1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES				
2	x				
3	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY :				
4	COMMISSION, :				
5	Petitioner : No. 14-86				
6	v. :				
7	ABERCROMBIE & FITCH STORES, INC. :				
8	x				
9	Washington, D.C.				
10	Wednesday, February 25, 2015				
11					
12	The above-entitled matter came on for oral				
13	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States				
14	at 10:19 a.m.				
15	APPEARANCES:				
16	IAN H. GERSHENGORN, ESQ., Deputy Solicitor General,				
17	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on behalf of				
18	Petitioner.				
19	SHAY DVORETZKY, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of				
20	Respondent.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	IAN H. GERSHENGORN, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	SHAY DVORTEZKY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	28
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	IAN H. GERSHENGORN, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	56
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS			
2	(10:19 a.m.)			
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument			
4	first this morning in Case 14-86, the Equal Employment			
5	Opportunity Commission v. Abercrombie & Fitch Stores.			
6	Mr. Gershengorn.			
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF IAN H. GERSHENGORN			
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER			
9	MR. GERSHENGORN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may			
10	it please the Court:			
11	The Tenth Circuit imposed two requirements			
12	on religious accommodation claims that eliminated			
13	liability for Respondent's refusal to accommodate			
14	Ms. Elauf here: First, that the applicant herself			
15	verbally requested the accommodation; and second, that			
16	the employer know, rather than just correctly			
17	understand, the need for an accommodation.			
18	Neither required			
19	JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't understand. What			
20	is the difference between knowing and correctly			
21	understanding?			
22	MR. GERSHENGORN: Your Honor, the			
23	testimony it it's a fair question. The Tenth			
24	Circuit perceived a difference. What the testimony was			
25	was that Ms. Cooke assumed that the that Ms. Elauf			

- 1 needed to wear the headscarf because she was religious,
- 2 that she figured that the headscarf signified that it
- 3 was a religious headscarf, and that she figured it was a
- 4 religious headscarf. What the Tenth Circuit said was
- 5 that was insufficient; what was needed was actual
- 6 knowledge.
- 7 Our position is that when you figure, when
- 8 you assume, when you -- when it signifies to you that a
- 9 religious accommodation is needed, that is sufficient
- 10 notice for an employer to be on notice.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, suppose --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Does that subjective --
- 13 I'm sorry. Does that subjective or -- is it even
- 14 relevant? Meaning -- the issue is whether they failed
- 15 to hire her because of her religious practice. Whether
- 16 the person thinks it is is the issue. I mean, that's
- 17 why they acted.
- 18 MR. GERSHENGORN: That's right, Your Honor.
- 19 And we think that's what makes this a particularly
- 20 straightforward case. What the employer did here was
- 21 act upon the assumption that Ms. Elauf needed to wear
- 22 the headscarf for religious reasons, and yet that it
- 23 later claimed refuge that it didn't have sufficient
- 24 knowledge or certainty to actually have initiated the
- 25 accommodation process that Congress wanted in Section

- 1 2000e-2.
- 2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But getting back to
- 3 Justice Scalia's question, I think there's some
- 4 substantial force to your argument that the employee
- 5 doesn't have to mention this first.
- 6 But why -- why do we -- import the term
- 7 understand instead of know. In a treatment case, the
- 8 whole idea is that -- that prohibited action was taken
- 9 because of religion. It's what you knew. Why -- why
- 10 are you making it so confusing?
- 11 MR. GERSHENGORN: Your Honor, I -- with
- 12 respect to -- it's our position it's the Tenth Circuit
- 13 that's made it confusing. What the Tenth Circuit has
- done is said when you know --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Your position -- your
- 16 statement that you opened with is -- is that he must
- 17 understand. You stay away from the word "know". And
- 18 Justice Scalia asked you what -- why you did that, and I
- 19 can't understand your answer.
- 20 MR. GERSHENGORN: So the answer, Your Honor,
- 21 is we think that there is sufficient knowledge, notice,
- 22 when somebody understands that -- when somebody assumes
- 23 that a practice is religious and then acts upon it, that
- 24 that is sufficient.
- 25 What the Tenth Circuit said was that is not

- 1 sufficient, that what is needed is something more
- 2 approaching certainty. If that's not --
- 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, Mr. Gershengorn, I
- 4 mean, so that does raise the question if yours is less
- 5 than certainty, how much less than certainty is it? I
- 6 mean, suppose I'm an employer, and I say, you know, I
- 7 don't honestly know, I think. You know, it's two out of
- 8 three. Is that sufficient?
- 9 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I think
- 10 that if I could explain how these cases come up, I think
- 11 it will -- the best way to answer your -- it would be
- 12 the best way to answer your question.
- 13 The answer is, in a situation like this in
- 14 which it's an applicant applying for a -- a position,
- and the employer suspects, thinks, two-thirds, that
- 16 there's a religious problem -- the -- a religious
- 17 conflict, the employer has two options. It can assume
- 18 there is no conflict, in which case they -- they make
- 19 the hiring decision on the merits. In that case here,
- 20 Ms. Elauf would have been hired.
- 21 Alternatively, if the employer feels like
- 22 there's enough concern to -- about how Ms. Elauf would
- 23 be able to perform, they can raise -- start a dialogue.
- 24 That's what -- what Congress intended. What they can't
- 25 do is what they did here and assume through a stereotype

- 1 that there was going to be a need for accommodation, and
- 2 then say, having assumed that, I don't have any
- 3 obligation to actually try to accommodate that.
- 4 JUSTICE KAGAN: Is that true even if it's --
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No. You're
- 6 promoting --
- 7 Go ahead.
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Is that true even if it's
- 9 under 50 percent? In other words, say that employer
- 10 says, you know, I really don't know, but I think
- 11 there's, like, a 50/50 chance or even a 40 percent
- 12 chance that this person has a religious -- that -- has
- 13 religious -- that this practice is religious. And I
- don't really feel like getting into all this
- 15 accommodation stuff, so I'm not going to hire this
- 16 person.
- 17 MR. GERSHENGORN: So I think, Your Honor,
- 18 that that's what they cannot do. But I do think --
- 19 JUSTICE KAGAN: So it doesn't really depend
- 20 on what the percentage chance is.
- 21 MR. GERSHENGORN: So I think --
- 22 JUSTICE KAGAN: It could be certain, it
- 23 could be less than certain, it could be a lot less than
- 24 certain, as long as the employer says there's some
- 25 chance, and I'm not going to hire, or promote, or fire,

- 1 or whatever, because of that chance.
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I -- I
- 3 would like to try to separate out two different -- two
- 4 different situations that a -- could arise, only one of
- 5 which we think arises commonly. One is that the
- 6 employer has a work rule and is concerned that the
- 7 applicant before it won't be able to comply in the
- 8 future with the -- after being hired, with the work
- 9 rule, because they perceive that the person is
- 10 religious.
- I think the dilemma that Your Honor is
- 12 posing is really a false one there. If the employer
- 13 really has a very small in -- understanding or thinks
- 14 there's -- it's very unlikely that the employee would be
- 15 religious, the right thing for the employer to do is to
- 16 assume that there's isn't a religious problem, to not
- 17 engage in the stereotyping and assume that the person
- 18 could comply as they would with somebody who was wearing
- 19 a headscarf or something else for not religious reasons.
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not sure I
- 21 understand why you're fighting Justice Kagan's question.
- Isn't the issue the reason that they acted?
- 23 They refused to hire someone because they had a
- 24 1 percent belief that they had a religious -- pardon the
- 25 pun -- a religious belief that they wouldn't

- 1 accommodate?
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I don't
- 3 intend to fight Justice Kagan's hypothetical, and on the
- 4 facts of this case, I think it's really quite easy. But
- 5 the reason why I'm trying to separate the two is because
- 6 I think the situation here is the easy case, and I'll
- 7 get to why the hard case and why I'm sort of fighting
- 8 Justice Kagan.
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: You -- you're -- you're
- 10 confusing me enormously.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: Okay.
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: Would you tell me what it
- 13 is you want.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: I want --
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: You just say he
- 16 understands. That doesn't do anything for me. What he
- 17 understands, knows, believes, suspects. What -- what
- 18 other -- what other verbs do you need?
- MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, the test
- 20 that the courts of appeals have adopted for more than
- 21 two decades, which is the test that we ask this Court to
- 22 adopt, is that the employer needs sufficient information
- 23 from any source about the employee -- about the
- 24 applicant's religious needs --
- 25 JUSTICE SCALIA: That --

- 1 MR. GERSHENGORN: -- to permit the employer
- 2 to understand the existence of a conflict.
- 3 JUSTICE SCALIA: To understand --
- 4 MR. GERSHENGORN: That's the test that the
- 5 courts of appeals have been --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't care --
- 7 MR. GERSHENGORN: -- for two decades.
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- what they've used. That
- 9 doesn't make any sense to me.
- 10 MR. GERSHENGORN: But, Your Honor, the
- 11 reason why I think it makes sense in this case is
- 12 because if it's sufficient knowledge for you to actually
- 13 act upon it -- remember, if they -- if -- the critical
- 14 point here for us is if they had not -- if the employer
- 15 had not assumed that this was religious, had not
- 16 believed it, they would have hired her. The default
- 17 rule for "I'm not sure," is hire.
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Gershengorn,
- 19 didn't --
- 20 MR. GERSHENGORN: What --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- she -- the person --
- 22 the first-line hire, person responsible for hiring,
- 23 didn't she say to the district manager, I think she's
- 24 wearing this headscarf for religious reasons, and that's
- 25 why I'm checking it out with you. The answer she got

- 1 back was, it doesn't matter whether it's for religious
- 2 reasons. We don't -- we don't accommodate people who
- 3 wear headscarfs.
- 4 MR. GERSHENGORN: That's right, Your Honor.
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So -- so it seems that
- 6 the -- the district manager is -- his point of view is
- 7 headscarfs are out. This particular woman was wearing
- 8 one for religious reasons, but it doesn't matter what
- 9 reason, we don't accommodate headscarfs.
- 10 MR. GERSHENGORN: I think that's right, Your
- 11 Honor, and that's exactly what Congress said when it
- 12 enacted these provisions.
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: No, it didn't.
- 14 MR. GERSHENGORN: They didn't want to
- 15 happen --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: There is no law against
- 17 such a rule. Any employer can have a rule, We don't
- 18 allow headscarfs. And until someone applies for a job
- 19 who, for a religious reason, wants to wear a headscarf
- 20 and the employer knows that it's for a religious reason
- 21 or suspects, or believes, or understands, or whatever
- 22 verbs you want to use, there's been no violation of the
- 23 law. You can -- you can have that rule.
- 24 MR. GERSHENGORN: Absolutely, Your Honor.
- 25 JUSTICE SCALIA: We don't allow our

- 1 employees to wear headscarfs. Nothing wrong with that
- 2 rule.
- 3 MR. GERSHENGORN: That's correct, Your
- 4 Honor. But once --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: So the mere fact that
- 6 this -- this supervisor said that doesn't prove a
- 7 violation by the employer.
- 8 MR. GERSHENGORN: Well, I don't agree with
- 9 that, Your Honor. I think once the -- it's clear that
- 10 the employee is -- needs an accommodation of that rule,
- 11 that's exactly what Title VII requires. That's what the
- 12 Court addressed in Hardison. It was a neutral rule that
- 13 you had to work on the Sabbath, but what the court
- 14 said --
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's right, but that
- 16 supervisor did not have that knowledge.
- 17 MR. GERSHENGORN: Oh, no, Your Honor.
- 18 That's not correct. The -- and I took from Justice
- 19 Ginsburg's hypothetical -- question that -- that what we
- 20 believe to be the case, that Cooke conferred -- conveyed
- 21 to her supervisor, Johnson, that it was for a religious
- 22 reason. There's a dispute of the -- in testimony about
- 23 this, but what Cooke said -- and remember summary
- 24 judgment has now been granted against us, so the
- 25 evidence read in our favor -- what Cooke said was, I

- 1 told Johnson that it was for religious reasons, and
- 2 Johnson said, if we allow this then someone will paint
- 3 themselves green and call it a religion. We can't allow
- 4 it.
- 5 So the court decides the question on the
- 6 assumption that the decision-makers knew --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, your -- your
- 8 solution that you suggested is that if there's some
- 9 doubt the employer should begin a dialogue, I think is
- 10 what you -- but I think that may promote stereotypes to
- 11 a far greater degree than what you're objecting to.
- 12 Let's say you have someone of Middle Eastern
- 13 appearance who shows up for the interview with a beard.
- 14 And the employer, like Abercrombie & Fitch, they don't
- 15 like beards. They don't want their models, as they call
- 16 them, having beards. But he doesn't know if the beard
- 17 is there for a religious reason or not.
- 18 So you think it's better to him to sit there
- 19 and start asking this applicant questions he would not
- 20 ask anyone else about religion? Why are you wearing a
- 21 beard? Is there some religious reason for that? It
- 22 seems that your solution causes more problems.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I have two
- 24 responses that I'd like to say. First, I -- I don't
- 25 think it's right that the solution causes more problems

- 1 because I don't think that what Congress would have
- 2 preferred is that the person not get hired than that the
- 3 dialogue be begun. If those are the two options, I
- 4 think it's clear Congress wanted an accommodation of the
- 5 religious practice.
- 6 But I also think that Your Honor's
- 7 hypothetical points out quite nicely the -- that it's
- 8 somewhat -- it's a somewhat artificial situation here.
- 9 What the employer is saying is, I don't want a beard
- 10 when the person is on the floor. But that's not a
- 11 reason not to hire someone who walks in the door with a
- 12 beard. The New York Yankees, for example, have for
- 13 decades had a no facial hair policy, but they don't not
- 14 pursue free agents that wear beards. They assume that
- 15 those free agents can -- can shave once they get to the
- 16 Yankees. It's the same --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, is it
- 18 reasonable for an employer to say, look, I don't want to
- 19 buy into some problem with a guy who has a beard. I'm
- 20 going to say can you shave it? He's going to say no or
- 21 he's only going to shave twice a week.
- I mean, isn't he reasonably going to say --
- 23 let's say, I don't care if it's religious -- a religious
- 24 reason or not. The guy shows up with a beard. I'm not
- 25 going to hire him.

- 1 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, that's the
- 2 hard question that I think Justice Kagan was asking, and
- 3 I do think that's a trickier question.
- 4 If your policy is not I have a work rule
- 5 that I'm concerned you won't be able to comply with in
- 6 the future, then I think, for all the reasons I've said
- 7 thus far, it's all said.
- 8 If the question is when someone comes to my
- 9 office with a beard and I just think they're -- it's
- 10 unkempt, I don't like it, I'm not going to hire them
- 11 regardless of whether they could comply, I think that's
- 12 the situation that presents this, is it one-third, is it
- 13 two-thirds. I think that's a hard question. It's not
- 14 the one presented here. And, quite candidly, it's not
- 15 the kinds of cases that we actually see. They much more
- 16 are the work rule.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: You could avoid those hard
- 18 questions, whether it's understand, believe, suspect, by
- 19 adopting the rule that the court of appeals adopted
- 20 here; and that is, if you want to sue me for denying you
- 21 a job for a religious reason, the burden is on you to
- 22 say, I'm wearing the headscarf for a religious reason,
- 23 or I'm wearing the beard for a religious reason.
- 24 That -- that avoids all problems. Once you notify the
- 25 employer that it's for a religious reason, you got 'em.

1 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor --2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Did the employer tell her -- did the employer tell her that it had this Look 3 4 Policy --5 MR. GERSHENGORN: And so that --6 -- that a headscarf would JUSTICE GINSBURG: 7 violate? How could she ask for something when she didn't know the employer had such a rule? 8 9 MR. GERSHENGORN: That's exactly the problem, Justice Ginsburg and Justice Scalia, in 10 11 response to your question. The reason that's 12 insufficient is that it is simply not the case that 13 Respondent -- as Respondent suggests that the superior 14 knowledge is with the applicant in that situation. The 15 applicant is not on notice of what the work rules are. 16 And, indeed, in this situation, the testimony was uncontroverted that Ms. Elauf did not know there was a 17 Look Policy that prohibited the headscarf. And so --18 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, wait a minute. This 20 is not the place to get into the facts. But I -- I 21 thought her friend told her to wear at least a colored scarf, so the subject came up. So I -- I --22 2.3 MR. GERSHENGORN: But -- but actually, 24 Justice Kennedy, that --25 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Again, we're -- we're not

- 1 factfinders here.
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: No, I understand. But
- 3 it's -- it's important, Your Honor, because actually
- 4 that, in fact, supports our position because what the --
- 5 what her friend said was, there's no problem with a
- 6 headscarf, it just shouldn't be black. And so she then
- 7 wore -- if anything, she was on notice that there was no
- 8 problem with the headscarf. So I actually think it cuts
- 9 exactly the other way.
- 10 And, Justice Ginsburg, to pick up on your
- 11 point, what makes it particularly inappropriate, I
- 12 think, to put the burden on the applicant here is that
- 13 it's the employer who gets to structure the interview.
- 14 And, in fact, the employer here read some version of the
- 15 Look Policy, but did not mention the headscarfs.
- 16 So, actually, this was a situation in which
- 17 the employer itself could have put Elauf on notice, and
- 18 then it's a very different situation. If the employer
- 19 says, we don't allow headscarfs, and then -- and then
- 20 the employee doesn't say anything, I think that's a very
- 21 different situation.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: To get --
- MR. GERSHENGORN: But --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: To get back to my
- 25 beard case, is there -- if it's someone with a Middle

- 1 Eastern appearance with a beard, you want the employer to
- 2 begin some kind of a dialogue. If it's somebody who's not
- 3 Middle Eastern and has a beard, can the employer assume,
- 4 well, I don't think that's for religious reasons?
- 5 In other words, he's going to be asking
- 6 religious questions of some people based on a
- 7 stereotype, but not others.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Why -- why does he have
- 9 to ask about religious beliefs?
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Could you answer my
- 11 question, please?
- MR. GERSHENGORN: Sure, Your Honor.
- 13 Although part of the answer is that I don't think
- 14 they -- that the employer does. As I've said earlier,
- 15 the right approach for the employer who really wants to
- 16 avoid the subject is to assume that the person of Middle
- 17 Eastern descent, just like the person not of Middle
- 18 Eastern descent, has a beard for personal preference and
- 19 would be happy to shave if he got the job in order to
- 20 comply with the work rules.
- 21 And that is what is critical for it. That
- 22 is what Congress wanted to accomplish here.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So why can't the
- 24 employer just simply say, we have a Look Policy that
- 25 doesn't permit beards. Can you comply with that policy?

