SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES MANFREDO M. SALINAS,) Petitioner,) v.) No. 19-199 UNITED STATES RAILROAD RETIREMENT) BOARD,) Respondent.)

Pages: 1 through 64 Place: Washington, D.C. Date: November 2, 2020

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 628-4888 www.hrccourtreporters.com

```
1
       IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 2
                          _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
 3
     MANFREDO M. SALINAS,
                                        )
 4
                   Petitioner, )
 5
                                       ) No. 19-199
                 v.
 6
     UNITED STATES RAILROAD RETIREMENT )
7
     BOARD,
                                         )
8
                   Respondent.
                                         )
9
      _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
                           _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _
10
11
                   Washington, D.C.
12
               Monday, November 2, 2020
13
            The above-entitled matter came on for
14
     oral argument before the Supreme Court of the
15
16
     United States at 11:13 a.m.
17
18
     APPEARANCES:
19
      SARAH M. HARRIS, ESQUIRE, Washington, D.C.;
20
21
         on behalf of the Petitioner.
22
     AUSTIN RAYNOR, Assistant to the Solicitor General,
23
         Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.;
24
         on behalf of the Respondent.
25
```

CONTENTS ORAL ARGUMENT OF: PAGE: SARAH M. HARRIS, ESQ. On behalf of the Petitioner ORAL ARGUMENT OF: AUSTIN RAYNOR, ESQ. On behalf of the Respondent REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF: SARAH M. HARRIS, ESQ. On behalf of the Petitioner

3

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (11:13 a.m.) CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear 3 argument next in Case 19-199, Salinas versus 4 5 United States Railroad Retirement Board. Ms. Harris. 6 7 ORAL ARGUMENT OF SARAH M. HARRIS ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER 8 MS. HARRIS: Mr. Chief Justice, and 9 10 may it please the Court: 11 Because Congress, in Section 355(f), subjected any final decision of the Railroad 12 13 Retirement Board to judicial review, all final 14 decisions, including reopening denials, are 15 reviewable. 16 The government is incorrect that 17 355(f) limits review only to decisions under 18 355(c). First, the government reads 355(f) to 19 20 say parties aggrieved by any final decision 21 under 355(c) may challenge any such final 22 decision. That impermissibly adds the word "such." 355(f) says any final decision, full 23 24 stop. Any final decision tracks the broad 25 language of Section 231g, the RRA's parallel

judicial review provisions governing claims like
 Mr. Salinas's.

Second, only our reading makes sense 3 4 of both the RUIA and the RRA. Section 355(c) 5 mandates hearings or Board appeals for specific 6 RUIA decisions. If Congress wanted to limit 7 judicial review across both statutes, the RRA should parallel 355(c). But it doesn't. 8 The 9 RRA doesn't mandate any hearings. The RRA 10 mandates Board appeals for different decisions 11 than 355(c). And Section 231g extends judicial 12 13 review beyond decisions entitled to Board 14 appeal. It's not plausible that Congress can 15 find judicial review under both statutes to decisions with no similar significance under the 16 RRA, which applies to 96 percent of 17 18 beneficiaries. And, third, limiting judicial review 19

to decisions under 355(c) would foreclose review
of all other decisions, like refusals to modify
or terminate benefits.

To avoid that result, the government tries to bend 355(c) to fit most of these decisions. But, if the text is that broad,

there's no principled basis for excluding
 reopening denials from 355(c).

3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Counsel, let's 4 begin with 231g since this is an RRA case, and 5 it says that what's subject to judicial review 6 are "decisions of the Board determining the 7 rights or liabilities of any person under the 8 Act."

9 Now Board determinations -- the Act is 10 just chock full of them. They're -- they're 11 determining substantive things like who's 12 eligible for how much money, who's eligible for 13 annuity, what are the benefits for spouses, 14 where does the money come from. Nothing like a 15 decision about whether to reopen.

So shouldn't we look at that under the RRA in determining whether or not such procedural questions are subject to judicial review?

20 MS. HARRIS: Well, no. I think the 21 text of 231g and its use of the phrase 22 "determining the rights or liabilities of any 23 person" is more than capacious enough to fit a 24 decision like a denial of reopening, which is 25 the agency's last word in denying the claim for

6

```
benefits.
1
 2
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So maybe in
      the -- maybe in the abstract, you can say, well,
 3
 4
      this is a determination of a right.
 5
                But the phrase "determinations of the
      Board," it's almost a term of art in the
 6
 7
      statute. They're -- and they're talking about
      rights and liabilities in a substantive way.
 8
                MS. HARRIS: Well, I think the rest of
 9
10
      231g actually refutes an interpretation that is
11
      limited to the initial substantive benefits
      determination, because, if you look at the
12
13
      "except" clause of 231g, it says "except at the
14
      time within which proceedings for review of a
15
      decision with respect to an annuity or other
16
      listed benefits may be commenced."
17
                So that phrase is clearly narrower
18
      than the phrase "decisions determining rights or
      liabilities," which signals that things like
19
20
      reopening denials would certainly fit within the
21
      first clause.
2.2
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, the only
23
      type of determinations that are reviewable are,
24
      even if you're right about rights or
25
      liabilities, the rights or liabilities under the
```

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Act. And the reopening right isn't under the
 Act. It's under a regulation.

MS. HARRIS: But, as I think the Court 3 noted in Kucana versus Holder, the word "under" 4 5 is a bit of a chameleon and it depends on 6 context. And it would be strange to think that 7 the RRA only means under the statute and not 8 under the different regulations that the Board 9 might promulgate when we have a statute here 10 where Congress was pretty clear that it was 11 delegating to the Board a lot of power to make 12 _ _ 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but 14 that's like --15 MS. HARRIS: -- those decisions. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- that's like 16 17 saying just because Congress has delegated 18 authority under the Constitution to enact 19 statutes, that every violation of a statute is a 20 constitutional violation. And that doesn't make 21 sense. 2.2 MS. HARRIS: Well, I think there's also a problem then. If you -- if you think 23 24 that it has to be under the statute only, then

Heritage Reporting Corporation

you also have a weird asymmetry with the RUIA

25

8

because there are some decisions that are 1 mentioned in the text of the RUIA but not under 2 the text of the RRA, like --3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 4 5 counsel. Justice Thomas. Justice Thomas. 6 7 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice. 8 9 Ms. Harris, what is the statutory or 10 regulatory basis for the reopening? Do you -and what I'm getting at is whether or not you 11 12 have a stated right to a reopening. 13 MS. HARRIS: There is a regulatory 14 basis for reopening in, for instance, 20 C.F.R. 15 261, and it's something that the Board 16 understood as early as 1939 would always be part 17 and parcel of its decisionmaking because of the importance of checking errors and preventing 18 arbitrariness in a complex benefits scheme. 19 20 JUSTICE THOMAS: So why is it when a 21 -- an agency -- the agency decides not to reopen a case, it's simply deciding not to decide that 22 23 again or to reconsider it, as opposed to again 24 deciding sort of indirectly the underlying 25 substantive issue?

MS. HARRIS: So I think there's a key 1 2 distinction that underlies a lot of this Court's cases and explains why there's such a strong 3 4 tradition of judicial review for reopening 5 denials, especially when they involve new evidence, like the case here. 6 7 And that reason is, when you have 8 something where a litigant is, for instance, 9 asking for reopening on the basis of new 10 evidence or new circumstances, that does make 11 the claim different and makes it something that's possible for courts to review in a way 12 13 that's different from maybe a pure rehash. 14 So, in Brotherhood of Locomotive 15 Engineers, for instance, the Court distinguished between those two things and said it would be 16 17 fundamentally unfair to deny someone the 18 opportunity to present new evidence that the 19 agency hadn't considered before. And that also, 20 I think, distinguishes Sanders, which did 21 involve that kind of rehashing claim. 2.2 And the Court has thus considered the 23 rehashing-type claim as potentially committed to 24 agency discretion but has always allowed 25 judicial review of denials of reopening like

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1 this one that are based on new evidence. And I
2 think that reflects that it's such an important
3 safeguard to keep the agency accountable in this
4 context.

5 JUSTICE THOMAS: But how far do you go 6 with that, Ms. Harris? The -- what if we denied 7 cert or denied petition for rehearing of cert in 8 a case that totally involves state law?

9 Are you saying that we actually --10 that we went back or that we reached a state law 11 issue simply by denying cert or by denying the 12 petition for rehearing?

13 MS. HARRIS: No, I'm definitely not 14 saying that. What I'm saying is those types of 15 decisions might constitute final agency action 16 in a technical sense, but those would involve a 17 rehashing of the same record that was always 18 before the Court in your -- in your sort of parallel hypothetical, unlike a situation here, 19 20 where there is new evidence.

21 And I think that's why Brotherhood of 22 Locomotive Engineers actually used the rehearing 23 en banc hypothetical to distinguish and 24 illustrate the distinction between new evidence, 25 reopening-type claims, which are judicially

11

reviewable, and rehashing claims, which are 1 2 generally committed to agency discretion. 3 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you. 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 5 Breyer. 6 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, my question was 7 basically the same as the Chief Justice's, and it seems to me on that question you have going 8 against you, first, the language of it, of 9 10 rights and liabilities, read in light of what we 11 said in two cases. It's both Your Home Visiting Nurse and also Califano v. Sanders. 12 13 Then you have the fact that nobody --14 no lower court decided in your favor, I think --15 maybe there was an exception, I don't know --16 since the 1960s or 1970s, and since then, the 17 cases have gone the other way in the lower 18 courts, and it would make a kind of hash of the statute of limitations. Otherwise, you have the 19 20 presumption of judicial review in your favor. 21 So I want to see if there's anything you want to add on the negative part. 22 MS. HARRIS: Sure. I'd like to take 23 24 Your Home and Califano first, because I think 25 that Your Home, when it said that there is a

Heritage Reporting Corporation

12

sort of -- that reopening seemed like a refusal 1 2 _ _ 3 JUSTICE BREYER: Yeah. 4 MS. HARRIS: -- to make another 5 decision, Your Home, again, is sort of talking about the situation where there's a rehash 6 7 claim. And if you took Your Home to be foreclosing judicial review of all sorts of 8 9 reopening claims based on new evidence, that 10 would be a sea change in the way the Court has 11 considered them. Now Califano --12 13 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, what I don't 14 see is how we can do the one without the other. 15 We have language here that the Chief cited. How -- how do we do that? How do we get to that 16 17 point in your view? 18 MS. HARRIS: Well, I think, if you 19 thought that a reopening denial was never a 20 decision that determined rights or liabilities, 21 you'd have serious questions about why it was ever considered a final decision. And there are 22 so many contexts in which it is considered a 23 24 final decision, including countless immigration 25 decisions and also the interstate commerce

13

1 context. 2 And I think Califano, which you 3 mentioned, actually shows exactly why the 4 statutory language is so much in our favor. The 5 language in Califano involved a statute, it's 6 Section 405(q), that says judicial review is 7 confined to final decisions made after a hearing. 8 9 And in Smith versus Berryhill, the 10 Court emphasized that while reopening could be a 11 final decision, it certainly wasn't one made after a hearing in that context. 12 The other part of Califano that's 13 14 important is that statute also had an express 15 provision saying there is no judicial review of 16 other provisions unless herein provided in 17 405(g). So, if you didn't involve a hearing, no 18 review under the statute. 19 And, here, you have the opposite. You 20 have no express language barring judicial review 21 of decisions like reopening, and it would be extraordinary to say that that alone was 22 23 sufficient to overcome the presumption of 24 review, especially given the long tradition of 25 reviewing denials of reopening that do present

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1 new evidence. 2 And on your final point, there is -obviously, the D.C. Circuit held in 2016 that 3 4 denials of reopening in the Railroad Retirement 5 Act context and RUIA are judicially reviewable. And the -- the D.C. Circuit in that case noted 6 7 that courts have been reviewing these decisions 8 for some 50 years. 9 There's no flood of -- no flood of 10 abuse of litigation and no apparent 11 circumvention of the limitations period because 12 these are new types of decisions that aren't 13 simply rehashes of what happened before. 14 JUSTICE BREYER: Thank you. 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito. 16 JUSTICE ALITO: I'm interested in the 17 interplay between the Railroad Retirement Act 18 and the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act. This is a case under the former. 19 20 Is there any reason why we can't 21 decide it simply by looking at the language of 22 that provision, Section 231g? MS. HARRIS: Well, you could -- you 23 24 could do it that way, but, of course, that 25 language then says that -- it does tie judicial

15

review to the RUIA itself. So I think that 1 2 means that you should at least consider whether 3 there would be anomalies created between, you know, circumscribing review under one statute 4 5 versus the other. JUSTICE ALITO: Well, is that true 6 7 under the language of the provision? It says: 8 "Decisions of the Board determining the rights 9 or liabilities of any person under this 10 subchapter shall be subject to judicial review." 11 That tells us what is subject to judicial review. 12 13 But then it goes on to say, in the 14 same manner, subject to the same limitations, et 15 cetera, as the RUIA. That tells us how the 16 review takes place. 17 Why do you think that specifies what 18 is reviewable? 19 MS. HARRIS: I think you could read 20 the corresponding rights and liabilities 21 language as suggesting that. But, in all 22 events, if you just wanted to look at 231g, 23 reopening denials do determine rights or 24 liabilities of any person because they are a 25 denial of someone's entitlement to benefits.

16

And it would be very strange to think 1 2 that that language alone would be foreclosing judicial review of other decisions when there's 3 4 no sort of express bar to reviewability in 5 there. JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what takes me 6 7 aback in approaching the case in this way is 8 that both you and the government, who are more 9 immersed in this than we are, have spent a lot 10 of time debating judicial review under the 11 provisions of the Railroad Unemployment 12 Insurance Act. 13 So do you think that unacceptable 14 anomalies would occur if we were to decide the 15 review question here without considering or 16 deciding the review question under the Railroad 17 Unemployment Insurance Act? 18 And because my -- my time is -- is 19 going to expire, let me fold in one other 20 question. How often does review occur under 21 these two different acts? Is there a big 2.2 difference in the number of cases? MS. HARRIS: There isn't a lot of 23 24 difference in the number of cases. It both does 25 -- it's pretty rare to get review under -- a

17

reopening under both. And I think the only 1 2 anomaly that would happen with respect to the two statutes is probably the employer coverage 3 determination. 4 5 So there are some questions that are common in the two schemes, and so there could 6 7 potentially be anomalies with respect to those 8 but not with respect to the particular case 9 here. 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Thank you. 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 12 Sotomayor. 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'd like to 14 continue with Justice Alito's question because, 15 yes, there might be differences, but you haven't 16 explained to me why those differences are 17 important. You just mentioned the employer 18 determination. But wouldn't that basically be a determination, an initial determination, of 19 20 entitlement to -- to benefits? 21 MS. HARRIS: So why is it important --22 well, it could be under 355(c), but the RRA 23 doesn't actually even mention employer 24 determinations as something under the statute. 25 It just says the definition of an employer is

Heritage Reporting Corporation

18

the same under both statutes. 1 2 But just to sort of back up and think 3 through why would it be very strange to 4 superimpose, say, the limitation of 355(c) 5 across the whole statute, 355(c) is a pretty 6 specific rule under the RUIA that pertains to 7 who is entitled to appeals or hearings under that particular short-term benefits statute. 8 9 And it really doesn't have any 10 counterpart in the RRA in toto. The only thing 11 the RR -- RRA provides is that in 12 Section 231f(c)(3), someone can obtain a right 13 of appeal to the Board based on a decision on 14 their application for an annuity. 15 So you would expect that if 355 --16 that if 355(c) were sort of controlling 17 throughout that scheme, the RRA would at least 18 attach significance to all the types of decisions that were being listed. 19 20 And I would also push on the 21 definition of an initial determination with respect to, you know, what -- what exactly you 22 can fit into it. 23 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I -- I guess 25 I'm still a little troubled, and I'm sorry for

Heritage Reporting Corporation

my denseness, but it seems to me that all of 1 2 those questions under 355 that you speak about go to the initial determination of rights or 3 4 liabilities of any person. It's basically 5 saying this kind of employer is liable or not liable to you. That's a clear determination of 6 7 rights or liabilities under the Act, and so it still would be subject to judicial review. 8 9 But hearkening back to what Justice 10 Thomas said, at least in Home Services, we 11 thought of or could think of -- and I understand 12 it was because of the regulatory scheme -- that 13 a motion to reopen was not a new determination 14 of rights or benefits, that it was a decision 15 not to reconsider that question. So why shouldn't we think of it that 16 17 way here? 18 MS. HARRIS: Because, if you thought of it that way, I think you'd have to override a 19 20 really long tradition of judicial review in 21 cases like Kucana versus Holder and Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers that holds the contrary 2.2 23 and says denials of reopening that are based on 24 new evidence are judicially reviewable because

25 they're final agency actions.

And the situations in which there is a 1 2 sense that there is a rehash, that there is no new evidence presented, that was also the case 3 4 in Your Home. So Your Home actually cites 5 Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers at the end, 6 and the case would make not very much sense if 7 you thought, you know, denials of reopening can never be final decisions, can never be 8 reviewable, because the second half of that 9 10 opinion is all about, well, yeah, it might not 11 be a final determination under the regulation at issue, but is it still judicially reviewable? 12 And the Court said no based on the 13 14 jurisdiction-stripping language of the Medicare 15 statute and also cited Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and said this is just the kind of 16 17 rehash claim that we don't generally review. 18 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, I've well run out of my time, so -- but thank you. 19 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan. 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: Ms. Harris, could I ask you a little bit more about this distinction 22 you're making between new evidence claims and 23 24 rehashed claims? And this really goes back to 25 Justice Breyer's question.

21

And I wasn't quite sure I understood 1 2 your answer to him, because he said, well, I understand the distinction you're making -- the 3 4 distinction you're making and -- and -- and --5 but where do we get that distinction from the 6 statutory language? Why is one determining 7 rights and liabilities and the other is not? 8 MS. HARRIS: So the distinction, I 9 think, comes from the idea that they are both 10 final decisions that deny someone benefits or 11 deny someone whatever they're asking on a 12 reopening claim. 13 The reason why the new evidence or 14 changed circumstances claim is reviewable and 15 has long been reviewable in multiple contexts is 16 that it is not something that is unmanageable 17 for courts to figure out. It's not committed to 18 agency discretion by law. 19 And the reason is you can figure out 20 that there's something that hasn't been 21 presented to the agency before that might change the outcome, and it would be inequitable not to 22 23 let someone litigate that and get judicial 24 review of that type of decision, whereas the 25 rehash type of claim does, as in Sanders, raise

potential concerns about circumvention of the
 limitations period.

3 So it's sort of a combination of the 4 sense of what is a final decision, but also the 5 other step of is it the kind of final decision 6 that courts can review in a meaningful sense? 7 And that, I think, is the distinction, again, 8 that Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers mostly 9 drew.

JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. Can I ask you a question about 355, go back to where you started? I guess I don't quite understand your argument there.

