:2 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 alone [1] 16:16 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 Briefly [1] 21:2 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although [1] 13:11 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 Briefly [1] 21:2 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although [1] 13:11 assess [2] 41:10 46:24 Briefly [1] 21:2 accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although [1] 13:13 assess [2] 41:10 10:3 briefly [1] 21:2 accept [3] 15:1 amend [1] 13:13 assument [3] 9:6 21:13 57:22 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended [1] 47:23 assument [1] 13:16 asymmetry [1] 31:16 accord [1] 49:3 accords [1] 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attack [1] 17:16 Brooklyn [1] 61:8 account [1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdene [1] 60:14				,		
accept [2] 10:11 47:3 although [1] 10:16 assessing [1] 49:12 briefs [1] 52:17 accepted [1] 64:13 although [1] 13:11 assessment [3] 9:6 21:13 57:22 briefs [1] 52:17 accepting [1] 15:1 altored [1] 13:13 assessment [3] 9:6 21:13 57:22 briefs [1] 52:17 accepting [1] 15:1 amend [1] 13:13 assessment [3] 9:6 21:13 57:22 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 access [4] 63:8,8 69:23 70:1 amend [1] 13:13 assume [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended [1] 47:23 asymmetry [1] 31:16 Bronk [1] 62:25 accord [1] 49:3 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attack [1] 17:16 Brought [1] 18:12 accords [1] 53:7 14,15 36:22 53:3,8,20 54:12,17 attention [1] 44:21 burden [2] 55:11 58:14 burdene [2] 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdened [1] 60:14		-				
accepted [1] 64:13 although [1] 13:11 assessment [3] 9:5 21:13 57:22 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 accepting [1] 15:1 Alvarez [4] 50:19 51:4,5,6 assument [1] 10:3 bring [3] 44:20 48:18 55:16 access [4] 63:8,8 69:23 70:1 amende [1] 13:13 assument [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended [1] 47:23 asymmetry [1] 31:16 Bronk [1] 62:25 accord [1] 49:3 amendment [29] 3:20 4:1,3 20:7, attack [1] 17:16 Brooklyn [1] 61:8 accords [1] 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attacking [1] 17:14 bruden [2] 55:11 58:14 account [1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdened [1] 60:14			-	-		
accepting [1] 15:1 anend [1] 13:13 assure [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 acceps [4] 63:8,8 69:23 70:1 amend [1] 13:13 assure [1] 10:3 broad [1] 35:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended [1] 47:23 asymmetry [1] 31:16 Bronk [1] 62:25 accord [1] 49:3 attack [1] 17:16 Brooklyn [1] 61:8 accords [1] 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attacking [1] 17:14 brought [1] 18:12 account [1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdene [1] 60:14		-				
access [4] 63:8,8 69:23 70:1 amended (1) 43:13 assure (1) 10:3 Bronx (1) 62:25 accompanying [1] 3:14 amended (1) 47:23 asymmetry [1] 31:16 Bronx (1) 62:25 accord (1) 49:3 Amendment [29] 3:20 4:1,3 20:7, attack (1) 17:16 Brought (1) 18:12 accords (1) 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, attacking (1) 17:14 brought (1) 18:12 account (1) 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention (1) 44:21 burdene (1) 60:14				-		
accompanying [1] 3:14 Amendment [29] 3:20 4:1,3 20:7, 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, accords [1] 53:7 attack [1] 17:16 Brooklyn [1] 61:8 account [1] 6:5 14,15 36:22 53:3,8,20 54:12,17 attention [1] 26:3 69:11 burden [2] 55:11 58:14 account [1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burden [2] 60:14						
accord [1] 49:3 Affertument (29) 5:20 4: 1; 5 20.7; accords [1] 53:7 attack (1) 17:16 brought [1] 18:12 accords [1] 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, 14,15 36:22 53:3,8,20 54:12,17 attacking [1] 17:14 burden [2] 55:11 58:14 account [1] 6:5 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdend [1] 60:14						
accords [1] 53:7 14 25:11 31:21 32:3,8,15 33:13, 14,15 36:22 53:3,8,20 54:12,17 attacking [1] 17:14 birdeg [1] 25:11 birdeg account [1] 6:5 14,15 36:22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burden [2] 55:11 birdeg						
account [1] 6:5 5:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21 burdened [1] 60:14						
acknowledges [1] 36:12 55:3,4,22 57:3,7,10 58:11,15 69:1 attention [1] 44:21	account [1] 6:5					
	acknowledges [1] 36:12					
	-	1.4	autionzeu [1] 54:1			

