1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES					
2	x					
3	TOWN OF GREECE, NEW YORK, :					
4	Petitioner : No. 12-696					
5	v. :					
6	SUSAN GALLOWAY, ET AL. :					
7	x					
8	Washington, D.C.					
9	Wednesday, November 6, 2013					
10						
11	The above-entitled matter came on for oral					
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States					
13	at 10:04 a.m.					
14	APPEARANCES:					
15	THOMAS G. HUNGAR, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of					
16	Petitioner.					
17	IAN H. GERSHENGORN, ESQ., Deputy Solicitor General,					
18	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for United					
19	States, as amicus curiae, supporting Petitioner.					
20	DOUGLAS LAYCOCK, ESQ., Charlottesville, Virginia; on					
21	behalf of Respondents.					
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	THOMAS G. HUNGAR, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	IAN H. GERSHENGORN, ESQ.	
7	For United States, as amicus curiae,	
8	supporting the Petitioner	20
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
10	DOUGLAS LAYCOCK, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of the Respondents	29
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
13	THOMAS G. HUNGAR, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioner	57
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS						
2	(10:04 a.m.)						
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument						
4	first this morning in Case 12-696, the Town of						
5	Greece v. Galloway.						
6	Mr. Hungar.						
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF THOMAS G. HUNGAR						
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER						
9	MR. HUNGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice,						
10	and may it please the Court:						
11	The court of appeals correctly held that the						
12	legislative prayers at issue in this case were not						
13	offensive in the way identified as problematic in Marsh,						
14	but the court then committed legal error by engrafting						
15	the endorsement test onto Marsh as a new barrier to the						
16	practice of legislative prayer.						
17	JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Hungar, I'm wondering						
18	what you would think of the following: suppose that, as						
19	we began this session of the Court, the Chief Justice						
20	had called a minister up to the front of the courtroom,						
21	facing the lawyers, maybe the parties, maybe the						
22	spectators.						
23	And the minister had asked everyone to stand						
24	and to bow their heads in prayer and the minister said						
25	the following he said, we acknowledge the saving						

- 1 sacrifice of Jesus Christ on the cross. We draw
- 2 strength from his resurrection. Blessed are you who has
- 3 raised up the Lord Jesus. You who will raise us in our
- 4 turn and put us by his side. The members of the Court
- 5 who had stood responded amen, made the sign of the
- 6 cross, and the Chief Justice then called your case.
- 7 Would that be permissible?
- 8 MR. HUNGAR: I don't think so, Your Honor.
- 9 And, obviously, this case doesn't present that question
- 10 because what we have here is a case of legislative
- 11 prayer in the Marsh doctrine, which recognizes that the
- 12 history of this country, from its very foundations and
- 13 founding, recognize the propriety of legislative prayer
- 14 of the type that was conducted here.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, the question --
- 16 JUSTICE KAGAN: So you are making a distinction just between
- 17 the legislature and any other official proceeding; is
- 18 that correct?
- 19 MR. HUNGAR: Well, clearly, Marsh involves
- 20 legislative prayer, the tradition that we rely on
- 21 involves legislative prayer, and this case involves
- 22 legislative prayer. Whether -- what rule might apply in
- 23 other contexts would depend on the context.
- 24 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, suppose I ask the
- 25 exact same question, same kinds of statements, same sort

- of context, except it's not in a courtroom. Instead,
- 2 it's in a congressional hearing room.
- 3 Maybe it's a confirmation hearing, maybe
- 4 it's an investigatory hearing of some kind, and that a
- 5 person is sitting at a table in front of the members of
- 6 a committee, ready to testify, ready to give his
- 7 testimony in support of his nomination.
- 8 The minister says the exact same thing.
- 9 MR. HUNGAR: I think that's a -- that's a
- 10 closer question because of the congressional history,
- 11 but, of course, at least as far as I'm aware, they have
- 12 this history as it applies to the legislative body as a
- 13 whole, not to committees, but it would be a different
- 14 question.
- One, obviously, important distinguishing
- 16 factor there, in addition to the fact that it's not the
- 17 legislative body as a whole --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: We should -- we should --
- 19 MR. HUNGAR: -- is that people are compelled
- 20 to attend and testify under oath, which is a different
- 21 situation from the one here.
- 22 JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, I suppose --
- 23 JUSTICE SCALIA: We should assume -- to --
- 24 to make it parallel to what occurred here, that the next
- 25 day, before the same committee, a Muslim would lead the

- 1 invocation and the day after that, an orthodox Jew. I
- 2 mean --
- 3 MR. HUNGAR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- it makes a difference
- 5 whether it's just one -- one denomination that is being
- 6 used as -- as chaplain or open to various denominations.
- 7 MR. HUNGAR: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 8 That's why we believe this case is actually an easier
- 9 case than Marsh because, in Marsh, there was a paid
- 10 chaplain from the same denomination for 16 years.
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But the question,
- 12 Mr. Hungar --
- 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: But
- 14 Mr. Hungar, for 11 years, the prayers sounded almost
- 15 exclusively like the ones that I read, and one year, on
- 16 four occasions, there was some attempts to vary it up,
- 17 to have a Baha'i minister or a -- a Wiccan, but for the
- 18 most part, not out of any malice or anything like that,
- 19 but because this is what the people in this community
- 20 knew and were familiar with and what most of the
- 21 ministers were, most of the prayers sounded like this?
- MR. HUNGAR: Well, no. I mean, it's clearly
- 23 not correct that most of the prayers sounded like the
- 24 one you just read. Most of the prayers that --
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But your position is that