If I could just sort of simplify 355, it would read like this: Any claimant, any railway labor organization, any base-year employer, or any other party aggrieved by a final decision under subsection (c). Why wouldn't the "under subsection

20 (c)" language apply to each of those three 21 identified and one catch-all party?

22 MS. HARRIS: So I think there are 23 three reasons why the last-antecedent rule 24 remains the default and wouldn't be overcome 25 there.

23

First of all, if the government were 1 2 right, I don't think Congress would have let all of the parties challenge any final decision. 3 4 Congress would presumably say those parties 5 could challenge such final decision, because 6 it's strange to have "any final decision," full 7 stop, if there's no one who could challenge anything else. 8 9 And, second of all, I think there's

10 contextual reasons why "other" in that phrase is 11 a word that's differentiating between meaningful classes of litigants. The first three listed 12 13 parties -- claimants, labor organizations, and 14 base-year employers -- are very differently 15 situated for purposes of the RUIA than the 16 residual parties. And what I mean by that is 17 the first three parties can be aggrieved by all 18 kinds of decisions, whether or not they're listed in 355(c). 19

But the residual parties are people who are described in 355(c) itself who can only be aggrieved by decisions that are described in 355(c). And one example of that would be the non-base-year employers under the RUIA.

25 They're mentioned --

1 JUSTICE KAGAN: Thank you, Ms. Harris. 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 3 Gorsuch. 4 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Good morning, 5 Ms. Harris. 6 Let me pick up right there. Let -let -- let's suppose for the purposes of this 7 8 question that I agree with you that under 9 355(f), reopening petitions could be reviewed 10 under the RUIA. But let's also suppose that the 11 language in 231g suggests that reopening 12 petitions under the RRA cannot. 13 And that -- that leads to kind of an 14 anomaly, I think we'd all agree, and I'm not 15 sure I could understand the rational reason for 16 the distinction, which makes me wonder what 17 about 355(q), which, as you know, suggests that 18 findings of fact and conclusions of law by the Board in their determination of claims are final 19 20 and conclusive on all persons? 21 And let -- you know, it makes me 22 wonder whether Congress ever anticipated the 23 idea of reopening decisions or even authorized 24 them. And if Congress didn't authorize them, if 25 they were never anticipated, if they just simply

25

1 weren't permitted under 355(g), what should that 2 tell us about both (f) -- 355(f) and 231g? 3 MS. HARRIS: Well, a couple of points 4 on that. 5 First of all, with respect to the text 6 of 355(g), that is a review exclusivity 7 provision under the RUIA, and it says -- it is 8 talking about the determination of any claim for benefits or refunds. 9 10 And our argument in the first instance is that's certainly capacious enough to include 11 12 reopening. And one clue that that might be the 13 case is that the delegation to the Board with 14 respect --15 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Well, let -- let --16 let's put that aside for the moment. Let's say 17 I just disagree with you on that. Then what? 18 MS. HARRIS: Then I think you'd still 19 be looking at the broad delegation of power that 20 Congress gave to the Board and said in the 21 delegation of power that the Board was supposed to create regulations for "all controversial 22 matters under the Act," which is extremely 23 24 broad. 25 The other things I'd point you to are

Heritage Reporting Corporation

26

the fact that reopening, since 1939, in -- in 1 2 the view of the Board is something that the Court thought that it absolutely had to do. 3 And so I think there is -- there's a good reason the 4 5 government hasn't argued that reopening simply 6 isn't authorized under the statute. Its --7 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Why doesn't it 8 suggest, though, that this is purely a matter of regulatory grace and it isn't -- it isn't 9 10 contemplated, required, or maybe even authorized 11 by statute, but it's something the executive can do and -- and that we really have no role in? 12 13 It can only benefit a claimant to have a 14 reopening. It can't harm a claimant. And at 15 that point, we have nothing to say on the 16 matter. 17 MS. HARRIS: Well, two points there. 18 I mean, first of all, the idea that 19 discretionary determinations that only help 20 claimants are -- would be immune from judicial 21 review on that basis would be a sea change in 22 all the other contexts, like, for instance, 23 immigration, where reopening for about 80 years 24 only stood --25 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Well, let's stick to

27

the Railroad Retirement Act and -- and -- and 1 2 maybe even the RUIA. What -- what -- what harm would there be in that? 3 4 MS. HARRIS: What harm would there be 5 in not having judicial review of reopenings? 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Right. 7 MS. HARRIS: I think there would be massive harm here. I mean, it's a critical 8 9 safety valve that ensures reasoned 10 decisionmaking for decisions that can have 11 life-changing consequences for people. 12 And judicial review really has a 13 strong in forum effect in this context for 14 agencies to keep them accountable. And, you 15 know, I think that it would be extraordinary to 16 think that there's a situation where the agency is -- you know, you have to explain the benefits 17 18 of judicial review, the -- the reason for the presumption is --19 20 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Counsel, thank you. 21 My -- my time's expired. 2.2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 23 Kavanaugh. 24 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Good morning, 25 Ms. Harris.

28

I want to pick up on something Justice 1 2 Breyer brought up about the history of this 3 issue and case law, because my understanding's 4 very different from his, and I just wanted to 5 get that out there, and you can respond. 6 So here's my understanding of what's 7 happened on this issue over the years: In 1966, Judge Friendly issued an opinion for the Second 8 9 Circuit that said there was judicial review of 10 these kinds of reopenings, and that's been the 11 law in the Second Circuit for 54 years now. 12 It's in a "but see" in the government's brief, 13 but that's been the law in -- in the Second 14 Circuit. 15 Then along comes Califano in 1977, dealing, of course, with a different act with 16 17 different language, and says no judicial review 18 there. 19 And then the courts of appeals 20 essentially pick up on Califano in this context 21 without paying attention, in my view, to the -to the language difference in Califano, and you 22 see the Seventh Circuit and the Fifth, Fourth, 23 24 and Third all kind of go on the call -- Califano 25 road, also a little bit with the greater

29

1 includes the lesser point.

2	And Califano doesn't work, as I think
3	the government itself was going to have to
4	acknowledge here, and so they're back-filling
5	with textual arguments on 355(f), which you've
б	answered, and then 231g. And I just would point
7	out I think this is right, but you tell me if
8	I'm wrong the government has never argued in
9	this way the 231g point in all these cases.
10	You know, you go back and look at the
11	briefs and it's just not been part of that,
12	presumably, because they've long understood, the
13	Railroad Board at least, has long understood
14	that denials of reopening, just like grants of
15	reopening, obviously change your benefits if
16	it's a grant of reopening, and so a denial too
17	determines your rights and liabilities.
18	So I think that I guess my
19	understanding of the history of this is quite a
20	bit different in terms of the case law in going
21	back to Judge Friendly's opinion and what
22	Justice Breyer said. And you can I mean,
23	that's a favorable question to you, obviously.
24	But, if you want to fill in any gaps there, go
25	ahead.

30

1 MS. HARRIS: Sure. I mean, I -- I 2 obviously agree with the recount of the history, and I also think that your account of the 3 government's position is spot on. 4 5 The government's brief at pages 14 and 6 29, their position has never been that 231g's 7 determining rights or liabilities language, if 8 you untethered it from the RUIA, would exclude 9 denials of reopening. 10 Their position is those are just code 11 words for decisions under 355(c). And that 12 doesn't seem like a plausible view. And the 13 government, as you know, has also agreed that 14 the Railroad Retirement Board from its inception 15 has always thought that reopening was something that the Board could and, indeed, should do in 16 17 certain circumstances. 18 And so I do think it would be 19 extraordinary to think that when there's such a 20 long history of the Board understanding its own 21 powers to include reopening, and when there's 22 language that is certainly at least capacious 23 enough to plausibly include this type of 24 decision, you would read in some sort of 25 exclusion of review when there is no express bar

Heritage Reporting Corporation

31

in the statute saying there's no review of other 1 2 decisions, which, again, distinguishes this case 3 from Califano and the Social Security statute. 4 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you. 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Barrett. 6 7 JUSTICE BARRETT: Good morning, Ms. Harris. 8 9 So, in thinking about the 231g 10 question and whether the denial of a motion to 11 reopen determines rights or liabilities, I 12 think, when you look at 261.2 and the 13 regulations, if you're thinking about 261.2(b), 14 you know, if a denial is essentially a 15 conclusion that there was no new or material 16 evidence of error, then I can see how that might 17 qualify as a determination of a right or a 18 liability. But what about in Mr. Salinas's case? 19 20 I mean, is it fair to -- to characterize his 21 motion here as a motion to reconsider the denial of his motion to reopen (b)(4)? 22 MS. HARRIS: Yes, I think it would be 23 24 absolutely fair, and, indeed, that is the 25 provision the Board mentioned below when it's

32

thinking about it. And that's at pages 7A to 8A
 of the petition appendix.

And the reason is Mr. Salinas's claim 3 4 is that he wanted to present and was not able to present important medical evidence in 2006 about 5 6 his depression and anxiety that would have 7 affected the -- the Board's understanding of 8 whether it should find good cause to excuse his late filing in that 2000 -- 2006 claim. 9 So 10 that's really in the heartland of the kind of new evidence type claim to be looking for. 11 JUSTICE BARRETT: But it's new 12 13 evidence that bears on his motion to reopen, not on the underlying determination of his 14 15 entitlement to benefits, right? MS. HARRIS: Well, I think it bears on 16 17 both here. The -- the -- it bears on, first of 18 all, why he was not allowed to pursue his 2006 19 claim, which was the Board said we're not going 20 to excuse you for not proceeding further when he 21 failed to file in a timely fashion to continue litigating it. 22 23 And he said, I'm really sorry. Ι 24 wasn't able to file within the 60-day period. Ι

Heritage Reporting Corporation

have pretty serious depression. And at that

33

time, he wasn't able to get the new medical evidence that would have allowed him to present that argument to the Board and would have both constituted good cause and obviously borne on the underlying claim.

6 JUSTICE BARRETT: Let me expand it 7 beyond Mr. Salinas's motion here, because what 8 I'm getting at is, even if you could consider 9 some grounds for not reopening a determination 10 of rights or liabilities, I'm not sure that's 11 true of all.

12 So, for example, what if it's just 13 flatly that somebody came forward beyond the 14 four years permitted in 261.2(b) and they just 15 said, sorry, it's late? That's not really -- it 16 doesn't fit neatly into the definition 17 determination of rights or liabilities.

18 MS. HARRIS: Well, I think, if you thought that a determination that raises new 19 20 evidence in general is about your rights and 21 liabilities because there's a denial of your 22 benefits claim and you're being denied an 23 opportunity to present that new evidence, the 24 question would sort of be, is that regulation as 25 applied in this circumstance arbitrary in

1 cutting that off?

2 And the other piece of it is the Board 3 obviously has the discretion to say that, yes, 4 you know, even though four years have passed, 5 you presented new evidence and we're willing to consider that here. 6 7 And the question is always going to 8 be, was the Board acting reasonably in excusing that or not? So I think we kind of end up in 9 10 the same place, which is maybe this all just 11 underscores why denials of reopening that present new evidence have for so long in so many 12 13 contexts been considered judicially reviewable. 14 JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you. My 15 time's expired. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: A minute to 16 17 wrap up, Ms. Harris. 18 MS. HARRIS: Thanks, Chief Justice. Thanks, Chief Justice. 19 20 I just want to circle back on some of 21 the points with respect to why is this different 22 from Your Home and Sanders, and I think it is 23 really critical to think about that because 24 Sanders for so long had been -- it really is 25 focused on a very different text about the

Social Security Act, and I think that's a
 classic case of, if Congress, in the Railroad
 Retirement Act or the RUIA, had intended to
 preclude judicial review and seal it off, it
 would have -- it would have chosen a structure
 like this.

7 You have 26 U.S.C. 405(b) that says, 8 in the Social Security context only, you know, 9 here's what you have to do to get a hearing. 10 You have 405(g) that then says there's only 11 judicial review of final decisions made after a hearing. And then, in 405(f), the Act says you 12 13 can't have any other kind of decision reviewed 14 except for through 405(q).

15 And that's the kind of sealing off of review that is actually missing here. Even if 16 17 you had questions with respect to whether 231g 18 does or does not plausibly encompass denials of reopening and in what context, there is nothing 19 20 that takes away judicial review under the RRA. 21 And so --2.2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Ms.

22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: THank you, MS.23 Harris.

- 24 Mr. Raynor.
- 25

36

1 ORAL ARGUMENT OF AUSTIN RAYNOR 2 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT 3 MR. RAYNOR: Thank you, Mr. Chief 4 Justice, and may it please the Court: 5 This case is about a narrow issue, whether the Railroad Retirement Board's refusal 6 7 to reopen a prior benefits determination is judicially reviewable. Every tool of statutory 8 9 interpretation indicates that the answer to that 10 question is no. 11 First is the text. Section 355(f) of the RUIA provides for judicial review only of 12 13 those decisions made under subsection (c). 14 Subsection (c) is the RUIA's exhaustion 15 provision, and it provides for internal review of certain particularly significant Board 16 17 decisions. Reopening determinations are not 18 listed in subsection (c) and, therefore, are not judicially reviewable. 19 20 The RUIA's structure confirms this 21 reading. The RUIA's exhaustion, judicial review, and review exclusivity provisions all 22 23 work together to ensure that the most important 24 Board decisions receive internal and judicial 25 review through the mechanisms specified in

37

subsection (f). Those provisions are 1 2 interlocking, and each covers the same basic category of core substantive determinations 3 4 enumerated under subsection (c). 5 Lastly, the government's reading also accords with the policies underlying the 6 7 relevant statute. Congress chose not to require reopening at all, much less judicial review of 8 9 reopening. 10 The agency's decision in its 11 discretion to offer reopening does not entitle a claimant to yet another opportunity for judicial 12 13 review. 14 Petitioner reaches a contrary 15 conclusion only by dismissing context and reading certain words and phrases in the statute 16 17 in isolation. His interpretation would cause 18 dislocations throughout the statutory scheme. The Court should reject that 19 20 interpretation and affirm the judgment below. 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Raynor, I 22 don't think I heard you mention 231g. Maybe I -- I missed it. But you don't get to it in the 23 24 arguments section of your brief until 13 pages 25 into it.

38

The -- the question is judicial review 1 2 under the RRA. There is a provision in the RRA 3 that talks about judicial review. 4 Why -- why don't -- why are you so shy 5 about that one? MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, the way that 6 7 we think the statute works is that 231g makes decisions under the RRA reviewable to the same 8 9 extent a court's bonding decision under the RUIA 10 would be reviewable. 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, it limits --12 13 MR. RAYNOR: And that --14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- it -- it 15 makes some decisions reviewable to the same extent as under the RUIA, but you have to go 16 17 through its discussion of what decisions are. 18 It's determination of rights or liabilities. 19 They have to be under the Act. 20 Do you need a decision that covers 21 355(f) to decide this case? MR. RAYNOR: No, Your Honor. I agree 22 23 with you that the determination of rights or 24 liabilities language in Section 231g is a gating 25 mechanism. And if you didn't think that a

39

reopening denial gualified as a determination of 1 2 rights or liabilities, which, in our view, would be correct under Your Home, then judicial review 3 would not be available under the RRA. 4 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is there -- is 6 there some problem in terms of the practical 7 administration having arguably or perhaps different standards or different scope of 8 9 reviewability under one Act rather than the 10 other? 11 MR. RAYNOR: I don't think there would 12 be huge practical problems, Your Honor. And, in 13 fact, our position is that the -- the types of 14 decisions made under subsection (c) are 15 substantive determinations of rights or 16 liabilities. 17 And so that language in 231g, 18 interpreted according to its plain meaning, 19 would pick up the decisions under subsection 20 (c.). So even if you wanted to go just on the 21 basis of a plain meaning approach to determinations of rights or liabilities, that 22 23 would allow conformity between 231g and 24 Section 355. 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, you say

40

just a plain meaning approach with, it sounds, a 1 2 little bit of disdain, but why -- the -- the RRA program is the vast majority of rail -- railroad 3 4 benefits, right? I mean, the RUIA is just a 5 tail on the dog, right? 6 MR. RAYNOR: That's correct. 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay. Thank 8 you, counsel. 9 Justice Thomas. 10 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you, Mr. Chief 11 Justice. Mr. Raynor, the -- I, with the Chief 12 13 -- I agree with the Chief Justice in wondering 14 why you're so reluctant to argue 231g, but let 15 me ask you this: The -- could the agency do away with the whole process of reopening? 16 17 MR. RAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor. And I 18 don't -- the Petitioner doesn't dispute that. 19 Reopening is clearly a matter of grace. The 20 statute doesn't require it, and the agency could 21 repeal its reopening regulations tomorrow. 22 JUSTICE THOMAS: If that's the case, how could it be then that it's a final decision 23 24 if it's purely discretionary? I think the hard 25 connection for me to make is, how do you get

from a discretionary decision with respect to 1 2 reopening to the underlying issue of benefits? MR. RAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor. 3 So we 4 certainly agree with you that a mere denial of 5 reopening doesn't determine benefits. It doesn't determine rights or liabilities. 6 7 Of course, if the agency reopens the 8 decision and readjudicates the merits, that 9 would be a different matter. But that's not at 10 issue here. 11 JUSTICE THOMAS: So that would be a final decision. And I think the other side of 12 13 that argument, though, would be the decision not to reopen would be a denial of the benefit, even 14 15 if you don't reconsider or hear more evidence. 16 What -- what do you make of 17 Ms. Harris's distinction between rehearing or 18 reopening petitions in cases of -- where there's 19 just a rehash of the underlying evidence, as 20 opposed to the cases -- or as compared to the 21 cases or distinguished from the cases involving 2.2 additional evidence? MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, it wasn't 23 24 clear to me if counsel for Petitioner was 25 conceding that mere rehash cases would not be

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

2.2

reviewable. If so, we certainly agree with 1 that. Our fallback position here is that the Locomotive Engineers background rule of 4 "committed to agency discretion by law" would at the very least foreclose mere rehash cases. But, as Justice Breyer pointed out with respect to the text of 355 and 231g, the statute doesn't make any such distinction. And so the question here is, across the board, are reopening deniables -- denials reviewable or not? 12 And so, to the extent that Petitioner 13 is conceding that rehashed cases allow circumvention of the statute of limitations, 14 15 ruling in Petitioner -- Petitioner's favor in 16 this case would, of -- of course, open up that 17 can of worms. JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 20 Breyer. 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Thank you. It -- it doesn't say there isn't review. 23 There is a very, very strong 24 presumption of judicial review. Both briefs 25 make good arguments on 355(c). And I bet when I

43

read Judge Henry -- Henry Friendly, it's a 1 2 pretty good argument. 3 And I quess you could interpret rights and liabilities -- I mean, there is language 4 5 certainly in your favor in that Your Home case. 6 But you might interpret it as being a final 7 decision in respect to rights and liabilities because he wants the rights and liabilities rule 8 changed because of dah-dah-dah. 9 10 Okay. So why isn't there enough 11 ambiguity and no forbidding of it that you just get under regular judicial review? The APA. 12 13 Final decision, unlawful, dah-dah. MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, Petitioner 14 15 has not asserted that review under the APA would be permissible here. He's never attempted to 16 17 proceed under the APA. 18 JUSTICE BREYER: Maybe. But why isn't it? 19 20 MR. RAYNOR: 355(q) is what would 21 preclude APA review under case --2.2 JUSTICE BREYER: But then you get back to my point, which is that, look, if there is 23 24 any ambiguity here or any significant ambiguity, 25 go with the normal presumption.