Official				
buy [1] 59:12	12,14,23 45:17 46:12,14 47:13 52:	60 :14	crafted [4] 48:21,22 49:1 70:11	
C	5 53: 1,19,21,24 54: 2,2 56: 22,25	confident [1] 62:2	create [1] 71:9	
	62:10,22 63:13,15 64:2 69:11 71:	confirm [1] 45:24	created [1] 31:15	
call [1] 28:10	6,17,22 72: 11	confirms [1] 45:20	credits [1] 27:11	
called [1] 18:18	City's [17] 3:13,15 4:6 20:10 23:1,9,	confronts [1] 21:8	cup [6] 9:13 28:25 65:11,12,12,22	
came [2] 1:13 63:16	20 27:2,21 36:6,13 42:4,10 64:22	Congress [2] 4:8 16:25	curiae [3] 1:22 2:8 21:21	
cannot [1] 66:13	69 :2 71 :2 72 :20	consequences [8] 27:2 40:11 41:	current [6] 7:19,24 8:2 36:18 64:	
car [2] 9:14 61:3	claim [13] 35:5 44:25 45:15 48:8,9,	13 42: 3,5,13 44: 16 45: 1	20 68:18	
care [2] 31:25 37:2	10 50: 15,18 64: 1,3,4,7 72: 9	consider ^[4] 27:4,14 48:24 53:6	curtilage [1] 3:22	
careful [3] 7:15 32:1 42:25	clarity ^[2] 68:24,24	consideration [1] 11:12		
carefully [2] 48:21,22	classic [1] 29:20	considered [2] 66:9 67:3	D	
carry [21] 5:12,13 17:13,15,16,17,	clear [6] 3:13 4:3 9:22 24:6 37:20	consistent [6] 20:13 50:8 51:24	D.C [3] 1 :10,18,21	
18,22,23 18: 11,19,25 22: 7 33: 6	65 :20	52 :10 53 :20 55 :3	damages ^[40] 12:25 13:2,3,5,6,9,	
35 :24 52 :19 63 :20,24 64 :2 70 :9,	clearest [2] 49:20 50:19	consistently [1] 49:3	11,19,22 14:1,3 22:12 23:7,13 24:	
13	clearly ^[3] 47:17 59:7 64:8	constituency [1] 46:20	12,17,18,19,22 25: 1,7,15,19 26: 15	
carrying 5 18:1,3,11,13 35:23	CLEMENT [56] 1:18 2:3,13 3:7,8,	constitute [1] 11:14	15,24 35: 5 41: 3,7,9 46: 1,2 47: 9,	
Case [72] 3:4 4:25 5:11 8:8,10 9:	10 5: 7,15 6: 11,16 7: 4,5,7,10,14 8:	constitutional ^[8] 5:4 22:11 31:	16,17,24 49: 13 50: 4 72: 8,18	
21 10: 16,20,24 13: 18,21 14: 4,5,18,			days [1] 70:9	
23,24 15:10 16:7,20 18:7 21:9 23:	5,9,23 9: 1,17 10: 15 11: 16 12: 2,8,	21 32:2 35:3 47:5 57:17 66:18	dealt [1] 40:6	
2,14,16,17,25 24: 22 26: 10,16,22	21 13 :7,15,25 14 :6 15 :5,20,25 17 :	constitutionality [1] 21:13	DEARING [71] 1:24 2:10 33:23 34	
27 :9 29 :23 31 :7,22 33 :17 34 :6,15	10,19 18 :4,15 20 :7 21 :4 23 :15 24 :	constraints [1] 47:5	1,3 36: 18 37: 5,14,19 38: 1,5,8,21	
35 :10,12 36 :1 37 :22 38 :11 40 :1	21,24 27 :18 31 :15 41 :14 63 :23 68 :		39: 8,24 40: 20 41: 4 42: 6,11,16,21	
41:2 45: 6,18,21 46: 8 48: 3,8,10,18,	9,10,12 69 :3,4,17 70 :4,17,20 71 :8,	consulted [1] 44:14	43: 4,8,21 44: 6,13 45: 19 46: 9,14	
	15	consulting [1] 58:8		
25 49 :3,11,19 50 :7,16,18,20,24 51 :	Clement's [2] 28:5,7	container [1] 33:7	47: 25 48: 7 49: 14,23 50: 6,13 51: 4	
13 54 :16 63 :21 64 :3,4,14 68 :2,16	client [1] 72:3	contemplated [1] 59:22	9,12 52: 3,7,16 53: 5,23 54: 15 55: 7	
72 :12,24,25	clients [10] 6:24 15:12 16:4 17:18,	contempt [1] 16:3	57 :13,19 58 :4,17,21,24 59 :2,19	
case's [1] 35:12	20 18: 11,16,24 24: 25 72: 15	contiguous [2] 9:10 12:5	61:15 62:5,16,20 63:5 64:17,24	
cases [7] 3:25 14:10,20 49:24 57:	close [2] 28:9 61:20	continue [1] 15:3	65: 4,7,9,15,19,25 66: 5,23 67: 12,	
20 59: 6,8	closing [1] 40:22	continuing [4] 5:2 10:15,17 51:16	19,23	
categorically [1] 51:18	coffee [15] 7:21 9:13 10:2 15:12	continuous [16] 3:19 6:8 7:21 9:	decade [1] 51:5	
categories [3] 33:14 39:4 40:7	28:8,25 36:4,13,19 40:12 65:11,	24,25 10:7 11:5,20 12:6,12 28:7	December [1] 1:11	
category [1] 33:9	12,22 66: 23,25	29 :11 36 :10 64 :19 66 :22 71 :25	decide [2] 49:16,17	
cause [2] 34:21 48:23	coffee's [1] 66:22	contrary [4] 4:8 19:24 33:18 34:5	decided [7] 7:25 11:1 21:15 23:25	
central [1] 60:21	collateral [3] 42:3,4,13	controlling [2] 66:6,9	31:22 36:25 45:17	
cert [1] 26:11	colleague [1] 14:8	controversy ^[15] 10:25 15:22 28:	decision [4] 11:10 46:18 48:9,10	
certain [7] 32:20,21,21 34:8 37:6	come [6] 14:17 23:18,23 26:21 27:	10,12 29: 5,10 31: 2,5 50: 16 51: 16	decisions [5] 6:6 12:22 44:7 46:	
54 :21 55 :16	3 66: 25	67: 8,8,14,25 68: 1	18 50 :9	
certainly [2] 26:19 41:17	comes [1] 27:18	core [1] 58:10	declaration [3] 5:23 25:9 38:12	
certiorari [5] 4:25 10:19 14:14,14	commissioner [2] 52:9 61:21	correct [6] 6:17 31:13 42:16 43:4	declaratory [3] 34:11 50:21 69:15	
45 :17	committed [1] 40:21	55:6 58: 25	deeper [1] 43:23	
cessation [1] 30:8			default [1] 22:19	
challenge [1] 18:13	common [1] 14:16	correctly [2] 42:1 58:19	defeat [1] 45:14	
challenged [3] 35:22 47:1 63:22	commonly [2] 22:3 33:12	costs [1] 26:4	defendant [3] 14:16 48:24 49:16	
challenging [1] 17:22	communicate [1] 44:15	counsel [7] 21:18 33:22 41:25 47:	defendants [1] 69:7	
change ^[3] 26:24 27:23 63:15	communicating [1] 44:10	2 64 :16 68 :8 72 :24	defending [1] 69:14	
changed [2] 5:19 8:18	compelled [1] 23:21	country [1] 17:6	defense [1] 64:11	
	competition [1] 69:12	County [1] 63:2	deferred [1] 48:13	
changes [4] 5:22 34:16,19 37:15	competitions [3] 26:3 56:24,25	couple [2] 14:19 37:1	deficient [1] 18:14	
changing [1] 23:2	complaint [32] 6:18 8:1,13 9:5,8	course [10] 4:23 5:23 45:4 46:19		
	11:22,25 13:4,8,13 25:18 26:9 40:	60:5 61:20 62:21 63:20 65:1 67:2	definition [2] 21:9 29:20	
CHIEF [19] 3:3,10 20:23 21:2,4,17,	1 41 :14,16,21 44 :1,4 45 :3,14 47 :	COURT [61] 1:1,14 3:11 4:17,24 5:	definitive [2] 66:12,13	
23 33 :21 34 :3 40 :17,24 43 :14 67 :	10,16,18,24 49: 2,4 50: 21,22 51: 24		DeFunis [1] 27:12	
20,22 68 :7,13 71 :10,13 72 :23	64: 1 69: 5,21	12:11 13:1 14:2,3,10,24,25 15:3	degree [1] 58:14	
chose [1] 48:18	completely [2] 8:12 60:8	20 :2 21 :8,15,24 22 :12,24 23 :5,6,	delighted [1] 71:16	
circle [1] 64:19		12,21 24: 1 25: 7,16 27: 10 28: 16	delta [3] 29:8 67:16 70:23	
Circuit [2] 26:11 68:18	comply [1] 72:16			
	comply [1] 72:16 comprehensive [1] 49:7		demand ଓ 48:25,25 56:19	
circumstance [1] 56:15	comprehensive [1] 49:7	29: 24 30: 11,22 31 :6,7,12 33: 12	demand [3] 48:25,25 56:19 demanded [3] 8:13 11:22,25	
circumstance [1] 56:15 circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5	comprehensive [1] 49:7 conceding [3] 54:7,12,16	29: 24 30: 11,22 31: 6,7,12 33: 12 34: 4 35: 2,8 42: 20,22 45: 4 47: 23		
	comprehensive [1] 49:7 conceding [3] 54:7,12,16 concern [2] 21:14 34:21	29: 24 30: 11,22 31: 6,7,12 33: 12 34: 4 35: 2,8 42: 20,22 45: 4 47: 23 48: 1 50: 2,17,25 51: 17,20 68: 5,25	demanded [3] 8:13 11:22,25	
circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5	comprehensive [1] 49:7 conceding [3] 54:7,12,16 concern [2] 21:14 34:21 concerned [1] 21:12	29: 24 30: 11,22 31: 6,7,12 33: 12 34: 4 35: 2,8 42: 20,22 45: 4 47: 23 48: 1 50: 2,17,25 51: 17,20 68: 5,25 70: 10 72: 13	demanded ^[3] 8:13 11:22,25 Demands ^[3] 48:20,23 49:15 democratic ^[1] 34:23	
circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5 cited [1] 36:11 citizen [1] 22:7	comprehensive [1] 49:7 conceding [3] 54:7,12,16 concern [2] 21:14 34:21 concerned [1] 21:12 conclude [1] 57:8	29:24 30:11,22 31:6,7,12 33:12 34:4 35:2,8 42:20,22 45:4 47:23 48:1 50:2,17,25 51:17,20 68:5,25 70:10 72:13 Court's [4] 3:25 13:21 49:23 51:15	demanded ^[3] 8:13 11:22,25 Demands ^[3] 48:20,23 49:15 democratic ^[1] 34:23 demonstration ^[1] 32:19	
circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5 cited [1] 36:11 citizen [1] 22:7 CITY [63] 1:7 3:6 4:13,23 5:7,9,18	comprehensive [1] 49:7 conceding [3] 54:7,12,16 concern [2] 21:14 34:21 concerned [1] 21:12 conclude [1] 57:8 conclusion [1] 56:14	29:24 30:11,22 31:6,7,12 33:12 34:4 35:2,8 42:20,22 45:4 47:23 48:1 50:2,17,25 51:17,20 68:5,25 70:10 72:13 Court's [4] 3:25 13:21 49:23 51:15 court-ordered [1] 16:14	demanded [3] 8:13 11:22,25 Demands [3] 48:20,23 49:15 democratic [1] 34:23 demonstration [1] 32:19 denied [3] 29:1,8,20	
circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5 cited [1] 36:11 citizen [1] 22:7 CITY [63] 1:7 3:6 4:13,23 5:7,9,18 6:4,21 7:3,8 8:17 9:11,23 10:9 15:	comprehensive (1) 49:7 conceding (3) 54:7,12,16 concern (2) 21:14 34:21 concerned (1) 21:12 conclude (1) 57:8 conclusion (1) 56:14 conclusively (2) 57:21 58:4	29:24 30:11,22 31:6,7,12 33:12 34:4 35:2,8 42:20,22 45:4 47:23 48:1 50:2,17,25 51:17,20 68:5,25 70:10 72:13 Court's [4] 3:25 13:21 49:23 51:15 court-ordered [1] 16:14 courts [13] 11:13 12:5 17:9 19:15,	demanded [3] 8:13 11:22,25 Demands [3] 48:20,23 49:15 democratic [1] 34:23 demonstration [1] 32:19 denied [3] 29:1,8,20 Department [7] 1:21 16:11 44:9,	
circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5 cited [1] 36:11 citizen [1] 22:7 CITY [63] 1:7 3:6 4:13,23 5:7,9,18 6:4,21 7:3,8 8:17 9:11,23 10:9 15: 11 16:9,11,16 18:17 20:13,16 26:	comprehensive (1) 49:7 conceding (3) 54:7,12,16 concern (2) 21:14 34:21 concerned (1) 21:12 conclude (1) 57:8 conclusion (1) 56:14 conclusively (2) 57:21 58:4 condemn (1) 22:2	29:24 30:11,22 31:6,7,12 33:12 34:4 35:2,8 42:20,22 45:4 47:23 48:1 50:2,17,25 51:17,20 68:5,25 70:10 72:13 Court's [4] 3:25 13:21 49:23 51:15 court-ordered [1] 16:14 courts [¹³] 11:13 12:5 17:9 19:15, 18,24 31:13 50:9 51:21,25 57:6	demanded [3] 8:13 11:22,25 Demands [3] 48:20,23 49:15 democratic [1] 34:23 demonstration [1] 32:19 denied [3] 29:1,8,20 Department [7] 1:21 16:11 44:9, 21 65:16,19 66:8	
circumstances [2] 54:14 55:5 cited [1] 36:11 citizen [1] 22:7 CITY [63] 1:7 3:6 4:13,23 5:7,9,18 6:4,21 7:3,8 8:17 9:11,23 10:9 15:	comprehensive (1) 49:7 conceding (3) 54:7,12,16 concern (2) 21:14 34:21 concerned (1) 21:12 conclude (1) 57:8 conclusion (1) 56:14 conclusively (2) 57:21 58:4	29:24 30:11,22 31:6,7,12 33:12 34:4 35:2,8 42:20,22 45:4 47:23 48:1 50:2,17,25 51:17,20 68:5,25 70:10 72:13 Court's [4] 3:25 13:21 49:23 51:15 court-ordered [1] 16:14 courts [13] 11:13 12:5 17:9 19:15,	demanded [3] 8:13 11:22,25 Demands [3] 48:20,23 49:15 democratic [1] 34:23 demonstration [1] 32:19 denied [3] 29:1,8,20 Department [7] 1:21 16:11 44:9,	