- 1 wouldn't matter, as I understand, because you have --
- 2 you have -- you have two limitations, proselytizing and
- 3 disparaging. And -- but I think Justice Kagan's
- 4 question gets at -- place -- place limitations.
- 5 One could read your brief and say, well, it
- 6 doesn't matter; it could be an executive body, it could
- 7 be a court, it could be a town meeting, a school board,
- 8 a zoning board, a utilities board. That's -- is this
- 9 case about prayer at the beginning of a legislative
- 10 session, or is it about prayer in all three branches of
- 11 government?
- 12 MR. HUNGAR: This case is about prayer at
- 13 the beginning of a legislative session. That's exactly
- 14 what the meetings at issue here are -- are about.
- 15 That's what the board of the Town of Greece is. In
- 16 fact, Respondents try to argue that this is somehow what
- 17 they call coercive because there are public hearings
- 18 that are held.
- 19 But the public hearings are held at least
- 20 30 minutes after the prayer, and anyone coming for the
- 21 purpose of the public hearing can easily show up after
- 22 the prayer, if they don't want to be there.
- 23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Why -- why was it that you
- 24 so promptly answered Justice Kagan's question to the
- 25 effect that this would be a violation? What -- why

- 1 would there be a violation in the instance she put?
- 2 MR. HUNGAR: I'm sorry. Which instance,
- 3 Your Honor?
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: The first question Justice
- 5 Kagan asked you, the hypothetical about the prayer in
- 6 this Court. You seemed readily to agree that that would
- 7 be a First Amendment violation. Why?
- 8 MR. HUNGAR: Well, perhaps I conceded too
- 9 much, but I think the important distinction is between
- 10 the -- both the judicial context and the legislative
- 11 context, on the one hand, and the -- the absence of a --
- 12 of a comparable history that shows that it did not --
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, is it -- is it
- 14 simply history that makes -- there's no rational
- 15 explanation? It's just a historical aberration?
- MR. HUNGAR: No, it's not -- it's not a
- 17 question of historical aberration. It's a question
- 18 of --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, what's -- what's the
- 20 justification for the distinction?
- 21 MR. HUNGAR: It's a question of what the
- 22 Establishment Clause has understood, both at the time
- 23 and throughout history, to forbid and not to forbid.
- 24 The judiciary is different than a legislature.
- 25 Legislatures can be partisan, the judiciary should not

- 1 be. People are compelled to testify under oath.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: But you -- but you -- you
- 3 had no problem, Mr. Hungar, with the marshal's
- 4 announcement at the -- at the beginning of this session.
- 5 "God save the United States and this Honorable Court."
- 6 There -- there are many people who don't believe in God.
- 7 MR. HUNGAR: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 8 And clearly --
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: So that's okay?
- 10 MR. HUNGAR: Yes.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Why -- why is that okay?
- 12 MR. HUNGAR: Whether -- if -- perhaps I
- 13 misunderstood the hypothetical. If the hypothetical is,
- 14 as you described, with a different minister, with --
- 15 with an open process, a nondiscriminatory process like
- 16 the one we have here, I think it would be a much closer
- 17 case than this one, but it might be constitutional.
- 18 But whether that case is constitutional or
- 19 not, this case is far from the constitutional line --
- 20 further from the constitutional line than the State
- 21 legislature's practice in Marsh because, there, Nebraska
- 22 had one chaplain from one denomination for 16 years, and
- 23 yet, that was constitutionally permissible.
- And his prayers were not distinguishable in
- 25 content from the prayers at issue here during the time

- 1 that was relevant to the case.
- 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You don't think that if the Chief --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Would it make a
- 4 difference in your analysis if instead of, as I
- 5 understand the hypothetical, there was a point of
- 6 saying, all rise, or something of that sort?
- 7 Would it make a difference if the
- 8 hypothetical Justice Kagan posed were the same, except
- 9 people weren't told to rise or invited to rise or, in
- 10 fact, were told to stay seated, something like that, so
- 11 there would be no indication of who was participating in
- 12 the prayer?
- 13 Is that a -- is that a ground of distinction
- 14 that you're willing to accept or not?
- 15 MR. HUNGAR: I don't think that is
- 16 constitutionally significant, unless -- I mean, it might
- 17 be different if people are compelled to stand, but
- 18 whether they are or not -- I mean, in the Marsh case
- 19 itself, Senator Chambers testified that the practice in
- 20 the Nebraska legislature was for people to stand.
- 21 And he felt coerced to stand because when he
- 22 was there -- he tried to avoid it -- but when he was
- 23 there, he felt he needed to stand because everybody else
- 24 was doing it, and he needed to have dealings with these
- 25 people as a fellow legislator.
- The Court, nonetheless, held that he's an

- 1 adult, and he -- he is expected to be able to disagree
- 2 with things that he disagrees with, and that is not a
- 3 constitutional violation.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I wonder how far you
- 5 can carry the -- your historical argument and whether
- 6 some of these things are properly regarded as more
- 7 historical artifacts, right? I mean, our motto is, "In
- 8 God we trust," right? That's the motto. It's been that
- 9 for a long time, right?
- 10 MR. HUNGAR: Yes, sir.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But wouldn't we look
- 12 at it differently if there were -- suddenly, if there
- 13 were a proposal today, for the first time, to say let's
- 14 adopt a motto, "In God we trust"? Would we view that
- 15 the same way, simply because it's -- in other words, the
- 16 history doesn't make it clear that a particular practice
- 17 is okay going on in the future.
- 18 It means, well, this is what they've done --
- 19 they have done, so we're not going to go back and
- 20 revisit it, just like we're not going to go back and
- 21 take the cross out of every city seal that's been there
- 22 since -- you know, 1800. But it doesn't mean that it
- 23 would be okay to adopt a seal today that would have a
- 24 cross in it, does it?
- MR. HUNGAR: Not necessarily. But -- but I

- 1 think history is clearly important to the Establishment
- 2 Clause analysis under this Court's precedents in two
- 3 significant respects, both of which apply here, one of
- 4 which might not apply in your -- with respect to your
- 5 hypothetical.
- 6 The first being the history shows us that
- 7 the practice of legislative prayer, just like the motto,
- 8 has not, in fact, led to an establishment, and,
- 9 therefore, we can be confident it is not in danger of
- 10 doing so. And, secondly, the history of legislative
- 11 prayer, unlike your hypothetical, goes back to the very
- 12 framing of the First Amendment.
- 13 The fact that -- and this is what the Court
- 14 said in Marsh -- the fact that at the very time the
- 15 first Congress was writing and sending the -- the First
- 16 Amendment out to the States to be ratified, they adopted
- 17 the practice of having a congressional chaplain. And
- 18 the congressional chaplains -- the record -- the
- 19 historical record is clear -- gave prayers that were
- 20 almost exclusively sectarian, as Respondents define that
- 21 word.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't really understand
- 23 your -- your answer. How can it be that, if the
- 24 practice existed in the past, it was constitutional?
- 25 Was it constitutional in the past?