- 1 MR. GERSHENGORN: Absolutely, Your Honor.
- 2 That -- those --
- 3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's all they have
- 4 to -- they don't have to ask about reasons or no
- 5 reasons. It doesn't really matter why.
- 6 MR. GERSHENGORN: Right. As I tried --
- 7 there are two options. One is the one I gave to the
- 8 Chief Justice, which is you can assume the person
- 9 doesn't wear it for religious reasons and then hire
- 10 them; or if you're concerned about it, you can ask a
- 11 specific question. The EEOC has made clear that that
- 12 is --
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The question is
- 14 supposed -- the question is supposed to be why are you
- 15 wearing a beard?
- 16 MR. GERSHENGORN: No. The question is, we
- 17 have a work rule that prohibits facial hair on the
- 18 floor, on the force, on the --
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So that doesn't
- 20 cover anything that's not immediately apparent --
- 21 apparent by the appearance. You make -- they can have a
- 22 code of conduct that presumably would go through several
- 23 pages, here are all the things we require. Any problem
- 24 with any of them?
- 25 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I actually

- 1 think that -- this is a protect -- that their --
- 2 the employer is at no risk of liability if he asks no
- 3 questions but makes no assumptions and stereotypes. And
- 4 that's why I don't think what Your Honor's hypothesizing
- 5 turns out to be a problem in practice.
- 6 What is going on is that in the mine-run of
- 7 cases that the EEOC brings at the depth we see in these
- 8 cases is you're talking about a work rule. You're
- 9 talking about you must wear pants at work, and the
- 10 employer has a religious objection, thinks women should
- 11 wear skirts. You're talking about a no long hair
- 12 policy. You're -- you're talking about groom and garb.
- 13 And the concern is will you be able to comply in the
- 14 future.
- The employer doesn't have to run down those
- 16 questions. The employer can assume there's no religious
- 17 problem, can avoid the stereotyping. However, if the
- 18 employer wishes, what the Court said in Ansonia is that
- 19 a bilateral dialogue is what Title VII is designed to
- 20 accomplish.
- 21 And so picking up on Justice Sotomayor's
- 22 point, you could raise the policy. And this is not a
- 23 crazy idea. This is what --
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: Could -- could I --
- 25 MR. GERSHENGORN: -- Respondent did.

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: I understand. Could --
- 2 could I ask you -- he can ask can you do it? Is -- is
- 3 that the only religious preference that has to be
- 4 honored? I can, but, you know, really, I would like not
- 5 to for a religious reason. I -- I guess I could take off
- 6 my headscarf or whatnot, but it would be very
- 7 inappropriate, religiously uncomfortable.
- 9 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: Are -- are you
- 11 acknowledging that -- that the only accommodation that
- 12 has to be made is an accommodation for somebody who
- 13 absolutely for a religious reason cannot do something?
- MR. GERSHENGORN: No, Your Honor.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: I didn't think so.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: But that is not what this
- 17 case is about. What -- because the question --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: So you can't ask that.
- 19 You -- you cannot ask the question --
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry, I --
- 21 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- you're telling them to
- 22 ask, can you do it? Well, yes, I guess I could, but --
- 23 MR. GERSHENGORN: But that's the exact
- 24 dialogue that's supposed to happen. What this -- that's
- 25 what this Court said should happen. That's the

- 1 bilateral dialogue.
- 2 What Congress wanted when it passed a
- 3 reasonable accommodation requirement is precisely for
- 4 the employer and the employee or the employee not to
- 5 have to do anything.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: So she says, yes, I could.
- 7 And later says, yeah, I could, but -- but, boy, it is
- 8 really uncomfortable for me to do that for religious
- 9 reasons. Would she still have a lawsuit?
- 10 MR. GERSHENGORN: Well, she wouldn't have a
- 11 lawsuit, but she might be able to --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: All she said was, yes, I
- 13 could. She could.
- 14 MR. GERSHENGORN: Well, if she could, then
- 15 she was hired. Then when she says, actually, now it's
- 16 quite uncomfortable, that's a request for an
- 17 accommodation, and she and the employer need to go into
- 18 a discussion, just like you would if you said, I need
- 19 this -- I need this time off to attend a religious
- 20 conversion ceremony, which was at issue in the Ninth
- 21 Circuit case in Heller. That's just the back-and-forth
- of everyday employer-employee relations.
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, couldn't the employer
- 24 say, we have a policy no beards, or whatever, do you
- 25 have any problem with that? Why do you have to -- why

- 1 does it have to be phrased, could you do it? Just say,
- 2 do you have any problem with that?
- 3 MR. GERSHENGORN: I think that's another way
- 4 to phrase it.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: Are you willing to do it?
- 6 MR. GERSHENGORN: It's -- it's a matter -- I
- 7 think what the -- what -- what Title VII is about, what
- 8 this Court has recognized and what certainly the EEOC
- 9 has recognized is the actual accommodation back and
- 10 forth is quite a flexible process. It's designed to be
- 11 collaborative. And so there isn't a fixed rule you have
- 12 to phrase it this way or phrase it that way.
- I think the point is to initiate the
- 14 dialogue. And I think had that happened here, then --
- 15 then we would be talking about a different point in the
- 16 process about whether there was a reasonable
- 17 accommodation that could be done and whether it could be
- 18 done without undue hardship. But that dialogue never
- 19 happened here, and that is the problem with the case as
- 20 we see it.
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: The --
- MR. GERSHENGORN: And the --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: The Respondent says that
- 24 you switched theories in midstream, that you started out
- 25 with a refusal to accommodate theory and then you

- 1 abandoned that. Is that --
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: That is not correct --
- 3 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- not so?
- 4 MR. GERSHENGORN: -- Justice Ginsburg. From
- 5 the very beginning, our theory has been that the
- 6 Respondent violated Title VII by refusing to accommodate
- 7 Ms. Elauf. That was the theory that was presented in
- 8 the complaint. It's the theory we got summary judgment
- 9 and damages on. It's the theory we defended in the
- 10 court of appeals. And it's the -- it's the theory we
- 11 have -- we have proceeded under on all of our cases
- 12 here. There has been no switch. The phrase "religious
- 13 accommodation" appears 14 times in our --
- 14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So that -- that's
- 15 disparate treatment plus failure to accommodate,
- 16 correct?
- 17 MR. GERSHENGORN: So they have seized on the
- 18 word "disparate treatment."
- 19 I'd like to -- if I can avoid a yes/no and
- 20 just explain our position on disparate treatment,
- 21 Justice Kennedy.
- 22 The brief -- the phrase used in the brief
- 23 was that a failure to accommodate is -- is the kind of
- 24 disparate treatment that Title VII was designed to
- 25 prevent. We think that is correct and accurate, that

- 1 what the Congress meant to do was put people who needed
- 2 an accommodation like Ms. Elauf, a headscarf
- 3 accommodation, on the same footing as people who did not
- 4 need to wear a head -- headgear. That is the sense in
- 5 which we -- this is disparate treatment.
- 6 However, we recognize that the EEOC and the
- 7 lower courts have used disparate treatment in another
- 8 way, which is to say there's a disparate treatment way
- 9 to prove discrimination, a failure to accommodate
- 10 theory.
- 11 We did not and -- and to highlight the
- 12 difference, a disparate treatment would be you allow all
- 13 hats, but not religious hats. That's disparate
- 14 treatment. This is you don't -- you don't allow any
- 15 hats, but we want to wear a religious hat. That would
- 16 be a failure to accommodate. We did not, at any point
- 17 in this case, abandon or change our theory from the
- 18 failure to accommodate.
- 19 What the other side has done is assert not
- 20 only that we did that, but we did it for some motive,
- 21 because we wished to avoid a 1981a question, a case -- a
- 22 question which a -- no court has ever adopted their
- 23 theory and which at no point in this litigation have
- they ever raised, even though 1981a was the only theory
- on which we could get damages in the district court. We

- 1 had a damages trial and 1981a was the only source of
- 2 damages. They never raised it. Our pretrial brief said
- 3 we're proceeding under 1981a. The district court's
- 4 pretrial order listed 1981a. That was the basis of
- 5 our damages.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry. Again, I'm a
- 7 little confused. I didn't know -- I -- I read your
- 8 complaint, and it says, "The Respondent refused to hire
- 9 Ms. Elauf because she wears a hijab and further failed
- 10 to accommodate her religious belief by making an
- 11 exception to the Look Policy."
- I looked at your briefs, I looked at the
- 13 jury charge; and it seems like the two were always tied.
- 14 The failure to hire was because they refused to
- 15 accommodate her.
- 16 MR. GERSHENGORN: That's correct, Your
- 17 Honor. And that has been our theory from the very
- 18 beginning. So the idea that 1981a magically became part
- 19 of this case when we mentioned the word "disparate
- 20 treatment" in our opening brief is just not credible.
- 21 That has been the theory. They -- they -- that's how
- the damages were done. They never raised it on appeal.
- 23 They never raised it in the --
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, suppose they had.
- 25 Their argument is that you get damages only for

- 1 intentional.
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your -- Your Honor, no
- 3 court has ever addressed that, but our position is --
- 4 and this is how the courts have uniformly applied it --
- 5 that this is intentional discrimination under 1981a,
- 6 although it's not a question that's before this Court.
- 7 The reason for that is 1981a distinguishes between --
- 8 and this is -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. It's in the red
- 9 brief on Page 1a, and it's 42 U.S.C. 1981a(a). It
- 10 distinguishes between unlawful intentional
- 11 discrimination, not an employment practice that is
- 12 unlawful because of its disparate impact. The failure
- 13 to accommodate claim is neither disparate -- is not a
- 14 disparate impact claim, and it is intentional
- 15 discrimination for exactly the reason that Justice
- 16 Sotomayor has said. It's the intentional refusal to
- 17 hire because of a religious practice that you could
- 18 reasonably accommodate. And this is not as the amicus
- 19 brief -- some of the amicus briefs have suggested, just
- 20 a disparate impact claim.
- 21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I'm -- I'm sorry to be
- 22 obtuse.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: Yes.
- 24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I don't know why you just
- 25 don't concede that that's a form of disparate --

- 1 disparate treatment. Failure to accommodate is a form
- 2 of disparate treatment. I don't accommodate you because
- 3 of your religion. Disparate treatment.
- 4 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I -- as I
- 5 tried to suggest --
- 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: You know what? I --
- 8 MR. GERSHENGORN: -- I think it is a form
- 9 of --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- missing something.
- 11 MR. GERSHENGORN: -- disparate treatment as
- 12 we've used it in the Act. I just want to distinguish
- 13 the different theories, because under a disparate
- 14 treatment approach, there -- there's a -- as the lower
- 15 courts have used it, you would have to show that it was
- 16 because of the religious nature of the practice that you
- 17 didn't accommodate.
- 18 So, for example, again, that I allow hats
- 19 for everyone, but not if you have a religious hat. That
- 20 would be a -- as the lower courts have called -- a
- 21 conventional disparate treatment claim.
- 22 If I could reserve the balance of my time.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Dvoretzky.
- 25 ORAL ARGUMENT OF SHAY DVORETZKY

1 ON	V BEHALE	OF THE	RESPONDENT
L OI	N DERALE	Or IUL	KESLONDENI

- 2 MR. DVORETZKY: Mr. Chief Justice, and may
- 3 it please the Court;
- 4 The premise of the EEOC's argument today, as
- 5 I understand it, is that Abercrombie acted because of
- 6 the religious basis for Ms. Elauf's headscarf.
- 7 That is not correct as a factual matter; and
- 8 the EEOC's theory, in its brief, does not depend on any
- 9 such assumption. The EEOC's theory in its brief is that
- 10 anytime an employer suspects a possible conflict or
- 11 correctly understands such a conflict, at that point it
- 12 is on notice and must offer a religious accommodation.
- And so if you imagine a situation, which is
- 14 not at all --
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: They don't have to offer
- 16 a religious accommodation if they have a reasonable
- 17 basis not to. I mean, you follow the statute. You only
- 18 have to accommodate if it's not an undue burden.
- 19 MR. DVORETZKY: That -- that's true, they
- 20 always have the undue burden defense; but absent an
- 21 undue burden, they must accommodate and they must depart
- from a religion-neutral policy based on a mere suspicion
- 23 of a possible conflict.
- 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No. It's not an un---
- 25 we go back to their position.

- 1 MR. DVORETZKY: Well, I -2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It's very simple,
- 3 because you're mischaracterizing it. Their position is,
- 4 if you believe that someone -- believe, know -- we're --
- 5 a lot of adjectives -- that someone will need a
- 6 religious accommodation, then -- and won't comply with
- 7 your policy, just ask them, do you have a -- just the
- 8 way Justice Alito said. You know, we don't permit
- 9 facial hair on the floor. You have a problem with that?
- 10 MR. DVORETZKY: Justice Sotomayor, as -- as
- 11 an initial matter, their theory in their brief does not
- depend on any sort of assumption about whether the
- 13 applicant would later be able to comply with the work
- 14 rule or not.
- Under the theory expressed in their brief,
- 16 even if an employer like Abercrombie had a policy in
- 17 which the Look Policy applied at the interview, you are
- 18 being assessed at the interview based on your compliance
- 19 with our dress --
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: Do you think that the -- do
- 21 you think that the employee has to say, I am dressed the
- 22 way I am for a religious reason?
- MR. DVORETZKY: Not necessarily. However,
- the employer's knowledge has to be traced to the
- 25 employee in some way. In the typical case, that is

- 1 going to come directly from the employee because of the
- 2 individualized and personal nature of religion --
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Let's say
- 4 four -- four people show up for a job interview at
- 5 Abercrombie.
- 6 And half -- this is going to sound like a
- 7 joke, but, you know, it's not.
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: So the first is a Sikh man
- 10 wearing a turban, the second is a Hasidic man wearing a
- 11 hat, the third is a Muslim woman wearing a nigab, the
- 12 fourth is a Catholic nun in a habit.
- Now, do you think the employer has to --
- 14 that those people have to say, we just want to tell you,
- 15 we're dressed this way for a religious reason. We're
- 16 not just trying to make a fashion statement.
- 17 MR. DVORETZKY: First of all, Your Honor,
- 18 one aspect of your hypothetical is not a joke; and that
- 19 is, that many of these interviews at Abercrombie are, in
- 20 fact, group interviews. And I think --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Are the what? Group?
- MR. DVORETZKY: Group -- group interviews
- 23 where there are mul- -- multiple applicants at a time.
- And so I think the reality is, it's a lot
- 25 more difficult than the government imagines to start

- 1 having these individualized dialogues.
- 2 But going to your point about those sorts
- 3 of religious outfits, one can certainly imagine cases
- 4 in which it is more obvious than others that a
- 5 particular -- a particular garb is likely worn for
- 6 religious purposes.
- 7 However, I would direct the Court, if I
- 8 could, to Joint Appendix 130 and 131, which contain
- 9 pictures of the sort of headscarf that Ms. Elauf was
- 10 actually wearing in this case.
- 11 Those sorts of situations where it's far
- 12 more ambiguous whether a -- whether a particular outward
- 13 symbol is religious in nature or not will be far more
- 14 common.
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, that can be the case,
- 16 but I want to know the answer to the question whether
- 17 the employee has to say, I'm wearing this for a
- 18 religious reason, or whether you're willing to admit
- 19 that there are at least some circumstances in which the
- 20 employer is charged with that knowledge based on what
- 21 the employer observes.
- MR. DVORETZKY: No, Your Honor. I think
- 23 there are some circumstances in which it is certainly
- 24 more likely than others, but the question before the
- 25 Court is to devise a rule that's going to apply across

- 1 the board.
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: Actually, I didn't think
- 3 that was the question. I thought the question presented
- 4 was that the Tenth Circuit had said, Employer, unless
- 5 you know from the woman who is applying, from the
- 6 applicant, unless you receive direct, explicit notice
- 7 that what she wants to wear is based on religion and she
- 8 wants an accommodation, unless you receive direct,
- 9 explicit notice from her, you're home free to do what
- 10 you want.
- Now, in their question presented, they say,
- in the last few words in describing it, we think that's
- 13 wrong.
- Now, I agree that we have to say whether
- that's wrong and, if it is wrong, it would be helpful to
- 16 say what they have to do. So the SG says, here is what
- 17 it is. If the employer correctly infers, correctly
- 18 understands, and I would add "or correctly believes"
- 19 that a practice is religious and an accommodation is
- 20 necessary, that's it. Then he has to accommodate unless
- 21 he has one of the excuses under the statute, et cetera.
- Okay. What's wrong with that?
- 23 MR. DVORETZKY: Justice Breyer, I think
- 24 what you've just described is a rule for all cases and
- 25 it's one that is entirely unadministrable for courts,

- 1 employers, and applicants alike.
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: It is -- it is
- 3 unadministrable to say that if the employer believes,
- 4 thinks, this woman is religious and needs an
- 5 accommodation and he's right, well, do something unless
- 6 you have an excuse.
- 7 What -- why is that unadministrable?
- 8 MR. DVORETZKY: Your Honor, I believe that's
- 9 unadministrable because the EEOC does not explain what
- 10 level of certainty is required for a belief versus
- 11 suspecting --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh no, you have to prove.
- 13 This -- this is correct. You have to prove he has a
- 14 belief. Now, we probably in 250,000 Federal cases a
- 15 year, of those, say, 80,000 that go to trial. Proving
- 16 that somebody has a belief or other is probably at issue
- 17 in 90 percent of them. Now, I'm making that up, that
- 18 number, but nonetheless, I don't think it's uncommon in
- 19 the law that you have to prove that somebody believes
- 20 something. So we say, the standard of proving belief is
- 21 like in any other case.
- 22 MR. DVORETZKY: I don't think this is like
- 23 any other case, because you're dealing with -- you're
- 24 dealing with something -- religious belief, which is
- 25 inherently personal to the individual. And to charge

- 1 employers with -- to charge employers with Title VII
- 2 liability and require them to come to an understanding
- 3 of whether a particular practice is religious or not --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: This is -- this is such
- 5 --
- 6 JUSTICE KAGAN: Now, Mr. Dvoretzky, suppose
- 7 an employer just doesn't want to hire any Jews, and
- 8 somebody walks in and his name is Noah Goldberg, and he
- 9 looks kind of Jewish and the employer doesn't know he's
- 10 Jewish. No absolute certainty and certainly
- 11 Mr. Goldberg doesn't say anything about being Jewish,
- 12 but the employer just operates on an assumption that
- 13 he's Jewish, so no, he doesn't get the job. Is that a
- 14 violation?
- 15 MR. DVORETZKY: That is a disparate
- 16 treatment violation of Title VII. But the employer --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: That has got to be against
- 18 the law, right? It doesn't matter whether the employer
- 19 --
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: It doesn't matter whether
- the employer knows it to an absolute certainty, right?
- 23 MR. DVORETZKY: Absolutely, because in that
- 24 situation, because what's relevant is the employer's
- 25 intent. If the employer intends to discriminate on the

- 1 basis of religion, then that's a Title VII violation.
- 2 What's going on here, however, is that the employer
- 3 seeks to apply a religion-neutral dress code. Religion,
- 4 according to Johnson --
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Except in Title VII, you
- 6 would be right if all that Title VII did was prevent
- 7 religious discrimination, but it makes a religious
- 8 practice, a refusal to accommodate a religious practice
- 9 is itself a violation of Title VII.
- 10 So we have -- it's -- and that was done
- 11 deliberately, was it not, so that religious practices
- 12 would have to be accommodated.
- MR. DVORETZKY: Yes, Your Honor, and two
- 14 points in response to that, if I may. One, we're not
- 15 contending that religious practices don't have to be
- 16 accommodated. What we are contending as an initial
- 17 matter is that an employer does not intentionally
- 18 discriminate on the basis of a religious practice by
- 19 enforcing a religion-neutral dress code that would have
- 20 been have been enforced --
- 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: But the thing about my
- 22 question was, is that what this statute does is to say
- 23 that if you are, in fact, wearing a headscarf for
- 24 religious reasons, that your neutral policy really
- 25 doesn't matter, it only matters if you -- if there's an