\sim	cc.	•	1
O_1	ffi	C18	al

depends [2] 41:7 65:16	en
Deputy [1] 1:20	en
despite [2] 29:19,21	en
destination [2] 39:4 40:7	en
destroyed [1] 58:10 detective [3] 61:17,18,18	en 25
detective's [1] 61:18	en
determine [2] 56:16 57:15	en
determined [5] 51:25 58:7 61:22	en
63: 15 66: 19	en
determining [2] 33:9 51:22	en
difference [2] 50:23,24	en
different [14] 8:21 16:7 19:5 27:13	13
30 :14,16 31 :4 32 :10 39 :13 43 :11	en
51 :10,12 60 :4,24	en
differently [1] 30:9 difficult [3] 59:21 60:17 63:18	en en
direct [6] 38:18 64:19 66:21 71:20,	en
21,25	en
directed [1] 56:5	24
directly [2] 23:18 59:4	en
directness [1] 39:25	eq
disagree [2] 25:4 50:2	eq
disagreed [1] 50:12	ES
discharged [3] 4:12,16 17:3 disclose [1] 45:7	es: es:
discretionary [1] 16:8	ET
dispute [11] 10:13,16,18,20,23 13:	ev
23 15: 18 29: 17 36: 5 40: 13 66: 17	48
disputed [2] 70:24,25	ev
distill [1] 56:12	ev
distinguishable [1] 24:9	ev
district [10] 5:21 12:11 22:12 28:	17
16 30 :11 47 :23 50 :2,25 51 :15,17	ev
division [1] 52:19 docket [2] 39:9,13	exa exa
doing [2] 8:19 61:5	ex
doubtful [2] 53:24 54:7	19
doubts [1] 29:15	ex
down [6] 22:4 31:8,18 38:2,6 67:	ex
15	ex
dropped [1] 72:12	ex
due [2] 12:13 13:16	ex
dues [1] 26:5	ex ex
E	ex
each [1] 14:24	ex
earlier [1] 42:1	ex
economic [3] 22:10 24:11 25:9	ex
effect [3] 6:15 11:11 40:23	ex
effective ^[5] 54:4,18 55:12,18,25 effectual ^[5] 16:2,5,19 23:5 72:7	ex
effectuate [1] 34:9	
effort [1] 10:20	fac
efforts [3] 5:1 14:22 29:22	fac
either [4] 13:3 14:7 18:25 62:23	63
embrace ^[1] 35:7	fac
emphasize [1] 19:13	fac
emphasized [1] 67:9	fai
enabling [1] 60:3 enact [1] 46:19	55 fai
enacted [1] 47:14	fal
enactment [4] 36:8 37:18 46:12	far
	1

d [3] 57:11 58:1 59:14 f force [1] 5:10 f forceable [2] 63:13 72:6 forced [1] 71:3 forcement [6] 6:3 36:6,13 64: 5 65:21 66:7 f forces [1] 16:3 f forcing [3] 6:13 39:18 69:9 f gage [2] 24:13 56:21 f gaged [1] 6:25 f joining [1] 69:7 f lough [6] 28:21 47:16 48:3,6 50: f 3,15 tail [1] 22:5 f tailed [1] 22:6 f tire [1] 64:14 f tirely [3] 36:14 40:13 72:12 f tities [1] 14:23 f titled [5] 5:17,23 6:1 16:18 27: f tries [1] 39:9 f ually [1] 48:16 uipment [1] 32:21 f **SQ** [6] **1**:18,24 **2**:3,6,10,13 sence [1] 58:10 sentially [1] 20:3 fi [2] **1:**4,7 en [9] 3:22 6:10 9:11 33:12 45:6 8:8 49:5 56:20 63:15 ent [3] 44:17,18,25 f rents [1] 45:11 rerything [6] 11:19,21 12:10 34: f 7 45:20.24 f vidence [2] 24:10 25:8 f act [1] 10:23 actly [4] 8:7 9:3 67:15 68:17 f ample [6] 41:2 43:17 49:20 50: f 9 58:7 67:4 f amples [1] 25:21 f cept [1] 30:6 f cuse [1] 50:3 f ercise [1] 64:11 f isting [1] 29:4 f plain [1] 23:21 f plained [1] 52:20 F press [1] 27:4 f pressed [1] 52:8 pressly [1] 27:14 f tensively [1] 56:8 f traordinary [1] 46:7 f tremely [1] 44:17 f f F f cilities [1] 56:17 ct [7] 30:4 43:19 49:5 50:8 51:2 f 3:16 65:25 cts [3] 24:8 27:13,20 ctual [2] 41:13 44:24 ir [7] 45:13 47:22 48:2,5,7 50:1 5:8 (irly [2] 31:11 56:8 Is [1] 33:9 r [2] 6:12 14:1 General's [1] 16:17

faulting [1] 48:17	getting [2] 14:13 18:24
federal [5] 22:17,18 24:5 51:3 68:	GINSBURG [13] 5:6,15
25	13:25 14:7 22:14,17 23
fee [1] 9:6	19 59: 10
feel [1] 13:18	give [5] 12:24 16:4 18:1
fees [1] 26:5	given [4] 8:12 12:14 34:
feigned [1] 40:13	giving [1] 66:7
felt [1] 23:21	GORSUCH [32] 28:20 2
few [4] 17:6,8 37:2 68:14	30:2,9,19,23 41:25 42:8
fight [4] 30:11,14 32:11,24	2 48:5 49:9,21,25 50:1
fighting [1] 28:15	64: 16,18 65: 2,5,8,11,23
filed [8] 19: 1 26: 9,9,11,13 44: 4 47:	67: 11,13
18 72: 11	got [7] 9:9 11:25 24:9 4
find [3] 31:16 50:3 61:9	15,18
finding [1] 40:25	gotten [6] 5:11,16 11:2
finer [1] 44 :24	68:23
finish [2] 20: 23,25	
-	governing [1] 36:7
fire [2] 5:14 57:3	government [5] 3:23 1/
firearm [7] 3:22 19:6 22:7 38:17	34:22 46:23
54 :13,24 69 :13	government's [1] 4:20
firearms [12] 3:16 4:7,9,12,14 5:2	governmental [2] 27:1
17 :1 18 :20 19 :3,8 26 :4 28 :23	governments [1] 47:4
firing [11] 6:22 11:24 15:13 36:24	governor [1] 46:17
38 :17 53 :22 54 :25 55 :2 61 :6 62 :	governs [1] 51:14
10,13	grace [2] 3:23 5:3
first [18] 3:4 24:4 31:21 32:3,8,15	grant [4] 14:15 22:22 23
33:4,13 34:15 36:2,5 41:15 46:9	granted [6] 4:24 14:14 3
53:6,13 56:4 57:14 68:14	45:17 46:8
fit [1] 57:23	great [1] 47:4
five [1] 12:14	ground [2] 4:10 17:15
five-plus [1] 47:11	guarantee [2] 10:6 16:2
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56:
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21:
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 § happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 Half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 § happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 § happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follows [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 <u>H</u> half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 § happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follows [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15 future [8] 6:3 7:8 12:18 27:2 35:4	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follows [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2 harms [2] 22:10 24:11
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 22:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15 future [8] 6:3 7:8 12:18 27:2 35:4 40:11 41:12 44:25	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56:: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2 harms [2] 22:10 24:11 hasten [1] 66:10
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15 future [8] 6:3 7:8 12:18 27:2 35:4 40:11 41:12 44:25 G	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2 harms [2] 22:10 24:11 hasten [1] 66:10 hear [4] 3:3 20:15,19 31
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15 future [8] 6:3 7:8 12:18 27:2 35:4 40:11 41:12 44:25	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2 harms [2] 22:10 24:11 hasten [1] 66:10 hear [4] 3:3 20:15,19 31 heard [2] 11:10 24:24
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follow [1] 45:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 52:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15 future [8] 6:3 7:8 12:18 27:2 35:4 40:11 41:12 44:25	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2 harms [2] 22:10 24:11 hasten [1] 66:10 hear [4] 3:3 20:15,19 31 heard [2] 11:10 24:24 heart [1] 63:25
focused [3] 24:12,16 38:23 focuses [1] 40:5 follows [1] 55:3 food [1] 67:1 forces [1] 56:15 foreclose [1] 30:3 foresworn [1] 7:8 form [3] 19:25 29:18 56:21 former [5] 27:16 38:3 57:1,1 59:22 forth [1] 25:18 forward [1] 22:11 fought [1] 24:14 Fourth [1] 33:14 framed [6] 9:8 34:6 39:3,7 47:10 64:8 framing [3] 34:14 35:12 64:14 free-standing [1] 33:2 friend [2] 36:11 68:20 friends [1] 12:15 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 full [3] 18:19 19:18 64:11 fully [1] 40:8 further [2] 41:1 70:15 future [8] 6:3 7:8 12:18 27:2 35:4 40:11 41:12 44:25	guess [3] 43:14 60:24 6 guidance [2] 16:12,13 gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18 19 43:2 55:13 59:12,13 8 69:11,23 70:9,14 guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 13 H half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:1 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56: handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 5 happened [12] 7:20 21: 44:20 46:21 50:8 52:2 0 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:2 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 0 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:2 harms [2] 22:10 24:11 hasten [1] 66:10 hear [4] 3:3 20:15,19 31 heard [2] 11:10 24:24