- 1 MR. HUNGAR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: If it was constitutional in
- 3 the past, why -- why would it be unconstitutional if the
- 4 same thing is done today, even without any past parallel
- 5 practice? That's a nice alliteration.
- 6 MR. HUNGAR: It wouldn't -- I'm not --
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Is past parallel practice
- 8 essential?
- 9 MR. HUNGAR: I think this Court's precedents
- 10 have also indicated, at least in some cases, that if --
- if a practice is constitutional, as we know it to be the
- 12 case because of the fact that it has been understood to
- 13 be constitutional and consistent with our religion
- 14 clauses from the founding, other practices that have no
- 15 greater impact, no greater tendency to establish
- 16 religion, are equally constitutional.
- 17 And we believe that is an
- 18 appropriate doctrine.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is there -- is there any
- 20 constitutional historical practice with respect to this
- 21 hybrid body? It's not simply a legislature. It has a
- 22 number of administrative functions. Sometimes, it
- 23 convenes as a town meeting. Sometimes, it entertains
- 24 zoning applications.
- Is there a history for that kind of hybrid

- 1 body, as there is for the kind of legislature we had in
- 2 Nebraska or our Congress?
- 3 MR. HUNGAR: Yes, Your Honor, in two
- 4 respects. First of all, the Becket Fund amicus brief
- 5 identifies various examples of -- of municipal
- 6 government prayer over the course of our founding, which
- 7 is -- over the course of our history, which is not
- 8 surprising given this -- the legislative practice at the
- 9 State and Federal level as well.
- 10 And, secondly, Congress for much of its --
- 11 much of our history entertained private bills, which
- 12 would be the equivalent in terms of the legislative or
- 13 non-purely legislative functions you're talking about,
- 14 with what the -- the Town of Greece does here.
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, if we had a -- if we
- 16 had a series of cases, what -- what is a -- a utility
- 17 rate-making board would come to the Supreme Court. We
- 18 say, well, it's enough like a legislative that it's like
- 19 Marsh. But I don't think the public would understand
- 20 that.
- 21 MR. HUNGAR: Well, Your Honor, whatever --
- 22 whatever line might be drawn between non-legislative
- 23 bodies and legislative bodies, what we are talking about
- 24 here is a legislative meeting of a legislative body, and
- 25 it would be -- it would be incongruous, as this Court

- 1 said in Marsh, if Congress could have legislative
- 2 prayers and the States couldn't. It would be equally
- 3 incongruous --
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, the essence of the
- 5 argument is we've always done it this way, which has
- 6 some -- some force to it. But it seems to me that your
- 7 argument begins and ends there.
- 8 MR. HUNGAR: No, Your Honor. I mean, as
- 9 we -- as we said in our brief, the principles that
- 10 undergird the Establishment Clause are equally
- 11 consistent with the position we're advancing here.
- 12 As the -- as your opinion in the County of
- 13 Allegheny case indicates, the fundamental -- the core of
- 14 Establishment Clause concern is coercion or conduct that
- 15 is so extreme that it leads to the establishment of a
- 16 religion because it is putting the government squarely
- 17 behind one faith to the exclusion of others, and that's
- 18 clearly not -- not what's going on here.
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: May I ask you about the
- 20 individual plaintiffs here? And what do we know about
- 21 them? They, obviously, have appeared at proceedings,
- 22 and they object to the proceedings. Does the record
- 23 show that they had matters before the town council
- 24 during the hearings part of the proceeding?
- MR. HUNGAR: No, Your Honor. There is --

- 1 there's no evidence of that. There's no -- the
- 2 Respondents have no standing to assert the interests of
- 3 children or police officers or award recipients or -- or
- 4 permit applicants.
- 5 They don't even claim to be in -- in any of
- 6 those categories.
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: And what about the public
- 8 forum part? They did speak, occasionally, then; isn't
- 9 that right?
- 10 MR. HUNGAR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 JUSTICE ALITO: Do we know what they spoke
- 12 about?
- MR. HUNGAR: Well, on at least one occasion,
- one of them spoke about the prayer -- or one or two
- 15 occasions and then, on multiple occasions, spoke about a
- 16 cable access channel issue.
- 17 JUSTICE ALITO: And what did they -- what
- 18 was the issue there?
- 19 MR. HUNGAR: Something about -- she was
- 20 expressing vehement disagreement with the town's
- 21 decision to award a cable access channel to one entity,
- 22 as opposed to another.
- 23 JUSTICE BREYER: Do you have any objection
- 24 to -- to doing one thing that was suggested in the
- 25 circuit court opinion, which is to publicize rather

- 1 thoroughly in -- in the area that those who were not
- 2 Christians, and perhaps not even religious, are also
- 3 welcome to appear and to have either a prayer or the
- 4 equivalent, if they're not religious?
- 5 Do you have an objection to that?
- 6 MR. HUNGAR: Certainly not. There'd be --
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, then -- then there --
- 8 is there a disagreement on that point because certainly,
- 9 that was one of the concerns. It wasn't on anyone's
- 10 website. There are -- Greece is a small town very near
- 11 Rochester, and there are, at least in Rochester, lots of
- 12 people of different religions, including quite a few of
- 13 no religion.
- 14 So -- so could you work that out, do you
- think, if that were the only objecting point?
- 16 MR. HUNGAR: I -- I don't know what the
- 17 town's position would be on that, but it -- certainly,
- 18 there would be no constitutional problem with doing
- 19 that. I mean, here, as a practical matter, since --
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: No, no. I'm not saying
- 21 it's a constitutional problem I got from the opinion of
- 22 doing the opposite, of -- of not making an effort to
- 23 make people who are not Christian feel, although they
- 24 live in or near the town or are affected thereby,
- 25 participants, over time.