44

1 MR. RAYNOR: In -- in cases like Your 2 Home --3 JUSTICE BREYER: It doesn't say -- it 4 doesn't say no review. 5 MR. RAYNOR: Correct, Your Honor, 6 although, in cases like Erika, Inc., the Court has held that you can -- there can be structural 7 8 negative implications that preclude other forms 9 of review. And our position is that the statute 10 here precludes other forms of review. 11 As to your presumption question, in 12 both Your Home and Sanders, the Court declined 13 to mention the across-the-board presumption. 14 And in both of those cases, as here, reopening 15 was a matter of agency grace. And it makes 16 sense in a large benefits program, where 17 reopening is a matter of agency grace that the 18 agency could withdraw at will, not to apply the 19 presumption in the same way it's applied in 20 other contexts. 21 And, in particular, reopening, by definition, is attempting to reopen a prior 22 decision that the claimant will have had an 23 24 opportunity to seek judicial review of. And so 25 there's not the same pressing need for judicial

45

review here that there would be if we were 1 2 talking about a case involving primary exhaustion of a benefits claim. 3 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Thank you. 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Alito. 6 JUSTICE ALITO: There are people in 7 the government who understand these schemes very well, and, therefore, I assume there is a reason 8 9 why you led off with the argument based on the 10 Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act rather than 11 just the provision of the Railroad Retirement Act. What is that? 12 13 MR. RAYNOR: Again, Your Honor, the 14 reason is that 231g makes determinations of 15 rights or liabilities under the RRA subject to 16 judicial review only to the same extent as 17 corresponding rights or liabilities under the 18 RUIA and subject to the same limitations under the RUIA. 19 20 So that's why we started with the 21 But just to be clear, we're not running RUIA. away from 231q. We think 231q is strongly 22 23 confirmatory of our interpretation of 355. And, 24 as the Chief Justice pointed out, the 25 "determination of rights or liabilities"

language alone could preclude review in this
 case.

JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I'm not sure I 3 understand the answer. Is -- is the answer that 4 5 you really think that it would be a mistake --6 it would be -- it would be wrong as a matter of 7 law or it would create anomalies if we were to decide the case based solely on 231q? 8 MR. RAYNOR: I don't think that it 9 10 would be wrong as a matter of law, Your Honor, 11 and I don't think that it would create serious anomalies. 12 13 That being said, the government's view 14 is that the best reading of these statutes is 15 that 231g effectively piggybacks on 355 and is 16 designed to pick up the same kind of decisions 17 under 355(c) that would be reviewable under 18 355(f). So the government does read the two 19 statutes together in that respect. 20 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Thank you. 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 22 Sotomayor. 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, your 24 answer gives me great pause. I'm loath often to

46

go off on grounds that the parties haven't

really defended or argued. And you say you 1 2 don't think there will be serious anomalies. Can you quarantee there aren't? Are 3 you absolutely sure? 4 5 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, again, our 6 position is that that language, "determination 7 of rights or liabilities," tracks the kind of decisions that are made under subsection (c), 8 9 so --10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So you've answered 11 my question, counsel. 12 You argue that we need not decide, at 13 least in your brief, whether adopting your 14 interpretation would foreclose challenges to the 15 denial of reopening on constitutional grounds. 16 But, in the brief he submitted during 17 his administrative appeal, Salinas appeared to 18 make sort of a due process argument, claiming he 19 lacked the mental capacity to understand the 20 procedures for requesting review. 21 Let's say we found Salinas's claim to 2.2 be colorable. Would there be jurisdiction for 23 judicial review? 24 MR. RAYNOR: No, Your Honor, for two 25 reasons.

48

First, he -- he forfeited that 1 2 argument. That's not something that he's raised 3 here. 4 And, second, at the very least, I think the constitutional claim would have to be 5 substantial. Tyryv, for example, left open the 6 7 possibility of extraordinary cases. And it wouldn't be sufficient for a petitioner just to 8 recharacterize a run-of-the-mill reopening 9 10 denial as a due process violation. 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Thank you, 12 counsel. 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Kagan. 14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Raynor, has the 15 government ever before made an argument of the 16 kind that you're hearing here, that this is 17 resolvable only on the 231 section and not by 18 reference to 355? 19 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, the 20 government's traditional argument that Justice 21 Kavanaugh pointed out has been based on 355(c). 22 And as our briefing reflects, that -- that 23 remains our primary argument in this case, and 24 we view 231g as confirmatory of that traditional 25 argument.

49

JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. Could I ask you 1 2 about how this cross-reference really works? 3 Because it's quite confusing to me. You know, 4 it says "decisions of the Board determining 5 rights and liabilities." And then it, you know, 6 gets you over to 355 because it says, "as though 7 the decision were a determination of corresponding rights or liabilities under the 8 9 RUTA." 10 But the RUIA never uses this language 11 of "rights or liabilities." So how do you exactly know what decisions are reviewable --12 13 you know, what -- what -- how the RUIA treats 14 decisions of rights or liabilities when the RUIA 15 uses the term "final decision"? 16 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, in many 17 cases, there's going to be a direct parallel 18 between decisions under the two acts. For 19 example, there's reopening under the RUIA. 20 There's also reopening under the RRA. So the 21 translation principle won't be very difficult to apply in those sorts of cases. 22 23 And in looking at --24 JUSTICE KAGAN: So is that to say, Mr. 25 Raynor, that you're reading this as essentially

1	just a synonym for the final decision language
2	in 355? In other words, that you would say as
3	though the decision were a determination of
4	corresponding rights or liabilities under the
5	RUIA means the same thing as as though the
6	decision were a final decision under the RUIA?
7	MR. RAYNOR: Not quite, Your Honor.
8	We we're saying that "determinations of
9	rights or liabilities" is effectively a synonym
10	for final decisions under subsection (c) under
11	the RUIA.
12	JUSTICE KAGAN: Okay. That's all.
13	Thank you very much.
14	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
15	Gorsuch.
16	JUSTICE GORSUCH: Good morning,
17	counsel.
18	I want to follow up on Justice
19	Sotomayor's inquiry about constitutional
20	challenges. Page 15 and 16 of the brief, you
21	say, foreclosing garden-variety reopening
22	motions like the one here would not raise any
23	distinct issue of a rare case in which the
24	denial of reopening might be challenged on
25	constitutional grounds.

51

If we were to adopt your view either 1 2 on 231 or 355(c), there would be -- appear to be no statutory basis to allow judicial review of 3 4 any reopening decision. Where does this special 5 exception for constitutional challenges come 6 from and how do we know what a good one -- a 7 garden-variety one is compared to a really -the merits would determine our jurisdiction? I 8 9 quess I'm just curious how all that follows. 10 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, in Sanders, 11 the Court articulated that the presumption in favor of judicial review is stronger for 12 13 constitutional claims. And so it could be --14 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Yeah, I understand 15 -- I understand that, counsel. I'm talking about the statute. Can you help me there? 16 17 MR. RAYNOR: Yes. So 355(q) is the 18 preclusion provision here. And in Thunder Basin, the Court said that a preclusion 19 20 provision like this might not bar other forms of 21 review for issues that were truly collateral to the agency's mission. 22 23 And so one doctrinal way to approach 24 this would be to say that a substantial 25 constitutional claim is collateral to the

agency's mission and is not covered by 355(g). 1 2 But, again, he's not pressing that 3 And, as in Tyryv, the Court could simply here. 4 leave that open for a future case. 5 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you. 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 7 Kavanaugh. 8 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you. 9 Thank you, Mr. Raynor. 10 On the greater includes the lesser argument that I understand you to be making in 11 12 part, namely, that the government's -- they're 13 not required to grant reopening, so if they --14 if they allow reopening, they can deny judicial 15 review, I mean, that's not usually how 16 administrative law works. 17 You know, yes, you have discretion 18 whether to provide this particular kind of avenue for relief, but I -- I'm not aware of 19 20 examples like this where, but, if we do so, we 21 can just cut off all judicial review of it. 2.2 What's your response to that? 23 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, the special 24 thing about reopening here is that unlike other 25 discretionary decisions, for example, in Hawkes,

53

this -- this is something that's a free benefit 1 2 above and beyond the main exhaustion process, the substantive entitlement to benefits. 3 4 And the Court has recognized this 5 graves principle in both Sanders and Your Home, which are the most on --6 7 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Let me --8 MR. RAYNOR: -- point precedent --9 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I'm sorry -- sorry 10 to interrupt, but it -- but it's important, right? So it is possible that the reopening 11 12 petition, the Board will mistakenly deny 13 reopening, and the rail -- the railroad worker 14 should then -- should have received benefits. 15 And so I don't know about saying it's above and beyond. In that case, the worker 16 17 should have gotten the benefits, did not, and 18 you're saying no judicial review? 19 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, in any 20 reopening case, the -- the claimant could have 21 exhausted his original claim and sought judicial 2.2 review at that time. And that's all that the statute --23 24 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: But the whole 25 point -- sorry to interrupt, but the whole point

54

of these is that there is often new evidence 1 2 that could not have been presented at the time. That's the point. It's not a rehash. 3 There's 4 new evidence that shows that the initial 5 determination was wrong. 6 And you're saying even if the Board 7 makes a mistake on the reopening, mis-evaluates the new evidence, no judicial review, forget 8 9 about it? 10 MR. RAYNOR: That's correct. And there's nothing surprising about that from the 11 12 perspective of congressional intent because --13 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: No, that's -- I --14 I understand your larger point on that. 15 You said earlier that there was no -and you've just reiterated, I guess -- no 16 17 pressing need for judicial review here. I guess 18 I'm not sure about that, given the example I 19 just gave. 20 But, on the flip side, the burden on 21 the courts seems to be almost nil. In the D.C. Circuit, at least, in the last five years, 22 23 trouble finding any case that involved judicial 24 review of a denied reopening in this context. 25 The floodgates concern does not seem

to be a -- a real one, but you can correct me if 1 2 that's wrong. 3 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, I agree that 4 the absolute number of reopening petitions is 5 low, and we're not really pushing the floodgates argument. I think --6 7 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Okay. Let's --8 MR. RAYNOR: -- it's a practical 9 problem. 10 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: -- sneak one last 11 one in. 12 On 231g, I understood your answer to 13 Justice Kagan to be actually that's right, the 14 government has never argued before in the many 15 decades of this that you could resolve this on 16 231g alone. Indeed, 231g did not really appear 17 in a lot of the government's position in arguing 18 these cases over the decades. Is that accurate? 19 MR. RAYNOR: I agree, Your Honor, that 20 the Board has not traditionally interpreted 231g 21 in isolation. 2.2 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Okay. Very 23 helpful, Mr. Raynor. Thank you very much. 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice 25 Barrett.

56

1 JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you. 2 So, counsel, when the Chief Justice 3 asked you if there would be a practical problem 4 in having a different scope of review under the 5 RRA and the RUIA, you said: Well, it would be conforming to our view of 355. 6 7 So, in other words, if we interpret 8 355 the way you would like us to to cover review only of claims under 355(c), then it's the exact 9 10 same for both. 11 But what if we disagree with you? 12 What if we say no, review under 355 is of any 13 final decision, and so then there may be a 14 different scope. What practical problems might 15 arise then? 16 MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, I don't know 17 that it would be a practical problem so much as sort of counterintuitive from the perspective of 18 congressional intent. And in that world, for 19 20 example, a claimant could obtain judicial review 21 of reopening under the RUIA but wouldn't be able to obtain judicial review of reopening under the 22 23 RRA. 24 And that's an anomaly that might be

Heritage Reporting Corporation

surprising to think that Congress intended that,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

but it probably wouldn't be a practical problem per se. JUSTICE BARRETT: Have there been many cases in which courts -- I mean, I'm aware of one -- but have courts ever held that motions for reopening are reviewable as opposed to are not reviewable under the RUIA? MR. RAYNOR: Under the R --JUSTICE BARRETT: Or was all -- was all the action in the RRA context? MR. RAYNOR: Your Honor, most of these cases arise in the RRA context. There's a far 12 greater number of beneficiaries. I don't know 14 the precise number of cases that pertain to the 15 RUIA specifically. And, of course, under the government's approach, there's really no difference in the analysis under either statute. JUSTICE BARRETT: Is the reason why the government would prefer for us to decide this under 355 is that it then takes care of narrowing -- making sure that the scope is the 23 same and the narrow one that you proposed for purposes of both the RUIA and the RRA, as opposed to using 231g, which narrows only RRA

58

1 claims? 2 MR. RAYNOR: Certainly, we would agree 3 that interpreting the statutes in harmony and 4 creating symmetry between the two provisions is 5 the most plausible understanding of 6 congressional intent. 7 Again, as a practical matter, the RRA, there's a far greater number of beneficiaries 8 9 under the RRA. 10 JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you. 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We have time 12 for additional questioning of Mr. Raynor if any 13 members of the Court have questions they'd like 14 to ask. 15 If not, Mr. Raynor, why don't you take a couple of minutes for wrapping up. 16 17 MR. RAYNOR: Thank you, Mr. Chief 18 Justice. One thing I would just like to touch 19 20 on is counsel for Petitioner's point that this 21 is a new evidence case rather than a rehash case. I want to just reiterate that there's no 22 basis in the statute for that distinction. 23 24 And Sanders and Your Home don't 25 distinguish between new evidence and rehash

59

And even on the facts of this case, 1 cases. 2 Petitioner argued below that there was an error on the face of the record. That was his basis 3 4 for reopening in the Fifth Circuit. 5 And the reason for that is that 6 there's a four-year limitations period on 7 raising new evidence. And so his reopening application is effectively dead in the water if 8 he's attempting to raise new evidence because he 9 10 filed the reopening motion far more than four 11 years after the original determination. So, if the Court ended up going down 12 13 that route and making that distinction, remand would be appropriate to determine whether this 14 15 actually is new evidence and, if it is, whether 16 reopening is appropriate. 17 In closing, I would just like to note 18 that at the end of the day, Petitioner's 19 argument boils down to two presumptions: the 20 last-antecedent rule and the presumption in 21 favor of judicial review. And to the extent 22 they apply here at all, both of those presumptions have diminished force and are 23 24 easily overcome.

25 Instead of focusing on the

60

presumptions, this Court should focus on the 1 2 text and structure. Section 231g says that only determinations of rights or liabilities are 3 4 reviewable. That language tracks 355, which 5 only allows review of decisions under subsection (c), which, again, are substantive 6 7 determinations about a party's entitlement to rights or benefits or coverage under the 8 9 statutes. 10 Petitioner focuses on interpreting 11 certain words and phrases in isolation. He has no explanation for how the different provisions 12 13 fit together in a sensible or coherent way. 14 The government's interpretation, in 15 contrast, harmonizes the different provisions and reflects the orderly review scheme that 16 17 Congress intended. 18 This Court should affirm the judgment below. Thank you. 19 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, 21 counsel. 2.2 Ms. Harris, three minutes for 23 rebuttal. 24

61

1 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF SARAH M. HARRIS 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER 3 MS. HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Chief 4 Justice. Three points. 5 First of all, there is absolutely no 6 reason to go out on a limb that is fairly 7 untested and that I take the government is not 8 fully comfortable with with respect to 231q, 9 which is to treat rights and liabilities as 10 narrower than what it might mean to have all 11 final decisions reviewable under the RUIA. 12 And it would be really perverse to do 13 If you had a situation where short-term so. 14 beneficiaries under the RUIA were entitled to 15 reopening, yet long-term beneficiaries under the RRA were not, it really would sort of put -- it 16 17 takes the statutory scheme upside down because 18 it is the long-term beneficiaries who are the ones who are most in need of a check after that 19 20 initial denial or grant of benefits on changed 21 circumstances. They are the people who have these 2.2 23 benefits for potentially a long time or need 24 them for a long time. And while the government

Heritage Reporting Corporation

doesn't seem to see any anomalies in this

62

scheme, I do think there are at least some 1 2 because the definition of an employer is the 3 same across the statutes. 4 And so I take the government's 5 position to be, well, perhaps if all final 6 decisions under the RUIA are reviewable, then 7 employers could get reopening with respect to 8 whether or not they are covered by the RUIA. 9 For instance, if they could show that 10 an initial coverage determination was wrong for some reason or they had new evidence about --11 12 that wasn't considered, or changed 13 circumstances. 14 But yet they somehow couldn't do that 15 under the RRA, even though that's the scheme that tends to take the most -- tends to -- tends 16 17 to bear the heaviest burden on employers. 18 Again, that would be hugely perverse. 19 And if you thought that reopening 20 isn't a right or liability under the RRA because 21 it's not a change from the status quo, you'd also have real concerns about other 2.2 determinations that suddenly wouldn't seem to be 23 24 reviewable either under that scheme, for 25 instance, denials of modifications of benefits

or terminations of benefits, where, for instance, let's say the employer found fraud and wanted to reopen a long-term annuity decision and alert the Board to it. That wouldn't be subject to reopening either, or where someone has a much graver disability and wants to modify their benefits on that basis.