getting [2] 14:13 18:24
GINSBURG [13] 5:6,15 6:10,12,17
13:25 14:7 22:14,17 23:8,11 42:
19 59: 10
give [5] 12:24 16:4 18:19,19 31:17
given [4] 8:12 12:14 34:16 44:12
giving [1] 66:7
GORSUCH [32] 28:20 29:7,14,16
30: 2,9,19,23 41: 25 42: 8,12,18 47:
2 48:5 49:9,21,25 50:11 51:1,7,11
64: 16,18 65: 2,5,8,11,23 66: 2,20
67: 11,13
got [7] 9:9 11:25 24:9 45:20,24 68:
15,18
gotten [6] 5:11,16 11:21 12:9,10
68: 23
governing [1] 36:7
government [5] 3:23 14:22 32:17
34: 22 46: 23
government's [1] 4:20
governmental [2] 27:12 30:7
governments [1] 47:4
governor [1] 46:17
governs [1] 51:14
grace [2] 3:23 5:3
grant [4] 14:15 22:22 23:5 26:19
granted [6] 4:24 14:14 30:19,24
45: 17 46: 8
great [1] 47:4
ground [2] 4:10 17:15
guarantee [2] 10:6 16:2
guess [3] 43:14 60:24 67:20
guidance [2] 16:12,13
gun [17] 5:13 9:14,15 18:1 33:6 40:
19 43: 2 55: 13 59: 12,13 61: 3,5 62:
8 69: 11,23 70: 9,14
guns [5] 43:10 59:15,16 60:20 61:
13

н

half [1] 27:19 handgun [12] 4:18 20:12,18 34:9 54:5,24 55:6,12,18 56:1 60:4,7 handguns [1] 7:6 hands [2] 26:24 27:24 happen [4] 24:2 44:18 55:17 61:2 happened [12] 7:20 21:11 37:14 **44**:20 **46**:21 **50**:8 **52**:2 **60**:12 **61**: 14 70:21 72:10,21 happening [1] 62:1 happens [2] 12:6 63:6 happy [3] 13:10,17 24:25 hard [2] 15:2 28:13 harder [4] 52:25 61:11 62:1.7 harm [3] 25:9 26:2 29:25 harms [2] 22:10 24:11 hasten [1] 66:10 hear [4] 3:3 20:15,19 31:6 heard [2] 11:10 24:24 heart [1] 63:25 hearth [1] 64:12 heightened [1] 19:25 Heller [10] 4:17 19:19 31:12,25 32: 4 33:10,16 58:6,6,7

generally [1] 53:15

fault [1] 47:8

52:5

11

	Off	icial	
hence [1] 26:24	inconsistent [4] 3:24 7:1 10:12	23 22 :14,16 23 :8,11,23 24 :16 25 :	legislature [2] 46:10,17
herculean [1] 29:22	19 :16	12,17,24 26: 8,18 27: 6 28: 1,5,20	lengthy [1] 49:7
herring [1] 51:13	indeed [2] 14:12 34:18	29: 7,14,16 30: 2,9,19,23 31: 19 33:	lens [2] 55:24 64:17
higher [1] 26:7	indication ^[1] 56:18	3,21 34: 3 36: 16,19 37: 10,16,23	less [2] 52:4,13
historical [7] 4:5 16:22 19:11 33:	individual [3] 6:20 15:17 60:6	38 :4,7,10 39 :6,22 40 :17,24 41 :25	letter [5] 7:15 16:16 26:17 27:7,18
18 56:4 58:13 60:2	individuals [4] 42:14 45:5 60:3 63:	42 :8,12,18,19,24 43 :5,14 44 :5 45 :	level [2] 57:12 58:2
historically [4] 56:7 59:25 60:12,		12,23 46 :11 47 :2 48 :5 49 :9,21,25	levels [1] 36:4
19 history [29] 3:12,25 4:18 12:14 17:	initiated [1] 10:23 inject [1] 22:25	50:11 51:1,7,11 52:3,12 53:2,18 54:6,23 57:5,18,25 58:16,18,22	licences [1] 17:12 license [37] 3:14 17:12,13,14,17,
5 19 :17,19,21,23 20 :3 22 :1 31 :14,	injected [1] 26:15	59: 10 60: 16 62: 4,6,18,24 64: 16,	18 18 :18,25 19 :5 34 :7,8 35 :14,19,
16,23 32 :24 33 :11 51 :24 53 :8 56 :	injunction [22] 6:2,6 10:6,8,10 16:	18,20 65: 2,5,8,11,23 66: 2,20 67:	20,23,25 43 :19 52 :19,19 53 :14,17,
12 57 :8,9,14,15,20,25 58 :4 59 :3,6	3,14 24 :14 28 :15 38 :25 39 :3,7,12,	11,13,21,22 68: 7,13,15 69: 3,5,17	22 54: 1,17,20 55: 10,21,23 56: 13
60:25	18 68: 3,16,19 69: 9,19 70: 11 71: 3	70:3,6,7,19 71:7,9,10,12,13 72:23	57: 2 63: 21,22,24 64: 3,6,7,13
hold [1] 47:21	72: 5	justification [2] 52:13 57:23	licensed [4] 20:11,17 34:9 69:13
holder [1] 54:20	injunctions [2] 39:14 70:22	К	licensees [1] 63:20
holding [1] 53:21	injunctive [2] 34:11 50:22	KAGAN [17] 10:14 17:10,19,25 18:	licenses [4] 7:5 41:23 44:3 56:6
home [24] 4:19,22 5:13 11:23 17:2	inside [1] 55:19	9,16 23 :23 24 :16 25 :24 26 :8,18	licensing 5 6:5 12:21 16:8,11 44:
18 :22 20 :12,18 35 :18,24 54 :5,14,	insist [1] 18:24	27:6 28: 1,5 39:6 44:5 68: 15	7
18,22,24 55 :6,17,19 56 :1 59 :12	insistence [1] 3:17	keep [6] 4:2 16:6 22:4,5 30:21 31:	
60 :23 64 :12,12 69 :14	instead [2] 35:20 59:15	7	lifeline [3] 12:25 13:19 25:2
home-bound [1] 3:20 homes [6] 4:10 39:5,21 59:20 60:4	insufficient [1] 56:18 integrally [1] 55:18	key [2] 41:12 53:13	limitation [1] 10:10 limited [4] 4:4 6:8 34:8,12
69: 24	intended [1] 71:14	kind [16] 9:22 15:1,21 16:18,22 18:	limiting [2] 4:11,20
Honor [5] 21:16 39:24 70:18 71:16		1,2,5 35: 8 37: 21 42: 4 60 :14 63 :24	limits [3] 8:1,2 20:13
72 :22	interests [2] 30:1 49:12	68: 21,24 70: 24	litigant [1] 30:7
hour [2] 27:19 38:19	interjection [1] 23:13	kinds [4] 17:12 26:2 27:11 67:1	litigants [1] 27:12
hours [1] 37:2	interpretation [1] 11:13	Knox [12] 8:7 9:4,4 10:17 13:21 14:	litigated [10] 22:20 31:5 37:8,22
huge [1] 70:23	interpreted [1] 19:19	20 21 :7,11 23 :4 25 :6 30 :4,6	39 :25 40 :3 49 :3 64 :14 66 :16 68 :2
hypothetical [1] 70:12	intersect [1] 54:4	L	litigation [13] 5:18 8:18 10:24 12:
	introduction [1] 50:4	lack [1] 44:24	15 34: 22 46: 24 47: 6,11 48: 23 49:
idea [2] 14:17 40:2	invalidated [1] 17:8	language [1] 36:11	16 51 :24 67 :12 70 :15
identical [1] 39:16	invoke [1] 35:17	large [2] 55:22,22	little [5] 14:25 27:13 30:9 62:21 66:
identified [1] 59:21	invokes [1] 4:13 involve [1] 7:21	late [7] 14:2,25 23:13 27:3 47:13	4
ignoring [1] 63:16	Involved [2] 14:21,21	49: 11 69: 25	live [7] 10:24 15:21 27:9 29:5,10 50:15 51:16
III [12] 10: 4,25 22: 21 23: 3 26: 23 35:	irrelevant [1] 49:10	late-breaking [3] 14:22 26:17 29:	lives [2] 62:7,25
9 38 :22 40 :5 48 :4 50 :16 51 :22 68 :	Island [6] 62:13,14,17,19 63:4,6	22	loaded [2] 19:7 43:10
5	isn't [10] 13:23 28:21 29:4,17,20	later [2] 48:13 49:17 latest [1] 7:15	local [2] 36:8 47:4
illuminating [1] 56:6	30: 4 47: 15,22 48: 6 55: 6	latter [1] 4:2	locally [2] 56:14 57:3
illustrate [1] 5:20	issue [11] 11:15 12:1 13:23 18:23	Laughter [4] 21:1 59:1 65:14,18	location [1] 56:7
immediate [1] 63:8 immediately [1] 72:17	36: 2 37: 21 39: 1,24 40: 12 45: 22	law [71] 5:8,19,22 6:11 7:24 8:2,3,	locked [7] 3:22 19:9 20:11,17 22:7
impact [1] 61:23	63: 21	14,19,22,22,24 11: 11 12: 7 19: 23	28: 23 33: 7
impermissible [1] 58:5	issued [1] 35:20	26 :21 28 :11,12,21 29 :13,17,19 30 :	long 3 4:18 47:18 51:6
impermissibly [1] 55:11	issues [1] 67:7	17 31: 17 34: 16 36: 7,9,12,18,22	longer [4] 11:11 15:8 21:7 24:6
impinges [1] 55:25	itself [1] 71:22	37: 3,8,17,18,25 38: 2,5,9,13,16 40:	look [23] 6:17 16:12,13,24 17:5 26: 18 27:21 45:13 51:21,23 53:6 55:
implementation [1] 52:18	J	16 43: 7,9,12,19,20 44: 21 45: 5 46:	8,20,24 56 :4 57 :14,14 59 :24 60 :
implementing [1] 57:2	JEFFREY [3] 1:20 2:6 21:20	3,10,13,15 47: 14 53: 25 58: 9,13	11,25 61 :20 63 :2 69 :21
implication [1] 35:11	Jersey [6] 6:23 18:21 36:25 62:10,	60: 24 66: 11,14,15,19 68: 18 69: 4	looking [2] 32:7 39:2
implications [1] 34:15	22 63 :13	71:2,6,6,12,17,18,19 72:16 law's [1] 11:12	lose [1] 72:19
implicitly [1] 26:6	Joint [3] 6:19 25:22 69:22	law-abiding [1] 22:6	lot [2] 16:7 26:19
implied [1] 35:15	judges ^[2] 58:20,22	lawful [2] 11:24 22:8	lower [7] 10:5 11:5,13 19:14,18 31:
important [7] 19:14 41:20 48:16 54:4 67:6 70:4 71:5	judgment [15] 22:19 24:10 26:1, 10 36:21 37:16 38:1 39:11,12,13	lawfully [6] 3:17 4:7 17:2 19:4,10	13 51 :21
impose [1] 32:17	52: 8 56: 20 63: 18 64: 9 68: 22	69 :12	Lutheran [1] 14:21
imposed [2] 9:6 44:17	judgments [1] 16:9	laws [6] 17:7 37:11 40:9 46:24,25	M
imposition [1] 53:3	jurisdiction ^[2] 60:8 63:7	52: 6	made [12] 7:12 9:21 36:24 41:8,9
impossible [2] 23:5 25:7	jurisdictional [1] 48:12	lawsuit [4] 17:20 19:1,1 72:11	44 :7 45 :2 46 :17 49 :15 52 :8 64 :7
in-city [1] 26:5	jury [1] 33 :15	lawsuits [1] 17:21	65 :20
INC [1] 1:4	Justice [152] 1:21 3:3,10 5:6,15 6:	least [10] 9:23 18:17 28:16 41:24	made-up [1] 31:24
incidental [1] 60:13	10,12,17 7 :4,7,11,14,23 8 :6,9,24 9 :	44: 3 47: 22 50: 1 54: 14 55: 4 71: 24 leave [3] 9: 13 11: 4 12: 4	maintain [1] 63:10
include [4] 35:15 38:19 39:23 65:6		left [2] 5:11 70:14	maintained [1] 59:16
included [3] 10:10 51:19 68:4	13 :12,25 14 :7 15 :4,6,23 17 :10,19, 25 18 :0 16 20 :6 0 23 25 21 :2 4 17	legal [3] 30:15,16 37:12	
including [3] 12:11 13:21 24:20	25 18 :9,16 20 :6,9,23,25 21 :2,4,17,	• • • • • • <u>-</u>	maneuvering [2] 26:20 72:20