- 1 MR. HUNGAR: But, Your Honor, it's a 2 perfectly rational approach when -- when any legislative 3 body is going to have a practice of legislative prayer, 4 to go to the houses of worship in the community. And --5 JUSTICE BREYER: I'm not saying it's not. I 6 want to know if you have any objection. I --7 I certainly don't think MR. HUNGAR: it is constitutionally required, although I would note 8 9 that as a practical matter that has happened here in 10 2007 --11 JUSTICE BREYER: Do you -- would you have --12 if all that were left in the case were the question of your making a good-faith effort to try to include 13 14 others, would you object to doing it? 15 MR. HUNGAR: I don't know what the town's 16 position is on that. As I said, as a practical matter, 17 that has already happened here. The town deputy 18 supervisor was quoted in the newspaper saying, anyone can come in prayer, anyone can --19 20 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes. That's different from putting it on a website. That's different from making 21 22 an organized effort to see that people get the word. As I say --2.3 MR. HUNGAR:
- 25 the equivalent of prayer for somebody who is not

JUSTICE SCALIA:

24

Mr. Hungar, what -- what is

1	religious?
2	MR. HUNGAR: I would
3	JUSTICE SCALIA: What would somebody who is
4	not religious
5	MR. HUNGAR: In the Rubin
6	JUSTICE SCALIA: what is the equivalent
7	of prayer?
8	MR. HUNGAR: It would be some invocation of
9	guidance and wisdom from
10	JUSTICE SCALIA: From what?
11	MR. HUNGAR: I don't know. In in the
12	Rubin case
13	(Laughter.)
14	MR. HUNGAR: In the Rubin case, a
15	nonreligious person delivered invocations on multiple
16	occasions.
17	JUSTICE SCALIA: Perhaps
18	JUSTICE BREYER: I suppose he's asking me that
19	question, and I can answer it later.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. HUNGAR: I'd like to reserve the
22	remainder of my time.
23	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes. Thank you,
24	counsel.
25	Mr. Gershengorn.

1	ARGUMENT OF IAN H. GERSHENGORN,						
2	FOR UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,						
3	SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER						
4	MR. GERSHENGORN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may						
5	it please the Court:						
6	The Second Circuit's decision here requires						
7	courts to determine when a legislature has permitted too						
8	many sectarian references in its prayers or has invited						
9	too many Christian prayer-givers. That approach is						
10	flawed for two reasons.						
11	First, it cannot be squared with our						
12	nation's long history of opening legislative sessions,						
13	not only with a prayer, but a prayer given in the						
14	prayer-giver's own religious idiom. And, second, it						
15	invites exactly the sort of parsing of prayer that Marsh						
16	sought to avoid and that Federal courts are ill-equipped						
17	to handle						
18	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And what was the purpose						
19	of Marsh saying that proselytizing or damning another						
20	religion would be a constitutional violation?						
21	MR. GERSHENGORN: So we agree with						
22	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So, unless you parse the						
23	prayers, you can't determine whether there's						
24	proselytizing or damnation. That was Judge Wilkinson's						
25	point when he was faced with this question, which is you						

- 1 have to do some parsing.
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, you have
- 3 to look at -- at the prayer to determine proselytizing.
- 4 But it's a very different series of judgments, we
- 5 submit, than determining whether something is sectarian.
- 6 The kinds of debates we're having, I think, are
- 7 reflected in the differences --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Now, seriously,
- 9 counselor. You can't argue that the quote that Justice
- 10 Kagan read is not sectarian. It invokes Jesus Christ as
- 11 the savior of the world. There are many religions who
- don't believe that. Let's get past that.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor --
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: This is sectarian.
- 15 MR. GERSHENGORN: We agree that these are
- 16 sectarian. But the kinds of debates that you're seeing
- 17 among the parties, whether, for example, 15 percent, 50
- 18 percent, 60 percent of the congressional prayers are
- 19 sectarian. Those are debates about whether "Holy
- 20 Spirit" is sectarian. A court -- a district court has
- 21 held that "Allah" is not sectarian.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So let's talk about the
- 23 context instead of prayer. If the Chief Justice got up
- 24 at the beginning of this session and said, "All rise for
- 25 a prayer," would you sit down?

- 1 MR. GERSHENGORN: Your Honor, whether I
- 2 would sit or not, we don't think that that would be
- 3 constitutional --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Do you think -- how many
- 5 people in this room do you think would sit, talking
- 6 truthfully?
- 7 MR. GERSHENGORN: I don't think -- I don't
- 8 think many would sit, Your Honor.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right.
- 10 M R. GERSHENGORN: But we don't think that
- 11 that --
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So why do you think that
- 13 someone who is sitting in a small room where hearings of
- 14 this nature are being held, when the guy who's about --
- 15 the chairman of this legislative body, is about to rule
- on an application you're bringing to him or her, why do
- 17 you think any of those people wouldn't feel coerced to
- 18 stand?
- 19 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I'd like
- 20 to address the coercion point this way: With respect to
- 21 town councils, it's our view that, as a general matter,
- 22 that the municipal legislatures can invoke the same
- 23 tradition of solemnizing and invoking divine guidance as
- 24 Federal and State legislatures.
- We recognize there are differences, however,

- 1 and Your Honor has pointed to one, and that's the --
- 2 what was called the public forum here. And we think
- 3 it's very -- because those are the ones where the -- is
- 4 adjudicated license applications -- liquor applications.
- 5 And we do think it is important on this
- 6 record that those are separated in time. It's at the
- 7 court of appeals Appendix 929 and 1120. So that the
- 8 meeting starts at 6:00, which is when the prayer -- when
- 9 the prayer is, but the board meetings to adjudicate
- 10 those types of issues are at 6:30 or 6:32.
- And so the type of concern that Your Honor
- 12 has raised is not presented on this record, and we think
- 13 that's significant. We think some of the other
- 14 factors --
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Gershengorn, do you
- 16 think that if the legislature -- excuse me -- if the
- 17 town board here just -- you know, started it off with a
- 18 prayer and then kept on going, you think that that
- 19 would be a significantly different case and you would
- 20 switch sides?
- 21 MR. GERSHENGORN: I don't know that we would
- 22 switch sides, Your Honor. But I do think it mitigates
- 23 the coercion that the -- that the Respondents have
- 24 identified. And we think it does -- that that is one of the
- 25 significant differences between the town, the -- the