- 1 undue burden and you really can't make an accommodation,
- 2 but except for that, it really doesn't matter. You just
- 3 have to hire me, even if I'm wearing a headscarf. And
- 4 so the fact that you don't know that I'm wearing a
- 5 headscarf for religious reasons, that you only kind of
- 6 assume that, because most people do wear headscarves for
- 7 religious reasons, it shouldn't make any more difference
- 8 than in the hypothetical that I gave.
- 9 MR. DVORETZKY: Your Honor, on -- on that
- 10 logic, it also would make no difference if the employer
- 11 had absolutely no idea that the headscarf was worn for
- 12 religious reasons, because it would still be a religious
- 13 headscarf and a religious practice. Not even the EEOC
- 14 is claiming that there's a duty to accommodate in that
- 15 situation. And so the question before the Court is, at
- 16 what level of knowledge does the employer have to have
- 17 before the duty to accommodate is triggered. For 40
- 18 years, the EEOC's own guidance has put the burden to
- 19 initiate the conversation on the employee because only
- 20 the employee knows.
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That's if the employee
- 22 knows the rule. But here the employee had no reason to
- 23 think that there was anything offensive about her dress.
- 24 How can she say, by the way, I have a religious reason
- 25 for wearing this headscarf, when from everything, from

- 1 all appearances, the employer doesn't care. It's okay
- 2 to wear a headscarf. Then the employer has not given
- 3 her notice of this Look Policy, so how is she supposed
- 4 to in -- in -- intrude the question, that as far as all
- 5 appearances go, it's fine, that she's wearing a
- 6 headscarf. There's no Look Policy that the employee
- 7 knows that's violated.
- 8 MR. DVORETZKY: Justice Ginsburg, I
- 9 respectfully disagree with that characterization of what
- 10 went on here. Ms. Elauf knew enough about Abercrombie
- 11 to understand that it had a dress code. Knew enough
- 12 about Abercrombie to un -- to ask in advance.
- 13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Did she testify that she
- 14 knew they had a dress code? I was not aware of that.
- 15 MR. DVORETZKY: She -- she testified that
- 16 she knew that she would have to wear Abercrombie style
- 17 clothes, that's Joint Appendix 23. She knew that
- 18 Abercrombie did not sell headscarves.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But she did. In fact,
- 20 she came in with an Abercrombie type shirt, right?
- 21 MR. DVORETZKY: She did. But she also knew
- 22 that Abercrombie did not sell headscarves. That's at
- 23 Joint Appendix 37-38.
- 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But she asked a friend,
- 25 who worked for Abercrombie whether the -- whether the

- 1 headscarf was a problem, and the friend said, no, if
- 2 it's not black, it should be okay. And in fact, three
- 3 of the four managers said it was okay to wear scarves,
- 4 so --
- 5 MR. DVORETZKY: It -- but --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- why would she suspect
- 7 that if she is qualified and has the personality they're
- 8 looking for, and is dressed appropriately, that this
- 9 company would fail to hire her because they refused to
- 10 accommodate her religious belief.
- MR. DVORETZKY: Justice Sotomayor, she asked
- 12 a friend who in turn asked another Abercrombie employee,
- 13 who was not involved in the hiring process. And even
- 14 the advice that was --
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, I think it was a
- 16 store manager.
- MR. DVORETZKY: But a store manager who was
- 18 not involved in this hiring process. She had an
- 19 opportunity before Ms. Cooke, who interviewed her, to
- 20 ask any questions about the Look Policy, after Ms. Cooke
- 21 described the Look Policy at the interview. So --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: She did not mention the
- 23 headscarf?
- 24 MR. DVORETZKY: Did not mention the
- 25 headscarf. These Abercrombie interviews, in addition to

- 1 being group interviews, are scripted interviews in which
- 2 --
- 3 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Show me where in the
- 4 script. Because I remember reading this, and she, in
- 5 fact, said we don't discuss the Look Policy at the
- 6 interview.
- 7 MR. DVORETZKY: If you look at Joint
- 8 Appendix 33 and Joint Appendix 100 to 101, that's
- 9 Cooke's testimony, that she read a summary of the Look
- 10 Policy and gave Ms. Elauf the opportunity to ask any
- 11 questions. Now --
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Okay, but there was no
- 13 mention of a headscarf in what she read.
- 14 MR. DVORETZKY: It did not specifically
- 15 mention the headscarf. However, it described the Look
- 16 Policy in general. Ms. Elauf knew before, it was a
- 17 matter of common sense that Abercrombie requires their
- 18 employees to wear clothes that look like Abercrombie
- 19 style.
- JUSTICE ALITO: So let's say that somebody
- 21 comes in for an interview and this person is -- has the
- look, if you wanted to, you know, draw the person who
- 23 has the look, this is the person who has the look, looks
- 24 just like this mythical preppy or --
- 25 (Laughter.)

- 1 JUSTICE ALITO: -- somebody who came off the
- 2 beach in California. Only one problem, the person is
- 3 wearing a black blouse, which is against the Abercrombie
- 4 rules. Now, would Abercrombie fire that -- or would not
- 5 hire that person on the assumption that this person
- 6 likes black so much this person is going to wear black
- 7 every single day?
- 8 MR. DVORETZKY: I don't think Abercrombie
- 9 needs to make that assumption about what the person will
- 10 do later in order to make a judgment based on the
- 11 person's appearance at the interview. If I walked into
- 12 an Abercrombie interview wearing a suit, presumably
- 13 Abercrombie could tell me when you come to work, please
- don't wear the suit, please wear our clothes. But it
- 15 would also be equally rational for Abercrombie to say,
- 16 you know, if this person is coming in wearing a suit,
- 17 that's not compatible with our style. And likewise for
- 18 the headscarf. Johnson's testimony, which the EEOC
- 19 didn't challenge, is that he would have taken the same
- 20 action for somebody who came into an interview wearing a
- 21 headscarf, a baseball cap, a helmet or another religious
- 22 symbol.
- 23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Or a yarmulke. He said
- 24 specifically, somebody come in, applies for a job, wears
- 25 a yarmulke, no questions asked, that violates our policy.

- 1 That was his testimony.
- 2 MR. DVORETZKY: That's right, and what that
- 3 shows is that religion is not the basis for the action
- 4 here, rather, Abercrombie at most was completely
- 5 indifferent to --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, but that doesn't
- 7 work in a case like this. It's not a question, are you
- 8 treating everybody the same. You have an obligation to
- 9 accommodate people with particular religious practice or
- 10 beliefs, so to keep constantly saying, oh, we would have
- 11 treated somebody with a baseball cap the same way
- doesn't seem to me is very responsive.
- MR. DVORETZKY: Mr. Chief Justice, for
- 14 purposes of an intentional discrimination claim, it does
- 15 matter that you would treat everybody the same, and
- 16 that's the theory the EEOC is pursuing here.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, no, but as I
- 18 understand it, it's intentional discrimination because
- 19 you failed to accommodate.
- 20 MR. DVORETZKY: And I would submit that that
- 21 is an incomprehensible understanding of what intentional
- 22 discrimination means. Intentional discrimination --
- 23 JUSTICE BREYER: Again, how we get into all
- 24 that, if you want to add something to it, it seems we're
- 25 in a kind of minutia. What's wrong with saying if he

- 1 correctly believes that she's religious and needs
- 2 accommodation, fine, that's the end of it. You're in
- 3 the statute and prove an excuse. Correct belief can
- 4 arise in a thousand contexts. Did he correctly believe
- 5 that the drug that was being sold, that white powder,
- 6 was heroin? Did the manager whom you're trying to fire
- 7 and is saying you did, did he correctly believe that, in
- 8 fact -- or didn't pay enough for college graduates? Did
- 9 he correctly believe that this applicant graduated from
- 10 Princeton? Did he -- that's Abercrombie -- did he
- 11 correctly believe that -- that he had authority under
- 12 the -- under the delegation of agency, to sign a check?
- I mean, look, there are thousands of things.
- 14 What's -- why is it our job here to say what the right
- 15 way of proving correct belief is? I mean, I think, we
- 16 can say it's something wrong. You don't have to
- 17 formulate your correct belief just because she told you.
- 18 I mean, you can argue that one. That's the only way to
- 19 prove it and I'm open to that argument, I'd like to hear
- 20 it.
- 21 But once we're beyond that, if I'm right
- that that isn't the only way you can prove correct
- 23 belief, why do we have to say?
- 24 MR. DVORETZKY: Because, Your Honor, in this
- 25 particular context, having a standard like correct

- 1 belief or suspecting a possible conflict will inevitably
- 2 lead employers --
- 3 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm with you with
- 4 "suspect."
- 5 MR. DVORETZKY: That is -- suspect --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm with you only where
- 7 they correctly believe that, dah, dah, or
- 8 understand dah, dah, or no. Those three things
- 9 seem good enough to me.
- Now, I've repeated this three times, but I
- 11 want to hear the answer why they're not good enough.
- 12 MR. DVORETZKY: The -- the reason they're
- 13 not -- Justice Breyer, the reason that they're not good
- 14 enough is that there is no way that the employer can
- 15 know about a religious practice unless it either --
- 16 unless it is -- that information is traceable to the
- 17 employee. And having that kind of a correct belief
- 18 standard will inevitably lead employers to stereotype,
- 19 because a fact-finder might later find that they short
- 20 --
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, isn't that --
- isn't that what Ms. Cooke says she did? She said she
- 23 saw her in a scarf and that she assumed that it was worn
- 24 because of religious beliefs, so she acted on a
- 25 stereotype that some, I guess, if you wear a black

- 1 scarf, it's because of a religious belief.
- 2 MR. DVORETZKY: Your Honor, I don't believe
- 3 that she acted on that stereotype. I believe that
- 4 Johnson instructed her not to hire Ms. Elauf because she
- 5 was not compliant with the Look Policy.
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, he hired her because
- 7 -- didn't hire her because, under the Look Policy, he
- 8 believed you could not accommodate that religious
- 9 belief.
- 10 MR. DVORETZKY: I think the --
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Or that religious
- 12 practice.
- MR. DVORETZKY: I think the reason that he
- 14 didn't hire her, Joint Appendix 134, is that she simply
- 15 was not compliant with the Look Policy.
- 16 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So -- so the
- 17 traceable -- now you've got me sort of interested in
- 18 this -- it seems to me, when you mean traceable to the
- 19 woman, I mean, you mean it loosely, I guess.
- 20 MR. DVORETZKY: Well, I --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: It's pretty hard to think
- of a case where it wouldn't be. I mean, I guess I could
- 23 imagine a case that he found out about this woman from
- 24 an FBI agent who was making it up. But, I mean, that
- 25 just seems very, very unlikely.

- 1 MR. DVORETZKY: The case that the government
- 2 gives as an example is one in which an employer learned
- 3 of an applicant's religious practice from the
- 4 employer's -- from the applicant's reference who was --
- 5 the applicant's --
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: And the applicant's
- 7 reference didn't know the applicant?
- 8 MR. DVORETZKY: The applicant's reference
- 9 did know the applicant?
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. There it is,
- 11 traceable to the --
- 12 MR. DVORETZKY: And so that is traceable to
- 13 the -- to the applicant. But the problem with -- the
- 14 problem would be --
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's the difference in
- 16 your -- I mean, I'm a little -- I'm still very confused.
- 17 You don't think that there could ever be discrimination
- 18 based on a general neutral policy, because what does it
- 19 matter if she told him that this was because of
- 20 religious belief? If he's only firing her or not hiring
- 21 her because of the Look Policy, then he hasn't
- 22 discriminated.
- 23 MR. DVORETZKY: No, Your Honor. If she had
- 24 told him, this is for religious belief and I need an
- 25 accommodation from the Look Policy, at that point, under

- 1 the statute, there would be a duty to accommodate. But
- 2 the question here is do we want to put --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR:
 I am so totally
- 4 confused. So he hears it from Ms. Cooke and that's not
- 5 enough?
- 6 MR. DVORETZKY: That's right. Because
- 7 Ms. Cooke herself testified that she did not know that
- 8 Ms. Elauf wore the headscarf for religious reasons.
- 9 What we want to avoid is a rule that leads employers, in
- 10 order to avoid liability, to start stereotyping about
- 11 whether they think, guess or suspect that somebody is
- doing something for religious reasons.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: We've already gone
- 14 through this. All I have to do is say, This is what our
- 15 Look Policy is, do you have any problem with it? As
- 16 Justice Alito pointed out a while back, don't have to
- 17 probe anything about religion. I thought Ms. -- what is
- 18 his name, Johnson -- when he said, I would do the same
- 19 thing with a man who came in with a yarmulke . So the man
- 20 who came in with the yarmulke got the same treatment.
- 21 Sorry, I was, I was -- Ms. Cooke, I would want to hire
- 22 you, but I can't. That was the answer that he gave. So
- 23 there's no difference between the headscarf or yarmulke
- 24 or a Sikh turban in Mr. Johnson's view.
- MR. DVORETZKY: That -- that's right. And

- 1 to answer your question about why the employer can't
- 2 just disclose the policy, that isn't a solution, because
- 3 that is asking employers to treat applicants differently
- 4 based on stereotypes or assumption about whether
- 5 something is likely a religious practice.
- 6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If it's going to be a
- 7 requirement for the job, then doesn't the employer have
- 8 an obligation to tell the employee what the job
- 9 requirements are?
- 10 MR. DVORETZKY: No, Your Honor, not under
- 11 Title VII. Title VII is not a Civil Service statute
- 12 that requires applicants or employees who violate
- 13 workplace rules to be given a chance to explain
- 14 themselves and told all of the requirements before
- 15 adverse action is taken against them.
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: This is what I don't
- 17 understand about your position with respect to this
- 18 particular case. As I understand it, Abercrombie does
- 19 not have a policy that the -- that an interviewee must
- 20 comply with the Look Policy; is that correct?
- 21 MR. DVORETZKY: The Look Policy itself does
- 22 not apply at the interview. It seems that Mr. --
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: So what -- on -- there would
- 24 be no reason for not hiring the individual involved here
- 25 unless you assumed that she was going to wear a scarf

- 1 every day. Just because she wore a headscarf on that
- one day, wouldn't mean that she necessarily was going to
- 3 wear it everyday. Every day she might. Maybe she's
- 4 just having a bad hair day so she comes in with a
- 5 headscarf, but she doesn't have any religious reason for
- 6 doing it. Would you reject her for that? No. The
- 7 reason that she was rejected was because you assumed she
- 8 was going to do this every day and the only reason why
- 9 she would do it every day is because she had a religious
- 10 reason.
- 11 MR. DVORETZKY: Justice Alito, that has not
- 12 been the EEOC's theory of this case. And if it had
- 13 been, there would have been ways that they could have
- 14 tried to prove that. They could have questioned Johnson
- 15 specifically about that. What would he have done if
- 16 somebody had come in wearing a ball cap? They could
- 17 have tried to get comparative evidence about what would
- 18 happened when people came in wearing nonreligious head
- 19 wear. And so the EEOC's theory, which it had every
- 20 opportunity to prove, has not been that Johnson --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Why would they ask
- 22 anything about nonreligious? Title VII doesn't require
- 23 accommodating baseball caps, but it does require
- 24 accommodating to religious practices. So the employer
- 25 is not -- as I said before, it's not just, I can't

- 1 discriminate on the basis of religion, but if there's a
- 2 religious practice, I must accommodate it as a discrete
- 3 requirement.
- 4 MR. DVORETZKY: The -- the premise of
- 5 Justice Alito's question, as I understood it, is that
- 6 Abercrombie only didn't hire this person because the
- 7 headscarf was religious. And what I'm suggesting is,
- 8 Abercrombie might well not have hired anybody who walked
- 9 in wearing any head cover covering. And so if the EEOC
- 10 had wanted to prove the role that assumptions about
- 11 religion supposedly --
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So why would that be so
- 13 when you just said they don't require people at the
- 14 interview stage to conform to the Look Policy?
- MR. DVORETZKY: On its face, the Look Policy
- 16 itself does not require that. But Johnson, the
- 17 decision maker here, in effect was judging people based
- 18 on --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: You were about to tell us
- 20 what the EEOC's theory of the case was. I was eager to
- 21 hear that. Could you tell us what you think their
- theory of the case was?
- 23 MR. DVORETZKY: I think their theory of the
- 24 case was that there was a duty to accommodate a
- 25 religious practice anytime an employer has a correct

- 1 understanding or a suspicion of that practice. It has
- 2 not -- the theory has not been that Abercrombie acted
- 3 based on assumptions about Ms. Elauf's religion. And I
- 4 think one way in which we know that is, let's assume
- 5 that the Look Policy did apply at the interview. Under
- 6 the EEOC's theory, if an interviewer suspected that the
- 7 applicant -- or correctly understood, as Justice Breyer
- 8 prefers -- correctly understood that the applicant wore
- 9 the headscarf for religious purposes, at that point,
- 10 there would be a duty to accommodate.
- 11 Regardless of whether Abercrombie did or did
- 12 not make any assumptions about future compliance, if the
- 13 Look Policy applied at the interview, there would be a
- 14 duty to accommodate upon that correct understanding at
- 15 the interview.
- The problem with that rule is that
- 17 employers, in order to protect themselves in the future
- 18 from having a jury find that they must have correctly
- 19 understood that a particular --
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So what's the difference
- 21 between that and having no --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't understand what you
- 23 were about to say. Would you finish your thought?
- 24 MR. DVORETZKY: The only way that employers
- 25 can protect themselves under the EEOC's approach is by

- 1 training their managers to stereotype about possible
- 2 religious beliefs because a judge or jury might later
- 3 find that Abercrombie or an employer correctly
- 4 understood, or must have correctly understood, under an
- 5 objective test which they don't disclaim in their amici
- 6 briefs.
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you're essentially
- 8 saying that the problem with the rule is that it
- 9 requires Abercrombie to engage in what might be thought
- 10 of as an awkward conversation, to ask some questions.
- 11 Now, people can disagree about whether one can ask those
- 12 questions in a way that's awkward at all, but you're
- 13 saying we should structure the whole legal system to
- 14 make sure that there is no possibility of that awkward
- 15 conversation ever taking place. But the alternative to
- 16 that rule is a rule where Abercrombie just gets to say,
- 17 we're going to stereotype people and prevent them from
- 18 getting jobs. We'll never have the awkward conversation
- 19 because we're just going to cut these people out and
- 20 make sure that they never become Abercrombie employees.
- Now, between those two options, the option
- 22 of using a stereotype to make sure that somebody never
- 23 gets a job and using a stereotype to have an awkward
- 24 conversation, which does this statute seem to think is
- 25 the worst problem?