33:4,8 39:19 many [4] 46:15,15 56:16 59:5 market [1] 56:15 Massachusetts [2] 60:19.24 matter [6] 1:13 3:23 5:3 25:10 26: 23 48:17 matters [1] 34:23 mean [12] 14:16 15:6 24:16 26:8 **41**:5 **47**:3 **54**:19 **60**:16 **65**:12 **70**:8 72:2.2 meaning [3] 14:2 29:11 66:18 meaningful [1] 16:18 meaningfully [1] 6:7 means [9] 9:25 10:1 54:7,11,19 57: 23 58:8 59:9 66:15 meant [1] 48:23 meet [4] 48:24 49:17,18 56:18 meets [1] 57:3 members [2] 9:7 17:3 membership [1] 26:5 merits [14] 16:20 21:25 22:1.21 23: 20 25:10 28:4.19 31:9 35:2.13 48: 11.14 50:17 method [1] 59:7 methodology [1] 57:6 midtown [1] 62:7 might [9] 15:11 32:13,24 35:4 38: 24 40:3 44:19 50:2 67:17 mightily [1] 4:24 miles [1] 61:9 militia [3] 4:9 16:24 17:4 mind [1] 16:6 minute [3] 20:16 28:19 31:10 minutes [1] 68:9 Mission [2] 23:4 25:6 mistaken [1] 48:13 mix [1] 8:3 modest [1] 31:11 Monday [1] 1:11 money [2] 27:23,24 moot [17] 9:21 10:16,20 13:18,23 **14**:17 **21**:9 **24**:23 **26**:22.25 **29**:22 34:15 35:12 38:11 45:18 46:7 49: 11 mootness [17] 5:5 11:14 14:4 16: 1 22:1.9 23:14.20.22 26:12 35:1 36:3 40:25 45:15 48:2 49:5.5 moral [1] 16:9 morning [1] 3:4 most [5] 35:6 41:20 46:16 59:7 64: 8 mother [5] 37:1 38:20 64:21 65:6 67:3 mother's [1] 70:7 mother-in-law [1] 67:4 motion [3] 26:9 50:25 68:22 motions [2] 39:11 12 move [2] 52:11 57:22 much [4] 4:17 30:4 47:7 52:23 multiple [1] 13:20 municipal [1] 5:3 must [6] 22:5 34:8 35:14 38:18 48:

maneuvers [1] 15:2

manner [8] 30:14 31:3 32:5,16,25

Ν nail [1] 67:15 name [2] 20:1 23:24 narrow [1] 34:14 narrowly [1] 34:6 necessarily [2] 8:16 51:18 necessary [5] 64:10,25 65:3,13 66.2 need [6] 25:1 32:20 54:20 66:15 67.5 68.1 needed [1] 13:19 needs [2] 54:21 64:2 negative [1] 61:23 never [17] 14:2 22:14 32:8 35:5.8. 22 37:21 39:25 40:1 41:9 49:7 56: 20 60:5,9 63:22 68:15,18 **NEW** [55] **1:**3,7,7,24,24 **3:**5,6,13 **6**: 21,23 **7**:5 **8**:22,24 **9**:20,23 **11**:12 12:7 15:11 16:11 17:11,11 18:21 **20**:10,15,16 **22**:2 **28**:10,11 **29**:2, 13,19 30:17,23 31:24 35:5,22 36: 25 37:11,18 38:15,15,17 42:23 43: 19 44:8 46:10 47:14 52:4.5 55:1 61:13 68:1.2 69:11 71:23 next [1] 61:3 niaht [1] 60:21 nominal [3] 13:3.6 46:1 non-direct [2] 39:23 46:5 none [3] 26:14 42:17 67:7 north [1] 62:25 northwest [1] 61:10 noted [1] 44:1 nothing [3] 37:9 45:21 46:12 notice [6] 10:19,22 11:2 21:15 61: 2 69.9 nowhere [1] 46:16 number [3] 39:9 57:20 59:6 numbers [2] 39:10.14 numerous [1] 49:2 nutshell [1] 21:6 0 objections [1] 34:25 obtained [2] 36:21 38:14 obviously [2] 23:19 25:4 occur [1] 4:13 oddest [1] 11:10 offer [2] 66:12,13 offered [3] 10:9,19 70:1 offhand [1] 39:10 office [6] 14:8 16:17 44:8,8,11,14 officer [1] 62:8 officials [1] 16:10 often [2] 14:22 59:17 okav [1] 66:22 old [16] 8:2.21 11:11 12:7 28:11.12 29:17 36:22 37:17 38:13 39:19 40: 22 43:7,12 46:3 52:14 one [29] 6:2 8:15 12:7,20,23 14:1,6, 8 **15**:9,11 **20**:9,16 **21**:25,25 **22**:8 26:2 36:23 46:23 47:25 48:12,22 57:16,20 59:10,10,15,15 61:1 66:

10 53:13

15 one's [1] 69:14 one-way [1] 19:20 only [11] 5:1 16:25 20:1 34:11 35:6, 13 38:25 45:2 47:12 55:23 68:20 operate [1] 56:16 opine [2] 8:14,21 opportunities [1] 56:9 **opportunity** [1] **24**:19 opposite [1] 58:23 options [1] 41:1 oral [7] 1:14 2:2,5,9 3:8 21:20 34:1 oranges [1] 8:3 order [1] 69:6 ordinance [1] 38:15 ordinarily [2] 45:5 67:2 original [1] 11:24 other [25] 8:11 12:15 13:8 17:21 18:21 20:18 22:13 23:25 25:3,5 26:4 27:8 31:20 32:2,18 40:15 41: 12 43:10 46:24 57:16 59:4,5,13 66:22 71:14 others [3] 16:7 43:15 47:6 otherwise [1] 71:20 out [7] 5:14 17:21 52:23 54:25 56: 25 67:5 69:8 out-of-city [1] 26:7 outlined [1] 52:17 outside [19] 4:19,21 6:21,22 20:13 18 35:18,24 38:17 39:5,21 54:13, 22 55:6,14,15,17 56:22 60:7 over [2] 24:15 28:15 own [3] 4:9 46:17 18 owner [1] 40:19 Ρ PAGE [3] 2:2 64:9 69:21 pages [2] 6:19 25:21 papers [1] 64:9 paragraph [1] 69:22 paragraphs [3] 6:18 41:21 49:2 Parents [1] 14:21 part [4] 36:1 39:25 63:14 67:7 participated [1] 23:19 particular [4] 15:16 31:22 35:21 57·10 parties [2] 10:21 70:21 party [3] 5:8 8:17,18 pass [1] 32:6 passed [2] 16:24 46:10 past [12] 6:4.13.25 7:9.18.18.20 12: 18 41:17.19 45:7 60:15 PAUL [5] 1:18 2:3.13 3:8 68:10 pending [1] 50:25 people [5] 15:7 45:7 47:21 52:4 56:7 Perhaps [2] 14:7 52:23 period [1] 38:13 permanently [1] 69:7 permissible [6] 33:10 36:14 39:4 40:7 64:23 65:4 permission [1] 13:13 permit [1] 32:20