Second of all, with respect to 8 discretionary determinations, the agency brief 9 10 point, I think, fails. I do think this is the 11 same as Hawkes, where the Court said there's no 12 count your blessing of principle. There was no 13 obligation under the statute and no mention in 14 the Clean Water Act of stand-alone 15 jurisdictional determinations, but they were still reviewable once the agency did them. 16 17 And, third, the government has no 18 explanation for why reopening denials would ever 19 be reviewable. And we know they are reviewable 20 in so many contexts, including the immigration 21 context, for nearly a century. 2.2 And our explanation, I think, explains

23 standards in Your Home which is that, while the 24 reopening denials in those cases might have been 25 final decisions, they are not ones that the

agency has manageable standards for reviewing. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel. The case is submitted. (Whereupon, at 12:16 p.m., the case was submitted.) б

1	
11:13 [2] 1: 16 3: 2	80 [1] 26
12:16 [1] 64: 4	8A [1] 32
13 [1] 37 :24	
14 [1] 30: 5	96 [1] 4:
15 [1] 50: 20	30 [1] 4.
16 [1] 50: 20	
19-199 [1] 3 :4	a.m [2] 1
1939 [2] 8:16 26:1	aback
1960s [1] 11: 16	able [4]
1966 [1] 28: 7	above
1970s [1] 11: 16	
1977 [1] 28: 15	above-
	absolu
2	absolu
2 [1] 1: 12	5
20 [1] 8:14	abstrac
2000 [1] 32 :9	abuse
2006 [3] 32: 5,9,18	accord
2016 [1] 14:3	accord
2020 [1] 1 :12	accour
231 [2] 48 :17 51 :2	accour
231f(c)(3 11 18 :12	accura
231g ^[34] 3 :25 4 :12 5 :4,21 6 :10,13	acknov
14: 22 15: 22 24: 11 25: 2 29: 6,9 31:	across
9 35 :17 37 :22 38 :7,24 39 :17,23	across
	Act [21]
40 :14 42 :7 45 :14,22,22 46 :8,15	12,17 1
48 :24 55 :12,16,16,20 57 :25 60 :2	3,12 38
61:8	acting
231g's [1] 30:6	action
26 [1] 35 :7	actions
261 [1] 8 :15	
261.2 [1] 31 :12	
261.2(b [2] 31 :13 33 :14	actuall
261.2(b ^[2] 31 :13 33 :14 29 ^[1] 30 :6	actuall 23 20:4
261.2(b [2] 31 :13 33 :14	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1
261.2(b ^[2] 31:13 33:14 29 ^[1] 30:6 <u>3</u>	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 [1] 2:4	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1]
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 4 additio adds [1] admini admini
261.2(b [2] 31 :13 33 :14 29 [1] 30 :6 3 3 [1] 2 :4 355 [16] 18 :15 19 :2 22 :11,14 39 :24 42 :7 45 :23 46 :15 48 :18 49 :6 50 :2 56 :6,8,12 57 :21 60 :4	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini admini
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5:	
261.2(b [2] 31 :13 33 :14 29 [1] 30 :6 3 3 [1] 2 :4 355 [16] 18 :15 19 :2 22 :11,14 39 :24 42 :7 45 :23 46 :15 48 :18 49 :6 50 :2 56 :6,8,12 57 :21 60 :4 355(c [21] 3 :18,21 4 :4,8,11,20,24 5 : 2 17 :22 18 :4,5,16 23 :19,21,23 30 :	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18 :15 19 :2 22 :11,14 39 :24 42 :7 45 :23 46 :15 48 :18 49 :6 50 :2 56 :6,8,12 57 :21 60 :4 355(c [21] 3 :18,21 4 :4,8,11,20,24 5 : 2 17 :22 18 :4,5,16 23 :19,21,23 30 : 11 42 :25 46 :17 48 :21 51 :2 56 :9	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18 :15 19 :2 22 :11,14 39 :24 42 :7 45 :23 46 :15 48 :18 49 :6 50 :2 56 :6,8,12 57 :21 60 :4 355(c [21] 3 :18,21 4 :4,8,11,20,24 5 : 2 17 :22 18 :4,5,16 23 :19,21,23 30 : 11 42 :25 46 :17 48 :21 51 :2 56 :9 355(f [10] 3 :11,17,19,23 24 :9 25 :2	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencia
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencia agency
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencia agency 15 11:2
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45: 23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17 52:1	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencia agency 15 11:2 15,20 4
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17 52:1 36 [1] 2:7	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17 52:1 <u>4</u>	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17 52:1 36 [1] 2:7	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencia agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17 52:1 <u>4</u>	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 agency 1 agency 1 agency 1 agency
261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14 29 [1] 30:6 3 3 [1] 2:4 355 [16] 18:15 19:2 22:11,14 39:24 42:7 45:23 46:15 48:18 49:6 50:2 56:6,8,12 57:21 60:4 355(c [21] 3:18,21 4:4,8,11,20,24 5: 2 17:22 18:4,5,16 23:19,21,23 30: 11 42:25 46:17 48:21 51:2 56:9 355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23 24:9 25:2 29:5 36:11 38:21 46:18 355(g [6] 24:17 25:1,6 43:20 51:17 52:1 36 [1] 2:7 4 405(b [1] 35:7	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencia agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{261.2(b \ [2] \ 31:13 \ 33:14} \\ \textbf{29} \ [1] \ \textbf{30:6} \\ \hline \textbf{3} \\ \hline \textbf{355} \ [16] \ \textbf{18:15} \ \textbf{19:2} \ \textbf{22:11,14} \ \textbf{39:24} \\ \textbf{42:7} \ \textbf{45:23} \ \textbf{46:15} \ \textbf{48:18} \ \textbf{49:6} \ \textbf{50:2} \\ \textbf{56:6,8,12} \ \textbf{57:21} \ \textbf{60:4} \\ \textbf{355(c \ [21] \ 3:18,21} \ \textbf{4:4,8,11,20,24} \ \textbf{5:} \\ \textbf{2 17:22} \ \textbf{18:45,16} \ \textbf{23:19,21,23} \ \textbf{30:} \\ \textbf{11} \ \textbf{42:25} \ \textbf{46:17} \ \textbf{48:21} \ \textbf{51:2} \ \textbf{56:9} \\ \textbf{355(f \ [10] \ 3:11,17,19,23} \ \textbf{24:9} \ \textbf{25:2} \\ \textbf{29:5} \ \textbf{36:11} \ \textbf{38:21} \ \textbf{46:18} \\ \textbf{3555(g \ [6] \ \textbf{24:17} \ \textbf{25:1,6} \ \textbf{43:20} \ \textbf{51:17} \\ \textbf{52:1} \\ \textbf{36} \ \textbf{(1)} \ \textbf{2:7} \\ \hline \textbf{4} \\ \textbf{405(b \ [1] \ \textbf{35:7} \\ \textbf{405(g \ [4] \ 13:6,17 \ \textbf{35:10,14} \\ \end{array}} \right)$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggree [13 41:4
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{261.2(b [2] 31:13 33:14} \\ \textbf{29} [1] \textbf{30:6} \\ \hline \textbf{3} \\ \textbf{355} [16] \textbf{18:15} \textbf{19:2} \textbf{22:11,14} \textbf{39:24} \\ \textbf{42:7} \textbf{45:23} \textbf{46:15} \textbf{48:18} \textbf{49:6} \textbf{50:2} \\ \textbf{56:6,8,12} \textbf{57:21} \textbf{60:4} \\ \textbf{355(c [21] 3:18,21} \textbf{4:4,8,11,20,24} \textbf{5:} \\ \textbf{2 17:22} \textbf{18:4,5,16} \textbf{23:19,21,23} \textbf{30:} \\ \textbf{11} \textbf{42:25} \textbf{46:17} \textbf{48:21} \textbf{51:2} \textbf{56:9} \\ \textbf{355(f [10] 3:11,17,19,23} \textbf{24:9} \textbf{25:2} \\ \textbf{29:5} \textbf{36:11} \textbf{38:21} \textbf{46:18} \\ \textbf{355(g [6] 24:17} \textbf{25:1,6} \textbf{43:20} \textbf{51:17} \\ \textbf{52:1} \\ \textbf{36} [1] \textbf{2:7} \\ \hline \textbf{4} \\ \textbf{405(b [1] 35:7} \\ \textbf{405(g [4] 13:6,17} \textbf{35:10,14} \\ \hline \textbf{5} \\ \end{array} \right.$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggree [13 41:4 agreed]
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed ahead
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed ahead] alert [1]
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed ahead [alert [1] Alito [9]
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed alert [1] Alito [9] 45:5,6
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed alert [1] Alito [9] 45:5,6 4
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agenciy 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed alert [1] Alito [9] 45:5,6 Alito's allow [4
$\begin{array}{r} 261.2(b \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	actually 23 20:4 add [1] 1 additio adds [1] admini admini adopt [adoptin affecte affirm [agencie agency 15 11:2 15,20 4 16 64:1 agency 1 aggriev agree [13 41:4 agreed alert [1] Alito [9] 45:5,6 4

	t to Filial Review	
8	alone [4] 13:22 16:2 46:1 55:16	В
80 [1] 26: 23	although [1] 44:6	b)(4 [1] 31: 22
8A [1] 32:1	ambiguity [3] 43:11,24,24	back [9] 10:10 18:2 19:9 20:24 22:
	analysis [1] 57:18	11 29: 10.21 34: 20 43: 22
9	annuity [4] 5:13 6:15 18:14 63:3	back-filling [1] 29:4
96 [1] 4: 17	anomalies [7] 15:3 16:14 17:7 46:	background [1] 42:3
A	7,12 47 :2 61 :25	banc [1] 10:23
	anomaly [3] 17:2 24:14 56:24	bar [3] 16:4 30:25 51:20
a.m [2] 1:16 3:2	another [2] 12:4 37:12	Barrett [11] 31:6,7 32:12 33:6 34:
aback [1] 16:7	answer [6] 21:2 36:9 46:4,4,24 55: 12	14 55 :25 56 :1 57 :3,9,19 58 :10
able [4] 32:4,24 33:1 56:21	answered [2] 29:6 47:10	barring [1] 13:20
above [2] 53:2,16	anticipated [2] 24:22,25	base-year [2] 22:16 23:14
above-entitled [1] 1:14	anxiety [1] 32:6	based [8] 10:1 12:9 18:13 19:23
absolute [1] 55:4	APA [4] 43:12,15,17,21	20:13 45:9 46:8 48:21
absolutely [4] 26:3 31:24 47:4 61:	apparent [1] 14:10	basic [1] 37:2
abstract [1] 6:3	appeal [3] 4:14 18:13 47:17	basically [3] 11:7 17:18 19:4
abuse [1] 14:10	appeals [4] 4:5,10 18:7 28:19	Basin [1] 51:19
according [1] 39:18	appear [2] 51:2 55:16	basis [10] 5:1 8:10,14 9:9 26:21 39:
accords [1] 37:6	APPEARANCES [1] 1:18	21 51:3 58:23 59:3 63:7
account [1] 30:3	appeared [1] 47:17	bear [1] 62:17
accountable [2] 10:3 27:14	appendix [1] 32:2	bears [3] 32:13,16,17
accurate [1] 55:18	application [2] 18:14 59:8	begin [1] 5:4
acknowledge [1] 29:4	applied [2] 33:25 44:19	behalf [8] 1:21,24 2:4,7,10 3:8 36:
across [4] 4:7 18:5 42:9 62:3	applies [1] 4:17	2 61 :2
across-the-board [1] 44:13	apply [4] 22:20 44:18 49:22 59:22	below [4] 31:25 37:20 59:2 60:19
Act [21] 5:8,9 7:1,2 14:5,17,18 16:	approach [4] 39:21 40:1 51:23 57:	bend [1] 4:24
12,17 19 :7 25 :23 27 :1 28 :16 35 :1,	17	beneficiaries [6] 4:18 57:13 58:8
3,12 38 :19 39 :9 45 :10,12 63 :14	approaching [1] 16:7	61: 14,15,18
acting [1] 34:8	appropriate [2] 59:14,16	benefit [3] 26:13 41:14 53:1
action [2] 10:15 57:10	arbitrariness [1] 8:19	benefits [31] 4:22 5:13 6:1,11,16 8:
actions [1] 19:25	arbitrary [1] 33:25	19 15 :25 17 :20 18 :8 19 :14 21 :10
acts [2] 16:21 49:18	aren't [2] 14:12 47:3	25:9 27:17 29:15 32:15 33:22 36:
actually [9] 6:10 10:9,22 13:3 17:	arguably [1] 39:7	7 40: 4 41: 2,5 44: 16 45: 3 53: 3,14,
23 20 :4 35 :16 55 :13 59 :15	argue [2] 40:14 47:12	17 60:8 61: 20,23 62: 25 63: 1,7
add [1] 11:22	argued [5] 26:5 29:8 47:1 55:14	Berryhill [1] 13:9
additional [2] 41:22 58:12	59: 2	best [1] 46:14
adds [1] 3:22	arguing [1] 55:17	bet [1] 42:25
administration [1] 39:7	argument [23] 1:15 2:2,5,8 3:4,7	between [11] 9:16 10:24 14:17 15:
administrative [2] 47:17 52:16	22:13 25:10 33:3 36:1 41:13 43:2	3 20:23 23:11 39:23 41:17 49:18
adopt [1] 51:1	45:9 47:18 48:2,15,20,23,25 52:	58:4,25
adopting [1] 47:13	11 55: 6 59: 19 61: 1	beyond [5] 4:13 33:7,13 53:2,16
affected [1] 32:7	arguments [3] 29:5 37:24 42:25	big [1] 16:21 bit [5] 7:5 20:22 28:25 29:20 40:2
affirm [2] 37:20 60:18	arise [2] 56:15 57:12	blessing [1] 63:12
agencies [1] 27:14	art [1] 6:6	BOARD [36] 1:7 3:5,13 4:5,10,13 5:
agency [21] 8:21,21 9:19,24 10:3,	articulated [1] 51:11	6,9 6:6 7:8,11 8:15 15:8 18:13 24:
15 11 :2 19 :25 21 :18,21 27 :16 40 :	aside [1] 25:16	19 25 :13,20,21 26 :2 29 :13 30 :14,
15,20 41 :7 42 :4 44 :15,17,18 63 :9,	asserted [1] 43:15	16,20 31: 25 32: 19 33: 3 34: 2,8 36:
16 64 :1	Assistant [1] 1:22	16,24 42 :9 49 :4 53 :12 54 :6 55 :20
agency's [4] 5:25 37:10 51:22 52:		63 :4
	asymmetry [1] 7:25	Board's [2] 32:7 36:6
aggrieved [4] 3:20 22:17 23:17,22	attach [1] 18:18	boils [1] 59:19
agree [10] 24:8,14 30:2 38:22 40:	attempted [1] 43:16	bonding [1] 38:9
13 41 :4 42 :1 55 :3,19 58 :2	attempting [2] 44:22 59:9 attention [1] 28:21	borne [1] 33:4
agreed [1] 30:13	AUSTIN [3] 1:22 2:6 36:1	both [19] 4:4,7,15 11:11 16:8,24
ahead [1] 29:25	authority [1] 7:18	17 :1 18 :1 21 :9 25 :2 32 :17 33 :3 42 :
alert [1] 63:4	authorize [1] 24:24	24 44 :12,14 53 :5 56 :10 57 :24 59 :
Alito [9] 14:15,16 15:6 16:6 17:10	authorized [3] 24:23 26:6,10	22
45 :5,6 46 :3,20 Alito's [1] 17 :14	available [1] 39:4	Breyer [14] 11:5,6 12:3,13 14:14
allow [4] 39:23 42:13 51:3 52:14	avenue [1] 52:19	28:2 29: 22 42: 6,20,21 43: 18,22
allowed [3] 9:24 32:18 33:2	avoid [1] 4:23	44: 3 45: 4
allows [1] 60:5	aware [2] 52:19 57:4	Breyer's [1] 20:25
almost [2] 6:6 54:21	away [3] 35:20 40:16 45:22	brief [7] 28:12 30:5 37:24 47:13,16
umost = 0.0 54.21	anay 19 00120 10110 40122	