- 1 town legislature and a -- and the legislature --
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: You agree that coercion is
- 3 the test, however?
- 4 MR. GERSHENGORN: We don't agree that
- 5 coercion is the test, Your Honor.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: If it is the test --
- 7 MR. GERSHENGORN: We think it's the
- 8 history -- we think the history is the -- the principal
- 9 guidance of Marsh is -- we think there are three pillars
- 10 in Marsh. First of all, that the history is what the
- 11 Court looks to first. And here, there was a long
- 12 history of legislative prayer.
- 13 Second, that the Court should be very wary
- 14 of parsing prayer to make sectarian judgments. And,
- third, what Marsh said is that adults are less
- 16 susceptible to religious doctrine -- indoctrination and
- 17 peer pressure. And we think --
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Gershengorn, could you
- 19 respond to this? Here's what our -- our country
- 20 promises, our Constitution promises, It's that, however
- 21 we worship, we're all equal and full citizens. And I
- 22 think we can all agree on that.
- 23 And that means that, when we approach the
- 24 government, when we petition the government, we do so
- 25 not as a Christian, not as a Jew, not as a Muslim, not

- 1 as a nonbeliever, only as an American.
- 2 And what troubles me about this case is
- 3 that, here, a citizen is going to a local community
- 4 board, supposed to be the closest, the most responsive
- 5 institution of government that exists, and is
- 6 immediately being asked -- being forced to identify
- 7 whether she believes in the things that most of the
- 8 people in the room believe in, whether she belongs to
- 9 the same religious team as most of the people in the
- 10 room do.
- 11 And it strikes me that that might be
- 12 inconsistent with this understanding that, when we
- 13 relate to our government, we all do so as Americans and
- 14 not as Jews and not as Christians and not as
- 15 nonbelievers.
- 16 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Justice Kagan, I think
- 17 we agree with much of what you say. But -- but with the
- 18 difference here is that this approaching of the
- 19 government body occurs against the backdrop of 240 years
- 20 of history, which makes this different.
- 21 From the very beginning of our legislature,
- 22 from the first Continental Congress and then from the --
- 23 from the first Congress, there have been legislative
- 24 prayers given in the religious idiom of either the
- official chaplain or a guest chaplain, that have

- 1 regularly invoked the -- the deity and the -- the
- 2 language of the prayer-giver.
- 3 And that --
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Gershengorn, your --
- 5 your brief is the one who brought up -- and you were
- 6 quite candid about it -- the hybrid nature of that body.
- 7 I think it's on pages 22 to 24 of your brief. And you
- 8 say it would be proper to have certain checks in that
- 9 setting. So, for one, make sure that the entrance and
- 10 the exit is easy. For another, inform the people in
- 11 town of the tradition, so they won't be confused.
- But you recognize, on the one hand, that this
- isn't like Congress or the Nebraska legislature, and
- 14 then you say these would be nice things to do. Are you
- 15 saying just that it would be good and proper? Or are
- 16 you saying it would be necessary, given the hybrid
- 17 nature of this body?
- 18 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, with
- 19 respect to some of the things we identify, which are
- 20 similar to the ones that Justice Breyer recommended, I
- 21 think our view is they're more akin to safe harbors,
- 22 that there are, undoubtedly, advancement challenges that
- 23 could be brought. And to the extent that the town can
- 24 point to things, such as -- such as public criteria and
- 25 things like that, that is helpful.

1	TAT - + h	respect	+ ~	+ h o	+ h o	~ 1 h l i a	f 0 2011m
	$M \perp CII$	respect	LO	LHE	 LHE	public	LOLUIII

- 2 aspect, I don't think we have a position as to whether
- 3 it is required, but we do think that that makes this
- 4 case the much easier case because of that separation of
- 5 the one part that is the strongest argument for the
- 6 other side, that there is an element of coercion, that
- 7 your application is -- is being ruled on, that the
- 8 separation the town has adopted makes that much less
- 9 persuasive.
- 10 We think the other elements that the
- 11 Respondents have pointed to for coercion are ones that
- 12 trouble us because they are things that have analogs in
- our history. So, for example, they point to the
- 14 presence of children. But, of course, on the Senate
- 15 floor are the Senate pages, who are all high school
- 16 juniors.
- 17 And as the reply brief points out, there are
- 18 often children in the galleries at State legislatures
- 19 being acknowledged. And so some of those -- those
- 20 elements that the Respondents have pointed to for
- 21 coercion, we think are not ones that the Court should --
- 22 should adopt.
- 23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Of course, your -- your
- 24 test is whether or not -- part of your test -- is
- 25 whether or not it advances religion. If you ask a

- 1 chaplain for the State assembly in Sacramento,
- 2 California, who's going to go to the assembly to deliver
- 3 a prayer, are you going to advance your religion today,
- 4 would he say, oh, no?
- 5 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I think
- 6 it's a much narrower test. What this Court said in
- 7 Marsh was that the limit on legislative prayer is --
- 8 does it proselytize, advance, or denigrate any one
- 9 religion?
- We think, with respect to the content of the
- 11 prayer, that the Second Circuit got it just about right,
- 12 that the question is does it preach conversion, does it
- 13 threaten damnation to nonbelievers, does it belittle a
- 14 particular --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So -- so you -- you use
- the word "advance" only as modified by "proselytize"?
- 17 MR. GERSHENGORN: What Marsh said was
- 18 "proselytize, advance, or denigrate."
- 19 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Because that's -- that's
- 20 not what your -- your brief says, "does not proselytize
- 21 or advance."
- 22 MR. GERSHENGORN: That -- that's the
- 23 language from Marsh, Your Honor, is to proselytize or --
- 24 "proselytize, advance, or denigrate."
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: But that's that the test