permitted [2] 44:11 56:8 person [6] 53:21 54:23,25 61:3 62: 22 69:14 perspective [1] 55:9 petition [1] 26:11 Petitioner [1] 45:10 Petitioners [36] 1:5,19,23 2:4,8,14 3:9 5:11.16 6:20 21:22 22:9 26:21 31:10 34:6.17.19.25 35:4.16.17.22 **39:**15 **41:**2.18.22 **43:**25 **44:**2 **45:**2 **49:**6 **56:**19 **59:**20 **64:**5.8 **67:**10 **68:** 11 phenomenon [1] 58:7 PISTOL [2] 1:3 3:5 place [13] 4:21 17:2 20:18 22:8 32: 5,16,25 33:8 35:21 51:23 53:11 **59**:16 **60**:21 places [8] 3:16 4:7,15,21 18:21 19: 3.9 26:14 plaintiff [5] 6:14 22:13 46:5 49:13 52.1 plaintiffs [16] 28:22 30:25 36:24 **38**:23 **39**:3 7 20 **40**:4 5 **45**:15 20 47:8 48:17.18.19 50:24 plaintiffs' [1] 50:4 play [1] 67:5 played [1] 52:23 pleadings [1] 26:1 please [3] 3:11 21:24 34:4 plenty [2] 14:10 17:21 point [17] 21:25 28:14 32:11 40:16 41:12 43:23 44:24 45:13 48:15 53: 13 61:1 67:6 68:15,19,23 71:24 72:8 pointed [1] 22:9 points [3] 33:3 68:14 71:14 Police [9] 44:8 52:9.25 61:21.25 63:7 65:16.19 66:8 policeman [1] 61:2 political [1] 46:25 position [5] 9:12 36:13 64:22 65: 21 66:7 possessed [2] 3:17 69:13 possession [7] 4:19,20 34:10 35: 21 54:13,18 55:5 possibility [1] 41:16 possible [4] 16:1 23:6 41:5 52:13 possibly [1] 46:6 post [1] 10:19 post-certiorari [3] 15:1 21:8 72: 21 post-grant [1] 26:19 potential [4] 43:10 45:22 46:15 50: 15 potentially [2] 28:16 29:10 power [1] 51:15 powers [1] 51:15 practically [1] 24:18 practice [6] 5:14 14:13,16 19:22 45:7 52:23 prayer [6] 22:18 24:6 47:9,20 49:1 **51**:19 prayers [1] 47:21 pre-Rule [2] 51:2,4

permits [2] 32:25 53:3

precede [1] 48:10 precedent [1] 30:3 precedents [1] 13:21 precisely [1] 22:4 preclude [1] 39:20 predates [2] 24:4,5 **67**:18 predicted [1] 52:24 preempted [1] 63:17 preempts [1] 36:8 prejudice [2] 40:19 41:1 preiudiced [1] 43:17 preliminarily [1] 69:6 72:14 preliminary [1] 39:11 premise [1] 29:13 premised [1] 3:19 premises [31] 3:13 4:4 17:12,14 **18**:10 **34**:7,7,10 **35**:14,19,20 **43**: 69·13 18 52:19 53:14,17,22,25 54:16 55: 10,12,13,16,20,23 56:5,13 57:2 63: 20 64:6.7.13 present [1] 56:17 presented [10] 11:1,4,7 18:23 20: 10,21 21:11,12 35:13 61:16 presenting [1] 34:5 Presumably [1] 9:13 presumes [1] 45:5 presumption [1] 30:8 pretty [2] 30:4 59:4 prevail [1] 22:11 prevailed [6] 5:17,21 10:13 12:11 28:3 36:21 prevent [1] 6:4 previously [1] 63:19 Principal [2] 1:20 72:9 principle [1] 56:11 prior [10] 28:21 37:15.17 42:4.15 43:20 48:15 52:11 58:13 59:11 probably [4] 15:4 18:21 65:15 66: 16 problem [2] 59:10 65:22 proceed [3] 19:24 35:2 71:11 proceedings [1] 5:9 process [2] 16:8 34:23 Products [2] 23:4 25:6 prohibit [3] 6:2 39:19 53:21 prohibited [1] 8:19 prohibition [2] 4:6 69:10 promises [1] 16:4 prompt [1] 49:16 promulgated [1] 9:20 proper [3] 29:2,3 55:23 properly [1] 36:1 property [1] 69:14 proposed [7] 10:6,8,9 39:14 68:16 69:19 70:22 proposing [1] 68:19 prosecute [6] 7:17 15:11,16 43:3, 6 13 prosecution [3] 7:9 40:14 43:16 prospect [8] 47:15,22 48:2,5,7 49: 12 50:1.14 14 protect [4] 12:17 57:9.10 60:4 receive [1] 69:8 protected [5] 18:8 32:10,12,14 58: receiving [1] 25:15 1

protection [1] 20:5 protections [1] 32:21 protects [4] 4:1,3 54:13 55:5 provide [2] 16:2 69:1 provided [5] 36:7 52:2 56:9 66:10 provides [1] 20:5 provision [1] 32:2 provisions [2] 43:9.12 prudential [1] 22:23 public [5] 52:10 53:4 59:13 61:23 pull [1] 16:15 purchase [1] 55:15 purpose [3] 22:22 35:20 72:14 purposes [5] 10:4,25 23:3 53:12 put [5] 9:15,17 37:21 39:1 44:23 Q qualify [1] 66:3 qualifying [1] 43:18 question [47] 11:1,4,4,7 12:3,24 15:10 20:10,21,24 21:10,12 22:21 **23:**4.14 **25:**6 **28:**13 **30:**10 **31:**20 35:13 36:3.6 37:7.20 40:3.11 43: 15.16 48:11 49:6 51:14 53:9 54: 10 55:10.24 57:11.15 58:2.9 59:8. 20 60:1.6.11 61:21 64:21 66:14 questions [12] 22:23,23 35:3,25 37:21 48:2 51:22 57:7 59:4 66:8 68:5 70:25 quite [5] 8:20 14:16 16:7,21 46:7 R 72:7 raised [1] 34:25 range [16] 5:14 11:24 15:13 20:12 28:24 36:24 38:17 53:22 54:25 55: 2 61:6 62:10,13 63:9 69:11 70:14 ranges [9] 6:22 26:5,7 39:5,21 53: 12 54:2 62:14 69:23 rare [1] 22:3 ratchet [1] 19:20 rather [1] 5:3 reach [1] 50:17 reached [1] 48:14 read [1] 13:1 reading [2] 29:2,3 ready [1] 19:7 reaffirm [1] 20:2 real [2] 20:5 72:10 really [8] 9:2 13:18 41:13 45:13 46: 3 51:13 59:22 68:17 reason [6] 24:20 43:23 45:16 49: 15 53:24 63:14 reasonable [5] 50:3 52:16,18 59: 14 64:23 reasonably [4] 64:25 65:2,13,23 reasoning [1] 35:9 reasons [2] 48:22 56:3 **REBUTTAL** [4] 2:12 68:10,14 71:

recent [1] 14:20 recognition [1] 14:13 recognized [3] 4:17 13:17 54:3 recognizing [2] 4:18,19 record [6] 6:25 24:10 25:8 42:22 57:1 61:17 records [2] 63:8.8 red [1] 51:13 refer [1] 44:1 referred [1] 63:23 referring [1] 68:20 refund [4] 10:18,22 11:2 21:14 rea [1] 72:2 regard [1] 56:2 regarding [1] 55:10 regional [1] 56:24 regs [1] 71:23 regular [1] 56:22 regularly [1] 6:21 regulation [2] 19:15 59:11 regulations [7] 3:18 4:11 5:10 6: 15 7:19 9:20 29:4 reinstate [1] 64:2 reinvent [1] 49:18 reiterate [1] 31:12 rejected [2] 27:8 28:6 related [3] 41:9 55:18 56:3 relevant [1] 47:12 reliable [1] 59:7 relief [36] 5:12,16 8:13 13:3,8 16:2, 5,19 22:19 23:6 24:6 28:22 29:8, 18,21 30:23,24 34:11 47:10,19,20, 21 48:6,20 49:1 50:22 51:19 64: 10 67:17.18.24 68:2.21 69:6.15 reluctantly [1] 35:6 rely [3] 26:2 71:1 72:4 remained [1] 56:10 remaining [2] 29:5 67:16 remains [1] 51:16 remand [2] 47:23 50:2 remedial [1] 51:15 remedies [1] 51:20 remember [1] 39:9 remind [2] 25:13.17 render [1] 10:16 renewed [4] 41:23 44:2.3 45:4 repeal [1] 52:10 repealed [4] 40:16 43:8,12 61:23 repeatedly [2] 39:14 67:9 represent [1] 66:24 representation [8] 7:12 27:17,22 42:20,22 44:12 69:2 71:2 representations [6] 12:17 13:1 27:3 66:21 72:1,4 representative [1] 42:10 represented [2] 7:16,16 representing [2] 42:9 43:1 request [3] 13:3,9 14:3 require [1] 34:12 required [2] 4:9 17:3 requirement [5] 29:12 33:5 47:9 **63:**10 **64:**20 requirements [1] 57:4