1	MR. DVORETZKY: Justice Kagan, the problem
2	is not having awkward conversations. The problem is
3	that the EEOC's rule would lead employers to treat
4	people differently based on their religion, which is
5	precisely the opposite of what Title VII wants. The
6	JUSTICE GINSBURG: They Title VII
7	requires them to treat people who have religious
8	practice differently. They don't have to accommodate a
9	baseball cap. They do have to accommodate a yarmulke.
LO	MR. DVORETZKY: But Title VII requires that
L1	only after as the EEOC has said for 40 years only
L2	after the employee or applicant places religion on the
L3	table. Title VII does not want employers to be making
L 4	those judgments before the employee raises the issue.
L5	And the concern that the EEOC raises here, that we're
L 6	going to have applicants who are completely in the dark
L7	about work policies, has not been borne out by any
L8	JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. I got you. Is this
L 9	right? I've got, I think, your argument. Your argumen
20	is it may sound odd to want a special rule as to when
21	you have belief or so forth, but for administrative
22	reasons, we have to have it. There are millions of
23	people who are practicing one religion or another where
24	you get a clue of that from their name or maybe their
25	dress or whatever it is. And whenever we have such a

- 1 person applying, if she doesn't say anything or he
- doesn't say anything, and we don't hire them or we don't
- 3 do it, we're going to get sued. And we don't want all
- 4 those lawsuits. And it isn't that big a burden to say
- 5 to the person who wants the accommodation, tell us.
- 6 We get into some administrative rule about
- 7 how to elicit it from her without that simple rule, tell
- 8 us, we're going to be in a real administrative rat mess
- 9 getting sued left right and center. Have I got the
- 10 essence of your argument?
- 11 MR. DVORETZKY: That's right.
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay.
- MR. DVORETZKY: And even asking the
- 14 neutral-sounding sort of question, can you comply with
- 15 the work rule, even that, is treating applicants
- 16 differently based on --
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, that isn't the end of
- 18 the world, perhaps. But you would say, look, we -- we
- 19 have thousands of managers and -- and goodness knows
- 20 they're going to get -- start getting resentful and dah,
- 21 dah, dah, dah. I mean, I just want to be sure I've got
- the argument.
- 23 MR. DVORETZKY: That -- that is essence of
- 24 it. But part of the reason that I think this is very
- 25 significant is that under the EEOC's own regulations, if

- 1 the applicant -- if the employer asks the
- 2 neutral-sounding question and then chooses not to hire
- 3 the person for a religion -- for a reason completely
- 4 unrelated to religion, the EEOC will infer that there
- 5 was discrimination --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Frankly, this is such an
- 7 unusual case because it's very rare that you have an
- 8 interviewer like Ms. Cooke who's honest. And the only
- 9 reason there was a suit here was because she was honest
- 10 and came in and told someone else. But if this young --
- 11 this young woman wasn't about to sue until she heard
- 12 this information. Most people don't presume they're not
- 13 hired because of some religious practice. But if you
- 14 have a policy that conflicts with your religious
- 15 practice, and the person knows you're going to wear a
- 16 yarmulke, then you might get sued.
- 17 MR. DVORETZKY: Your Honor, I think many, if
- 18 not almost all Title VII cases do originate without any
- 19 sort of admission by the employer about what the reason
- 20 was for not hiring the individual. And I think that the
- 21 rule that places a burden on employers to stereotype and
- 22 to raise these sorts of issues is one that will
- 23 undermine the purposes of Title VII.
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Gershengorn, you have five minutes left.

1	REBUTTAL	ARGUMENT	ΟF	TAN F	H. GERSHENGOF	ΝS

- 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 3 MR. GERSHENGORN: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 4 Justice:
- 5 I'd like to make just two quick factual
- 6 points to clear up what I see to be some confusion in
- 7 the record.
- 8 First of all, there was some discussion
- 9 about whether, in fact, you needed to comply with the
- 10 Look Policy. I point the Court to 94(a), which the --
- 11 which the district court says -- 94(a) of the Petition
- 12 Appendix -- the policy applies to all store employees,
- 13 but applicants are not required to be in compliance at
- 14 the time of the interview.
- 15 There was some question about whether Cooke
- 16 knew or didn't know about the headscarf. It is crystal
- 17 clear that she did not know. The district court said it
- 18 is undisputed -- I'm sorry, that Elauf did or did not
- 19 know -- it is undisputed -- this is at 97(a) of the
- 20 court's opinion -- it is undisputed that Cooke did not
- 21 tell her that Abercrombie would not permit models to
- 22 wear headscarves or to wear black clothing.
- 23 The Look Policy script, should the Court
- 24 wish to look at it, is Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 in the
- 25 trial. It quotes what the Look Policy is. I will

- 1 caution that it is not in the summary judgment record,
- 2 it was admitted in the damages trial. But the Look
- 3 Policy is there and consistent with all of the
- 4 testimony, it does not mention headscarves.
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: Do you want to say a word
- 6 about what I called his administrative argument?
- 7 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I would.
- 8 I think the administrative argument actually cuts in our
- 9 sense -- in our favor in a couple of ways. First of
- 10 all, the suggestion that there are practical problems
- isn't plausible. This has been the rule for two
- 12 decades. The Ninth Circuit, the Third Circuit, the
- 13 Seventh Circuit, everybody is applying the same test.
- 14 It's the Tenth Circuit that for the first time has
- imposed these two new requirements.
- 16 Second, I don't think it's unadministrable
- 17 for exactly the reasons we talked about in my first time
- 18 up here. An employer can structure an interview to make
- 19 sure that critical rules are followed, and the employer,
- 20 if it wants, can make no assumptions about religion.
- Justice Sotomayor, if I could pick up on
- 22 your point. I think what's important about this case
- 23 and why we think it's important, is precisely that it is
- 24 unusual. What is unusual is that the applicant found
- out why she was not hired, and that is what's strange.

- 1 Most of the time, the person just never finds out that
- 2 no accommodation was made, that the employer assumed the
- 3 accommodation was -- would be needed and just never
- 4 told. And it's precisely why we --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: That doesn't prevent a
- 6 lawsuit, of course. I mean --
- 7 MR. GERSHENGORN: It doesn't, Your Honor,
- 8 but --
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: She can sue and then find
- 10 out in the -- in the course of discovery, right?
- 11 MR. GERSHENGORN: They certainly could, but
- 12 --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: And it happens often,
- 14 doesn't it?
- 15 MR. GERSHENGORN: No, Your Honor, I'm not
- 16 sure that it does. And I think this is what makes this
- 17 a very important case. It's because most applicants --
- 18 unlike employees, who are in a position to go back and
- 19 forth with their employer and understand the work rules,
- 20 applicants are at a serious informational disadvantage.
- 21 They don't know the work rules. And in this case, it is
- 22 undisputed that she did not.
- 23 And if I could just close then, picking up
- 24 on something Justice Kagan was pointing at, that the
- 25 background rule in Title VII is that belief is

1	sufficient. But what makes this case, we think,
2	particularly strong, is that this is belief plus an
3	assumption that where they acted on that belief and
4	they assumed that she would need an accommodation from
5	the work rule. That is certainly sufficient and the
6	Tenth Circuit was wrong to conclude otherwise.
7	Thank you.
8	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
9	The case is submitted.
LO	(Whereupon, at 11:18 a.m., the case in the
L1	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	L 10 22	25 14 20 10	20 14 51 25	1 1 5 2 11 1 17 24
A	accomplish 18:22	25:14 28:18	28:14 51:25	back 5:2 11:1 17:24
abandon 25:17	20:20	alternative 52:15	approaching 6:2	23:9 29:25 47:16
abandoned 24:1	accurate 24:25	alternatively 6:21	appropriately 39:8	58:18
abercrombie 1:7	acknowledging	ambiguous 32:12	argue 43:18	backandforth
3:5 13:14 29:5	21:11	amici 52:5	argument 1:13 2:2	22:21
30:16 31:5,19	act 4:21 10:13	amicus 27:18,19	2:5,8 3:3,7 5:4	background 58:25
38:10,12,16,18,20	28:12	ansonia 20:18	26:25 28:25 29:4	bad 49:4
38:22,25 39:12,25	acted 4:17 8:22	answer 5:19,20	43:19 53:19,19	balance 28:22
40:17,18 41:3,4,8	29:5 44:24 45:3	6:11,12,13 10:25	54:10,22 56:1	ball 49:16
41:12,13,15 42:4	51:2 59:3	18:10,13 32:16	57:6,8	baseball 41:21
43:10 48:18 50:6	action 5:8 41:20	44:11 47:22 48:1	arises 8:5	42:11 49:23 53:9
50:8 51:2,11 52:3	42:3 48:15	anybody 50:8	artificial 14:8	based 18:6 29:22
52:9,16,20 56:21	acts 5:23	anytime 29:10	asked 5:18 38:24	30:18 32:20 33:7
able 6:23 8:7 15:5	actual 4:5 23:9	50:25	39:11,12 41:25	41:10 46:18 48:4
20:13 22:11 30:13	add 33:18 42:24	apparent 19:20,21	asking 13:19 15:2	50:17 51:3 53:4
aboveentitled 1:12	addition 39:25	appeal 26:22	18:5 48:3 54:13	54:16
59:11	addressed 12:12	appeals 9:20 10:5	asks 20:2 55:1	basis 26:4 29:6,17
absent 29:20	27:3	15:19 24:10	aspect 31:18	36:1,18 42:3 50:1
absolute 35:10,22	adjectives 30:5	appearance 13:13	assert 25:19	beach 41:2
absolutely 11:24	administrative	18:1 19:21 41:11	assessed 30:18	beard 13:13,16,21
19:1 21:13 35:23	53:21 54:6,8 57:6	appearances 1:15	assume 4:8 6:17,25	14:9,12,19,24
37:11	57:8	38:1,5	8:16,17 14:14	15:9,23 17:25
accommodate 3:13	admission 55:19	appears 24:13	18:3,16 19:8	18:1,3,18 19:15
7:3 9:1 11:2,9	admit 32:18	appendix 32:8	20:16 37:6 51:4	beards 13:15,16
23:25 24:6,15,23	admitted 57:2	38:17,23 40:8,8	assumed 3:25 7:2	14:14 18:25 22:24
25:9,16,18 26:10	adopt 9:22	45:14 56:12	10:15 44:23 48:25	beginning 24:5
26:15 27:13,18	adopted 9:20 15:19	applicant 3:14 6:14	49:7 58:2 59:4	26:18
28:1,2,17 29:18	25:22	8:7 13:19 16:14	assumes 5:22	begun 14:3
29:21 33:20 36:8	adopting 15:19	16:15 17:12 30:13	assumption 4:21	behalf 1:17,19 2:4
37:14,17 39:10	advance 38:12	33:6 43:9 46:7,9	13:6 29:9 30:12	2:7,10 3:8 29:1
42:9,19 45:8 47:1	adverse 48:15	46:13 51:7,8	35:12 41:5,9 48:4	56:2
50:2,24 51:10,14	advice 39:14	53:12 55:1 57:24	59:3	belief 8:24,25 26:10
53:8,9	agency 43:12	applicants 9:24	assumptions 20:3	34:10,14,16,20,24
accommodated	agent 45:24	31:23 34:1 46:3,4	50:10 51:3,12	39:10 43:3,15,17
36:12,16	agents 14:14,15	46:5,6,8 48:3,12	57:20	43:23 44:1,17
accommodating	agree 12:8 33:14	53:16 54:15 56:13	attend 22:19	45:1,9 46:20,24
49:23,24	ahead 7:7	58:17,20	authority 43:11	53:21 58:25 59:2
accommodation	alike 34:1	applied 27:4 30:17	avoid 15:17 18:16	59:3
3:12,15,17 4:9,25	alito 22:23 23:5	51:13	20:17 24:19 25:21	beliefs 18:9 42:10
7:1,15 12:10 14:4	30:8,20 31:3,9	applies 11:18 41:24	47:9,10	44:24 52:2
21:11,12 22:3,17	32:15 40:20 41:1	56:12	avoids 15:24	believe 12:20 15:18
23:9,17 24:13	47:16 48:16,23	apply 32:25 36:3	aware 38:14	30:4,4 34:8 43:4,7
25:2,3 29:12,16	49:11	48:22 51:5	awkward 52:10,12	43:9,11 44:7 45:2
30:6 33:8,19 34:5	alitos 50:5	applying 6:14 33:5	52:14,18,23 53:2	45:3
37:1 43:2 46:25	allow 11:18,25 13:2	54:1 57:13		believed 10:16 45:8
54:5 58:2,3 59:4	13:3 17:19 25:12	approach 18:15	B	believes 9:17 11:21
21.000.2,507.1				

	l	Ī	ı	l
33:18 34:3,19	case 3:4 4:20 5:7	circuit 3:11,24 4:4	20:13 30:6,13	51:14
43:1	6:18,19 9:4,6,7	5:12,13,25 22:21	48:20 54:14 56:9	correctly 3:16,20
best 6:11,12	10:11 12:20 16:12	33:4 57:12,12,13	concede 27:25	29:11 33:17,17,18
better 13:18	17:25 21:17 22:21	57:14 59:6	concern 6:22 20:13	43:1,4,7,9,11 44:7
beyond 43:21	23:19 25:17,21	circumstances	53:15	51:7,8,18 52:3,4
big 54:4	26:19 30:25 32:10	32:19,23	concerned 8:6 15:5	couldnt 22:23
bilateral 20:19 22:1	32:15 34:21,23	civil 48:11	19:10	counsel 28:23
black 17:6 39:2	42:7 45:22,23	claim 27:13,14,20	conclude 59:6	55:24 59:8
41:3,6,6 44:25	46:1 48:18 49:12	28:21 42:14	conduct 19:22	couple 57:9
56:22	50:20,22,24 55:7	claimed 4:23	conferred 12:20	course 58:6,10
blouse 41:3	57:22 58:17,21	claiming 37:14	conflict 6:17,18	court 1:1,13 3:10
board 33:1	59:1,9,10	claims 3:12	10:2 29:10,11,23	9:21 12:12,13
borne 53:17	cases 6:10 15:15	clear 12:9 14:4	44:1	13:5 15:19 20:18
boy 22:7	20:7,8 24:11 32:3	19:11 56:6,17	conflicts 55:14	21:25 23:8 24:10
breyer 33:2,23 34:2	33:24 34:14 55:18	close 58:23	conform 50:14	25:22,25 27:3,6
34:12 42:23 44:3	catholic 31:12	clothes 38:17 40:18	confused 26:7	29:3 32:7,25
44:6,13 45:16,21	causes 13:22,25	41:14	46:16 47:4	37:15 56:10,11,17
46:6,10 51:7	caution 57:1	clothing 56:22	confusing 5:10,13	56:23
53:18 54:12,17	center 54:9	clue 53:24	9:10	courts 9:20 10:5
57:5	ceremony 22:20	code 19:22 36:3,19	confusion 56:6	25:7 26:3 27:4
brief 24:22,22 26:2	certain 7:22,23,24	38:11,14	congress 4:25 6:24	28:15,20 33:25
26:20 27:9,19	certainly 23:8 32:3	collaborative 23:11	11:11 14:1,4	56:20
29:8,9 30:11,15	32:23 35:10 58:11	college 43:8	18:22 22:2 25:1	cover 19:20 50:9
briefs 26:12 27:19	59:5	colored 16:21	consistent 57:3	covering 50:9
52:6	certainty 4:24 6:2,5	come 6:10 31:1	constantly 42:10	crazy 20:23
brings 20:7	6:5 34:10 35:10	35:2 41:13,24	contain 32:8	credible 26:20
burden 15:21 17:12	35:22	49:16	contending 36:15	critical 10:13 18:21
29:18,20,21 37:1	cetera 33:21	comes 15:8 40:21	36:16	57:19
37:18 54:4 55:21	challenge 41:19	49:4	context 43:25	crystal 56:16
buy 14:19	chance 7:11,12,20	coming 41:16	contexts 43:4	cut 52:19
	7:25 8:1 48:13	commission 1:4 3:5	conventional 28:21	cuts 17:8 57:8
<u>C</u>	change 25:17	common 32:14	conversation 37:19	D
c 1:9,17,19 2:1 3:1	characterization	40:17	52:10,15,18,24	
27:9	38:9	commonly 8:5	conversations 53:2	d 1:9,17,19 3:1
california 41:2	charge 26:13 34:25	company 39:9	conversion 22:20	dah 44:7,7,7,8,8,8
call 13:3,15 called 28:20 57:6	35:1	comparative 49:17	conveyed 12:20	54:20,21,21,21
	charged 32:20	compatible 41:17	cooke 3:25 12:20	damages 24:9
candidly 15:14 cant 5:19 6:24 13:3	check 43:12	complaint 24:8	12:23,25 39:19,20	25:25 26:1,2,5,22 26:25 57:2
	checking 10:25	26:8	44:22 47:4,7,21	
18:23 21:18 37:1	chief 3:3,9 7:5 13:7	completely 42:4	55:8 56:15,20	dark 53:16
47:22 48:1 49:25	14:17 17:22,24	53:16 55:3	cookes 40:9	day 41:7 49:1,2,3,4
cap 41:21 42:11 49:16 53:9	18:10 19:8,13,19	compliance 30:18	correct 12:3,18	49:8,9
49:16 33:9 caps 49:23	28:23 29:2 42:6	51:12 56:13	24:2,16,25 26:16	dealing 34:23,24 decades 9:21 10:7
caps 49.23 care 10:6 14:23	42:13,17 55:24	compliant 45:5,15	29:7 34:13 43:3	14:13 57:12
38:1	56:3 59:8	comply 8:7,18 15:5	43:15,17,22,25	decides 13:5
30.1	chooses 55:2	15:11 18:20,25	44:17 48:20 50:25	ucciucs 13.3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_	_	_	
decision 6:19 50:17	disadvantage 58:20	18:13 19:4 20:4	easy 9:4,6	35:18,22,25 36:2
decisionmakers	disagree 38:9 52:11	25:14,14 27:24,25	eeoc 19:11 20:7	36:17 37:10,16
13:6	disclaim 52:5	28:2 29:15 30:8	23:8 25:6 34:9	38:1,2 44:14 46:2
default 10:16	disclose 48:2	34:18,22 36:15	37:13 41:18 42:16	48:1,7 49:24
defended 24:9	discovery 58:10	37:4 40:5 41:8,14	50:9 53:11,15	50:25 52:3 55:1
defense 29:20	discrete 50:2	43:16 45:2 46:17	55:4	55:19 57:18,19
degree 13:11	discriminate 35:25	47:16 48:16 50:13	eeocs 29:4,8,9	58:2,19
delegation 43:12	36:18 50:1	51:22 52:5 53:8	37:18 49:12,19	employeremployee
deliberately 36:11	discriminated	54:2,2,3 55:12	50:20 51:6,25	22:22
denying 15:20	46:22	57:16 58:21	53:3 54:25	employers 30:24
depart 29:21	discrimination	door 14:11	effect 50:17	34:1 35:1,1,24
department 1:17	25:9 27:5,11,15	doubt 13:9	either 44:15	44:2,18 46:4 47:9
depend 7:19 29:8	36:7 42:14,18,22	draw 40:22	elauf 3:14,25 4:21	48:3 51:17,24
30:12	42:22 46:17 55:5	dress 30:19 36:3,19	6:20,22 16:17	53:3,13 55:21
depth 20:7	discuss 40:5	37:23 38:11,14	17:17 24:7 25:2	employment 1:3
deputy 1:16	discussion 22:18	53:25	26:9 32:9 38:10	3:4 27:11
descent 18:17,18	56:8	dressed 30:21	40:10,16 45:4	enacted 11:12
described 33:24	disparate 24:15,18	31:15 39:8	47:8 56:18	enforced 36:20
39:21 40:15	24:20,24 25:5,7,8	drug 43:5	elaufs 29:6 51:3	enforcing 36:19
describing 33:12	25:12,13 26:19	duty 37:14,17 47:1	elicit 54:7	engage 8:17 52:9
designed 20:19	27:12,13,14,20,25	50:24 51:10,14	eliminated 3:12	enormously 9:10
23:10 24:24	28:1,2,3,11,13,21	dvoretzky 1:19	em 15:25	entirely 33:25
devise 32:25	35:15	28:24,25 29:2,19	employee 5:4 8:14	equal 1:3 3:4
dialogue 6:23 13:9	dispute 12:22	30:1,10,23 31:17	9:23 12:10 17:20	equally 41:15
14:3 18:2 20:19	distinguish 28:12	31:22 32:22 33:23	22:4,4 30:21,25	esq 1:16,19 2:3,6,9
21:24 22:1 23:14	distinguishes 27:7	34:8,22 35:6,15	31:1 32:17 37:19	essence 54:10,23
23:18	27:10	35:23 36:13 37:9	37:20,21,22 38:6	essentially 52:7
dialogues 32:1	district 10:23 11:6	38:8,15,21 39:5	39:12 44:17 48:8	et 33:21
didnt 4:23 10:19,23	25:25 26:3 56:11	39:11,17,24 40:7	53:12,14	everybody 42:8,15
11:13,14 16:8	56:17	40:14 41:8 42:2	employees 12:1	57:13
21:15 26:7 28:17	doesnt 5:5 7:19	42:13,20 43:24	40:18 48:12 52:20	everyday 22:22
33:2 41:19 43:8	9:16 10:9 11:1,8	44:5,12 45:2,10	56:12 58:18	49:3
45:7,14 46:7 50:6	12:6 13:16 17:20	45:13,20 46:1,8	employer 3:16 4:10	evidence 12:25
56:16	18:25 19:5,9,19	46:12,23 47:6,25	4:20 6:6,15,17,21	49:17
difference 3:20,24	20:15 35:7,9,11	48:10,21 49:11	7:9,24 8:6,12,15	exact 21:23
25:12 37:7,10	35:13,18,21 36:25	50:4,15,23 51:24	9:22 10:1,14	exactly 11:11 12:11
46:15 47:23 51:20	37:2 38:1 42:6,12	53:1,10 54:11,13	11:17,20 12:7	16:9 17:9 27:15
different 8:3,4	48:7 49:5,22 54:1	54:23 55:17	13:9,14 14:9,18	57:17
17:18,21 23:15	54:2 58:5,7,14	dvortezky 2:6	15:25 16:2,3,8	example 14:12
28:13	doing 47:12 49:6		17:13,14,17,18	28:18 46:2
differently 48:3	dont 3:19 6:7 7:2		18:1,3,14,15,24	exception 26:11
53:4,8 54:16	7:10,14 9:2 10:6	e 2:1 3:1,1	20:2,10,15,16,18	excuse 34:6 43:3
difficult 31:25	11:2,2,9,17,25	eager 50:20 earlier 18:14	22:4,17,23 29:10	excuses 33:21
dilemma 8:11	12:8 13:14,15,24	eastern 13:12 18:1	30:16 31:13 32:20	exhibit 56:24
direct 32:7 33:6,8	14:1,9,13,18,23	18:3,17,18	32:21 33:4,17	existence 10:2
directly 31:1	15:10 17:19 18:4	10.3,17,10	34:3 35:7,9,12,16	explain 6:10 24:20
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