- 1 you want us to adopt and --
- 2 MR. GERSHENGORN: It is, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: -- I'm asking whether or
- 4 not it is, in fact, honest and candid and fair to ask
- 5 the minister or -- or the priest or the chaplain or the
- 6 rabbi if, by appearing there, he or she seeks to advance
- 7 their religion?
- 8 MR. GERSHENGORN: So, Your Honor, I don't
- 9 think that's what Marsh meant by advance --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: If not, I'm not quite sure
- 11 why they're there.
- MR. GERSHENGORN: You're not quite sure why
- 13 "advance" is there -- or why the rabbi is there.
- 14 We don't think that the mere presence of the
- 15 rabbi -- that's what Marsh held, that Marsh -- what
- 16 Marsh says is "advance" does not mean having a single --
- 17 a single chaplain -- a chaplain of a single denomination
- 18 or looking at the content of the sectarian prayer, in
- 19 light of that history.
- Thank you, Your Honor.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Laycock.
- ORAL ARGUMENT OF DOUGLAS LAYCOCK
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- MR. LAYCOCK: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it

- 1 please the Court:
- 2 Petitioner's answer to Justice Kagan's
- 3 opening question is entirely formalistic. There is no
- 4 separation in time between the public hearing and the
- 5 invocation. People appear before this town board to ask
- 6 for personal and specific things.
- 7 Our clients put shows on the cable channel.
- 8 They were concerned the cable channel was about to be
- 9 abolished or made much less usable. People appear to
- 10 ask for a group home, parents of a Down syndrome child.
- 11 There are many personal petitions presented to this --
- in the immediate wake of the prayer.
- 13 JUSTICE ALITO: But that's during
- 14 the public -- that's during the public forum part.
- 15 MR. LAYCOCK: That's in the public forum.
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: Which is not really -- it's
- 17 not the same thing as the hearing.
- 18 MR. LAYCOCK: It's not the same thing as the
- 19 hearing, and that's the point, Your Honor.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: There's another -- there's
- 21 another part of the proceeding that is the hearing.
- MR. LAYCOCK: Yes.
- 23 JUSTICE ALITO: And that's when somebody has
- 24 a specific proposal. They want to -- something
- 25 specifically before the board, and they want relief.

- 1 They want a variance.
- 2 MR. LAYCOCK: The -- the hearing is a
- 3 particular kind of proposal. The hearing --
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: And that is separated in
- 5 time.
- 6 MR. LAYCOCK: That is -- that is somewhat
- 7 separated in time. The forum is not. And people make
- 8 quite personal proposals there. They ask for board
- 9 action. They often get board action.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: But that is a legislative
- 11 body at that point. It's clearly a legislative body, is
- 12 it not? The only -- the difference is it's a town
- 13 rather than -- than Congress or a State legislature,
- 14 where you have more formalized procedures. This is --
- 15 this is more direct democracy. Or it's like a -- it's a
- 16 town meeting.
- 17 MR. LAYCOCK: It is -- it is direct
- 18 democracy. When a citizen appears and says, solve the
- 19 traffic problem at my corner, solve this nuisance family
- 20 that commits a lot of crimes in my block, that's not
- 21 asking for legislation or policymaking. That's asking
- 22 for administrative action. This board has legislative,
- 23 administrative, and executive functions.
- 24 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if that is your
- 25 argument, then you are really saying you can never have

- 1 prayer at a town meeting.
- 2 MR. LAYCOCK: That's -- that's not what
- 3 we're saying. We're saying --
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: How could you do it?
- 5 Because that's the kind of thing that always comes up at
- 6 town meetings.
- 7 MR. LAYCOCK: We're saying you cannot have
- 8 sectarian prayer. The town should instruct -- should
- 9 have a policy in the first place, which it doesn't,
- 10 instruct the chaplains, keep your prayer nonsectarian,
- 11 do not address points of --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Give me an
- 13 example -- give me an example of a prayer that would be
- 14 acceptable to Christians, Jews, Muslims, Buddhists,
- 15 Hindus. Give me an example of a prayer. Wiccans,
- 16 Baha'i.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And -- and atheists.
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: And atheists. Throw in
- 19 atheists, too.
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 MR. LAYCOCK: We -- we take Marsh to -- to
- 22 imply that atheists cannot get full relief in this
- 23 context, and the McCreary dissenters said that
- 24 explicitly. So points on which believers are known to
- 25 disagree is a -- is a set that's in the American

- 1 context, the American civil religion, the
- 2 Judeo-Christian tradition --
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, give me an example
- 4 then. I think the point about atheists is a good point.
- 5 But exclude them for present purposes, and give me an
- 6 example of a prayer that is acceptable to all of the
- 7 groups that I mentioned.
- 8 MR. LAYCOCK: About a third of the prayers
- 9 in this record, Your Honor, are acceptable.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Give me an example.
- 11 MR. LAYCOCK: Can I have the joint appendix?
- The prayers to the almighty, prayers to the
- 13 creator.
- JUSTICE ALITO: To "the almighty."
- 15 MR. LAYCOCK: Yes.
- 16 JUSTICE ALITO: So if -- if a particular
- 17 religion believes in more than one god, that's
- 18 acceptable to them?
- MR. LAYCOCK: Well, some religions that
- 20 believe in more than one god believe that all their many
- 21 gods are manifestations of the one god. But the true
- 22 polytheists, I think, were also excluded from the
- 23 McCreary dissent.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: What about devil
- worshippers?