reserve [1] 11:12 residence [1] 60:7 resolution [1] 60:22 resolved [1] 11:21 resolving [1] 34:22 resort [1] 58:2 respect [9] 11:16 12:8,14 13:16 14:12 18:15 33:13 43:18 63:19 respond [2] 46:23 47:5 Respondents [4] 1:8,25 2:11 34: 2 responds [1] 34:22 response [4] 20:21 26:12 36:5 49: 7 responsive [1] 46:19 rest [1] 27:1 restore [2] 19:2.8 restraining [1] 39:18 restricted [2] 56:9 72:16 restriction [13] 3:15 16:23 30:15. 16 **32**:6 **33**:1,8 **53**:4,7,7 **55**:25 **57**: 1 58:5 restrictions [4] 17:22 32:16 33:5 56.4 restrictive [1] 3:13 restrooms [1] 67:1 result [3] 23:9 38:15 52:5 resulted [1] 45:8 review [2] 44:21 46:8 reviewed [1] 8:25 revised [1] 3:18 revocation [1] 45:9 revolution [1] 60:22 RICHARD [3] 1:24 2:10 34:1 RIFLE [2] 1:3 3:5 rights [6] 4:1,3 19:2 20:8 22:11 35: 16 ripe [1] 66:17 river [1] 62:11 road [1] 31:8 ROBERTS [13] 3:3 20:23 21:2,17 **33:**21 **40:**17,24 **43:**14 **67:**22 **68:**7 71:10.13 72:23 roster [1] 63:10 rule [25] 4:14 22:17.18 24:5 38:16 **39**:19 **40**:22 **41**:19 **47**:20 **51**:9 13 14.21 52:11.14.22 55:11 57:2 59: 22.23 60:5 61:22 63:15 64:20 70: 16 rules [2] 22:24 51:3 S safe [3] 52:4.13 53:1 safeguard [1] 6:7 safely [2] 4:15 28:24 safety [7] 32:18,19 52:10 53:4 59: 13 61:24 72:14 same [5] 10:23 55:13 60:4 69:16 70.24 save [2] 14:3 23:14 saying [5] 6:25 12:25 59:14 67:20, 24 savs [14] 5:9 19:6 22:18 33:16 38:

16 51:17 53:25 57:25 61:5,8,9 62:

	Off	icial	
9,25 71 :19	somewhere [3] 55:14,15 61:9	struck [3] 22:3 38:2,5	three [7] 6:2,19,24 18:17 62:14 68:
scheme ^[8] 17:11,14,17 18:10,11,	soon ^[1] 72:11	structure ^[1] 49:4	9 70 :9
13 27: 4,14	sorry [6] 11:9 31:19 51:7,8,11 61:	struggled [1] 4:23	three-page [1] 26:17
scope [1] 64:7	19	subdivisions' [1] 46:25	throughout [1] 67:10
scrutiny [7] 19:25 32:7 57:12,23	sort [4] 19:21 31:16,24 58:9	subject [2] 57:21 63:9	thrown [1] 8:11
58: 3,8 59: 9	SOTOMAYOR [33] 7:4,7,11,14,23	submissions [1] 13:5	thrust [1] 9:4
search [1] 33:14	8:6,9,24 9:2,9 11:9,17,18 12:3,9,	submitted [2] 72:24 73:1	thumbs [2] 31:17,18
Second [39] 3:20 4:1,3,8 5:13 6:3	19,23 13: 12 25: 12,17 31: 19 33: 4	subsection [1] 9:19	tied [1] 30:16
11:23 16:24,25 18:22 20:7,9,14	42: 24 43: 5 69: 3,5,18 70: 3,6,19 71:	succeed [1] 68:17	today ^[2] 24:20 41:15
25:11 26:11 35:11 36:22 39:5,21	7,9,12	successful [1] 10:5	together [2] 71:8,18
53 :3,8,20 54 :12,17 55 :3,4,21 57 :3,	sought [13] 5:12 28:22 29:19,21	successfully [1] 62:5	took [3] 46:6 61:20 71:22
7,9 58 :11,14 59 :12,13,20 68 :18,25	30 :25 34 :11 35 :16 47 :19 49 :11 50 :	sued [1] 72:18	total [2] 30:24 55:1
69 :24 71 :4	21 64 :10 67 :17,25	sufficiency [2] 10:18,22	totally [1] 49:10
Section [1] 71:23	speaking [1] 55:21	sufficiently [1] 71:24	tough [1] 61:13
see [3] 13:2 39:2 61:6	special [1] 21:13 specific [5] 13:9 22:17 45:14 47:9	suggest [2] 34:19 42:2	tougher ^[3] 62:12,21 63:2 towel ^[1] 8:11
seek [2] 13:13 47:24 seeking [2] 41:2 49:13	70: 15	suggested [4] 16:23 32:4 47:6 49: 8	tradition [15] 3:12,25 19:17,20,21,
seem [2] 29:7 47:7	specifically [6] 9:21 27:8 34:8 46:	o suggesting [1] 26:6	23 20 :3 22 :2 31 :14,17,23 32 :25
seems [4] 31:4,24 32:5 72:2	2 55 :23 64 :5	suggesting [4] 14:9 23:20 26:12	33 :11,19 60 :3
send [1] 19:14	specify [1] 71:20	45 :10	traditions [1] 17:6
sensitive [1] 4:21	speculation [2] 38:24 68:3	suggests [4] 15:20 18:12 35:1 41:	
separate [1] 35:22	spent [1] 38:19	15	training 6 4:10,12 54:3 56:2,22
seriously [1] 48:21	spoke [1] 60:5	summary ^[8] 24:10 26:1,10 39:10,	57: 3
served [2] 59:14 72:13	squarely [1] 18:23	12 56: 20 64: 9 68: 22	transcript [1] 16:15
set [2] 25:18 52:22	stage [5] 24:11 47:13 49:11 50:5	summons [1] 45 :9	transport [21] 3:14,18 5:2,24 6:3,7,
settle [1] 15:7	69 :25	supplemental [1] 9:5	9 7 :2,22 9 :22 17 :1,23 18 :20 19 :2,
seven [1] 62:14	standard [6] 5:4 15:25 31:25 36:7	supply [1] 56:18	8 22: 2 34: 9,13 35: 15 54: 1 71: 19
Seventh [1] 33:15	66: 6,9	supported [1] 46:14	transportation [1] 16:23
several [1] 39:13	standards [1] 32:7	supporting [3] 1:23 2:8 21:22	transported [1] 4:15
severe [1] 58:12	start (3) 33:10,16 53:11	suppose [4] 27:1 36:20,23 60:16	transporting [5] 3:16 4:6 17:25
SG [2] 12:24 23:12	starting [3] 31:14 33:16 53:12	supposed [1] 58:18	20: 11,17
SG's [1] 14:8	starts [1] 15:1	SUPREME [2] 1:1,14	travel [6] 11:23,23 28:7 64:19 65:1
shalt [1] 5:10	STATE [35] 1:3 3:5 5:8,8,9,14,18 6:	suspicion [1] 15:15	67: 3
shooting [8] 20:12 39:4,21 53:12	11 8:17 34:16 36:7,8,11 37:8,11	sustain [3] 48:3,6 50:16	traveling [3] 9:15 39:20 69:10
54 :2 56 :24,24 69 :12	38 :16 40 :8 46 :9,10,16 47 :13 49 :	sustained [1] 23:7	treat [2] 32:1,23
short [2] 67:20,23	10 52: 6,18 53: 25 63: 16 66: 10,15,	Т	trial [1] 33:15
shot [1] 43:1	16 67:5 69:4 71:2,6,18,18	tardiness [1] 23:1	tried [4] 12:24 17:7,8 72:16
shouldn't [1] 72:4	state-wide [1] 46:20 Staten [6] 62:13,14,16,18 63:3,6	target [2] 18:18 63:22	Trinity [1] 14:20
shows [1] 58:5 side [2] 8:11 12:15	STATES [6] 1: 1,15,22 2: 7 21: 21	terms [2] 9:10 28:15	trip 5 36:24 38:18 39:23 46:5 53: 21
sides [1] 26:20	69: 6	test [3] 20:4 33:2 61:7	true [1] 22:16
signal [2] 14:15 19:14	States' [1] 30:1	tethered [1] 69:19	try [5] 7:17 9:21 21:9,10 45:17
significant [3] 50:23 57:19 59:5	stating [1] 58:19	Text [15] 3:12,24 4:1 19:16,19,21,	trying [2] 46:7 67:15
similarly [1] 60:14	statute [2] 53:15,16	23 20: 3 22: 1 31: 14,23 32: 24 33:	turn [6] 11:8 13:24 28:18 31:9 34:
since [5] 4:24 14:9 29:15 44:4 45:	stays [1] 53:1	11 57: 8,9	14 40 :10
4	step [2] 46:7 57:22	theft [1] 59:18	turned [1] 72:12
single [1] 8:12	still ^[17] 10:21,24 15:10 29:1,3,17,	themselves [1] 56:5	Turning [1] 36:2
sir [1] 61:4	19,21,23 31 :2 35 :6 50 :13 59 :24	theoretically [1] 68:4	twice [3] 41:24 44:3 45:4
situation [1] 14:11	63: 5,6 70: 1,25	theories [3] 25:3,5 26:22	two [17] 17:11 18:17 26:2 33:3 34:
situations [2] 62:2 70:12	stop [9] 7:21 9:12 19:18 28:24 36:	theory [7] 22:25 23:2 27:8,23,23	15 36: 4,4 47: 25 48: 2 56: 3,3 59: 15
skeptically [1] 34:20	20 37 :1 65 :21 67 :2 70 :6	28: 6,7	60: 4 62: 16,16,18 67: 9
skip [1] 69:8	stoplight [1] 61:4	there's [15] 11:6 18:10 20:21 22:	type [2] 33:1 53:6
slight [1] 50:23	stopped [3] 15:12 61:4 62:8	17 26 :19 31 :7 37 :25 41 :13 42 :6	typical [1] 47:20
slightly [2] 21:7 44:24	stopping [3] 28:8 65:6 70:7	45 :21 56 :17 58 :2 61 :3 65 :25 66 :	U
Smith [1] 50:20	stops [6] 10:2 36:4,14 40:13 64:23,	17	
society [1] 43:1	25	therefore [2] 58:12 59:17	unavailing [1] 5:1 unclear [1] 66:4
solely [1] 31:23	stored [1] 60:20	thereto [1] 35:15	
Solicitor [8] 1:20 13:16 16:17 23:	straight [1] 36:3	they'll [1] 19:22 they've [7] 5:17 11:19 24:9,18 41:	unconstitutional [10] 3:15 5:25 9: 7 19:17 27:17 37:17 38:13 54:8
12 25 :2 26 :16 34 :24 48 :12	straightforward [3] 16:21 18:7	8,8 45:3	57 :17 60 :1
somebody [4] 7:17 43:2 62:7,25	-		
	33 :17	-	under [21] 18:25 22:24 23:4 25:6
someone [1] 61:1	33:17 streets ^[1] 55:1	third [1] 6:6	under [21] 18:25 22:24 23:4 25:6 28:21 29:1 32:15 33:13 35:9 36:
someplace [1] 18:2	33:17 streets [1] 55:1 strictly [1] 4:4	third [1] 6:6 thou [1] 5:9	28: 21 29: 1 32: 15 33: 13 35: 9 36:
	33:17 streets ^[1] 55:1	third [1] 6:6	