7A [1] 32:1

	Official - Subjec	t to Final Review	
50 :20 63 :9	circumstance [1] 33:25	constituted [1] 33:4	decided [1] 11:14
briefing [1] 48:22	circumstances [5] 9:10 21:14 30:	Constitution [1] 7:18	decides [1] 8:21
briefs [2] 29:11 42:24	17 61 :21 62 :13	constitutional [8] 7:20 47:15 48:	deciding ^[3] 8:22,24 16:16
broad [4] 3:24 4:25 25:19,24	circumvention [3] 14:11 22:1 42:	5 50: 19,25 51: 5,13,25	decision [44] 3:12,20,22,23,24 5:
Brotherhood [6] 9:14 10:21 19:21	14	contemplated [1] 26:10	15,24 6: 15 12: 5,20,22,24 13: 11
20: 5,15 22: 8	cited [2] 12:15 20:15	context [14] 7:6 10:4 13:1,12 14:5	18 :13 19 :14 21 :24 22 :4,5,18 23 :3,
brought [1] 28:2	cites [1] 20:4	27 :13 28 :20 35 :8,19 37 :15 54 :24	5,6 30 :24 35 :13 37 :10 38 :9,20 40 :
burden [2] 54:20 62:17	claim [21] 5:25 9:11,21,23 12:7 20:	57: 10,12 63: 21	23 41: 1,8,12,13 43: 7,13 44: 23 49:
C	17 21: 12,14,25 25: 8 32: 3,9,11,19	contexts [6] 12:23 21:15 26:22 34:	7,15 50: 1,3,6,6 51: 4 56: 13 63: 3
C.F.R [1] 8:14	33 :5,22 45 :3 47 :21 48 :5 51 :25 53 :	13 44: 20 63: 20	decisionmaking [2] 8:17 27:10
Califano ^[12] 11:12,24 12:12 13:2,	21	contextual [1] 23:10	decisions [51] 3:14,17 4:6,10,13,
5,13 28 :15,20,22,24 29 :2 31 :3	claimant [7] 22:15 26:13,14 37:12	continue [2] 17:14 32:21	16,20,21,25 5 :6 6 :18 7 :15 8 :1 10 :
call [1] 28:24	44 :23 53 :20 56 :20	contrary [2] 19:22 37:14	15 12 :25 13 :7,21 14 :7,12 15 :8 16 :
came [2] 1:14 33:13	claimants [2] 23:13 26:20	contrast [1] 60:15	3 18 :19 20 :8 21 :10 23 :18,22 24 :
cannot [1] 24:12	claiming [1] 47:18	controlling ^[1] 18:16	23 27 :10 30 :11 31 :2 35 :11 36 :13,
capacious [3] 5:23 25:11 30:22	claims [10] 4:1 10:25 11:1 12:9 20:	controversial [1] 25:22	17,24 38 :8,15,17 39 :14,19 46 :16
capacity [1] 47:19	23,24 24:19 51:13 56:9 58:1 classes [1] 23:12	core [1] 37:3 correct [5] 39:3 40:6 44:5 54:10	47 :8 49 :4,12,14,18 50 :10 52 :25 60 :5 61 :11 62 :6 63 :25
care [1] 57:21	classic [1] 35:2	55: 1	declined [1] 44:12
Case [37] 3:4 5:4 8:22 9:6 10:8 14:	classic [1] 35:2 clause [2] 6:13,21	corresponding [4] 15:20 45:17	default [1] 22:24
6,19 16 :7 17 :8 20 :3,6 25 :13 28 :3	Clean [1] 63:14	49 :8 50 :4	defended [1] 47:1
29 :20 31 :2,19 35 :2 36 :5 38 :21 40 :	clear [4] 7:10 19:6 41:24 45:21	couldn't [1] 62:14	definitely [1] 10:13
22 42 :16 43 :5,21 45 :2 46 :2,8 48 :	clearly ^[2] 6:17 40:19	Counsel [15] 5:3 8:5 20:18 27:20	definition [5] 17:25 18:21 33:16
23 50 :23 52 :4 53 :16,20 54 :23 58 :	closing [1] 59:17	40 :8 41 :24 46 :23 47 :11 48 :12 50 :	44 :22 62 :2
21,22 59: 1 64: 3,4	clue [1] 25:12	17 51 :15 56 :2 58 :20 60 :21 64 :3	delegated [1] 7:17
cases [26] 9:3 11:11,17 16:22,24	code [1] 30 :10	count [1] 63:12	delegating [1] 7:11
19: 21 29: 9 41: 18,20,21,21,25 42:	coherent ^[1] 60 :13	counterintuitive [1] 56:18	delegation [3] 25:13,19,21
5,13 44: 1,6,14 48: 7 49: 17,22 55:	collateral [2] 51:21,25	counterpart [1] 18:10	deniables [1] 42:10
18 57 :4,12,14 59 :1 63 :24	colorable [1] 47:22	countless [1] 12:24	denial [15] 5:24 12:19 15:25 29:16
catch-all [1] 22:21	combination [1] 22:3	couple [2] 25:3 58:16	31 :10,14,21 33 :21 39 :1 41 :4,14
category [1] 37:3	come [2] 5:14 51:5	course [5] 14:24 28:16 41:7 42:16	47:15 48:10 50:24 61:20
cause [3] 32:8 33:4 37:17	comes [2] 21:9 28:15	57 :16	denials [18] 3:14 5:2 6:20 9:5,25
century [1] 63:21	comfortable [1] 61:8	COURT [25] 1:1,15 3:10 7:3 9:15,	13:25 14:4 15:23 19:23 20:7 29:
cert [3] 10:7,7,11	commenced [1] 6:16	22 10 :18 11 :14 12 :10 13 :10 20 :13	14 30 :9 34 :11 35 :18 42 :10 62 :25
certain [4] 30:17 36:16 37:16 60:	commerce [1] 12:25	26: 3 36: 4 37: 19 44: 6,12 51: 11,19	63: 18,24
11	committed [4] 9:23 11:2 21:17 42:	52: 3 53: 4 58: 13 59: 12 60: 1,18 63:	denied [4] 10:6,7 33:22 54:24
certainly ^[8] 6:20 13:11 25:11 30: 22 41:4 42:1 43:5 58:2	4	11	denseness [1] 19:1
cetera [1] 15:15	common [1] 17: 6	Court's [2] 9:2 38:9	deny [5] 9:17 21:10,11 52:14 53:12
cetera (115:15 challenge [4] 3:21 23:3,5,7	compared [2] 41:20 51:7	courts ୭ 9:12 11:18 14:7 21:17	denying ଓ 5:25 10:11,11
challenged [1] 50:24	complex [1] 8:19	22: 6 28 :19 54 :21 57: 4,5	Department [1] 1:23
challenges [3] 47:14 50:20 51:5	conceding [2] 41:25 42:13	cover [1] 56:8	depends [1] 7:5
chameleon [1] 7:5	concern [1] 54:25	coverage [3] 17:3 60:8 62:10	depression [2] 32:6,25
change 5 12:10 21:21 26:21 29:	concerns [2] 22:1 62:22	covered [2] 52:1 62:8	described [2] 23:21,22
15 62 :21	conclusion [2] 31:15 37:15	covers [2] 37:2 38:20	designed [1] 46:16
changed ^[4] 21:14 43:9 61:20 62:	conclusions [1] 24:18	create [3] 25:22 46:7,11	determination [29] 6:4,12 17:4,18,
12	conclusive [1] 24:20		19,19 18: 21 19: 3,6,13 20: 11 24:
characterize [1] 31:20	confined [1] 13:7	creating [1] 58:4 critical [2] 27:8 34:23	19 25:8 31: 17 32: 14 33: 9,17,19
check [1] 61:19	confirmatory ^[2] 45:23 48:24 confirms ^[1] 36:20	cross-reference [1] 49:2	36 :7 38 :18,23 39 :1 45 :25 47 :6 49 : 7 50 :3 54 :5 59 :11 62 :10
checking [1] 8:18	conforming [1] 56:6	curious [1] 51:9	determinations [16] 5:9 6:5,23
CHIEF ^[46] 3:3,9 5:3 6:2,22 7:13,	conformity [1] 39:23	cut [1] 52:21	17: 24 26: 19 36: 17 37: 3 39: 15,22
16 8:4,7 11:4,7 12:15 14:15 17:11	confusing [1] 49:3	cutting [1] 34:1	45 :14 50 :8 60 :3,7 62 :23 63 :9,15
20: 20 24: 2 27: 22 31: 5 34: 16,18,	Congress [14] 3:11 4:6,14 7:10,17		determine [5] 15:23 41:5,6 51:8
19 35 :22 36 :3 37 :21 38 :11,14 39 :	23: 2,4 24: 22,24 25: 20 35: 2 37 :7	D	59: 14
5,25 40 :7,10,12,13 42 :19 45 :5,24	56 :25 60 :17	D.C [6] 1:11,20,23 14:3,6 54:21	determined [1] 12:20
46 :21 48 :13 50 :14 52 :6 55 :24 56 :	congressional [3] 54:12 56:19 58:	dah-dah [1] 43:13	determines [2] 29:17 31:11
2 58:11,17 60:20 61:3 64:2	6	dah-dah-dah 🖽 43:9	determining [9] 5:6,11,17,22 6:18
chock [1] 5 :10	connection [1] 40:25	day [1] 59:18	15 :8 21 :6 30 :7 49 :4
chose [1] 37:7	consequences [1] 27:11	dead [1] 59:8	difference [4] 16:22,24 28:22 57:
chosen [1] 35:5	consider [3] 15:2 33:8 34:6	dealing [1] 28:16	17
circle [1] 34:20	considered [7] 9:19,22 12:11,22,	debating [1] 16:10	differences [2] 17:15,16
Circuit [8] 14:3,6 28:9,11,14,23 54:	23 34 :13 62 :12	decades [2] 55:15,18	different [18] 4:10 7:8 9:11,13 16:
22 59:4	considering [1] 16:15	decide [7] 8:22 14:21 16:14 38:21	21 28: 4,16,17 29: 20 34: 21,25 39:
	-	46: 8 47: 12 57: 20	
circumscribing [1] 15:4	constitute [1] 10:15	40.0 41.12 01.20	8,8 41: 9 56: 4,14 60: 12,15

	omenai buojee	t to fillal Review	
differentiating [1] 23:11	ESQUIRE [1] 1:20	final [36] 3:12,13,20,21,23,24 10:	governing [1] 4:1
differently [1] 23:14	essentially [3] 28:20 31:14 49:25	15 12: 22,24 13: 7,11 14: 2 19: 25	government [17] 3:16,19 4:23 16:
difficult [1] 49:21	et [1] 15:14	20: 8,11 21: 10 22: 4,5,18 23: 3,5,6	8 23:1 26:5 29:3,8 30:13 45:7 46:
			-
diminished [1] 59:23	even [13] 6:24 17:23 24:23 26:10	24: 19 35: 11 40: 23 41: 12 43: 6,13	18 48 :15 55 :14 57 :20 61 :7,24 63 :
direct [1] 49:17	27 :2 33 :8 34 :4 35 :16 39 :20 41 :14	49: 15 50: 1,6,10 56: 13 61: 11 62: 5	17
disability [1] 63:6	54:6 59:1 62:15	63: 25	government's [11] 28:12 30:4,5
disagree [2] 25:17 56:11	events [1] 15:22	find [2] 4:15 32:8	37:5 46:13 48:20 52:12 55:17 57:
discretion [7] 9:24 11:2 21:18 34:	evidence [³³] 9:6,10,18 10:1,20,	finding [1] 54: 23	16 60 :14 62 :4
		-	
3 37 :11 42 :4 52 :17	24 12 :9 14 :1 19 :24 20 :3,23 21 :13	findings [1] 24:18	grace [4] 26:9 40:19 44:15,17
discretionary [5] 26:19 40:24 41:	31: 16 32: 5,11,13 33: 2,20,23 34: 5,	First [14] 3:19 6:21 11:9,24 23:1,	grant [3] 29:16 52:13 61:20
1 52 :25 63 :9	12 41: 15,19,22 54: 1,4,8 58: 21,25	12,17 25:5,10 26:18 32:17 36:11	grants [1] 29:14
discussion [1] 38:17	59: 7,9,15 62: 11	48:1 61:5	graver [1] 63:6
disdain [1] 40:2	exact [1] 56:9	fit [6] 4:24 5:23 6:20 18:23 33:16	graves [1] 53:5
dislocations [1] 37:18	exactly [3] 13:3 18:22 49:12	60 :13	great [1] 46:24
			5
dismissing [1] 37:15	example [7] 23:23 33:12 48:6 49:	five [1] 54:22	greater [4] 28:25 52:10 57:13 58:8
dispute [1] 40:18	19 52: 25 54: 18 56: 20	flatly [1] 33:13	grounds [4] 33:9 46:25 47:15 50:
distinct [1] 50:23	examples [1] 52:20	flip [1] 54:20	25
distinction [13] 9:2 10:24 20:22	except [3] 6:13,13 35:14	flood [2] 14:9,9	guarantee [1] 47:3
21 :3,4,5,8 22 :7 24 :16 41 :17 42 :8	exception [2] 11:15 51:5	floodgates [2] 54:25 55:5	guess [7] 18:24 22:12 29:18 43:3
		-	-
58 :23 59 :13	exclude [1] 30:8	focus [1] 60:1	51: 9 54: 16,17
distinguish [2] 10:23 58:25	excluding [1] 5:1	focused [1] 34:25	н
distinguished [2] 9:15 41:21	exclusion [1] 30:25	focuses [1] 60:10	
distinguishes [2] 9:20 31:2	exclusivity [2] 25:6 36:22	focusing [1] 59:25	half [1] 20:9
doctrinal [1] 51:23	excuse [2] 32:8,20	fold [1] 16:19	happen [1] 17:2
			happened [2] 14:13 28:7
dog [1] 40:5	excusing [1] 34:8	follow [1] 50:18	hard [1] 40:24
down [3] 59:12,19 61:17	executive [1] 26:11	follows [1] 51:9	
drew [1] 22:9	exhausted [1] 53:21	forbidding [1] 43:11	harm [4] 26:14 27:2,4,8
due [2] 47:18 48:10	exhaustion [4] 36:14,21 45:3 53:2	force [1] 59:23	harmonizes [1] 60:15
during [1] 47:16	expand [1] 33:6	foreclose [3] 4:20 42:5 47:14	harmony [1] 58:3
			HARRIS [46] 1:20 2:3,9 3:6,7,9 5:
E	expect [1] 18:15	foreclosing [3] 12:8 16:2 50:21	20 6 :9 7 :3,15,22 8 :9,13 9 :1 10 :6,
acab [2] 00:00 07:0	expire [1] 16:19	forfeited [1] 48:1	
each [2] 22:20 37:2	expired [2] 27:21 34:15	forget [1] 54:8	13 11 :23 12 :4,18 14 :23 15 :19 16 :
earlier [1] 54:15	explain [1] 27:17	former [1] 14:19	23 17 :21 19 :18 20 :21 21 :8 22 :22
early [1] 8:16	explained [1] 17:16	forms [3] 44:8,10 51:20	24:1,5 25:3,18 26:17 27:4,7,25 30:
		101113 19 44.0,10 31.20	
l easily [1] 59:24		formuma [4] 07-40	1 31 :8 23 32 :16 33 :18 34 :17 18
easily [1] 59:24	explains [2] 9:3 63:22	forum [1] 27:13	1 31: 8,23 32: 16 33: 18 34: 17,18
effect [1] 27:13	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22	forum [1] 27:13 forward [1] 33:13	35: 23 60: 22 61: 1,3
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8	explains [2] 9:3 63:22		35: 23 60: 22 61: 1,3 Harris's [1] 41: 17
effect [1] 27:13	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2	35: 23 60: 22 61: 1,3
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10	35: 23 60: 22 61: 1,3 Harris's [1] 41: 17
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59:	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6	35: 23 60: 22 61: 1,3 Harris's ^[1] 41: 17 hash ^[1] 11: 18 Hawkes ^[2] 52: 25 63: 11
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59:	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 :
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 :
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers [4] 23:14,24 62:7,17 en [1] 10:23 enact [1] 7:18	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers [4] 23:14,24 62:7,17 en [1] 10:23 enact [1] 7:18 encompass [1] 35:18	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 <u>F</u> face ^[1] 59:3	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's (1) 41 :17 hash (1) 11 :18 Hawkes (2) 52 :25 63 :11 hear (2) 3 :3 41 :15 heard (1) 37 :22 hearing (6) 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings (3) 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening (1) 19 :9 heartland (1) 32 :10
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's (1) 41 :17 hash (1) 11 :18 Hawkes (2) 52 :25 63 :11 hear (2) 3 :3 41 :15 heard (1) 37 :22 hearing (6) 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings (3) 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening (1) 19 :9 heartland (1) 32 :10 heaviest (1) 62 :17
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13 facts ^[1] 59:1	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's (1) 41 :17 hash (1) 11 :18 Hawkes (2) 52 :25 63 :11 hear (2) 3 :3 41 :15 heard (1) 37 :22 hearing (6) 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings (3) 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening (1) 19 :9 heartland (1) 32 :10 heaviest (1) 62 :17 held (3) 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's (1) 41 :17 hash (1) 11 :18 Hawkes (2) 52 :25 63 :11 hear (2) 3 :3 41 :15 heard (1) 37 :22 hearing (6) 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings (3) 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening (1) 19 :9 heartland (1) 32 :10 heaviest (1) 62 :17
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extent ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13 facts ^[1] 59:1	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's (1) 41 :17 hash (1) 11 :18 Hawkes (2) 52 :25 63 :11 hear (2) 3 :3 41 :15 heard (1) 37 :22 hearing (6) 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings (3) 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening (1) 19 :9 heartland (1) 32 :10 heaviest (1) 62 :17 held (3) 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extend ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13 facts ^[1] 59:1 failed ^[1] 32:21 fails ^[1] 63:10	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23
effect [1] 27:13 effectively [3] 46:15 50:9 59:8 either [4] 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible [2] 5:12,12 emphasized [1] 13:10 employer [8] 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers [4] 23:14,24 62:7,17 en [1] 10:23 enact [1] 7:18 encompass [1] 35:18 end [3] 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended [1] 59:12 Engineers [7] 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough [4] 5:23 25:11 30:23 43:	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extends ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13 facts ^[1] 59:1 failed ^[1] 32:21 fails ^[1] 63:10 fair ^[2] 31:20,24	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extends ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13 facts ^[1] 59:1 failed ^[1] 32:21 fails ^[1] 63:10 fair ^[2] 31:20,24 fairly ^[1] 61:6	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G gaps [1] 29:24	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extent [5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59:} \\ \mbox{21} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \mbox{face [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10	explains ^[2] 9:3 63:22 explanation ^[3] 60:12 63:18,22 express ^[4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25 extends ^[1] 4:12 extends ^[5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21 extraordinary ^[4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7 extremely ^[1] 25:23 F face ^[1] 59:3 fact ^[4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13 facts ^[1] 59:1 failed ^[1] 32:21 fails ^[1] 63:10 fair ^[2] 31:20,24 fairly ^[1] 61:6	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extent [5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59:} \\ \mbox{21} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \mbox{face [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 hearing [3] 3 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 19 :22
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 hearing [3] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 19 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 :
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 ende (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extent [5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \mbox{F} \\ \mbox{face [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 9 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 : 10 20 :4,4 34 :22 39 :3 43 :5 44 :2,12
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 ende (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extent [5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \mbox{face [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fair [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favorable [1] 29:23} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 11:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 19 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 : 10 20 :4,4 34 :22 39 :3 43 :5 44 :2,12 53 :5 58 :24 63 :23
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7 enumerated (1) 37:4	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favorable [1] 29:23} \\ \mbox{Fifth [2] 28:23 59:4} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6 getting [2] 8:11 33:8	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 9 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 : 10 20 :4,4 34 :22 39 :3 43 :5 44 :2,12 53 :5 58 :24 63 :23 Honor [22] 38 :6,22 39 :12 40 :17 41 :
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 ende (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extent [5] 38:9,16 42:12 45:16 59: 21} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30: 19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \mbox{face [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fair [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favorable [1] 29:23} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly's [1] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 <u>G</u> gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 11:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 19 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 : 10 20 :4,4 34 :22 39 :3 43 :5 44 :2,12 53 :5 58 :24 63 :23
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7 enumerated (1) 37:4	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favorable [1] 29:23} \\ \mbox{Fifth [2] 28:23 59:4} \\ \mbox{figure [2] 21:17,19} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6 getting [2] 8:11 33:8 given [2] 13:24 54:18	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{35:23 60:22 61:1,3} \\ \textbf{Harris's [1] 41:17} \\ \textbf{hash [1] 11:18} \\ \textbf{Hawkes [2] 52:25 63:11} \\ \textbf{hear [2] 3:3 41:15} \\ \textbf{heard [1] 37:22} \\ \textbf{hearing [6] 13:8,12,17 35:9,12 48:} \\ 16 \\ \textbf{hearings [3] 4:5,9 18:7} \\ \textbf{hearings [3] 4:5,9 18:7} \\ \textbf{hearkening [1] 19:9} \\ \textbf{heartland [1] 32:10} \\ \textbf{heaviest [1] 62:17} \\ \textbf{held [3] 14:3 44:7 57:5} \\ \textbf{help [2] 26:19 51:16} \\ \textbf{helpful [1] 55:23} \\ \textbf{Henry [2] 43:1,1} \\ \textbf{herein [1] 13:16} \\ \textbf{history [4] 28:2 29:19 30:2,20} \\ \textbf{Holder [2] 7:4 19:21} \\ \textbf{holds [1] 19:22} \\ \textbf{Home [16] 11:11,24,25 12:5,7 19:} \\ 10 20:4,4 34:22 39:3 43:5 44:2,12 \\ 53:5 58:24 63:23 \\ \textbf{Honor [22] 38:6,22 39:12 40:17 41:} \\ 3,23 43:14 44:5 45:13 46:10 47:5, \\ \end{array}$
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7 enumerated (1) 37:4 Erika (1) 44:6 error (2) 31:16 59:2	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \hline F \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favorable [1] 29:23} \\ \mbox{Fifth [2] 28:23 59:4} \\ \mbox{figure [2] 21:17,19} \\ \mbox{file [2] 32:21,24} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [3] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6 getting [2] 8:11 33:8 given [2] 13:24 54:18 gives [1] 46:24	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{35:23 60:22 61:1,3} \\ \textbf{Harris's [1] 41:17} \\ \textbf{hash [1] 11:18} \\ \textbf{Hawkes [2] 52:25 63:11} \\ \textbf{hear [2] 3:3 41:15} \\ \textbf{heard [1] 37:22} \\ \textbf{hearing [6] 13:8,12,17 35:9,12 48:} \\ 16 \\ \textbf{hearings [3] 4:5,9 18:7} \\ \textbf{hearings [3] 4:5,9 18:7} \\ \textbf{hearkening [1] 19:9} \\ \textbf{heartland [1] 32:10} \\ \textbf{heaviest [1] 62:17} \\ \textbf{help [2] 26:19 51:16} \\ \textbf{help ful [1] 55:23} \\ \textbf{Henry [2] 43:1,1} \\ \textbf{herein [1] 13:16} \\ \textbf{history [4] 28:2 29:19 30:2,20} \\ \textbf{Holder [2] 7:4 19:21} \\ \textbf{holds [1] 9:22} \\ \textbf{Home [16] 11:11,24,25 12:5,7 19:} \\ 10 20:4,4 34:22 39:3 43:5 44:2,12 \\ \textbf{53:5 58:24 63:23} \\ \textbf{Honor [22] 38:6,22 39:12 40:17 41:} \\ 3,23 43:14 44:5 45:13 46:10 47:5, \\ 24 48:19 49:16 50:7 51:10 52:23 \\ \end{array}$
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7 enumerated (1) 37:4 Erika (1) 44:6 error (2) 31:16 59:2 errors (1) 8:18	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \mbox{F} \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favor able [1] 29:23} \\ \mbox{Fifth [2] 28:23 59:4} \\ \mbox{figure [2] 21:17,19} \\ \mbox{file [2] 32:21,24} \\ \mbox{filed [1] 59:10} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [3] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6 getting [2] 8:11 33:8 given [2] 13:24 54:18 gives [1] 46:24 Gorsuch [11] 24:3,4 25:15 26:7,25	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 9 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 : 10 20 :4,4 34 :22 39 :3 43 :5 44 :2,12 53 :5 58 :24 63 :23 Honor [22] 38 :6,22 39 :12 40 :17 41 : 3,23 43 :14 44 :5 45 :13 46 :10 47 :5, 24 48 :19 49 :16 50 :7 51 :10 52 :23 53 :19 55 :3,19 56 :16 57 :11
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7 enumerated (1) 37:4 Erika (1) 44:6 error (2) 31:16 59:2 errors (1) 8:18 especially (2) 9:5 13:24	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \mbox{F} \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 64:10} \\ fails [1$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [3] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 $\hline G$ gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6 getting [2] 8:11 33:8 given [2] 13:24 54:18 gives [1] 46:24 Gorsuch [11] 24:3,4 25:15 26:7,25 27:6,20 50:15,16 51:14 52:5	35 :23 60:22 61:1,3 Harris's [1] 41:17 hash [1] 11:18 Hawkes [2] 52:25 63:11 hear [2] 3:3 41:15 heard [1] 37:22 hearing [6] 13:8,12,17 35:9,12 48: 16 hearings [3] 4:5,9 18:7 hearkening [1] 19:9 heartland [1] 32:10 heaviest [1] 62:17 held [3] 14:3 44:7 57:5 help [2] 26:19 51:16 helpful [1] 55:23 Henry [2] 43:1,1 herein [1] 13:16 history [4] 28:2 29:19 30:2,20 Holder [2] 7:4 19:21 holds [1] 19:22 Home [16] 11:11,24,25 12:5,7 19: 10 20:4,4 34:22 39:3 43:5 44:2,12 53:5 58:24 63:23 Honor [22] 38:6,22 39:12 40:17 41: 3,23 43:14 44:5 45:13 46:10 47:5, 24 48:19 49:16 50:7 51:10 52:23 53:19 55:3,19 56:16 57:11 huge [1] 39:12
effect (1) 27:13 effectively (3) 46:15 50:9 59:8 either (4) 51:1 57:18 62:24 63:5 eligible (2) 5:12,12 emphasized (1) 13:10 employer (8) 17:3,17,23,25 19:5 22:17 62:2 63:2 employers (4) 23:14,24 62:7,17 en (1) 10:23 enact (1) 7:18 encompass (1) 35:18 end (3) 20:5 34:9 59:18 ended (1) 59:12 Engineers (7) 9:15 10:22 19:22 20:5,16 22:8 42:3 enough (4) 5:23 25:11 30:23 43: 10 ensure (1) 36:23 ensures (1) 27:9 entitle (1) 37:11 entitled (3) 4:13 18:7 61:14 entitlement (5) 15:25 17:20 32:15 53:3 60:7 enumerated (1) 37:4 Erika (1) 44:6 error (2) 31:16 59:2 errors (1) 8:18	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{explains [2] 9:3 63:22} \\ \mbox{explanation [3] 60:12 63:18,22} \\ \mbox{express [4] 13:14,20 16:4 30:25} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extends [1] 4:12} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extraordinary [4] 13:22 27:15 30:} \\ \mbox{19 48:7} \\ \mbox{extremely [1] 25:23} \\ \hline \mbox{F} \\ \mbox{facc [1] 59:3} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{fact [4] 11:13 24:18 26:1 39:13} \\ \mbox{facts [1] 59:1} \\ \mbox{failed [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{fails [1] 63:10} \\ \mbox{fair [2] 31:20,24} \\ \mbox{fairly [1] 61:6} \\ \mbox{failback [1] 42:2} \\ \mbox{far [4] 10:5 57:12 58:8 59:10} \\ \mbox{fashion [1] 32:21} \\ \mbox{favor [7] 11:14,20 13:4 42:15 43:5} \\ \mbox{51:12 59:21} \\ \mbox{favor able [1] 29:23} \\ \mbox{Fifth [2] 28:23 59:4} \\ \mbox{figure [2] 21:17,19} \\ \mbox{file [2] 32:21,24} \\ \mbox{filed [1] 59:10} \\ \end{array}$	forward [1] 33:13 found [2] 47:21 63:2 four [3] 33:14 34:4 59:10 four-year [1] 59:6 Fourth [1] 28:23 fraud [1] 63:2 free [1] 53:1 Friendly [2] 28:8 43:1 Friendly [3] 29:21 full [3] 3:23 5:10 23:6 fully [1] 61:8 fundamentally [1] 9:17 further [1] 32:20 future [1] 52:4 G gaps [1] 29:24 garden-variety [2] 50:21 51:7 gating [1] 38:24 gave [2] 25:20 54:19 General [2] 1:22 33:20 generally [2] 11:2 20:17 gets [1] 49:6 getting [2] 8:11 33:8 given [2] 13:24 54:18 gives [1] 46:24 Gorsuch [11] 24:3,4 25:15 26:7,25	35 :23 60 :22 61 :1,3 Harris's [1] 41 :17 hash [1] 11 :18 Hawkes [2] 52 :25 63 :11 hear [2] 3 :3 41 :15 heard [1] 37 :22 hearing [6] 13 :8,12,17 35 :9,12 48 : 16 hearings [3] 4 :5,9 18 :7 hearkening [1] 19 :9 heartland [1] 32 :10 heaviest [1] 62 :17 held [3] 14 :3 44 :7 57 :5 help [2] 26 :19 51 :16 helpful [1] 55 :23 Henry [2] 43 :1,1 herein [1] 13 :16 history [4] 28 :2 29 :19 30 :2,20 Holder [2] 7 :4 19 :21 holds [1] 9 :22 Home [16] 11 :11,24,25 12 :5,7 19 : 10 20 :4,4 34 :22 39 :3 43 :5 44 :2,12 53 :5 58 :24 63 :23 Honor [22] 38 :6,22 39 :12 40 :17 41 : 3,23 43 :14 44 :5 45 :13 46 :10 47 :5, 24 48 :19 49 :16 50 :7 51 :10 52 :23 53 :19 55 :3,19 56 :16 57 :11