- 1 (Laughter.)
- 2 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, if devil worshippers
- 3 believe the devil is the almighty, they might be okay,
- 4 but they're probably out --
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Who is going to make
- 7 this determination? Is it -- is it an ex ante
- 8 determination? You have to review the proposed prayer?
- 9 MR. LAYCOCK: I'm just flipping through.
- 10 There are a number of examples, but if you look at page
- 11 74a of the joint appendix, the prayer from August 19,
- 12 2003 -- no, I'm sorry. That ends, "in Christ's name."
- But there are -- the count was about, about
- 14 two-thirds, one-third, So there are plenty of them in
- 15 here.
- JUSTICE ALITO: 74a, "Heavenly father,"
- 17 that's acceptable to all religions?
- 18 MR. LAYCOCK: "Heavenly Father" is very
- 19 broadly acceptable. And -- you know, the test cannot be
- 20 unanimity because that's impossible, right? That's why
- 21 the atheists are -- that's why the atheists are
- 22 excluded.
- 23 I'm sorry, Justice Scalia. Could you repeat
- 24 your question?
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I'll repeat

- 1 mine.
- 2 (Laughter.)
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It was, who was
- 4 supposed to make these determinations? Is there
- 5 supposed to be an officer of the town council that will
- 6 review -- do prayers have to be reviewed for his
- 7 approval in advance?
- 8 MR. LAYCOCK: No. Principally, the clergy
- 9 make the -- make this determination. There is a
- 10 200-year tradition of this kind of civic prayer. The
- 11 clergy know how to do it. If the city has a policy,
- then an occasional violation by one clergy is not the
- 13 city's responsibility.
- So -- so this is left, principally, to the
- 15 clergy by simply giving them instructions. They receive
- 16 no instruction of any kind about the purpose of this
- 17 prayer or --
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So there is an
- 19 official in the town council that is to instruct clergy
- 20 about what kind of prayer they can say?
- 21 MR. LAYCOCK: That's right. 37 State
- 22 legislative bodies, the House of Representatives have
- 23 these kinds of guidelines. They issue them to the guest
- 24 clergy before they appear.
- 25 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And if I'm -- if I'm that

- 1 official and I think a prayer was over the top for being
- 2 proselytizing and particularly sectarian, I would say I
- 3 rather not -- you not come back next week; I am going to
- 4 look for somebody else?
- 5 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, you might have a
- 6 conversation with him first and give him a second chance, but yes --
- 7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, so in other words,
- 8 the government is now editing the content of prayers?
- 9 MR. LAYCOCK: Editing the content of
- 10 government-sponsored prayers. Of course, these clergy
- 11 can pray any way they want, on their own time, with
- 12 their own audience. But this is an official government
- 13 event. And it's part of the board's meeting. It's
- 14 sponsored by the government.
- 15 They delegate the task to these clergy,
- 16 and they can define the scope of that --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: Your point is that it
- 18 coerces -- it's bad because it coerces?
- 19 MR. LAYCOCK: It coerces the people who are
- 20 about to stand up and ask for things from the board
- 21 and --
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: If there is -- if coercion
- 23 is the test of the Free Exercise Clause, why do we need
- 24 a Free Exercise Clause? If there's coercion -- I'm
- 25 sorry -- of the Establishment Clause, why do we need the

- 1 Establishment Clause? If there's coercion, I assume it
- 2 would violate the Free Exercise Clause, wouldn't it?
- 3 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, I think that's right.
- 4 And that's why --
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: So it seems to be very
- 6 unlikely that the test for the Establishment Clause is
- 7 identical to the test for the Free Exercise Clause.
- 8 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, it seems to me unlikely
- 9 as well. Coercion is one test for the Establishment
- 10 Clause, but there is also broad agreement on the Court,
- 11 or there has been, that sectarian endorsements are
- 12 prohibited by the Establishment Clause.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What exactly --
- 14 since you are adopting the coercion test, what exactly
- is coercive in this environment? Having to sit and
- 16 listen to the prayer?
- MR. LAYCOCK: Well, there are many coercive
- 18 aspects here of varying degrees of importance. Citizens
- 19 are asked to participate, to join in the prayer.
- 20 They're often asked to --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: They are asked to
- 22 participate, and -- but not in any tangible way. They
- 23 say, well, I'm not going to participate. And
- 24 everybody's just sitting there.
- MR. LAYCOCK: They are often asked to

- 1 physically participate, to stand or to bow their heads.
- 2 The testimony is most of the citizens bow -- most of the
- 3 citizens bow their heads, whether they are asked to or
- 4 not.
- 5 So people who are not participating are
- 6 immediately visible. The pastors typically say, "Please
- 7 join me in prayer." They offer the prayer on behalf of
- 8 everyone there. They talk about "our Christian faith."
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: This is coercion? He
- 10 says -- you know, he says, "May we pray," and somebody
- 11 doesn't want to pray, so he stays seated.
- 12 MR. LAYCOCK: What -- what's coercive about
- 13 it is it is impossible not to participate without
- 14 attracting attention to yourself, and moments later, you
- 15 stand up to ask for a group home for your Down Syndrome
- 16 child or for continued use of the public access channel,
- 17 or whatever your petition is, having just, so far as you
- 18 can tell, irritated the people that you were trying to
- 19 persuade.
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: Let me give you an example
- 21 of a practice that's a little bit different. Maybe
- 22 you'll say it's a lot different from what the Town of
- 23 Greece does.
- 24 First of all, this town starts out by
- 25 making -- by proceeding in a more systematic and

- 1 comprehensive way in recruiting chaplains for the month
- 2 or whatever it is. So, instead of just looking to all
- 3 the houses of worship within the town, it identifies
- 4 places of worship that may be outside the town
- 5 boundaries that people within the town who adhere to a
- 6 minority religion may attend.
- 7 And it makes it clear that it's open to
- 8 chaplains of any religious -- of any religion on a
- 9 rotating basis. And then they have -- they structure
- 10 their proceedings so that you have the prayer, and then
- 11 the legislative part of the town meeting.
- 12 And then there's a clear separation in time
- 13 and access between that part of the proceeding and the
- 14 hearing where variances and things of that nature are
- 15 held.
- Now, you would still say that's
- 17 unconstitutional because you have to add on that a
- 18 prayer that is acceptable to everybody; is that it? Is
- 19 there any other problem with what I've just outlined?
- 20 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, if the separation in
- 21 time really works, that's part of the remedy that we've
- 22 suggested is possible here. We still believe that
- 23 prayers should be nonsectarian.
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: On the remedy, this case
- 25 was remanded by the Second Circuit for the parties,