	UII
underlying [1] 9:5	55:8,13 57:16 59:25 63:3 68:4 71:
underscore [2] 4:13 5:1	17
understand [9] 7:2 17:11 28:14	ways [1] 7:2
33: 4,5 60: 18 67: 11 70: 5 71: 5	weapons [2] 32:12 35:24
understatement [1] 24:17	weigh [1] 23:1
understood [4] 16:25 42:1.2 63:	weighed [1] 23:18
23	Westchester [3] 6:22 63:1,14
undertake [2] 40:14 54:21	whatever [1] 24:20
unfounded [1] 35:1	whatsoever [1] 45:16
uninterrupted [13] 3:19 6:9 7:22	Whereupon [1] 72:25
9:24,25 10:7 11:5,20 12:6,12 29:	whether [21] 9:11 12:4 16:1 22:24
11 36 :10 71 :25	30 :11 32 :24 35 :14 37 :24 43 :16 48 :
union [2] 9:6 10:19	
	7,9,9,24 49 :17 53 :6 55 :24 57 :15,
UNITED [6] 1 :1,15,22 2 :7 21 :21 29 :	
	who's [1] 8:17
unless [3] 45:8 55:1 70:19	whoever [1] 69:8
unlikely [1] 44:18	wholly [1] 13:22
unloaded [6] 3:23 19:9 20:12,17	will [18] 14:8 15:15,18 18:4 20:20
22:7 33:6	21 :10 32 :19 42 :2,13,17 43 :12,21,
unoccupied [1] 59:17	22 44 :15 57 :20 61 :25 62 :3,6
unrestricted [4] 69:23,24 70:1,8	wished [1] 56:21
until [2] 45:16 46:6	withdrawn [1] 26:21
up [7] 14:17 23:18,23 26:22 31:17	within [2] 9:23 63:6
52 :22 66 :25	without [4] 37:11 52:11 58:8 61:
uphold [1] 19:22	23
Utica [1] 61:10	wonder [1] 15:9
V	wondering [1] 42:8
	words [4] 10:7 32:9,13 69:16
venture [1] 3:21	work [5] 52:25 62:1,7 71:8,18
verbatim [1] 39:15	worked [1] 30:8
verification [2] 52:21,22	writ [1] 55:22
verify [1] 62:1	writing [1] 72:5
	winning mirz.o
versus [2] 3:5 50:20	
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23	Y
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3	Y years (6) 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10,
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6:
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20:
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40:	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40:	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 <u>W</u> WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22:	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 <u>W</u> WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 26:8,18 27:10 28:3,9 29:6,9,15,24	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 26:8,18 27:10 28:3,9 29:6,9,15,24 30:6,21 31:1 33:3	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 26:8,18 27:10 28:3,9 29:6,9,15,24 30:6,21 31:1 33:3 wanted [18] 11:6,22 17:20,22 19:2,	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 26:8,18 27:10 28:39 29:6,9,15,24 30:6,21 31:1 33:3 wanted [18] 11:6,22 17:20,22 19:2, 7 24:13,14 29:24 38:12,12 46:4	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 26:8,18 27:10 28:39 29:6,9,15,24 30:6,21 31:1 33:3 wanted [18] 11:6,22 17:20,22 19:2, 7 24:13,14 29:24 38:12,12 46:4 48:18 56:23 59:11 68:22,24 72:17	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
view [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 28:10 58:23 59:3 viewed [3] 34:20 53:13,18 views [1] 58:20 violate [3] 7:18 37:4,25 violated [4] 6:14 41:18 42:14 46:3 violating [1] 42:3 violation [7] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: 15,18 43:6,20 violations [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: 24,25 45:8 violent [1] 43:11 visit [2] 37:1 65:6 visiting [1] 64:21 voice [1] 57:21 voluntary [1] 30:8 vulnerable [1] 59:17 W WALL [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: 16 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 26:8,18 27:10 28:3,9 29:6,9,15,24 30:6,21 31:1 33:3 wanted [18] 11:6,22 17:20,22 19:2, 7 24:13,14 29:24 38:12,12 46:4 48:18 56:23 59:11 68:22,24 72:17 Washington [3] 1:10,18,21	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{view} [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 \\ \mbox{28:10} 58:23 59:3 \\ \mbox{viewed} [3] 34:20 53:13,18 \\ \mbox{views} [1] 58:20 \\ \mbox{violate} [3] 7:18 37:4,25 \\ \mbox{violate} [3] 7:18 37:4,25 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 42:3 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 42:3 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 42:3 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: \\ \mbox{15,18} 43:6,20 \\ \mbox{violation} [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: \\ \mbox{24,25} 45:8 \\ \mbox{violent} [1] 43:11 \\ \mbox{visiting} [1] 64:21 \\ \mbox{voice} [1] 57:21 \\ \mbox{voluntary} [1] 30:8 \\ \mbox{vulnerable} [1] 59:17 \\ \hline \mbox{W} \\ \hline \mbox{WALL} [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: \\ \mbox{16} 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 \\ \mbox{26:8,18} 27:10 28:39 29:6,9,15,24 \\ \mbox{30:6,21 31:1 33:3 } \\ \mbox{wanted} [18] 11:6,22 17:20,22 19:2, \\ \mbox{7} 24:13,14 29:24 38:12,12 46:4 \\ \mbox{48:18} 56:23 59:11 68:22,24 72:17 \\ \hline \mbox{Washington} [3] 1:10,18,21 \\ \mbox{watered-down} [1] 19:25 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
eq:space-	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11
$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{view} [8] 3:20,24 5:2 23:22 26:23 \\ \mbox{28:10} 58:23 59:3 \\ \mbox{viewed} [3] 34:20 53:13,18 \\ \mbox{views} [1] 58:20 \\ \mbox{violate} [3] 7:18 37:4,25 \\ \mbox{violate} [3] 7:18 37:4,25 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 42:3 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 42:3 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 42:3 \\ \mbox{violation} [1] 6:5 22:10 36:22 40: \\ \mbox{15,18} 43:6,20 \\ \mbox{violation} [6] 6:13 7:9 41:17 43: \\ \mbox{24,25} 45:8 \\ \mbox{violent} [1] 43:11 \\ \mbox{visiting} [1] 64:21 \\ \mbox{voice} [1] 57:21 \\ \mbox{voluntary} [1] 30:8 \\ \mbox{vulnerable} [1] 59:17 \\ \hline \mbox{W} \\ \hline \mbox{WALL} [26] 1:20 2:6 21:19,20,23 22: \\ \mbox{16} 23:9,17 24:3,24 25:14,20,25 \\ \mbox{26:8,18} 27:10 28:39 29:6,9,15,24 \\ \mbox{30:6,21 31:1 33:3 } \\ \mbox{wanted} [18] 11:6,22 17:20,22 19:2, \\ \mbox{7} 24:13,14 29:24 38:12,12 46:4 \\ \mbox{48:18} 56:23 59:11 68:22,24 72:17 \\ \hline \mbox{Washington} [3] 1:10,18,21 \\ \mbox{watered-down} [1] 19:25 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Y years [6] 12:14 18:16 24:14 47:10, 12 72:15 YORK [26] 1:3,7,7,24,24 3:5,6,13 6: 21 7:5 9:23 15:11 16:11 17:11 20: 10,15,16 29:2 35:23 38:18 42:23 44:8 52:4 55:1 61:14 69:11