34:9 48:13 explicit 33:6,9
expressed 30:15
F
face 50:15
facial 14:13 19:17
30:9
fact 12:5 17:4,14
31:20 36:23 37:4 38:19 39:2 40:5
43:8 56:9
factfinder 44:19
factfinders 17:1
facts 9:4 16:20
factual 29:7 56:5
fail 39:9
failed 4:14 26:9
42:19
failure 24:15,23 25:9,16,18 26:14
27:12 28:1
fair 3:23
false 8:12
far 13:11 15:7
32:11,13 38:4
fashion 31:16
favor 12:25 57:9
fbi 45:24
february 1:10 federal 34:14
feel 7:14
feels 6:21
fight 9:3
fighting 8:21 9:7
figure 4:7
figured 4:2,3
find 44:19 51:18
52:3 58:9
finds 58:1
fine 38:5 43:2 finish 51:23
fire 7:25 41:4 43:6
firing 46:20
first 3:4,14 5:5
13:24 31:9,17

56:8 57:9,14,17 firstline 10:22 fitch 1:7 3:5 13:14 five 55:25 fixed 23:11 flexible 23:10 floor 14:10 19:18
form 27:25 28:1,8
formulate 43:17
forth 23:10 53:21
58:19
found 45:23 57:24
four 31:4,4 39:3
fourth 31:12
frankly 55:6
free 14:14,15 33:9
friend 16:21 17:5
38:24 39:1,12
further 26:9
future 8:8 15:6
20:14 51:12,17
- 2.1

g 3:1 garb 20:12 32:5 **general** 1:16 40:16 46:18 gershengorn 1:16 2:3,9 3:6,7,9,22 4:18 5:11,20 6:3,9 7:17,21 8:2 9:2,11 9:14,19 10:1,4,7 10:10,18,20 11:4 11:10,14,24 12:3 12:8,17 13:23 15:1 16:1,5,9,23 17:2,23 18:12 19:1,6,16,25 20:25 21:9,14,16 21:23 22:10,14 23:3,6,22 24:2,4

24:17 26:16 27:2 27:23 28:4,8,11 55:25 56:1,3 57:7 58:7,11,15 getting 5:2 7:14 52:18 54:9,20 ginsburg 10:18,21 11:5 16:2,6,10 17:10 23:21,23 24:3,4 26:24 31:21 36:5 37:21 38:8,13,19 39:22 40:12 41:23 47:13 48:6 49:21 50:12 53:6
ginsburgs 12:19
given 38:2 48:13
gives 46:2
go 7:7 19:22 22:17
29:25 34:15 38:5
58:18
going 7:1,15,25
14:20,20,21,22,25
15:10 18:5 20:6
31:1,6 32:2,25
36:2 41:6 48:6,25
49:2,8 52:17,19
53:16 54:3,8,20
55:15 55:15
goldberg 35:8,11
good 44:9,11,13
goodness 54:19
government 31:25
46:1
graduated 43:9
graduates 43:8
granted 12:24
greater 13:11
green 13:3
groom 20:12
group 31:20,21,22
31:22 40:1
guess 21:5,22 44:25
45:19,22 47:11
guidance 37:18
Crry 14.10 24

H h 1:16 2:3,9 3:7
56:1
habit 31:12
hair 14:13 19:17
20:11 30:9 49:4
half 31:6
happen 11:15 21:24,25
happened 23:14,19
49:18
happens 58:13
happy 18:19
hard 9:7 15:2,13,17 45:21
hardison 12:12
hardship 23:18
hasidic 31:10
hasnt 46:21
hat 25:15 28:19
31:11
hats 25:13,13,15 28:18
head 25:4 49:18
50:9
headgear 25:4
headscarf 4:1,2,3,4
4:22 8:19 10:24
11:19 15:22 16:6
16:18 17:6,8 21:6 25:2 29:6 32:9
36:23 37:3,5,11
37:13.25 38:2.6
39:1,23,25 40:13
40:15 41:18,21
47:8,23 49:1,5
50:7 51:9 56:16
headscarfs 11:3,7,9
11:18 12:1 17:15 17:19
headscarves 37:6
38:18,22 56:22
57:4
hear 3:3 43:19
44:11 50:21
heard 55:11

hears 47:4 heller 22:21 **helmet** 41:21 **helpful** 33:15 heroin 43:6 hes 14:20,21 18:5 34:5 35:9,13 46:20 highlight 25:11 **hijab** 26:9 hire 4:15 7:15,25 8:23 10:17,22 14:11,25 15:10 19:9 26:8,14 27:17 35:7 37:3 39:9 41:5 45:4,7 45:14 47:21 50:6 54:2 55:2 hired 6:20 8:8 10:16 14:2 22:15 45:6 50:8 55:13 57:25 hiring 6:19 10:22 39:13,18 46:20 48:24 55:20 **home** 33:9 honest 55:8,9 honestly 6:7 **honor** 3:22 4:18 5:11,20 6:9 7:17 8:2,11 9:2,19 10:10 11:4,11,24 12:4,9,17 13:23 15:1 16:1 17:3 18:12 19:1,25 21:9,14 26:17 27:2,8 28:4 31:17 32:22 34:8 36:13 37:9 43:24 45:2 46:23 48:10 55:17 57:7 58:7,15 honored 21:4 honors 14:6 20:4 hypothesizing 20:4 hypothetical 9:3 12:19 14:7 31:18

guy 14:19,24

27.0			27.21.22.21.21	
37:8	initial 30:11 36:16	job 11:18 15:21	37:21 38:8,13,19	5:21 10:12 12:16
	initiate 23:13 37:19	18:19 31:4 35:13	38:24 39:6,11,15	16:14 30:24 32:20
1 1 1 6 2 2 2 2 7	initiated 4:24	41:24 43:14 48:7	39:22 40:3,12,20	37:16
ian 1:16 2:3,9 3:7	instructed 45:4	48:8 52:23	41:1,23 42:6,13	knows 9:17 11:20
56:1	insufficient 4:5	jobs 52:18	42:17,23 44:3,6	35:22 37:20,22
id 13:24 24:19	16:12	johnson 12:21 13:1	44:13,21 45:6,11	38:7 54:19 55:15
43:19 56:5	intend 9:3	13:2 36:4 45:4	45:16,21 46:6,10	
idea 5:8 20:23	intended 6:24	47:18 49:14,20	46:15 47:3,13,16	L
26:18 37:11	intends 35:25	50:16	48:6,16,23 49:11	laughter 31:8 35:20
ill 9:6	intent 35:25	johnsons 41:18	49:21 50:5,12,19	40:25
im 4:13 6:6 7:15,25	intentional 27:1,5	47:24	51:7,20,22 52:7	law 11:16,23 34:19
8:20 9:5,7 10:17	27:10,14,16 42:14	joint 32:8 38:17,23	53:1,6,18 54:12	35:18
10:25 14:19,24	42:18,21,22	40:7,8 45:14	54:17 55:6,24	lawsuit 22:9,11
15:5,10,22,23	intentionally 36:17	joke 31:7,18	56:4 57:5,21 58:5	58:6
21:20 26:6,6 27:8	interested 45:17	judge 52:2	58:9,13,24 59:8	lawsuits 54:4
27:21,21 28:7,7	interview 13:13	judging 50:17		lead 44:2,18 53:3
32:17 34:17 37:3	17:13 30:17,18	judgment 12:24	K	leads 47:9
37:4 43:19,21	31:4 39:21 40:6	24:8 41:10 57:1	kagan 4:11 6:3 7:4	learned 46:2
44:3,6 46:16,16	40:21 41:11,12,20	judgments 53:14	7:8,19,22 9:8 15:2	left 54:9 55:25
50:7 56:18 58:15	48:22 50:14 51:5	jury 26:13 51:18	35:6,17,21 36:21	legal 52:13
imagine 29:13 32:3	51:13,15 56:14	52:2	52:7 53:1 58:24	level 34:10 37:16
45:23	57:18	justice 1:17 3:3,9	kagans 8:21 9:3	liability 3:13 20:2
imagines 31:25	interviewed 39:19	3:19 4:11,12 5:2,3	keep 42:10	35:2 47:10
immediately 19:20	interviewee 48:19	5:15,18 6:3 7:4,5	kennedy 5:2,15	likes 41:6
impact 27:12,14,20	interviewer 51:6	7:8,19,22 8:20,21	16:19,24,25 24:14	likewise 41:17
import 5:6	55:8	9:3,8,9,12,15,25	24:21 27:21,24	listed 26:4
important 17:3	interviews 31:19,20		28:6,10	litigation 25:23
57:22,23 58:17	31:22 39:25 40:1	10:3,6,8,18,21	kind 18:2 24:23	little 26:7 46:16
imposed 3:11 57:15	40:1	11:5,13,16,25	35:9 37:5 42:25	logic 37:10
inappropriate		12:5,15,18 13:7	44:17	long 7:24 20:11
17:11 21:7	intrude 38:4	14:17 15:2,17	kinds 15:15	look 14:18 16:3,18
incomprehensible	involved 39:13,18	16:2,6,10,10,19	knew 5:9 13:6	17:15 18:24 26:11
42:21	48:24	16:24,25 17:10,22	38:10,11,14,16,17	30:17 38:3,6
indifferent 42:5	isnt 8:16,22 14:22	17:24 18:8,10,23	38:21 40:16 56:16	39:20,21 40:5,7,9
individual 34:25	23:11 43:22 44:21	19:3,8,13,19	know 3:16 5:7,14	40:15,18,22,23,23
48:24 55:20	44:22 48:2 54:4	20:21,24 21:1,10	5:17 6:6,7,7 7:10	43:13 45:5,7,15
individualized 31:2	54:17 57:11	21:15,18,20,21	7:10 13:16 16:8	, ,
	issue 4:14,16 8:22	22:6,12,23 23:5	16:17 21:4 26:7	46:21,25 47:15
32:1	22:20 34:16 53:14	23:21,23 24:3,4		48:20,21 50:14,15
inevitably 44:1,18	issues 55:22	24:14,21 26:6,24	27:24 28:6 30:4,8	51:5,13 54:18
infer 55:4	ive 15:6 18:14	27:15,21,24 28:6	31:7 32:16 33:5	56:10,23,24,25
infers 33:17	44:10 53:19 54:21	28:10,23 29:2,15	35:9 37:4 40:22	57:2
information 9:22		29:24 30:2,8,10	41:16 44:15 46:7	looked 26:12,12
44:16 55:12	J 25 0 10 11	30:20 31:3,9,21	46:9 47:7 51:4	looking 39:8
informational	jewish 35:9,10,11	32:15 33:2,23	56:16,17,19 58:21	looks 35:9 40:23
58:20	35:13	34:2,12 35:4,6,17	knowing 3:20	loosely 45:19
inherently 34:25	jews 35:7	35:21 36:5,21	knowledge 4:6,24	lot 7:23 30:5 31:24

	1		1	-
lower 25:7 28:14	mischaracterizing	29:12 33:6,9 38:3	P	phrase 23:4,12,12
28:20	30:3	notify 15:24	p 3:1	24:12,22
	missing 28:10	number 34:18	page 2:2 27:9	phrased 23:1
M	models 13:15 56:21	nun 31:12	pages 19:23	pick 17:10 57:21
m 1:14 3:2 59:10	morning 3:4		paint 13:2	picking 20:21
magically 26:18	motive 25:20	0	pants 20:9	58:23
maker 50:17	mul 31:23	o 2:1 3:1	pardon 8:24	pictures 32:9
making 5:10 26:10	multiple 31:23	objecting 13:11	part 18:13 26:18	place 16:20 52:15
34:17 45:24 53:13	muslim 31:11	objection 20:10	54:24	places 53:12 55:21
man 31:9,10 47:19	mythical 40:24	objective 52:5	particular 11:7	plaintiffs 56:24
47:19		obligation 7:3 42:8	32:5,5,12 35:3	plausible 57:11
manager 10:23	N	48:8	42:9 43:25 48:18	please 3:10 18:11
11:6 39:16,17	n 2:1,1 3:1	observes 32:21	51:19	29:3 41:13,14
43:6	name 35:8 47:18	obtuse 27:22	particularly 4:19	plus 24:15 59:2
managers 39:3	53:24	obvious 32:4	17:11 59:2	point 10:14 11:6
52:1 54:19	nature 28:16 31:2	odd 53:20	passed 22:2	17:11 20:22 23:13
matter 1:12 11:1,8	32:13	offensive 37:23	pay 43:8	23:15 25:16,23
19:5 23:6 29:7	necessarily 30:23	offer 29:12,15	people 11:2 18:6	29:11 32:2 46:25
30:11 35:18,21	49:2	office 15:9	25:1,3 31:4,14	51:9 56:10 57:22
36:17,25 37:2	necessary 33:20	oh 12:17 34:12	37:6 42:9 49:18	pointed 47:16
40:17 42:15 46:19	need 3:17 7:1 9:18	42:10	50:13,17 52:11,17	pointing 58:24
59:11	22:17,18,19 25:4	okay 9:11 33:22	52:19 53:4,7,23	points 14:7 36:14
matters 36:25	30:5 46:24 59:4	38:1 39:2,3 40:12	55:12	56:6
mean 4:16 6:4,6	needed 4:1,5,9,21	45:16 46:10 53:18	perceive 8:9	policies 53:17
14:22 29:17 43:13	6:1 25:1 56:9	54:12	perceived 3:24	policy 14:13 15:4
43:15,18 45:18,19	58:3	once 12:4,9 14:15	percent 7:9,11 8:24	16:4,18 17:15
45:19,22,24 46:16	needs 9:22,24	15:24 43:21	34:17	18:24,25 20:12,22
49:2 54:21 58:6	12:10 34:4 41:9	onethird 15:12	percentage 7:20	22:24 26:11 29:22
meaning 4:14	43:1	open 43:19	perform 6:23	30:7,16,17 36:24
means 42:22	neither 3:18 27:13	opened 5:16	permit 10:1 18:25	38:3,6 39:20,21
meant 25:1	neutral 12:12 36:24	opening 26:20	30:8 56:21	40:5,10,16 41:25
mention 5:5 17:15	46:18	operates 35:12	person 4:16 7:12	45:5,7,15 46:18
39:22,24 40:13,15	neutralsounding	opinion 56:20	7:16 8:9,17 10:21	46:21,25 47:15
57:4	54:14 55:2	opportunity 1:3 3:5	10:22 14:2,10	48:2,19,20,21
mentioned 26:19	never 23:18 26:2	39:19 40:10 49:20	18:16,17 19:8	50:14,15 51:5,13
mere 12:5 29:22	26:22,23 52:18,20	opposite 53:5	40:21,22,23 41:2	55:14 56:10,12,23
merits 6:19	52:22 58:1,3	option 52:21	41:5,5,6,9,16 50:6	56:25 57:3
mess 54:8	new 14:12 57:15	options 6:17 14:3	54:1,5 55:3,15	posing 8:12
middle 13:12 17:25	nicely 14:7	19:7 52:21	58:1	position 4:7 5:12
18:3,16,17	ninth 22:20 57:12	oral 1:12 2:2,5 3:7	personal 18:18	5:15 6:14 17:4
midstream 23:24	niqab 31:11	28:25	31:2 34:25	24:20 27:3 29:25
millions 53:22	noah 35:8	order 18:19 26:4	personality 39:7	30:3 48:17 58:18
minerun 20:6	nonreligious 49:18	41:10 47:10 51:17	persons 41:11	possibility 52:14
minute 16:19	49:22	originate 55:18	petition 56:11	possible 29:10,23
minutes 55:25	notice 4:10,10 5:21	outfits 32:3	petitioner 1:5,18	44:1 52:1
minutia 42:25	16:15 17:7,17	outward 32:12	2:4,10 3:8 56:2	powder 43:5
L				