	Official - Subjec	t to Final Review	
hypothetical [2] 10:19,23	14: 25 15: 10,11 16: 3,10 19: 8,20	left [1] 48:6	meaningful [2] 22:6 23:11
	21: 23 26: 20 27: 5,12,18 28: 9,17	less [1] 37:8	means [3] 7:7 15:2 50:5
idea [3] 21:9 24:23 26:18	35: 4,11,20 36: 12,21,24 37: 8,12	lesser [2] 29:1 52:10	mechanism [1] 38:25
identified [1] 22:21	38: 1,3 39: 3 42: 24 43: 12 44: 24,25	liabilities [41] 5:7,22 6:8,19,25,25	mechanisms [1] 36:25
illustrate [1] 10:24	45 :16 47 :23 51 :3,12 52 :14,21 53 :	11 :10 12 :20 15 :9,20,24 19 :4,7 21 :	medical [2] 32:5 33:1
immersed [1] 16:9	18,21 54 :8,17,23 56 :20,22 59 :21	7 29 :17 30 :7 31 :11 33 :10,17,21	Medicare [1] 20:14
immigration [3] 12:24 26:23 63:	judicially [7] 10:25 14:5 19:24 20:	38 :18,24 39 :2,16,22 41 :6 43 :4,7,8	members [1] 58:13
20	12 34: 13 36: 8,19	45 :15,17,25 47 :7 49 :5,8,11,14 50 :	mental [1] 47:19
immune [1] 26: 20	jurisdiction ^[2] 47:22 51:8	4,9 60 :3 61 :9	mention [4] 17:23 37:22 44:13 63:
impermissibly [1] 3:22	jurisdiction-stripping [1] 20:14 jurisdictional [1] 63:15	liability ^[2] 31:18 62:20 liable ^[2] 19:5,6	13 mentioned [5] 8:2 13:3 17:17 23:
implications [1] 44:8	Justice [135] 1:23 3:3,9 5:3 6:2,22	life-changing [1] 27:11	25 31: 25
importance [1] 8:18	7 :13,16 8 :4,6,6,7,8,20 10 :5 11 :3,4,	light [1] 11:10	mere [3] 41:4,25 42:5
important [7] 10:2 13:14 17:17,21	4,6 12: 3,13 14: 14,15,15,16 15: 6	limb [1] 61:6	merits [2] 41:8 51:8
32: 5 36: 23 53: 10	16 :6 17 :10,11,11,13,14 18 :24 19 :	limit [1] 4:6	might [14] 7:9 10:15 17:15 20:10
Inc [1] 44: 6	9 20 :18,20,20,21,25 22 :10 24 :1,2,	limitation [1] 18:4	21 :21 25 :12 31 :16 43 :6 50 :24 51 :
inception [1] 30:14	2,4 25 :15 26 :7,25 27 :6,20,22,22,	limitations [7] 11:19 14:11 15:14	20 56 :14,24 61 :10 63 :24
include [3] 25:11 30:21,23	24 28 :1 29 :22 31 :4,5,5,7 32 :12 33 :	22 :2 42 :14 45 :18 59 :6	minute [1] 34:16
includes [2] 29:1 52:10	6 34 :14,16,18,19 35 :22 36 :4 37 :	limited [1] 6:11	minutes [2] 58:16 60:22
including [3] 3:14 12:24 63:20	21 38: 11,14 39: 5,25 40: 7,9,10,11,	limiting [1] 4:19	mis-evaluates [1] 54:7
incorrect [1] 3:16	13,22 41 :11 42 :6,18,19,19,21 43 :	limits [2] 3:17 38:12	missed [1] 37:23
indeed [3] 30:16 31:24 55:16	18,22 44: 3 45: 4,5,5,6,24 46: 3,20,	listed [5] 6:16 18:19 23:12,19 36:	missing [1] 35:16
indicates [1] 36:9	21,21,23 47: 10 48: 11,13,13,14,20	18	mission [2] 51:22 52:1
indirectly [1] 8:24	49: 1,24 50: 12,14,14,16,18 51: 14	litigant 🖽 9:8	mistake [2] 46:5 54:7
inequitable [1] 21:22	52: 5,6,6,8 53: 7,9,24 54: 13 55: 7,	litigants [1] 23:12	mistakenly [1] 53:12
initial [7] 6:11 17:19 18:21 19:3 54:	10,13,22,24,24 56: 1,2 57: 3,9,19	litigate [1] 21:23	modifications [1] 62:25
4 61 :20 62 :10	58:10,11,18 60:20 61:4 64:2	litigating [1] 32:22	modify [2] 4:21 63:6
inquiry [1] 50:19	Justice's [1] 11:7	litigation [1] 14:10	moment [1] 25:16
instance [8] 8:14 9:8,15 25:10 26:	К К	little [4] 18:25 20:22 28:25 40:2	Monday [1] 1:12
22 62:9,25 63:2		loath [1] 46:24	money [2] 5:12,14
Instead [1] 59:25	Kagan [10] 20:20,21 22:10 24:1 48: 13,14 49:1,24 50:12 55:13	Locomotive [7] 9:14 10:22 19:22	morning [4] 24:4 27:24 31:7 50:16
Insurance [4] 14 :18 16 :12,17 45 : 10	Kavanaugh ^[13] 27:23,24 31:4 48:	20: 5,15 22: 8 42: 3	most [7] 4:24 36:23 53:6 57:11 58:
intended [3] 35:3 56:25 60:17	21 52 :7,8 53 :7,9,24 54 :13 55 :7,10,	long [10] 13:24 19:20 21:15 29:12,	5 61 :19 62 :16
intent [3] 54:12 56:19 58:6	22 22	13 30 :20 34 :12,24 61 :23,24	mostly [1] 22:8
interested [1] 14:16	keep [2] 10:3 27:14	long-term [3] 61:15,18 63:3	motion [8] 19:13 31:10,21,21,22
interlocking [1] 37:2	key [1] 9:1	look [6] 5:16 6:12 15:22 29:10 31:	32 :13 33 :7 59 :10
internal [2] 36:15,24	kind [15] 9:21 11:18 19:5 20:16 22:	12 43 :23	motions [2] 50:22 57:5
interplay [1] 14:17	5 24: 13 28: 24 32: 10 34: 9 35: 13,	looking [4] 14:21 25:19 32:11 49: 23	Ms [42] 3:6,9 5:20 6:9 7:3,15,22 8:
interpret [3] 43:3,6 56:7	15 46 :16 47 :7 48 :16 52 :18		9,13 9:1 10:6,13 11:23 12:4,18 14:
interpretation [7] 6:10 36:9 37:17,	kinds [2] 23:18 28:10	lot 57:11 9:2 16:9,23 55:17 low [1] 55:5	23 15:19 16:23 17:21 19:18 20:21 21:8 22:22 24:1,5 25:3,18 26:17
20 45:23 47:14 60:14	Kucana [2] 7:4 19:21	lower [2] 11:14,17	27 :4,7,25 30 :1 31 :7,23 32 :16 33 :
interpreted [2] 39:18 55:20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18 34 :17,18 35 :22 41 :17 60 :22 61 :
interpreting [2] 58:3 60:10		M	3
interrupt [2] 53:10,25	labor [2] 22:16 23:13	made [7] 13:7,11 35:11 36:13 39:	much [8] 5:12 13:4 20:6 37:8 50:
interstate [1] 12:25	lacked [1] 47:19	14 47 :8 48 :15	13 55 :23 56 :17 63 :6
involve [4] 9:5,21 10:16 13:17	language [27] 3:25 11:9 12:15 13:	main [1] 53:2	multiple [1] 21:15
involved [2] 13:5 54:23	4,5,20 14: 21,25 15: 7,21 16: 2 20:	majority [1] 40:3	
involves [1] 10:8	14 21 :6 22 :20 24 :11 28 :17,22 30 :	manageable [1] 64:1	<u> </u>
involving [2] 41:21 45:2	7,22 38:24 39:17 43:4 46:1 47:6	mandate [1] 4:9	namely [1] 52:12
isn't [9] 7:1 16:23 26:6,9,9 42:22	49 :10 50 :1 60 :4	mandates [2] 4:5,10	narrow [2] 36:5 57:23
43 :10,18 62 :20	large [1] 44:16	MANFREDO [1] 1:3	narrower ^[2] 6:17 61:10
isolation [3] 37:17 55:21 60:11	larger [1] 54:14 last [3] 5:25 54:22 55:10	manner [1] 15:14	narrowing [1] 57:22
issue [9] 8:25 10:11 20:12 28:3,7	last-antecedent [2] 22:23 59:20	many [6] 12:23 34:12 49:16 55:14	narrows [1] 57:25
36:5 41: 2,10 50: 23	Lastly [1] 37:5	57 :3 63 :20	nearly [1] 63:21
issued [1] 28:8	late [2] 32:9 33:15	massive [1] 27:8	neatly [1] 33:16
issues [1] 51:21	law [12] 10:8,10 21:18 24:18 28:3,	material [1] 31:15	need [6] 38:20 44:25 47:12 54:17
itself [3] 15:1 23:21 29:3	11,13 29 :20 42 :4 46 :7,10 52 :16	matter [10] 1:14 26:8,16 40:19 41:	61 :19,23
J	leads [1] 24:13	9 44:15,17 46:6,10 58:7	negative [2] 11:22 44:8
Judge [3] 28:8 29:21 43:1	least [10] 15:2 18:17 19:10 29:13	matters [1] 25:23	never [9] 12:19 20:8,8 24:25 29:8
judgment [2] 37:20 60:18	30 :22 42 :5 47 :13 48 :4 54 :22 62 :1	mean [11] 23:16 26:18 27:8 29:22	30:6 43:16 49:10 55:14
judicial [58] 3:13 4:1,7,12,15,19 5:	leave [1] 52:4	30 :1 31 :20 40 :4 43 :4 52 :15 57 :4 61 :10	new [32] 9:5,9,10,18 10:1,20,24 12:
5,18 9: 4,25 11: 20 12: 8 13: 6,15,20	led [1] 45:9	meaning [3] 39:18,21 40:1	9 14 :1,12 19 :13,24 20 :3,23 21 :13 31 :15 32 :11,12 33 :1,19,23 34 :5,
		1 III CALLUL VI 33. 10 / 140: 1	i al () az i i z aa'i 1973 a 4' 5

