## 07-901 OREGON V. ICE

## DECISION BELOW: 170 P3d 1049

## LOWER COURT CASE NUMBER: S52248

## **QUESTION PRESENTED:**

Whether the Sixth Amendment, as construed in Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), and Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296 (2004), is violated by the imposition of consecutive sentences based on the sentencing judge's determination of a fact (other than a prior conviction) that was not found by the jury or admitted by the defendant.

GRANTED LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: Whether the Sixth Amendment, as construed in <span style="font-style: italic;">Apprendi v. New Jersey</span>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), and <span style="font-style: italic;">Blakely v. Washington</span>, 542 U.S. 296 (2004), requires that facts (other than prior convictions) necessary to imposing consecutive sentences be found by the jury or admitted by the defendant.

CERT. GRANTED 3/17/2008