Practical 57:10 Practical 57:10 Practical 57:10 Practical 57:10 Practical 57:10 Problem 67:25 16:18 Problem 67:25 Problem 67:25 16:18 Problem 67:25 16:18 Problem 67:25 Problem 67:25 16:18 Problem 67:25 Problem 67:25 16:18 Problem 67:25 Problem 67		1	1	•	Ī
7:13 14:5 20:5 27:11,17 28:16 33:19 35:3 36:8,8 36:18 37:13 42:9 44:15 45:12 46:3 48:5 50:2,25 51:1 53:8 55:13,15 proceites 36:11,15 49:24 practicing 53:23 precisely 22:3 53:5 57:23 58:4 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 prements 15:12 presumely 19:22 41:12 presumely 19:22 41:12 presumely 19:22 41:12 presumel 51:12 presumel 51:12 presumel 52:12 presumel 52:12 presumely 19:22 41:12 prement 24:25 36:6 62:17 58:5 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 15:14 preferred 14:2 preferred 15:14 prement 22:3 36:1 preferred 15:14 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 15:14 preferred 15:14 prement 22:3 preferred 15:14 prement 22:3 preferred 15:14 presumel 5:12 presumel 5:12 presumel 5:12 presumel 5:12 presumel 5:12 prement 24:25 36:6 for 11:18:11 19:11 probably 34:14,16 proba 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 33:2 3:15 38:4 42:7 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 23:19 30:9 39:1 44:12 55:10:10 qualified 39:7 qualifi	practical 57:10	23:16 39:13,18	R	reference 46:4,7,8	46:24 47:8,12
7:11,17 28:16 33:19 35:3 36:8,8 36:18 37:13 42:9 48:5 50:2,25 51:1 53:8 55:13,15 proceeding 22:3 58:2 prefersed 18:18 21:3 preferred 14:2 prefersed 18:18 21:3 prefersed 18:18 premise 29:4 50:4 pressented 15:14 pressented 15:14 pressented 15:14 pressented 15:14 pressented 15:12 pressume 55:12 pressume 55:1	practice 4:15 5:23	prohibited 5:8	r 3·1	refuge 4:23	48:5 49:5,9,24
27:11,17 28:16 33:19 353 36:8,8 36:18 37:13 42:9 44:15 45:12 46:3 49:24 protect 20:1 51:17 53:8 55:13,15 protects 36:11,15 49:24 practicing 53:23 precisely 22:3 53:5 57:23 58:4 preference 18:18 21:3 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 premise 29:4 50:4 premise 29:4 50:1 prove 12:2 36:6 41:11 7:12,17 25:1 20:2 11:1 presents 15:12 presumbly 19:22 41:12 premise 29:4 50:4 premise 29:4 50:1 prove 12:2 4:12 premise 29:4 50:4 premise 15:12 premise 29:4 50:4 day:18:19:52:3 premise 29:4 50:4 premise 29:4 50:1 premise 29:4 50:4 premise 29:4 50:5 premise 29:4 50:4 premise 29	7:13 14:5 20:5	16:18		refusal 3:13 23:25	50:2,7,25 51:9
33:19 35:3 36:8,8	27:11,17 28:16	prohibits 19:17	-	27:16 36:8	52:2 53:7 55:13
36:18 37:13 42:9 44:15 45:12 46:3 48:5 50:2,25 51:1 538 55:13,15 practices 36:11,15 48:29 424 48:19,22 49:14,20 practicing 53:23 precisely 22:3 53:5 57:23 58:4 preference 18:18 21:3 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 preferred 15:14 24:7 33:3,11 prosobly 19:22 41:12 presume 55:12 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 52:17 58:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 39:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52: 23:19 39:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52: 15:28 praced 12:25 17:14 26:7 40:9,13 reading 40:4 read 12:25 17:14 respect 49:6 rejected 49:7 relations 22:22 relevant 4:14 35:24 recistor 9:6 rejected 49:7 relations 22:22 relevant 4:14 35:24 recistor 9:6 rejected 49:7 relations 22:22 relevant 4:14 35:24 recistor 9:6 require 9:3 3:0 require ment 2:3 48:7 50:3 requirement 2:3 48:7 50:3 requirement 2:3 48:7 50:3 requirement 2:3 48:7 50:3 requirement 2:3 48:7 23:3 18 36:13 42:3 47:17 respect 49:6 recist 49:7 relations 22:22 relevant 4:14 35:24 recist 49:7 resuit 14:14 sit 13:24 recist 49	33:19 35:3 36:8,8	promote 7:25 13:10		refused 8:23 26:8	55:14
44:15 45:12 46:3.4 48:5 50:2,25 51:1.5 57acticles 36:11,15 49:24 practicing 53:23 precisely 22:3 53:5 57:23 58:4 preference 18:18 21:3 preferred 14:2 preferred 14:2 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 presumably 19:22 41:12 presumably 19:25 pretrial 26:2,4 pretry 45:21 premise 55:12 premise 55:12 presumably 34:14,16 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 52:17 58:5 20:17 22:25 33:2 21:19 25:21,22 problem 6:16 8:16 52:17 58:5 20:17 22:25 33:2 21:19 25:21,22 problem 6:16 8:16 52:17 58:5 20:17 22:25 33:2 21:19 25:21,22 problem 6:16 8:16 52:17 58:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 33:2 21:19 25:21,22 problem 8:13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 protect 20:1 51:17 proceeding 26:3 practicles 35:21 prove 12:6 25:9 state 53:14,15 practicles 35:14,15 practicles 25:9 prove 12:6 25:9 rate 54:8 rational 41:15 recal 12:25 17:14 20:7 40:14,18 recal 12:25 17:14 20:7 40:14,18 recality 31:24 relations 54:25 rejected 49:7 required 9:3 34:10 56:13 36:12,13 9:418:15 50:1,1 14:19 50:1,1	36:18 37:13 42:9	promoting 7:6		26:14 39:9	religiously 21:7
48:5 50:2,25 51:1 53:8 55:13,15 prove 12:6 25:9 practices 36:11,15 49:24 practices 36:11,15 49:24 practices 36:11,15 49:24 greefing 53:23 proving 34:15,20 43:19 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proving 34:15,20 proving 34:15,20 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proving 34:15,20 proving 34:16,13 proving 34:16,14 proving 34:14 proving 34:1	44:15 45:12 46:3	protect 20:1 51:17		refusing 24:6	remember 10:13
53:8 55:13,15 practices 36:11,15 49:24 practicing 53:23 procisely 22:3 53:5 57:23 58:4 preference 18:18 21:3 prefered 14:2 prefered 14:2 prefered 14:2 prefered 15:18 premise 29:4 50:4 preppy 40:24 preppy 40:24 presume 51:12 proble 61:6 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 17:8 13:14 22:15 23:15 18:14 22:13 31:14 23:15 18:14 22:13 31:14 23:15 18:14 22:13 31:14 23:15 18:14 22:15 23:15 18:14 22:15 23:15 18:	48:5 50:2,25 51:1	51:25	-	regardless 15:11	12:23 40:4
raticies 36:11,15 49:24 49:24 49:24 50:10	53:8 55:13,15	prove 12:6 25:9		51:11	repeated 44:10
49:24	practices 36:11,15	34:12,13,19 43:3		regulations 54:25	request 22:16
precising 53:23 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proving 34:15,20 43:15 proferered 14:2 pun 8:25 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 pressents 15:12 presents 15:12 presume 15:12 presume 15:12 presume 55:12 pretrial 26:2,4 pretry 45:21 protebly 34:14 proped 41:12 protebly 34:14,14 proped 41:15 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 8:4 42:7 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 17:8 19:22 23:19 30:9 39:11 41:24 6:13,14 14:25 52:10,12 problems 13:22:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22:25 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 proving 34:15,20 43:15 20 proving 34:15,20 proving 34:15,20 43:15 20 proving 34:15,20 proving 34:16,20 proving 34:16,20 proving 34:16,20 proving 34:16,20 proving 34:16,20 proving 34:18:15 proving 34:18:18 proving 34:18	49:24	43:19,22 49:14,20		reject 49:6	requested 3:15
procesidy 22:3 53:5 57:23 58:4 yerdernee 18:18 21:3 preference 18:18 provisions 11:12 pun 8:25 purposes 32:6 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 presented 15:14 pursuing 42:16 put 17:12,17 25:1 37:18 47:2 presumably 19:22 41:12 problem 61:6 8:16 52:17 58:5 primoted 43:10 problem 61:6 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:55 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 30:2 31:10 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 30:2 31:0 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 30:2 31:0 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 50:2 31:0 30:0 30:1 41:2 23:19 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 50:2 31:0 30:0 30:0 30:1 41:2 23:19 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 50:2 31:0 30:0 30:0 30:1 41:2 23:19 30:9 39:11 41:2 46:13,14 12:2 15:13 50:2 31:0 30:0 30:0 30:1 41:2 25:2 55:12 problems 13:22.2 5 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 preferred 14:2 proposed 32:6 preferred 14:2 prospose 32:6 preferred 14:2 prospose 32:6 preferred 14:2 prospose 32:6 preferred 14:2 prospose 32:6 preferred 14:14 prepty 40:24 prespression 11:12 prospose 32:6 preferred 14:14 prespression 12:12 prospose 32:6 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 prespression 12:12 prospose 32:6 prespression 12:12 prospose 32:6 prespression 12:12 prospose 32:6 prespression 12:2 problems 13:22 problems 1	practicing 53:23	50:10		rejected 49:7	require 19:23 35:2
57:23 58:4 preference 18:18 21:3 provisions 11:12 pun 8:25 purposes 32:6 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 preppy 40:24 pursuing 42:16 put 17:12,17 25:1 37:18 47:2 presumetd 15:14 24:7 33:3,11 presented 15:14 24:7 33:3,11 presumbly 19:22 41:12 presume 55:12 pretrial 26:2,4 pretry 45:21 pretrial 26:2,4 probably 34:14,16 52:17 58:5 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 21:17 probably 34:14,16 21:17 probably 34:14,16 21:17 probably 34:14,16 21:17 52:12 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 41:5 52:25 53:1,2 probable 3:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 probable 3:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:12 probably 36:24 first 20:2 probably 36:24 first 20:2 probably 36:25 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proced	precisely 22:3 53:5	proving 34:15,20	_	relations 22:22	49:22,23 50:13,16
preference 18:18 21:3 preference 18:18 21:3 purposes 32:6 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 presented 15:14 presented 15:14 presents 15:12 presumably 19:22 41:12 13:5 15:23,38,13 16:11 18:11 19:11 15:13 18:4:19:11 21:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:22 31:15 30:11 11:11,19 11:11:11:19 11:11:11:19 12:5 13:12:11 12:5 13:12:12 12:5 13:15 12:11:11:19 12:5 13:12:			_	relevant 4:14 35:24	required 3:18
21:3 prefers d 14:2 purposes 32:6 purposes 32:6 prefers 51:8 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 presented 15:14 presented 15:14 24:7 33:3,11 presents 15:12 presumably 19:22 d 41:12 presume 55:12 prevent 24:25 36:6 forth 4:12 forth 4:12 prevent 24:25 36:6 forbob 47:17 problem 61:6 8:16 forbob 47:17 problem 61:6 8:16 problem 61:6 8:16 forbob 47:17 forboblem 61:6 8:16 forbob 47:17 problem 61:6 8:16 forbob 47:17 forboblem 61:6 8:16 forb	preference 18:18	provisions 11:12		religion 5:9 13:3,20	34:10 56:13
preferred 14:2 prefers 51:8 premise 29:4 50:4 presented 15:14 presented 15:12 presented 15:14		pun 8:25		28:3 31:2 33:7	requirement 22:3
premise 29:4 50:4 premise 29:4 50:4 preppy 40:24 presented 15:14 24:7 33:3,11 presents 15:12 presumably 19:22 41:12 presume 55:12 pretrial 26:2,4 pretrial 26:2,4 pretrial 26:2,4 pretrot 42:25 36:6 52:17 precord 43:10 probably 34:14,16 probably 34:14,16 probably 34:14,16 probably 34:14,16 probably 34:14,16 21:17 51:7 sign 29:23 29:23 29:25 29:17 22:25 23:2 29:15 20:17 22:25 23:2 29:15 20:17 22:25 23:2 29:15 38:4 42:7 47:2 48:1 50:5 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 51:12 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceded 24:11 proceding 26:3 procede	preferred 14:2	purposes 32:6		36:1,3 42:3 47:17	48:7 50:3
premise 29:4 50:4 preppy 40:24 preppy 40:24 presented 15:14 put 17:12,17 25:1 37:18 47:2	prefers 51:8	42:14 51:9 55:23		50:1,11 51:3 53:4	requirements 3:11
prespny 40:24 presented 15:14 24:7 33:3,11 presents 15:12 presumably 19:22 41:12 presume 55:12 pretrial 26:2,4 pretty 45:21 prevent 24:25 36:6 52:17 58:5 princeton 43:10 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 prevents 45:26 problem 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 prevents 45:16 put 17:12,17 25:1 37:18 47:2 13:11 19:19,19,20 12:22 13:17,21 14:11,415:21,22 15:23,25 16:11 21:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 37:22,24 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 15:23,25 16:11 21:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 37:22,24 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 15:3,9,19 reasonable 14:18 22:3 23:16 29:16 reason 8:22 9:5 10:11 11:9,19,20 12:22 13:17,21 44:4,9,15,22 5:23 44:4,9,15,22 5:23 44:4,9,15,22 5:23 45:13 48:10,15,16 8:19,24,25 9:24 10:15,24 11:1,8 11:19,20 12:22 15:23,25 16:11 12:15,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 37:12 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 11:19,20 12:2 14:11,2,213 23:19 30:22 31:15 32:18 37:22 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 11:19,20 12:21 12:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 37:22 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 11:19,20 12:22 15:23,25 16:11 12:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 37:22,24 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 11:19,20 12:21 12:5,13 27:10 11:11:9,19,20 12:22 13:17,21 12:5,13 27:10 11:11:19,19,20 12:22 13:17,21 12:5,13 27:10 12:5,13 27:10 12:5,13 27:10 12:5,13 27:10 12:5,13 27:10 11:11:19,19,20 12:22 13:15 32:18 37:22 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 11:19,20 12:12 12:5,13 27:10 11:19,10,10,10 11:19,10,10,10 11:11:19,10,10,10 11:11:19,10,10,10 11:11:19,10,10,10 11:11:19,10,10 12:22 13:15 32:18 11:19,20,22 32:3 32:40 12:5,13 48:24 12:5,23,13 12:5,13 48:24 12:5,23,13	premise 29:4 50:4	pursue 14:14		53:12,23 55:3,4	48:9,14 57:15
presented 15:14	preppy 40:24	pursuing 42:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	57:20	requires 12:11
24:7 33:3,11 presents 15:12 presumably 19:22 41:12 presume 55:12 pretrial 26:2,4 pretty 45:21 prevent 24:25 36:6 52:17 58:5 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 237:18 47:2 37:18 47:2 0 qualified 39:7 question 3:23 5:3 6:4,12 8:21 12:19 13:5 15:2,3,8,13 16:11 18:11 19:11 19:13,14,16 21:17 27:6 32:16,24 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 47:2 48:1 50:5 54:14 55:2 56:15 questioned 49:14 questions 31:9 15:23,25 16:11 21:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 30:22 32:16 29:16 31:11/12,1 14:25 31:13/17,1 10:11/1,14 11:11 31:11/1,11 10:11/2,11 10:11/2,11 10:11/2,11 10:11/2,11 10:11/2,11	presented 15:14	put 17:12,17 25:1		religionneutral	40:17 48:12 52:9
Tespents 15:12	24:7 33:3,11	37:18 47:2		29:22 36:3,19	53:7,10
presumably 19:22 41:12 qualified 39:7 question 3:23 5:3 6:4,12 8:21 12:19 15:23,25 16:11 21:5,13 27:7,15 30:22 31:15 32:18 7:13 8:10,15,16 respect 5:12 48:17 respect fully 38:9	presents 15:12			religious 3:12 4:1,3	resentful 54:20
41:12 presume 55:12 pretrial 26:2,4 pretry 45:21 prety 45:21 prevent 24:25 36:6 fig. 4 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 prevent 26:26 and proceeding 26:3 preceded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 preceded 24:10 proceeded 24:10 proceeding 26:3 preceded 24:10 proceeding 26:2 preceded 24:10 proceeding 26:2 preceded 24:10 preceded 24:10 preceded 24:10 preceded 24:10 prec	presumably 19:22			4:4,9,15,22 5:23	reserve 28:22
presume 55:12 question 3:23 5:3 30:22 31:15 32:18 7:13 8:10,15,16 respectfully 38:9 pretrial 26:2,4 6:4,12 8:21 12:19 30:22 31:15 32:18 7:13 8:10,15,16 respectfully 38:9 pretry 45:21 13:5 15:2,3,8,13 16:11 18:11 19:11 19:13,14,16 21:17 45:13 48:24 49:5 10:15,24 11:1,8 2:7 16:13,13 20:25 23:23 24:6 22:7 16:13,13 20:25 23:23 24:6 20:25 23:23 25:10,10 20:10,16 20:25 23:23 24:6 20:25 23:23 25:10,10 20:10,16	41:12		*	6:16,16 7:12,13	respect 5:12 48:17
pretrial 26:2,4 pretty 45:21 prevent 24:25 36:6 52:17 58:5 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 pretty 45:21 6:4,12 8:21 12:19 13:5 15:2,3,8,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 49:7,8,10 54:24 55:3,9,19 reasonable 14:18 22:3 23:16 29:16 reasonably 14:22 27:18 reasons 4:22 8:19 10:24 11:2,8 13:1 15:6 18:4 19:4,5,9 22:9 36:24 37:5,7 37:12 47:8,12 53:22 57:17 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 quick 56:5 quite 9:4 14:7 proceeding 26:3 quotes 56:25 pretty 45:21 37:22,24 44:12,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 49:7,8,10 54:24 55:3,9,19 reasonable 14:18 22:3 23:16 29:16 13:1,17,21 14:5 13:1,17,	presume 55:12	_ -		7:13 8:10,15,16	respectfully 38:9
pretty 45:21 prevent 24:25 36:6 52:17 58:5 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 provent 24:25 36:6 16:11 18:11 19:11 19:13,14,16 21:17 21:19 25:21,22 27:6 32:16,24 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 47:2 48:1 50:5 54:14 55:2 56:15 questioned 49:14 questions 13:19 15:18 18:6 20:3 20:16 39:20 40:11 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 51:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 13:5 15:2,3,8,13 45:13 48:24 49:5 49:7,8,10 54:24 55:3,9,19 reasonable 14:18 22:3 23:16 29:16 reasonably 14:22 27:18 reasons 4:22 8:19 10:15,24 11:1,8 11:19,20 12:21 13:1,17,21 14:5 15:23,25 18:4,6,9 19:9 20:10,16 21:3,5,13 22:8,19 24:12 25:13,15 26:10 27:17 28:16 26:8 29:1 response 16:11 36:14 response 16:11	pretrial 26:2,4			8:19,24,25 9:24	respondent 1:20
prevent 24:25 36:6 16:11 18:11 19:11 49:7,8,10 54:24 11:19,20 12:21 20:25 23:23 24:6 26:8 29:1 princeton 43:10 probably 34:14,16 21:19 25:21,22 27:6 32:16,24 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 47:2 48:1 50:5 42:3 23:16 29:16 15:23,25 18:4,6,9 19:9 20:10,16 response 16:11 36:14 36:14 36:14 36:14 36:14 36:14	pretty 45:21			10:15,24 11:1,8	2:7 16:13,13
52:17 58:5 19:13,14,16 21:17 princeton 43:10 21:19 25:21,22 probably 34:14,16 27:6 32:16,24 33:3,3,11 36:22 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 47:2 48:1 50:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 54:14 55:2 56:15 20:17 22:25 23:2 questioned 49:14 23:19 30:9 39:1 questioned 49:14 47:15 51:16 52:8 20:16 39:20 40:11 52:25 53:1,2 20:16 39:20 40:11 problems 13:22,25 quick 56:5 15:24 57:10 quick 56:5 proceeded 24:11 15:14 22:16 23:10 proceeding 26:3 15:14 22:16 23:10 quotes 56:25 quotes 56:25 19:13,14,16 21:17 21:19 25:21,22 27:18 22:3 23:16 29:16 27:18 22:3 23:16 29:10,16 21:3,5,13 22:8,19 24:12 25:13,15 26:10 27:17 28:16 21:3,5,13 22:8,19 24:12 25:13,15 26:10 27:17 28:16 28:19 29:6,12,16 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 31:4,10 12:15 31:4,10 12:15 31:4,10 12:15 31:4,10 12:15 31:3,5,13 22:8,19 24:12 25:13,15 25:3,6,13,18 31:25 18:15 19:6 33:19 34:4,24 33:3,3,11 36:22 27:18 26:10 27:17 28:16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 31:4,10 12:15 31:4,10 12:15 31:3,13 34:5 35:18 31:25 18:15 19:6 31:3 34:5 35:18 35:22 36:6 38:20 42:2 43:14,21 47:6,25 53:19 54:4 22:16 23:10 31:4 22:16 23	prevent 24:25 36:6			11:19,20 12:21	20:25 23:23 24:6
princeton 43:10 21:19 25:21,22 reasonable 14:18 14:23,23 15:21,22 respondents 3:13 probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 reasonably 14:22 15:23,25 18:4,6,9 respondents 3:13 problem 6:16 8:16 47:19 16:10 17:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 reasons 4:22 8:19 24:12 25:13,15 responses 13:24 20:17 22:25 23:2 questioned 49:14 questions 13:19 15:18 18:6 20:3 20:16 39:20 40:11 15:18 18:6 20:3 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 13:25 18:15 19:6 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 quick 56:5 quick 56:5 quite 9:4 14:7 receive 33:6,8 36:15,18,24 37:5 35:22 36:6 38:20 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 quotes 56:25 proceeding 26:7 37:7,12,12,13,24 47:6,25 53:19 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 quotes 56:25 43:1 4:15,24 41:21,22 43:1 4:15,24 43:1 4:15,24 problems 13:20,25 proceeding 26:3 quotes 56:25	52:17 58:5	· · · · · ·		13:1,17,21 14:5	26:8 29:1
probably 34:14,16 27:6 32:16,24 33:3,3,11 36:22 33:3,3,11 36:22 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 47:2 48:1 50:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 54:14 55:2 56:15 reasons 4:22 8:19 24:12 25:13,15 26:10 27:17 28:16 response 16:11 36:14 response 13:24 response 13:24 response 13:24 responsible 10:22 respons	princeton 43:10	-	, ,	14:23,23 15:21,22	respondents 3:13
probe 47:17 problem 6:16 8:16 33:3,3,11 36:22 37:15 38:4 42:7 19:9 20:10,16 36:14 14:19 16:10 17:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 24:12 25:13,15 24:12 25:13,15 10:24 11:2,8 13:1 26:10 27:17 28:16 26:10 27:17 28:16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 38:4 4:18 8:15 11:4,10 12:15 13:25 18:15 19:6 33:3,3,11 36:22 27:18 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 32:3,6,13,18 33:19 34:4,24 31:3 34:5 35:18 35:22 36:6 38:20 35:22 57:17 35:3 36:7,7,8,11 35:22 36:6 38:20 42:2 43:14,21 47:6,25 53:19 47:6,25 53:19 47:6,25 53:19 47:6,25 53:19 54:9,11 58:10 47:6,25 53:19 54:14 25:15 54:14 25:15 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 54:14 15:14 <td>probably 34:14,16</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td>15:23,25 18:4,6,9</td> <td>response 16:11</td>	probably 34:14,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		15:23,25 18:4,6,9	response 16:11
problem 6:16 8:16 14:19 16:10 17:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 17:8 19:23 20:5 54:14 55:2 56:15 20:17 22:25 23:2 questioned 49:14 23:19 30:9 39:1 questions 13:19 41:2 46:13,14 15:18 18:6 20:3 20:16 39:20 40:11 20:16 39:20 40:11 41:25 52:10,12 37:12 47:8,12 25:25 53:1,2 30:6,22 31:15 20:16 39:20 40:11 37:12 47:8,12 30:6,22 31:15 31:3 34:5 35:18 31:3 34:5 35:18 31:3 34:5 35:18 31:3 34:5 35:18 35:22 36:6 38:20 47:6,25 53:19 47:6,25 53:19 47:15 51:16 52:8 56:5 15:24 57:10 30:6,22 31:15 15:14 22:16 23:10 37:12 47:8,12 25:25 53:1,2 33:19 34:4,24 35:3 36:7,7,8,11 35:22 36:6 38:20 47:6,25 53:19 42:2 43:14,21 47:6,25 53:19 47:6,25 53:19 47:6,25 53:19 54:14 25:15 47:15 51:4 22:16 23:10 47:6,25 53:19 47:15 51:4 22:16 23:10 47:15 51:15 48:14 4:15 4:15 47:15 51:15 48:15 7:16 48:15 7:16	probe 47:17	, ,		19:9 20:10,16	36:14
14:19 16:10 17:5 47:2 48:1 50:5 reasons 4:22 8:19 24:12 25:13,15 responsible 10:22 17:8 19:23 20:5 questioned 49:14 20:17 22:25 23:2 questioned 49:14 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,12,16 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 31:15 30:3 34:5 35:18 30:3 34:5 35:18 30:3 34:5 35:18 30:3 34:5 35:18 30:3 34:5 35:18 30:6,22 31:15	problem 6:16 8:16			21:3,5,13 22:8,19	responses 13:24
17:8 19:23 20:5 54:14 55:2 56:15 10:24 11:2,8 13:1 26:10 27:17 28:16 responsive 42:12 20:17 22:25 23:2 questioned 49:14 28:19 29:6,12,16 28:19 29:6,	14:19 16:10 17:5			24:12 25:13,15	responsible 10:22
20:17 22:25 23:2 23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 questioned 49:14 questions 13:19 15:6 18:4 19:4,5,9 22:9 36:24 37:5,7 37:12 47:8,12 53:22 57:17 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 receive 33:6,8 recognize 25:6 recognized 23:8,9 record 56:7 57:1 15:6 18:4 19:4,5,9 22:9 36:24 37:5,7 30:6,22 31:15 30:6,22 3	17:8 19:23 20:5			26:10 27:17 28:16	responsive 42:12
23:19 30:9 39:1 41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 questions 13:19 15:18 18:6 20:3 20:16 39:20 40:11 41:25 52:10,12 quick 56:5 quite 9:4 14:7 15:14 22:16 23:10 quotes 56:25 quotes 56:25 quotes 56:25 questions 13:19 22:9 36:24 37:5,7 37:12 47:8,12 53:22 57:17 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 receive 33:6,8 recognize 25:6 recognized 23:8,9 record 56:7 57:1 30:6,22 31:15 32:3,6,13,18 33:19 34:4,24 35:3 36:7,7,8,11 35:22 36:6 38:20 42:2 43:14,21 47:6,25 53:19 54:9,11 58:10 risk 20:2	20:17 22:25 23:2	_		28:19 29:6,12,16	right 4:18 8:15
41:2 46:13,14 47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 15:18 18:6 20:3 20:16 39:20 40:11 41:25 52:10,12 quick 56:5 quite 9:4 14:7 15:14 22:16 23:10 quotes 56:25 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 15:18 18:6 20:3 20:16 39:20 40:11 41:25 52:10,12 quick 56:5 quite 9:4 14:7 15:14 22:16 23:10 quotes 56:25 15:24 57:17 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 receive 33:6,8 recognized 23:8,9 recognized 23:8,9 recognized 23:8,9 recognized 23:8,9 recognized 23:8,9 recognized 23:8,9	23:19 30:9 39:1		, ,	30:6,22 31:15	11:4,10 12:15
47:15 51:16 52:8 52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeding 26:3 47:15 51:16 52:8 53:22 57:17 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 receive 33:6,8 recognize 25:6 recognized 23:8,9 recognized 23:8,9 record 56:7 57:1 41:25 52:10,12 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 36:15,18,24 37:5 37:7,12,12,13,24 47:6,25 53:19 54:9,11 58:10 risk 20:2	41:2 46:13,14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32:3,6,13,18	13:25 18:15 19:6
52:25 53:1,2 problems 13:22,25 15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 proceeding 26:3 41:25 52:10,12 quick 56:5 quite 9:4 14:7 15:14 22:16 23:10 quotes 56:25 rebuttal 2:8 56:1 receive 33:6,8 recognize 25:6 recognized 23:8,9 record 56:7 57:1 35:23 36:7,7,8,11 36:15,18,24 37:5 37:7,12,12,13,24 47:6,25 53:19 54:9,11 58:10 risk 20:2	47:15 51:16 52:8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33:19 34:4,24	31:3 34:5 35:18
problems 13:22,25 quick 56:5 receive 33:6,8 36:15,18,24 37:5 42:2 43:14,21 15:24 57:10 15:14 22:16 23:10 recognize 25:6 37:7,12,12,13,24 47:6,25 53:19 proceeding 26:3 recognized 23:8,9 39:10 41:21 42:9 54:9,11 58:10 risk 20:2 risk 20:2	52:25 53:1,2			35:3 36:7,7,8,11	35:22 36:6 38:20
15:24 57:10 proceeded 24:11 proceeding 26:3 quite 9:4 14:7 15:14 22:16 23:10 quotes 56:25 recognize 25:6 recognized 23:8,9 record 56:7 57:1 37:7,12,12,13,24 39:10 41:21 42:9 43:1 44:15,24 risk 20:2	, <u> </u>			36:15,18,24 37:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
proceeded 24:11	15:24 57:10		5	37:7,12,12,13,24	47:6,25 53:19
proceeding 26:3 quotes 56:25 record 56:7 57:1 43:1 44:15,24 risk 20:2	1 -				
45101146230 1 4 2 2 5 5		quotes 56:25	· ·	43:1 44:15,24	risk 20:2
	process 4:25 23:10			45:1,8,11 46:3,20	roberts 3:3 7:5