	Official - Subjec		
12 54: 1,4,8 58: 21,25 59: 7,9,15 62:	pages [3] 30:5 32:1 37:24	preclusion [2] 51:18,19	rail [2] 40:3 53:13
11	parallel [4] 3:25 4:8 10:19 49:17	prefer [1] 57:20	RAILROAD [17] 1:6 3:5,12 14:4,
next [1] 3:4	parcel [1] 8:17	present [7] 9:18 13:25 32:4,5 33:2,	17,18 16: 11,16 27: 1 29: 13 30: 14
nil [1] 54:21	part [5] 8:16 11:22 13:13 29:11 52:	23 34 :12	35:2 36:6 40:3 45:10,11 53:13
nobody [1] 11:13	12	presented [4] 20:3 21:21 34:5 54:	railway [1] 22:16
non-base-year [1] 23:24	particular [4] 17:8 18:8 44:21 52:	2	raise [3] 21:25 50:22 59:9
normal [1] 43:25	18	pressing المالية: 44:25 52 :2 54 :17	raised [1] 48:2
note [1] 59:17	particularly [1] 36:16	presumably [2] 23:4 29:12	raises [1] 33:19
noted [2] 7:4 14:6	parties ^[8] 3:20 23:3,4,13,16,17,20	presumption [10] 11:20 13:23 27:	
	46: 25		raising ^[1] 59:7 rare ^[2] 16:25 50:23
Nothing ^[4] 5:14 26:15 35:19 54:		19 42 :24 43 :25 44 :11,13,19 51 :11	
	party [2] 22:17,21	59 :20	rather [3] 39:9 45:10 58:21
November [1] 1:12	party's [1] 60:7	presumptions [3] 59:19,23 60:1	
number [6] 16:22,24 55:4 57:13,	passed [1] 34:4	pretty [5] 7:10 16:25 18:5 32:25 43:	
14 58 :8	pause [1] 46:24	2	3 37: 21 38: 6,13,22 39: 11 40: 6,12,
Nurse [1] 11:12	paying [1] 28:21	preventing [1] 8:18	17 41: 3,23 43: 14,20 44: 1,5 45: 13
0	people [4] 23:20 27:11 45:6 61:22	primary [2] 45:2 48:23	46: 9 47: 5,24 48: 14,19 49: 16,25
	per [1] 57:2	principle [3] 49:21 53:5 63:12	50 :7 51 :10,17 52 :9,23 53 :8,19 54 :
obligation [1] 63:13	percent [1] 4:17	principled [1] 5:1	10 55: 3,8,19,23 56: 16 57: 8,11 58:
obtain [3] 18:12 56:20,22	perhaps [2] 39:7 62:5	prior [2] 36:7 44:22	2,12,15,17
obviously [6] 14:3 29:15,23 30:2	period [4] 14:11 22:2 32:24 59:6	probably [2] 17:3 57:1	reached [1] 10:10
33 :4 34 :3	permissible [1] 43:16	problem [6] 7:23 39:6 55:9 56:3,	reaches [1] 37:14
occur [2] 16:14,20	permitted [2] 25:1 33:14	17 57 :1	read [6] 11:10 15:19 22:15 30:24
offer [1] 37:11	person [5] 5:7,23 15:9,24 19:4	problems [2] 39:12 56:14	43 :1 46 :18
often [3] 16:20 46:24 54:1	persons [1] 24:20	procedural [1] 5:18	reading [6] 4:3 36:21 37:5,16 46:
Okay [7] 22:10 40:7 43:10 49:1 50:	perspective [2] 54:12 56:18	procedures [1] 47:20	14 49: 25
12 55: 7,22	pertain [1] 57:14	proceed [1] 43:17	readjudicates [1] 41:8
once [1] 63:16	pertains [1] 18:6	proceeding [1] 32:20	reads [1] 3:19
one [22] 10:1 12:14 13:11 15:4 16:	perverse [2] 61:12 62:18	proceedings [1] 6:14	real [2] 55:1 62:22
19 21 :6 22 :21 23 :7,23 25 :12 38 :5	petition [4] 10:7,12 32:2 53:12	process ^[4] 40:16 47:18 48:10 53:	really [19] 18:9 19:20 20:24 26:12
39 :9 50 :22 51 :6,7,23 55 :1,10,11	Petitioner [15] 1:4,21 2:4,10 3:8	2	27 :12 32 :10,23 33 :15 34 :23,24 46 :
57: 5,23 58: 19	37 :14 40 :18 41 :24 42 :12,15 43 :14	program [2] 40:3 44:16	5 47:1 49:2 51:7 55:5,16 57:17 61:
ones [2] 61:19 63:25	48 :8 59 :2 60 :10 61 :2	promulgate [1] 7:9	12,16
only [21] 3:17 4:3 6:22 7:7,24 17:1	Petitioner's [3] 42:15 58:20 59:18	proposed [1] 57:23	reason [14] 9:7 14:20 21:13,19 24:
18 :10 23 :21 26 :13,19,24 35 :8,10	petitions [4] 24:9,12 41:18 55:4	provide [1] 52:18	15 26 :4 27 :18 32 :3 45 :8,14 57 :19
36 :12 37 :15 45 :16 48 :17 56 :9 57 :	phrase [5] 5:21 6:5,17,18 23:10	provided [1] 13:16	59:5 61:6 62: 11
25 60: 2,5	phrases [2] 37:16 60:11	provides [3] 18:11 36:12,15	reasonably [1] 34:8
open [3] 42:16 48:6 52:4	pick [5] 24:6 28:1,20 39:19 46:16	provision [10] 13:15 14:22 15:7	reasoned [1] 27:9
opinion [3] 20:10 28:8 29:21	piece [1] 34:2	25 :7 31 :25 36 :15 38 :2 45 :11 51 :	reasons [3] 22:23 23:10 47:25
opportunity [4] 9:18 33:23 37:12			REBUTTAL [3] 2:8 60:23 61:1
44 :24	piggybacks [1] 46:15	18,20	
opposed [4] 8:23 41:20 57:6,25	place [2] 15:16 34:10	provisions [8] 4:1 13:16 16:11 36:	
opposite [1] 13:19	plain ^[3] 39 :18,21 40 :1	22 37:1 58:4 60: 12,15	
oral [5] 1:15 2:2,5 3:7 36:1	plausible [3] 4:14 30:12 58:5	pure [1] 9:13	recharacterize [1] 48:9
orderly [1] 60:16	plausibly [2] 30:23 35:18	purely [2] 26:8 40:24	recognized [1] 53:4
organization [1] 22:16	please [2] 3:10 36:4	purposes [3] 23:15 24:7 57:24	reconsider [4] 8:23 19:15 31:21
organizations [1] 23:13	point [15] 12:17 14:2 25:25 26:15	pursue [1] 32:18	41 :15
original [2] 53:21 59:11	29 :1,6,9 43 :23 53 :8,25,25 54 :3,14	push [1] 18:20	record [2] 10:17 59:3
other [28] 4:21 6:15 11:17 12:14	58 :20 63 :10	pushing [1] 55:5	recount [1] 30:2
13 :13,16 15 :5 16 :3,19 21 :7 22 :5,	pointed [3] 42:6 45:24 48:21	put ^[2] 25:16 61:16	reference [1] 48:18
17 23:10 25:25 26:22 31:1 34:2	points [4] 25:3 26:17 34:21 61:4	Q	reflects [3] 10:2 48:22 60:16
	policies [1] 37:6		refunds [1] 25:9
35 :13 39 :10 41 :12 44 :8,10,20 50 :	position [9] 30:4,6,10 39:13 42:2	qualified [1] 39:1	refusal [2] 12:1 36:6
2 51:20 52:24 56:7 62:22	44 :9 47 :6 55 :17 62 :5	qualify [1] 31:17	refusals [1] 4:21
Otherwise [1] 11:19	possibility [1] 48:7	question [19] 11:6,8 16:15,16,20	refutes [1] 6:10
out [9] 20:19 21:17,19 28:5 29:7	possible [2] 9:12 53:11	17 :14 19 :15 20 :25 22 :11 24 :8 29 :	regular [1] 43:12
42 :6 45 :24 48 :21 61 :6	potential [1] 22:1	23 31 :10 33 :24 34 :7 36 :10 38 :1	regulation [3] 7:2 20:11 33:24
outcome [1] 21:22	potentially [3] 9:23 17:7 61:23	42 :9 44 :11 47 :11	regulations [4] 7:8 25:22 31:13
over [3] 28:7 49:6 55:18	power [3] 7:11 25:19,21	questioning [1] 58:12	40 :21
overcome [3] 13:23 22:24 59:24	powers [1] 30:21	questions [6] 5:18 12:21 17:5 19:	regulatory [4] 8:10,13 19:12 26:9
override [1] 19:19	practical [8] 39:6,12 55:8 56:3,14,	2 35:17 58:13	rehash [11] 9:13 12:6 20:2,17 21:
own [1] 30:20	17 57 :1 58 :7	quite [5] 21:1 22:12 29:19 49:3 50:	25 41 :19,25 42 :5 54 :3 58 :21,25
P	precedent [1] 53:8	7	rehashed [2] 20:24 42:13
p.m [1] 64 :4	precise [1] 57:14	quo [1] 62:21	rehashes [1] 14:13
PAGE [2] 2:2 50:20	preclude [4] 35:4 43:21 44:8 46:1	R	rehashing ା 9:21 10:17 11:1
	precludes [1] 44:10		rehashing-type [1] 9:23
	I		- -