13:7 14:17 17:22	57:16	solution 13:8,22,25	45:3 52:1,17,22	21:24 38:3
17:24 18:10 19:13	section 4:25	48:2	52:23 55:21	supposedly 50:11
19:19 28:23 42:6	see 15:15 20:7	somebody 5:22,22	stereotypes 13:10	supreme 1:1,13
42:17 55:24 59:8	23:20 56:6	8:18 18:2 21:12	20:3 48:4	sure 8:20 10:17
role 50:10	seeks 36:3	34:16,19 35:8	stereotyping 8:17	18:12 52:14,20,22
rule 8:6,9 10:17	seized 24:17	40:20 41:1,20,24	20:17 47:10	54:21 57:19 58:16
11:17,17,23 12:2	sell 38:18,22	42:11 47:11 49:16	store 39:16,17	suspect 15:18 39:6
12:10,12 15:4,16	sense 10:9,11 25:4	52:22	56:12	44:4,5 47:11
15:19 16:8 19:17	40:17 57:9	somewhat 14:8,8	stores 1:7 3:5	suspected 51:6
20:8 23:11 30:14	separate 8:3 9:5	sorry 4:13 21:20	straightforward	suspecting 34:11
32:25 33:24 37:22	serious 58:20	26:6 27:8,21	4:20	44:1
47:9 51:16 52:8	service 48:11	47:21 56:18	strange 57:25	suspects 6:15 9:17
52:16,16 53:3,20	seventh 57:13	sort 9:7 30:12 32:9	strong 59:2	11:21 29:10
54:6,7,15 55:21	sg 33:16	45:17 54:14 55:19	structure 17:13	suspicion 29:22
57:11 58:25 59:5	shave 14:15,20,21	sorts 32:2,11 55:22	52:13 57:18	51:1
rules 16:15 18:20	18:19	sotomayor 4:12	stuff 7:15	switch 24:12
41:4 48:13 57:19	shay 1:19 2:6 28:25	8:20 18:8,23 19:3	style 38:16 40:19	switched 23:24
58:19,21	shes 10:23 38:5	21:20 26:6 27:16	41:17	symbol 32:13 41:22
run 20:15	43:1 49:3	29:15,24 30:2,10	subject 16:22 18:16	system 52:13
	shirt 38:20	35:4 38:24 39:6	subjective 4:12,13	
<u>S</u>	short 44:19	39:11,15 40:3	submit 42:20	
s 2:1 3:1 27:9	shouldnt 17:6 37:7	44:21 45:6,11	submitted 59:9,11	t 2:1,1
sabbath 12:13	show 28:15 31:4	46:15 47:3 51:20	substantial 5:4	table 53:13
saw 44:23	40:3	55:6 57:21	sue 15:20 55:11	take 21:5
saying 14:9 42:10	shows 13:13 14:24	sotomayors 20:21	58:9	taken 5:8 41:19
42:25 43:7 52:8	42:3	sound 31:6 53:20	sued 54:3,9 55:16	48:15
52:13	side 25:19	source 9:23 26:1	sufficient 4:9,23	talked 57:17
says 7:10,24 17:19	sign 43:12	special 53:20	5:21,24 6:1,8 9:22	talking 20:8,9,11
22:6,7,15 23:23 26:8 33:16 44:22	significant 54:25	specific 19:11	10:12 59:1,5	20:12 23:15
56:11	signified 4:2	specifically 40:14	suggest 28:5	tell 9:12 16:2,3 31:14 41:13 48:8
	signifies 4:8	41:24 49:15	suggested 13:8	
scalia 3:19 5:18 9:9	sikh 31:9 47:24	stage 50:14	27:19	50:19,21 54:5,7 56:21
9:12,15,25 10:3,6 10:8 11:13,16,25	simple 30:2 54:7	standard 34:20	suggesting 50:7	telling 21:21
12:5,15 15:17	simply 16:12 18:24	43:25 44:18	suggestion 57:10	tenth 3:11,23 4:4
16:10 20:24 21:1	45:14	start 6:23 13:19	suggests 16:13	5:12,13,25 33:4
21:10,15,18,21	single 41:7	31:25 47:10 54:20	suit 41:12,14,16	57:14 59:6
22:6,12 50:19	sit 13:18	started 23:24	55:9	term 5:6
51:22 58:5,9,13	situation 6:13 9:6	statement 5:16	summary 12:23	test 9:19,21 10:4
scalias 5:3	14:8 15:12 16:14	31:16	24:8 40:9 57:1 superior 16:13	52:5 57:13
scarf 16:22 44:23	16:16 17:16,18,21 29:13 35:24 37:15	states 1:1,13 statute 29:17 33:21	superior 10:13 supervisor 12:6,16	testified 38:15 47:7
45:1 48:25	situations 8:4 32:11	36:22 43:3 47:1	12:21	testify 38:13
scarves 39:3	skirts 20:11	48:11 52:24	supports 17:4	testimony 3:23,24
script 40:4 56:23	small 8:13	stay 5:17	supports 17.4 suppose 4:11 6:6	12:22 16:16 40:9
scripted 40:1	sold 43:5	stereotype 6:25	26:24 35:6	41:18 42:1 57:4
second 3:15 31:10	solicitor 1:16	18:7 44:18,25	supposed 19:14,14	thank 28:23 55:24
	Solicitor 1.10	10.7 11.10,23	supposed 17.17,17	
	ı	ı	ı	ı