	Official - Subjec	t to fillal Review	
rehearing [4] 10:7,12,22 41:17	7 29:17 30:7 31:11 33:10,17,20	Security [3] 31:3 35:1,8	started [2] 22:12 45:20
reiterate [1] 58:22	38 :18,23 39 :2,15,22 41 :6 43 :3,7,8	see [6] 11:21 12:14 28:12,23 31:16	state [2] 10:8,10
reiterated [1] 54:16	45 :15,17,25 47 :7 49 :5,8,11,14 50 :	61: 25	stated [1] 8:12
reject [1] 37:19	4,9 60: 3,8 61: 9	seek [1] 44:24	STATES [4] 1:1,6,16 3:5
relevant [1] 37:7	road [1] 28:25	seem [4] 30:12 54:25 61:25 62:23	status [1] 62:21
relief [1] 52:19	ROBERTS [32] 3:3 5:3 6:2,22 7:13,	seemed [1] 12:1	statute [30] 6:7 7:7,9,19,24 11:19
reluctant [1] 40:14	16 8:4 11:4 14:15 17:11 20:20 24:	seems [3] 11:8 19:1 54:21	13 :5,14,18 15 :4 17 :24 18 :5,8 20 :
remains [2] 22:24 48:23	2 27 :22 31 :5 34 :16 35 :22 37 :21	sense [8] 4:3 7:21 10:16 20:2,6 22:	15 26:6,11 31:1,3 37:7,16 38:7 40:
remand [1] 59:13	38: 11,14 39: 5,25 40: 7 42: 19 45: 5	4,6 44: 16	20 42: 8,14 44: 9 51: 16 53: 23 57:
reopen [10] 5:15 8:21 19:13 31:11,	46 :21 48 :13 50 :14 52 :6 55 :24 58 :	sensible [1] 60:13	18 58: 23 63: 13
22 32 :13 36 :7 41 :14 44 :22 63 :3	11 60: 20 64: 2	serious [4] 12:21 32:25 46:11 47:	statutes [10] 4:7,15 7:19 17:3 18:1
reopening [84] 3:14 5:2,24 6:20 7:	role [1] 26:12	2	46 :14,19 58 :3 60 :9 62 :3
1 8: 10,12,14 9: 4,9,25 12: 1,9,19	route [1] 59:13	Services [1] 19:10	statutory [7] 8:9 13:4 21:6 36:8
13 :10,21,25 14 :4 15 :23 17 :1 19 :	RR [1] 18:11	Seventh [1] 28:23	37 :18 51 :3 61 :17
23 20: 7 21: 12 24: 9,11,23 25: 12	RRA ^[33] 4: 4,7,9,9,17 5: 4,17 7: 7 8:	shall [1] 15:10	step [1] 22:5
26: 1,5,14,23 29: 14,15,16 30: 9,15,	3 17: 22 18: 10,11,17 24: 12 35: 20	short-term [2] 18:8 61:13	stick [1] 26:25
21 33 :9 34 :11 35 :19 36 :17 37 :8,9,	38 :2,2,8 39 :4 40 :2 45 :15 49 :20 56 :	shouldn't [2] 5:16 19:16	still 5 18:25 19:8 20:12 25:18 63:
11 39 :1 40 :16,19,21 41 :2,5,18 42 :	5,23 57: 10,12,24,25 58: 7,9 61: 16	show [1] 62:9	16
10 44 :14,17,21 47 :15 48 :9 49 :19,	62: 15,20	shows [2] 13:3 54:4	stood [1] 26:24
20 50: 21,24 51: 4 52: 13,14,24 53:	RRA's [1] 3:25	shy [1] 38:4	stop [2] 3:24 23:7
11,13,20 54: 7,24 55: 4 56: 21,22	RUIA [39] 4:4,6 7:25 8:2 14:5 15:1,	side [2] 41:12 54:20	strange [4] 7:6 16:1 18:3 23:6
57: 6 59: 4,7,10,16 61: 15 62: 7,19	15 18:6 23: 15,24 24: 10 25: 7 27: 2	signals [1] 6:19	strong [3] 9:3 27:13 42:23
63: 5,18,24	30 :8 35 :3 36 :12 38 :9,16 40 :4 45 :	significance [2] 4:16 18:18	stronger [1] 51:12
reopening-type [1] 10:25	18,19,21 49: 9,10,13,14,19 50: 5,6,	significant [2] 36:16 43:24	strongly [1] 45:22
reopenings [2] 27:5 28:10	11 56: 5,21 57: 7,15,24 61: 11,14	similar [1] 4:16	structural [1] 44:7
reopens [1] 41:7	62: 6,8	simplify [1] 22:14	structure [3] 35:5 36:20 60:2
repeal [1] 40:21	RUIA's [3] 36:14,20,21	simply [7] 8:22 10:11 14:13,21 24:	subchapter [1] 15:10
requesting [1] 47:20	rule [5] 18:6 22:23 42:3 43:8 59:20	25 26 :5 52 :3	subject [9] 5:5,18 15:10,11,14 19:
require [2] 37:7 40:20	ruling [1] 42:15	since [4] 5:4 11:16,16 26:1	8 45: 15,18 63: 5
required [2] 26:10 52:13	run [1] 20:19	situated [1] 23:15	subjected [1] 3:12
residual [2] 23:16,20	run-of-the-mill [1] 48:9	situation [4] 10:19 12:6 27:16 61:	submitted [3] 47:16 64:3,5
resolvable [1] 48:17	running [1] 45:21	13	subsection [12] 22:18,19 36:13,
resolve [1] 55:15	<u> </u>	situations [1] 20:1	14,18 37: 1,4 39: 14,19 47: 8 50: 10
resolve [1] 55:15 respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22	S	situations [1] 20:1 Smith [1] 13:9	14,18 37: 1,4 39: 14,19 47: 8 50: 10 60: 5
	safeguard [1] 10:3		60:5 substantial ^[2] 48:6 51:24
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8	60 :5
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8	60:5 substantial ^[2] 48:6 51:24 substantive ^[8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22	60:5 substantial ^[2] 48:6 51:24 substantive ^[8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13	60:5 substantial ^[2] 48:6 51:24 substantive ^[8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18:	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 response [1] 52:22 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45:	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 response [1] 52:22 rest [1] 6:9	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62:	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 response [1] 52:22 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11	Smith (1) 13:9 sneak (1) 55:10 Social (3) 31:3 35:1,8 solely (1) 46:8 Solicitor (1) 1:22 somebody (1) 33:13 somehow (1) 62:14 someone (6) 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's (1) 15:25	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 response [1] 52:22 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19,	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34:	Smith (1) 13:9 sneak (1) 55:10 Social (3) 31:3 35:1,8 solely (1) 46:8 Solicitor (1) 1:22 somebody (1) 33:13 somehow (1) 62:14 someone (6) 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's (1) 15:25 sorry (6) 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9,	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 response [1] 52:22 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12:	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24	Smith (1) 13:9 sneak (1) 55:10 Social (3) 31:3 35:1,8 solely (1) 46:8 Solicitor (1) 1:22 somebody (1) 33:13 somehow (1) 62:14 someone (6) 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's (1) 15:25 sorry (6) 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12: 8 13:6,15,18,20,24 15:1,4,10,12,	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 payring [11] 7:4 70:0 14 14 42:15	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18:	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12: 8 13:6,15,18,20,24 15:1,4,10,12, 16 16:3,10,15,16,20,25 19:8,20 20:	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12: 8 13:6,15,18,20,24 15:1,4,10,12, 16 16:3,10,15,16,20,25 19:8,20 20: 17 21:24 22:6 25:6 26:21 27:5,12,	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7,	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20:	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 T
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ [^{16}] \ \textbf{6}:15 \ \textbf{17}:2,7,8 \ \textbf{18}:22\\ \textbf{25}:5,14 \ \textbf{34}:21 \ \textbf{35}:17 \ \textbf{41}:1 \ \textbf{42}:7 \ \textbf{43}:\\ \textbf{7} \ \textbf{46}:19 \ \textbf{61}:8 \ \textbf{62}:7 \ \textbf{63}:8\\ \textbf{respond} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{28}:5\\ \textbf{Respondent} \ [^{4}] \ \textbf{1}:8,24 \ \textbf{2}:7 \ \textbf{36}:2\\ \textbf{response} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{52}:22\\ \textbf{rest} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{6}:9\\ \textbf{result} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{4}:23\\ \textbf{RETIREMENT} \ [^{10}] \ \textbf{1}:6 \ \textbf{3}:5,13 \ \textbf{14}:\\ \textbf{4},17 \ \textbf{27}:1 \ \textbf{30}:14 \ \textbf{35}:3 \ \textbf{36}:6 \ \textbf{45}:11\\ \textbf{review} \ [^{92}] \ \textbf{3}:13,17 \ \textbf{4}:1,7,13,15,19,\\ 20 \ \textbf{5}:5,19 \ \textbf{6}:14 \ \textbf{9}:4,12,25 \ \textbf{1}:20 \ \textbf{12}:\\ \textbf{8} \ \textbf{13}:6,15,18,20,24 \ \textbf{15}:1,4,10,12,\\ 16 \ \textbf{16}:3,10,15,16,20,25 \ \textbf{19}:8,20 \ \textbf{20}:\\ 17 \ \textbf{21}:24 \ \textbf{22}:6 \ \textbf{25}:6 \ \textbf{26}:21 \ \textbf{27}:5,12,\\ 18 \ \textbf{28}:9,17 \ \textbf{30}:25 \ \textbf{31}:1 \ \textbf{35}:4,11,16,\\ 20 \ \textbf{36}:12,15,22,22,25 \ \textbf{37}:8,13 \ \textbf{38}:1,\\ 3 \ \textbf{39}:3 \ \textbf{42}:23,24 \ \textbf{43}:12,15,21 \ \textbf{44}:4,\\ 9,10,24 \ \textbf{45}:1,16 \ \textbf{46}:1 \ \textbf{47}:20,23 \ \textbf{51}: \end{array}$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37:	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggest [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{respect} \ [^{16}] \ \textbf{6}: 15 \ \textbf{17}: 2, 7, 8 \ \textbf{18}: 22 \\ \textbf{25}: 5, 14 \ \textbf{34}: 21 \ \textbf{35}: 17 \ \textbf{41}: 1 \ \textbf{42}: 7 \ \textbf{43}: \\ \textbf{7} \ \textbf{46}: 19 \ \textbf{61}: 8 \ \textbf{62}: 7 \ \textbf{63}: 8 \\ \textbf{respond} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{28}: 5 \\ \textbf{Respondent} \ [^{4}] \ \textbf{1}: 8, 24 \ \textbf{2}: 7 \ \textbf{36}: 2 \\ \textbf{response} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{52}: 22 \\ \textbf{rest} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{6}: 9 \\ \textbf{result} \ [^{1}] \ \textbf{4}: 23 \\ \textbf{RETIREMENT} \ [^{10}] \ \textbf{1}: 6 \ \textbf{3}: 5, 13 \ \textbf{14}: \\ \textbf{4}, 17 \ \textbf{27}: 1 \ \textbf{30}: 14 \ \textbf{35}: 3 \ \textbf{36}: 6 \ \textbf{45}: 11 \\ \textbf{review} \ [^{92}] \ \textbf{3}: 13, 17 \ \textbf{4}: 1, 7, 13, 15, 19, \\ 20 \ \textbf{5}: 5, 19 \ \textbf{6}: 14 \ \textbf{9}: 4, 12, 25 \ \textbf{1}: 20 \ \textbf{12}: \\ \textbf{8} \ \textbf{13}: 6, 15, 18, 20, 24 \ \textbf{15}: 1, 4, 10, 12, \\ 16 \ \textbf{16}: 3, 10, 15, 16, 20, 25 \ \textbf{19}: 8, 20 \ \textbf{20}: \\ 17 \ \textbf{21}: 24 \ \textbf{22}: 6 \ \textbf{25}: 6 \ \textbf{26}: 21 \ \textbf{27}: 5, 12, \\ 18 \ \textbf{28}: 9, 17 \ \textbf{30}: 25 \ \textbf{31}: 1 \ \textbf{3}: 4, 11, 16, \\ 20 \ \textbf{36}: 12, 15, 22, 22, 25 \ \textbf{37}: 8, 13 \ \textbf{38}: 1, \\ \textbf{3} \ \textbf{39}: \textbf{3} \ \textbf{42}: 23, 24 \ \textbf{43}: 12, 15, 21 \ \textbf{44}: 4, \\ 9, 10, 24 \ \textbf{45}: 1, 16 \ \textbf{46}: 1 \ \textbf{47}: 20, 23 \ \textbf{51}: \\ \textbf{3}, 12, 21 \ \textbf{52}: 15, 21 \ \textbf{53}: 18, 22 \ \textbf{54}: 8, 17, \\ 24 \ \textbf{56}: 4, 8, 12, 20, 22 \ \textbf{59}: 21 \ \textbf{60}: 5, 16 \\ \textbf{reviewability} \ [^{2}] \ \textbf{16}: 4 \ \textbf{39}: 9 \\ \textbf{reviewable} \ [^{29}] \ \textbf{3}: 15 \ \textbf{6}: 23 \ \textbf{11}: 1 \ \textbf{4}: \\ \textbf{4} \ \textbf{4} \ \textbf{56}: \textbf{4} \ \textbf{3}: 12, 15 \ \textbf{4}: 13 \ \textbf{4}: 14 \ \textbf{4} \ \textbf{56}: 14 \ \textbf{56}: 13 \ \textbf{56}: 23 \ \textbf{11}: 1 \ \textbf{4}: \\ \textbf{4} \ \textbf{56}: 16 \ \textbf{6}: 129 \ \textbf{3}: 15 \ \textbf{6}: 23 \ \textbf{11}: 1 \ \textbf{4}: \\ \textbf{56}: \textbf{56}: \textbf{56}: 16 \ $	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [1] 57:2	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specific [2] 4:5 18:6	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggest [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 sea [2] 12:10 26:21	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specific [2] 4:5 18:6 specifically [1] 57:15	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggest [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 6:6 49:15
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 scope [4] 35:4 scope [4] 35:4	Smith (1) 13:9 sneak (1) 55:10 Social (3) 31:3 35:1,8 solely (1) 46:8 Solicitor (1) 1:22 somebody (1) 33:13 somehow (1) 62:14 someone (6) 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's (1) 15:25 sorry (6) 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort (14) 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts (2) 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor (8) 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's (1) 50:19 sought (1) 53:21 sounds (1) 40:1 special (2) 51:4 52:23 specific (2) 4:5 18:6 specifically (1) 57:15 specified (1) 36:25	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggest [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 6:6 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 sealing [1] 35:15	Smith (1) 13:9 sneak (1) 55:10 Social (3) 31:3 35:1,8 solely (1) 46:8 Solicitor (1) 1:22 somebody (1) 33:13 somehow (1) 62:14 someone (6) 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's (1) 15:25 sorry (6) 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort (14) 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts (2) 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor (8) 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's (1) 50:19 sought (1) 53:21 sounds (1) 40:1 special (2) 51:4 52:23 specific (2) 4:5 18:6 specifically (1) 57:15 specified (1) 36:25 specifies (1) 15:17	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 66 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22 terminations [1] 63:1
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [1] 57:2 sea [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 sealing [1] 35:15 Second [8] 4:3 20:9 23:9 28:8,11,	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specific [2] 4:5 18:6 specifically [1] 57:15 specified [1] 36:25 specifies [1] 15:17 spent [1] 16:9	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [0] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [0] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 <u>T</u> tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 66 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22 terminations [1] 63:1 terms [2] 29:20 39:6
$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{respect} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [1] 57:2 sea [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 sealing [1] 35:15 Second [8] 4:3 20:9 23:9 28:8,11, 13 48:4 63:8	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specific [2] 4:5 18:6 specifically [1] 57:15 specified [1] 36:25 specifies [1] 15:17 spent [1] 16:9 spot [1] 30:4	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 T tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 6:6 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22 terminations [1] 63:1 terms [2] 29:20 39:6 text [9] 4:25 5:21 8:2,3 25:5 34:25
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12: 8 13:6,15,18,20,24 15:1,4,10,12, 16 16:3,10,15,16,20,25 19:8,20 20: 17 21:24 22:6 25:6 26:21 27:5,12, 18 28:9,17 30:25 31:1 35:4,11,16, 20 36:12,15,22,22,25 37:8,13 38:1, 3 39:3 42:23,24 43:12,15,21 44:4, 9,10,24 45:1,16 46:1 47:20,23 51: 3,12,21 52:15,21 53:18,22 54:8,17, 24 56:4,8,12,20,22 59:21 60:5,16 reviewability [2] 16:4 39:9 reviewable [29] 3:15 6:23 11:1 14: 5 15:18 19:24 20:9,12 21:14,15 34:13 36:8,19 38:8,10,15 42:1,10 46:17 49:12 57:6,7 60:4 61:11 62: 6,24 63:16,19,19 reviewed [2] 24:9 35:13 reviewing [3] 13:25 14:7 64:1	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [1] 57:2 sea [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 sealing [1] 35:15 Second [8] 4:3 20:9 23:9 28:8,11, 13 48:4 63:8 Section [13] 3:11,25 4:4,12 13:6	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specifically [1] 57:15 specified [1] 36:25 specifies [1] 15:17 spent [1] 16:9 spot [1] 30:4 spouses [1] 5:13	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 T tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 6:6 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22 terminations [1] 63:1 terms [2] 29:20 39:6 text [9] 4:25 5:21 8:2,3 25:5 34:25 36:11 42:7 60:2
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12: 8 13:6,15,18,20,24 15:1,4,10,12, 16 16:3,10,15,16,20,25 19:8,20 20: 17 21:24 22:6 25:6 26:21 27:5,12, 18 28:9,17 30:25 31:1 35:4,11,16, 20 36:12,15,22,22,25 37:8,13 38:1, 3 39:3 42:23,24 43:12,15,21 44:4, 9,10,24 45:1,16 46:1 47:20,23 51: 3,12,21 52:15,21 53:18,22 54:8,17, 24 56:4,8,12,20,22 59:21 60:5,16 reviewability [2] 16:4 39:9 reviewable [29] 3:15 6:23 11:1 14: 5 15:18 19:24 20:9,12 21:14,15 34:13 36:8,19 38:8,10,15 42:1,10 46:17 49:12 57:6,7 60:4 61:11 62: 6,24 63:16,19,19 reviewed [2] 24:9 35:13 reviewing [3] 13:25 14:7 64:1 rights [43] 5:7,22 6:8,18,24,25 11:	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [1] 57:2 sea [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 sealing [1] 35:15 Second [8] 4:3 20:9 23:9 28:8,11, 13 48:4 63:8 Section [13] 3:11,25 4:4,12 13:6 14:22 18:12 36:11 37:24 38:24 39:	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specifically [1] 57:15 specified [1] 36:25 specifies [1] 15:17 spent [1] 16:9 spot [1] 30:4 spouses [1] 5:13 stand-alone [1] 63:14	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 T tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 6:6 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22 terminations [1] 63:1 terms [2] 29:20 39:6 text [9] 4:25 5:21 8:2,3 25:5 34:25 36:11 42:7 60:2 textual [1] 29:5
respect [16] 6:15 17:2,7,8 18:22 25:5,14 34:21 35:17 41:1 42:7 43: 7 46:19 61:8 62:7 63:8 respond [1] 28:5 Respondent [4] 1:8,24 2:7 36:2 rest [1] 6:9 result [1] 4:23 RETIREMENT [10] 1:6 3:5,13 14: 4,17 27:1 30:14 35:3 36:6 45:11 review [92] 3:13,17 4:1,7,13,15,19, 20 5:5,19 6:14 9:4,12,25 11:20 12: 8 13:6,15,18,20,24 15:1,4,10,12, 16 16:3,10,15,16,20,25 19:8,20 20: 17 21:24 22:6 25:6 26:21 27:5,12, 18 28:9,17 30:25 31:1 35:4,11,16, 20 36:12,15,22,22,25 37:8,13 38:1, 3 39:3 42:23,24 43:12,15,21 44:4, 9,10,24 45:1,16 46:1 47:20,23 51: 3,12,21 52:15,21 53:18,22 54:8,17, 24 56:4,8,12,20,22 59:21 60:5,16 reviewability [2] 16:4 39:9 reviewable [29] 3:15 6:23 11:1 14: 5 15:18 19:24 20:9,12 21:14,15 34:13 36:8,19 38:8,10,15 42:1,10 46:17 49:12 57:6,7 60:4 61:11 62: 6,24 63:16,19,19 reviewed [2] 24:9 35:13 reviewing [3] 13:25 14:7 64:1	safeguard [1] 10:3 safety [1] 27:9 SALINAS [3] 1:3 3:4 47:17 Salinas's [5] 4:2 31:19 32:3 33:7 47:21 same [19] 10:17 11:7 15:14,14 18: 1 34:10 37:2 38:8,15 44:19,25 45: 16,18 46:16 50:5 56:10 57:23 62: 3 63:11 Sanders [9] 9:20 11:12 21:25 34: 22,24 44:12 51:10 53:5 58:24 SARAH [5] 1:20 2:3,9 3:7 61:1 saying [11] 7:17 10:9,14,14 13:15 19:5 31:1 50:8 53:15,18 54:6 says [16] 3:23 5:5 6:13 13:6 14:25 15:7 17:25 19:23 25:7 28:17 35:7, 10,12 49:4,6 60:2 scheme [9] 8:19 18:17 19:12 37: 18 60:16 61:17 62:1,15,24 schemes [2] 17:6 45:7 scope [4] 39:8 56:4,14 57:22 se [1] 57:2 sea [2] 12:10 26:21 seal [1] 35:4 sealing [1] 35:15 Second [8] 4:3 20:9 23:9 28:8,11, 13 48:4 63:8 Section [13] 3:11,25 4:4,12 13:6	Smith [1] 13:9 sneak [1] 55:10 Social [3] 31:3 35:1,8 solely [1] 46:8 Solicitor [1] 1:22 somebody [1] 33:13 somehow [1] 62:14 someone [6] 9:17 18:12 21:10,11, 23 63:5 someone's [1] 15:25 sorry [6] 18:25 32:23 33:15 53:9,9, 25 sort [14] 8:24 10:18 12:1,5 16:4 18: 2,16 22:3,14 30:24 33:24 47:18 56:18 61:16 sorts [2] 12:8 49:22 Sotomayor [8] 17:12,13 18:24 20: 18 46:22,23 47:10 48:11 Sotomayor's [1] 50:19 sought [1] 53:21 sounds [1] 40:1 special [2] 51:4 52:23 specifically [1] 57:15 specified [1] 36:25 specifies [1] 15:17 spent [1] 16:9 spot [1] 30:4 spouses [1] 5:13	60:5 substantial [2] 48:6 51:24 substantive [8] 5:11 6:8,11 8:25 37:3 39:15 53:3 60:6 suddenly [1] 62:23 sufficient [2] 13:23 48:8 suggest [1] 26:8 suggesting [1] 15:21 suggests [2] 24:11,17 superimpose [1] 18:4 suppose [2] 24:7,10 supposed [1] 25:21 SUPREME [2] 1:1,15 surprising [2] 54:11 56:25 symmetry [1] 58:4 synonym [2] 50:1,9 T tail [1] 40:5 talks [1] 38:3 technical [1] 10:16 tells [2] 15:11,15 tends [3] 62:16,16,16 term [2] 6:6 49:15 terminate [1] 4:22 terminations [1] 63:1 terms [2] 29:20 39:6 text [9] 4:25 5:21 8:2,3 25:5 34:25 36:11 42:7 60:2

	Official - Subjec	
there's [31] 5:1 7:22 9:1,3 11:21	underscores [1] 34:11	work [2] 29:2 36:23
12:6 14:9 16:3 21:20 23:7,9 26:4	understand [11] 19:11 21:3 22:12	worker [2] 53:13,16
27 :16 30 :19,21 31 :1 33 :21 35 :10	24: 15 45: 7 46: 4 47: 19 51: 14,15	works ^[3] 38:7 49:2 52:16
*	,	
41:18 44:25 49:17,19,20 54:3,11	52: 11 54 :14	world [1] 56:19
57:12,17 58:8,22 59:6 63:11	understanding [5] 28:6 29:19 30:	worms [1] 42:17
therefore [2] 36:18 45:8	20 32 :7 58 :5	wrap [1] 34:17
they've [1] 29:12	understanding's [1] 28:3	wrapping [1] 58:16
-	understood [5] 8:16 21:1 29:12,	
thinking [3] 31:9,13 32:1		Y I
third [3] 4:19 28:24 63:17	13 55: 12	Vooro [9] 44.9 26.00 29.7 11 22.14
Thomas [12] 8:6,6,7,20 10:5 11:3	Unemployment [4] 14:18 16:11,	years [8] 14:8 26:23 28:7,11 33:14
19:10 40:9,10,22 41:11 42:18	17 45: 10	34: 4 54: 22 59: 11
though [7] 26:8 34:4 41:13 49:6	unfair [1] 9:17	
50: 3,5 62: 15	UNITED [4] 1:1,6,16 3:5	
three [6] 22:20,23 23:12,17 60:22	unlawful [1] 43:13	
61 :4	unless [1] 13:16	
throughout [2] 18:17 37:18	unlike [2] 10:19 52:24	
Thunder [1] 51:18	unmanageable [1] 21:16	
tie [1] 14:25	untested [1] 61:7	
time's [2] 27:21 34:15	untethered [1] 30:8	
timely [1] 32:21	until [1] 37:24	
together [3] 36:23 46:19 60:13	up [13] 18:2 24:6 28:1,2,20 34:9,17	
tomorrow [1] 40:21	39 :19 42 :16 46 :16 50 :18 58 :16 59 :	
took [1] 12:7	12	
tool [1] 36:8	upside [1] 61:17	
totally [1] 10:8	uses [2] 49:10,15	
toto [1] 18:10	using [1] 57:25	
touch [1] 58:19	V	
tracks [3] 3:24 47:7 60:4	V	
tradition [3] 9:4 13:24 19:20	valve [1] 27:9	
	vast [1] 40:3	
traditional [2] 48:20,24	versus [5] 3:4 7:4 13:9 15:5 19:21	
traditionally [1] 55:20		
translation [1] 49:21	view [9] 12:17 26:2 28:21 30:12 39:	
treat [1] 61:9	2 46:13 48:24 51:1 56:6	
treats [1] 49:13	violation [3] 7:19,20 48:10	
tries [1] 4:24	Visiting [1] 11:11	
	14/	
trouble [1] 54:23	W	
trouble [1] 54:23 troubled [1] 18:25		
	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39:	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59:	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14:	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 U	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 U U.S.C [1] 35:7	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 U U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7,	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23:	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 U U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13:	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 U U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7,	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 $\underbrace{U}\\U.S.C [1] 35:7\\unacceptable [1] 16:13\\under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7,16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13:18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25$	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 $\underbrace{U}\\U.S.C [1] 35:7\\unacceptable [1] 16:13\\under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7,16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13:18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,2517:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11$	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 $\frac{U}{U}$ U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7,	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 UU.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 UU.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43:	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 UU.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 UU.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43:	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 UU.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 \boxed{U} U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 \boxed{U} U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21 58:9 60:5,8 61:11,14,15 62:6,15,	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21 wonder [2] 24:16,22	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 \boxed{U} U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21 58:9 60:5,8 61:11,14,15 62:6,15, 20,24 63:13	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21 wonder [2] 24:16,22 wondering [1] 40:13	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 \boxed{U} U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21 58:9 60:5,8 61:11,14,15 62:6,15, 20,24 63:13 underlies [1] 9:2	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21 wonder [2] 24:16,22 wondering [1] 40:13 word [4] 3:22 5:25 7:4 23:11	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 \boxed{U} U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21 58:9 60:5,8 61:11,14,15 62:6,15, 20,24 63:13	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21 wonder [2] 24:16,22 wondering [1] 40:13 word [4] 3:22 5:25 7:4 23:11 words [5] 30:11 37:16 50:2 56:7	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 \boxed{U} U.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21 58:9 60:5,8 61:11,14,15 62:6,15, 20,24 63:13 underlies [1] 9:2	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21 wonder [2] 24:16,22 wondering [1] 40:13 word [4] 3:22 5:25 7:4 23:11	
troubled [1] 18:25 true [2] 15:6 33:11 truly [1] 51:21 two [11] 9:16 11:11 16:21 17:3,6 26:17 46:18 47:24 49:18 58:4 59: 19 type [5] 6:23 21:24,25 30:23 32:11 types [4] 10:14 14:12 18:18 39:13 Tyryv [2] 48:6 52:3 UU.S.C [1] 35:7 unacceptable [1] 16:13 under [97] 3:17,21 4:15,16,20 5:7, 16 6:25 7:1,2,4,7,8,18,24 8:2 13: 18 14:19 15:4,7,9 16:10,16,20,25 17:1,22,24 18:1,6,7 19:2,7 20:11 22:18,19 23:24 24:8,10,12 25:1,7, 23 26:6 30:11 35:20 36:13 37:4 38:2,8,9,16,19 39:3,4,9,14,19 43: 12,15,17,21 45:15,17,18 46:17,17 47:8 49:8,18,19,20 50:4,6,10,10 56:4,9,12,21,22 57:7,8,16,18,21 58:9 60:5,8 61:11,14,15 62:6,15, 20,24 63:13 underlies [1] 9:2 underlying [6] 8:24 32:14 33:5 37:	wanted [6] 4:6 15:22 28:4 32:4 39: 20 63:3 wants [2] 43:8 63:6 Washington [3] 1:11,20,23 water [2] 59:8 63:14 way [14] 6:8 9:12 11:17 12:10 14: 24 16:7 19:17,19 29:9 38:6 44:19 51:23 56:8 60:13 weird [1] 7:25 whatever [1] 21:11 whereas [1] 21:24 Whereupon [1] 64:4 whether [15] 5:15,17 8:11 15:2 23: 18 24:22 31:10 32:8 35:17 36:6 47:13 52:18 59:14,15 62:8 who's [2] 5:11,12 whole [4] 18:5 40:16 53:24,25 will [4] 44:18,23 47:2 53:12 willing [1] 34:5 withdraw [1] 44:18 within [3] 6:14,20 32:24 without [3] 12:14 16:15 28:21 wonder [2] 24:16,22 wondering [1] 40:13 word [4] 3:22 5:25 7:4 23:11 words [5] 30:11 37:16 50:2 56:7	