56:3 59:7,8	9:6 10:11,23	45:17,18 46:11,12	uncontroverted	violation 11:22
thats 4:16,18,19	11:10 12:9 13:9	traced 30:24	16:17	12:7 35:14,16
5:13 6:2,24 7:18	13:10,18,25 14:1	training 52:1	undermine 55:23	36:1,9
10:4,24 11:4,10	14:4,6 15:2,3,6,9	treat 42:15 48:3	understand 3:17,19	
11:11 12:3,11,11	15:11,13 17:8,12	53:3.7	5:7,17,19 8:21	W
12:15,18 14:10	17:20 18:4,13	treated 42:11	10:2,3 15:18 17:2	wait 16:19
15:1,3,11,13 16:9	20:1,4 21:15 23:3	treating 42:8 54:15	21:1 29:5 38:11	walked 41:11 50:8
16:11 17:20 18:4	23:7,13,14 24:25	treatment 5:7	42:18 44:8 48:17	walks 14:11 35:8
19:3,20 20:4	28:8 30:20,21	24:15,18,20,24	48:18 51:22 58:19	want 9:13,14 11:14
21:23,24,24,25	31:13,20,24 32:22	25:5,7,8,12,14	understanding	11:22 13:15 14:9
22:16,21 23:3	33:2,12,23 34:18	26:20 28:1,2,3,11	3:21 8:13 35:2	14:18 15:20 18:1
24:14 25:13 26:16	34:22 37:23 39:15	28:14,21 35:16	42:21 51:1,14	25:15 28:12 31:14
26:21 27:6,25	41:8 43:15 45:10	47:20	understands 5:22	32:16 33:10 35:7
29:19 32:25 33:12	45:13,21 46:17	trial 26:1 34:15	9:16,17 11:21	42:24 44:11 47:2
33:15,20 34:8	47:11 50:21,23	56:25 57:2	29:11 33:18	47:9,21 53:13,20
36:1 37:21 38:7	51:4 52:24 53:19	trickier 15:3	understood 50:5	54:3,21 57:5
38:17,22 40:8	54:24 55:17,20	tried 19:6 28:5	51:7,8,19 52:4,4	wanted 4:25 14:4
41:17 42:2,16	57:8,16,22,23	49:14,17	undisputed 56:18	18:22 22:2 40:22
43:2,10,18 47:4,6	58:16 59:1	triggered 37:17	56:19,20 58:22	50:10
47:25 52:12 54:11	thinks 4:16 6:15	true 7:4,8 29:19	undue 23:18 29:18	wants 11:19 18:15
theories 23:24	8:13 20:10 34:4	try 7:3 8:3	29:20,21 37:1	33:7,8 53:5 54:5
28:13	third 31:11 57:12	trying 9:5 31:16	uniformly 27:4	57:20
theory 23:25 24:5,7	thought 16:21 33:3	43:6	united 1:1,13	washington 1:9,17
24:8,9,10 25:10	47:17 51:23 52:9	turban 31:10 47:24	unkempt 15:10	1:19
25:17,23,24 26:17	thousand 43:4	turn 39:12	unlawful 27:10,12	wasnt 55:11
26:21 29:8,9	thousands 43:13	turns 20:5	unrelated 55:4	way 6:11,12 17:9
30:11,15 42:16	54:19	twice 14:21	unusual 55:7 57:24	23:3,12,12 25:8,8
49:12,19 50:20,22	three 6:8 39:2 44:8	two 3:11 6:7,17 8:3	57:24	30:8,22,25 31:15
50:23 51:2,6	44:10	8:3 9:5,21 10:7	use 11:22	37:24 42:11 43:15
theres 5:3 6:16,22	tied 26:13	13:23 14:3 19:7		43:18,22 44:14
7:11,24 8:14,16	time 22:19 28:22	26:13 36:13 52:21	V	51:4,24 52:12
11:22 12:22 13:8	31:23 56:14 57:14	56:5 57:11,15	v 1:6 3:5	ways 49:13 57:9
17:5 20:16 25:8	57:17 58:1	twothirds 6:15	verbally 3:15	wear 4:1,21 11:3,19
28:14 36:25 37:14	times 24:13 44:10	15:13	verbs 9:18 11:22	12:1 14:14 16:21
38:6 47:23 50:1	title 12:11 20:19	type 38:20	version 17:14	19:9 20:9,11 25:4
theyre 15:9 39:7	23:7 24:6,24 35:1	typical 30:25	versus 34:10	25:15 33:7 37:6
44:11,12,13 54:20	35:16 36:1,5,6,9		view 11:6 47:24	38:2,16 39:3
55:12	48:11,11 49:22	U	vii 12:11 20:19 23:7	40:18 41:6,14,14
theyve 10:8	53:5,6,10,13	u 27:9	24:6,24 35:1,16	44:25 48:25 49:3
thing 8:15 36:21	55:18,23 58:25	un 29:24 38:12	36:1,5,6,9 48:11	49:19 55:15 56:22
47:19	today 29:4	unadministrable	48:11 49:22 53:5	56:22
things 19:23 43:13	told 13:1 16:21	33:25 34:3,7,9	53:6,10,13 55:18	wearing 8:18 10:24
44:8	43:17 46:19,24	57:16	55:23 58:25	11:7 13:20 15:22
think 4:19 5:3,21	48:14 55:10 58:4	uncomfortable	violate 16:7 48:12	15:23 19:15 31:10
6:7,9,10 7:10,17	totally 47:3	21:7 22:8,16	violated 24:6 38:7	31:10,11 32:10,17
7:18,21 8:5,11 9:4	traceable 44:16	uncommon 34:18	violates 41:25	36:23 37:3,4,25

38.5 41:3,12,16 41:20 49:16,18 50:9 wears 26:9 41:24 wednesday 1:10 week 14:21 went 38:10 weve 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 whats 33:22 35:24 46:15 51:20 57:22 57:25 white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 wishs 56:24 wishes 25:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 women 20:10 women 37:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 2000 32:11 33:3 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 18:20 19:17 72:8 work 8:68, 12:13 15:4,1616:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 18:20 19:17 20:8 work 8:68, 12:13 15:4,1616:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 work 8:68, 12:13 11:9:10 20:00 25:1 20:00 25:1 20:00 25:1 20:00 23:8:17 25:10 250 34:14 28:2:7 33:44-4 28:2:7 33:40-8 33:34-4 33:40-8 33:34-8 33:31 33:15 40:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 33:44-5 34:19 250 34:14 28 2:7 33:44-5 33:40-8 33:31 33:17 25:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 33:40-8 33:17 25:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 33:40-8 33:17 25:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 33:40-8 33:17 25:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 32:4 32:4 33:40-8 33:33:33:2 55:10 40:8 33:9 33:17 25:10 2000 25:1 2000 25:1 2000 23:8:17 25:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:40-8 33:17 32:4 33:40-8 33:31 33:17 50:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:40-8 33:31 33:17 50:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:40-8 33:31 33:31 50:10 250 34:14 28 2:7 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:9 33:17 32:4 33:40-8 32:4 33:9 37:8 38:23 37:8 38:23 57:10 45:24 45:24 90:34:14 13:13 13:14:14 13:14:14 14:12 15:18 15:10 250 34:14 28:27 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:9 33:17 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:9 33:17 32:4 33:17 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:9 33:17 32:4 32:4 32:4 32:4 33:9 33:17 32:4 33:17 32:4 32:4 33:17 32:4 33:11				Page 63
At 12.0 49:16.18 So:9 wears 26:9 41:24 wednesday 1:10 week 14:21 week 14:21 weth 38:10 Y yankees 14:12.16	20.5 41.2 12 16	12.16 50.6		
Solid		43.10 39.0		
wears 26:9 41:24 wednesday 1:10 week 14:21 weth 38:10 weve 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 whats 33:22 35:24 36:2 42:25 43:14 vfois 15:120 57:22 57:25 white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 willing 23:5 32:18 wish 65:24 wished 25:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 wort 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 33:12 wort 7:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 53:12 work 33:28 33:9 43:14 42:13 work 45:23 0 000 34:14,15 0 000 34:14,15 18:24 10 1:14 3:2 11 49:2 56:19 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worns 12:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 would 18:25 22:1,24 worst 52:25 would 18:25 22:1,24 vorst 52:25 would 18:25 22:1,24 vorst 52:24 vorst 52:25 would 18:25 22:1,24 vorst 52:25 vould 18:25:21,24 vorst 52:22:1 vorst 52:25 vould 18:25:21,24 vorst 52:22:1 vorst 52:25 vould 18:25:21,34,18:27:5,7 vould 18:27:5,7 vou		X		
wednesday 1:10 weck 14:21 weck 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 weve 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 weve 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 sharp 22:50 34:14 46:15 51:20 57:22 55:16 white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 whise 32:18 wish 25:21 wish 56:24 wished 25:21 wishe 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 word 5:72 42:18 26:19 57:5 33:12 word 7:9 18:5 33:12 word 7:9 18:5 33:12 word 7:9 18:5 33:12 word 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 youre 7:5 8:21 9:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 42 27:9 9:9 13:11 19:10 43:2,6 52:7,12 55:15 50 7:9,11,11 56:2:10 50:20 7 7 00 003:4:14,15 8 00 34:15 8 03:4:15 9 03:4:17 00:14 3:2 00:04:8 11 12:4:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 11 14:23 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:11 30:32:8 50:41 10:11:4 3:2 10:10:14 3		·		
week 14:21 went 38:10 weth 28:12 weth 28:12 weth 28:12 whathor 21:6 whath 33:22 social 24:25 43:14 46:15 51:20 57:22 57:25 white 43:5 willing 23:5 32:18 wish 56:24 wishs 65:24 wishs 65:24 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 youre 75:8 21 9-9 youre 7:5 8:21 9-9 youre 33:24 45:17 book 20:9 34:14 28 2:7 3 3 34:45:3 45:6:24 4 456:24 40 7:11 37:17 53:11 42 27:9 55:15 50 7:9,11,11 56:210 50:10 50:20 7 7 000 34:14,15 50:24 45:6:24 40 7:11 37:17 53:11 45:6:24 60 000 34:14,15 80 34:15 90 34:17 90 34:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 32:14 90 33:14 90 3		A 1.2,0		
went 38:10 weve 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 whats 33:22 35:24 36:2 42:25 43:14 46:15 51:20 57:22 57:25 white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 willing 23:5 32:18 wishe 56:24 wished 25:21 wishe 56:24 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 wont 8:7 15:5 30:6 word 5:7 124:18 26:19 57:5 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 20:9 30:13 41:3 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 11:59:10 11:43:2 20:9 30:13 41:3 12:7 33:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 11:59:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 work 8:6,8 12:3 word 5:12 42:2 word 5:12 42:2 word 5:12 42:8 49:1 51:8 49		<u> </u>		
weve 28:12 47:13 whatnot 21:6 whats 33:22 35:24 46:15 51:20 57:22 57:25 white 43:5 whose 82:18 willing 23:5 32:18 wish 56:24 wishs 56:24 wishs 62:12 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 youre 7:5 8:21 9-9 youre 7:		vankees 14·12 16		
3			28 2:7	
windation 27:0 55:16 32:4 36:2 42:25 43:14 yeah 22:7 yeah 22:7 46:15 51:20 57:25 year 34:15 year 34:15 york 14:12 your 35:10.11 your 35:10.11 willing 23:5 32:18 your 55:10.11 your 55:10.11 wished 25:21 your 55:8.21 9.9 9:9 13:11 19:10 wished 25:21 20:8.8.11,12,12 42 wished 25:21 21:21 30:33 23:18 33:9 34:23,23 45:22 55:11 43:20,652:7,12 55:15 woman 11:7 31:11 33:9 34:23,23 5 45:23 55:11 youve 33:24 45:17 women 20:10 youve 33:24 45:17 worth 8:7:15:5 30:6 Z worth 7:7 47:8 1 49:1 51:8 18:24 worth 8:6,8 12:13 10:14:3:2 15:4, 16 16:15 100 40:8 18:20 19:17 20:8 13 32:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 14:21:13 42:27 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 134 45:14 work 26:24 18:59:10 44:23 19:114:3:2 word 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
36:2 42:25 43:14 46:15 51:20 57:22 57:25 white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 willing 23:5 32:18 willing 23:5 32:18 wish 25:21 wishe 25:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 word 57:9 18:5 33:12 words 7:9 18:5 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 20:9 10:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worke 38:25 worked 38:25 30:34:18 33:34:08 33:40:11 42:7:9 50:7:9 88 80 34:15 99 90 34:17 99 90 34:17 99 90 34:17 99 90 34:17 99 90 34:17 90 90:10 10:10 10:				
46:15 51:20 57:25 white 43:5 white 43:5 willing 23:5 32:18 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 wont 8:7 15:5 30:6 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 worked 25:21 4 4 45:22 49:2 wore 17:7 47:8 45:22 49:2 word 18:20 19:17 20:8 10:14 3:2				
\$\frac{\frac		•		
white 43:5 whos 18:2 55:8 willing 23:5 32:18 wish 56:24 wish 625:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 word 8:7 15:5 30:6 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 word 5:17 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 worked 38:25 worked 38:25 worked 38:25 worked 38:25 worked 38:25 worked 28:13 worked 28:13 worked 28:21 work 13:22 wors 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13			3738 38:23	
wints 14-3.5 willing 23:5 32:18 willing 23:5 32:18 wishs 56:24 wished 25:21 wished 25:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 wont 8:7 15:5 30:6 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 49:1 51:8 11 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 88:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 88:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 88:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 115 9:10 130 32:8 131 32:8 worked 38:25 worked 38:21:3 42:7:30:33:11 42:21:3 33:33:18 45:14 40:7:11 37:17 53:11 42:27:9 50:70 8 80:34:15 99:9 13:11 19:10 42:27:9 50:70 8 80:34:15 99:9 30:131 11:10 42:27:9 8 8 80:34:15 99:9 30:131 1:10 42:27:9 8 8 80:34:15 99:9 30:14:1,15 99:04:16 7 8 8 80:34:15 99:04:17 99:04:17 7 18:24 99:03:41:19 99:04:17 99:04:17 7 18:24 99:03:41:19 99:04:10 19:14:3:2 99:04:17 99:04:17 99:04:17 19:14:22:19 19:14:3:2 19:14:21:3 19:15:24 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:3:2 19:10 19:14:4:2 19:10 10:14:4:2 19:10 10:14:15:10 10:14:15:10 10:14:15:10 10:14:15:10 10:14:1		•		
willing 23:5 32:18 wish 56:24 wished 25:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 word 57:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9, 90:13:11 19:10 20:8,8,11,12,12 21:21 30:3 32:18 33:9 34:23,23 43:2,6 52:7,12 55:15 youve 33:24 45:17 Double 1		•		
wish 56:24 wished 25:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 wort 8:7 15:5 30:6 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 work 29:30:13 41:13 42:7 53:7 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 work 29:30:13 41:13 42:13 word 54:18 world 54:18 world 54:18 world 54:18 world 54:18 world 54:18 world 54:21 world 34:24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 42 27:9 55 50 7:9,11,11 56 2:10 56 2:10 7 8 80 34:15 9 9 90 34:17 94 56:10,11 97 56:19 97 56:19		v o		
wished 25:21 wishes 20:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 wont 8:7 15:5 30:6 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 workd 38:25 134 45:14 142:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13	<u> </u>			
wishes 20:18 21:21 30:3 32:18 woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 youve 33:24 45:17 women 20:10 youve 33:24 45:17 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 0 word 7:9 18:5 0 8 33:12 0 8 work 8:6,8 12:13 18:24 9 15:4,16 16:15 10:14 3:2 9 18:20 19:17 20:8 101 40:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 11,59:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 worked 38:25 134 45:14 worked 38:25 134 45:14 work blace 48:13 14 24:13 work 22:49:2 19:14 3:2 word 54:18 18 59:10 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:4 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>42 27:9</th> <th></th>			42 27:9	
woman 11:7 31:11 33:5 34:4 45:19 45:23 55:11 women 20:10 youve 33:24 45:17 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 26:19 57:5 0 word 7:9 18:5 0 33:12 0 wore 17:7 47:8 1 49:1 51:8 1 wore 18:24 15:4,16 16:15 10 1:14 3:2 15:4,16 16:15 100 40:8 18:20 19:17 20:8 10 140:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 15:9:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 134 45:14 worked 38:25 134 45:14 work 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 world 54:18 148 61:5 3:4 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27.9 world 54:24 49:2 19:1:14 3:2 world 54:18 142:13 world 54:29:2 19:14 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:24 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:24 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:24 3:2 19:1:14 3:2 19:1:24 3:2 19:1:14 3:2			5	
43:2,6 52:7,12 55:15 women 20:10 word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 word 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25				
55:15 youve 33:24 45:17 6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
women 20:10 youve 33:24 45:17 women 20:10 youve 33:24 45:17 word 5:17 24:18 Z 26:19 57:5 0 word 7:9 18:5 0 33:12 0 wore 17:7 47:8 1 49:1 51:8 18:24 work 8:6,8 12:13 10:14 3:2 15:4,16 16:15 100 40:8 18:20 19:17 20:8 101 40:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 15:9:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 worked 38:25 134 45:14 workplace 48:13 14 24:13 word 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9			30 2.10	
women 20:10 your 45:17 15:5 30:6 word 5:17 24:18 Z 26:19 57:5 0 8 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 8 wore 17:7 47:8 1 8 49:1 51:8 1 18:24 9 work 8:6,8 12:13 10 1:14 3:2 9 90 34:17 15:4,16 16:15 1100 40:8 100 40:8 97 56:19 18:20 19:17 20:8 101 40:8 159:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 13 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 134 45:14 worked 38:25 134 45:14 14 24:13 workplace 48:13 1486 1:5 3:4 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 12:14 33:13 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9			6	
word 5:17 24:18 26:19 57:5 0 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 1 49:1 51:8 1 work 8:6,8 12:13 10 1:14 3:2 15:4,16 16:15 10 40:8 18:20 19:17 20:8 101 40:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 11 59:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 worked 38:25 134 45:14 workplace 48:13 1424:13 world 54:18 1486 1:5 3:4 worn 32:5 37:11 18 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9		youve 33.24 43.17		
word 5:17 24:18 0 26:19 57:5 0 words 7:9 18:5 33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 1 49:1 51:8 18:24 work 8:6,8 12:13 10 1:14 3:2 15:4,16 16:15 100 40:8 18:20 19:17 20:8 101 40:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 11 59:10 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 worked 38:25 134 45:14 world 54:18 14 24:13 worn 32:5 37:11 148 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9	wont 8:7 15:5 30:6	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	7	
words 7:9 18:5 33:12 000 34:14,15 80 34:15 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 1 9 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 101 40:8 130 32:8 9 worked 38:25 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 11 59:10 130 32:8 134 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 9 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 world 54:22 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 18 59:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9				
33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 bool 34:14,15 1 1 18:24 10 1:14 3:2 100 40:8 101 40:8 11 59:10 130 32:8 131 32:8 131 32:8 14 24:13 14 24:13 14 24:13 14 24:13 14 25:21 15 14 3:2 19 1:14 3:2 19 1:14 3:2 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9		0	8	
33:12 wore 17:7 47:8 49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 18:24 10 1:14 3:2 100 40:8 11 99 90 34:17 94 56:10,11 97 56:19 90 34:17 94 56:10,11 97 56:19		000 34:14,15	80 34:15	
49:1 51:8 work 8:6,8 12:13 15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 18:24 10 1:14 3:2 100 40:8 101 40:8 11 59:10 130 32:8 131 32:8 134 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9				
work 8:6,8 12:13 10 1:14 3:2 94 56:10,11 15:4,16 16:15 100 40:8 97 56:19 18:20 19:17 20:8 101 40:8 97 56:19 20:9 30:13 41:13 11 59:10 130 32:8 42:7 53:17 54:15 130 32:8 131 32:8 58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 134 45:14 workplace 48:13 14 24:13 14 24:13 world 54:18 148 59:10 18 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 19 1:14 3:2 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9				
15:4,16 16:15 18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 100 40:8 101 40:8 11 59:10 130 32:8 134 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9		1 8:24		
18:20 19:17 20:8 20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 101 40:8 11 59:10 130 32:8 131 32:8 14 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9	work 8:6,8 12:13			
20:9 30:13 41:13 42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 11 59:10 130 32:8 134 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 19 1:14 3:2 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 18 27:9	5		97 56:19	
42:7 53:17 54:15 58:19,21 59:5 Worked 38:25 Workplace 48:13 World 54:18 Worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 Worst 52:25 Wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 Wrong 12:1 33:13 130 32:8 131 32:8 134 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9				
58:19,21 59:5 131 32:8 worked 38:25 134 45:14 workplace 48:13 14 24:13 worn 32:5 37:11 18 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9				
worked 38:25 workplace 48:13 world 54:18 worn 32:5 37:11 44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 134 45:14 14 24:13 1486 1:5 3:4 18 59:10 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13				
workplace 48:13 14 24:13 world 54:18 1486 1:5 3:4 worn 32:5 37:11 18 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9	,	131 32:8		
world 54:18 1486 1:5 3:4 worn 32:5 37:11 18 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9				
worn 32:5 37:11 18 59:10 44:23 19 1:14 3:2 worst 52:25 1981a 25:21,24 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9				
44:23 worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 19 1:14 3:2 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9				
worst 52:25 wouldnt 8:25 22:10 45:22 49:2 wrong 12:1 33:13 1981a 25:21,24 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 27:9 1a 27:9				
wouldnt 8:25 22:10 26:1,3,4,18 27:5,7 45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9				
45:22 49:2 27:9 wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
wrong 12:1 33:13 1a 27:9				
33:15,15,22 42:25	C	1a 27:9		
	33:15,15,22 42:25			