- 1 together with the court, to work out appropriate relief.
- 2 And if you could tell us what you think that relief
- 3 would be because, then, that is a measure of the
- 4 constitutional infraction.
- 5 So what would -- you put yourself before the
- 6 district judge and propose the changes that you think
- 7 would be necessary to bring this practice within the
- 8 constitutional boundary.
- 9 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, we think the town has to
- 10 have a policy.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, just to be
- 12 clear, are you talking about what would be satisfactory
- 13 to the Second Circuit or satisfactory to you? Because
- 14 you don't accept the Second Circuit's approach.
- 15 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, we've tried to sort out
- 16 the totality of the circumstances to -- to make it
- 17 clearer --
- 18 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What my question was --
- 19 MR. LAYCOCK: I'm talking about what would
- 20 be --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- your theory, and you
- 22 say existing situation violates the Constitution. So
- 23 what changes do you think would need to be made --
- MR. LAYCOCK: We think --
- 25 JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- that would bring this

- 1 within the constitutional boundary?
- 2 MR. LAYCOCK: We think the town needs a
- 3 policy. The policy should give guidelines to chaplains
- 4 that say, stay away from points on which believers are
- 5 known to disagree. And we think the town should do what
- 6 it can to ameliorate coercion. It should tell the
- 7 clergy, don't ask people to physically participate.
- 8 That's the most important thing.
- 9 The government suggests disclaimers might help.
- 10 We think that's right. The government suggests
- 11 separating the prayer a bit more in time. Some States
- 12 put their prayer before the call to order. The prayer
- 13 could even be five minutes before the beginning of the
- 14 meeting.
- The coercion can't be entirely eliminated,
- 16 but the gratuitous coercion, the things that are done
- 17 that don't have to be done in order to have a prayer,
- 18 could be eliminated. And we think those two pieces are
- 19 the components of a remedy.
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Mr. Laycock, it seems to me
- 21 that what you're missing here is -- and this is what
- 22 distinguishes legislative prayer from other kinds -- the
- 23 people who are on the town board or the representatives
- 24 who are in Congress, they're citizens. They are there
- 25 as citizens.

- 1 The judges here are not -- we're not here as
- 2 citizens. And as citizens, they bring, they bring to
- 3 their job all of -- all of the predispositions that
- 4 citizens have.
- 5 And these people perhaps invoke the deity at
- 6 meals. They should not be able to invoke it before they
- 7 undertake a serious governmental task such as enacting
- 8 laws or ordinances?
- 9 There -- there is a serious religious
- 10 interest on the other side of this thing that -- that --
- 11 that people who have religious beliefs ought to be able
- 12 to invoke the deity when they are acting as citizens,
- 13 and not -- not as judges or as experts in -- in the
- 14 executive branch.
- 15 And it seems to me that, when they do that,
- 16 so long as all groups are allowed to be in, there seems
- 17 to me -- it seems to me an imposition upon them to -- to
- 18 stifle the manner in which they -- they invoke their
- 19 deity.
- 20 MR. LAYCOCK: We haven't said they can't
- 21 invoke the deity or have a prayer, and they can
- 22 certainly pray any way they want silently or just before
- 23 the meeting.
- We've said they cannot impose sectarian
- 25 prayer on the citizenry, and that is very different from

- 1 what Congress does, it is very different from what this
- 2 Court does. Maybe the closest analogy is legislative
- 3 committee hearings where the citizens interact. We
- 4 don't have a tradition of prayer there.
- 5 What -- what -- what the town board is doing
- 6 here is very different from anything in the tradition
- 7 that they appeal to.
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: Are you -- I would like you
- 9 to take into account an aspect of this. I mean, in my
- 10 own opinion, I don't know of anyone else's, I'm not
- 11 talking for others. But one -- a major purpose of the
- 12 religion clauses is to allow people in this country of
- 13 different religion, including those of no religion, to
- 14 live harmoniously together.
- Now, given that basic purpose, what do we do
- 16 about the problem of prayer in these kinds of
- 17 legislative sessions? One possibility is say, you just
- 18 can't do it, it's secular. But that is not our
- 19 tradition.
- 20 MR. LAYCOCK: That's correct.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. The second
- 22 possibility is the one that you are advocating. And it
- 23 has much to recommend it, try to keep
- 24 non-denominational, try to make it as inoffensive to the
- others as possible. That's the upside.

- 1 The downside is seeing supervised by a
- 2 judge, dozens of groups, and today, there are 60 or 70
- 3 groups of different religions coming in and saying, no,
- 4 that doesn't work for us, this doesn't work for us, and
- 5 that's the nightmare that they are afraid of.
- I mean, even in this town or in the area,
- 7 there are significant numbers, as well as Christians, of
- 8 Jews, of Muslims, of Baha'is, of Hindus, and others.
- 9 All right. So there's a third approach, and
- 10 that is say, well, you can't have them if there's any
- 11 aspect of coercion. But we just saw people walking into
- 12 this room, "God save the United States," and you want to
- 13 win your case. I didn't see people sitting down.
- 14 All right. Then the fourth approach, which
- is the other that has -- makes its appearance here, is
- 16 to say let's try to be inclusive. Now, was enough -- in
- 17 other words, so you didn't get the right prayer today,
- 18 but you -- and even with the nonreligious, you know many
- 19 believe in the better angels of our nature and the
- 20 spiritual side of humankind; it's not impossible to
- 21 appeal to them. So you say, you'll have your chance.
- 22 And that's the thing I -- I would like you
- 23 to explore. I mean, is there a way of doing that, or is
- that preferable to the other ways, or do we get into
- 25 trouble?

- 1 MR. LAYCOCK: We think that rotation does
- 2 not work. First of all, because -- for several reasons,
- 3 but most citizens come for a single issue to one or two
- 4 meetings. They get the prayer they get that night.
- 5 They don't benefit from the rotation scheme.
- 6 Any rotation scheme will be dominated by the
- 7 local majority, maybe even disproportionate to its
- 8 numbers. Religious minorities when unfamiliar minorities
- 9 give the prayer, there are often political protests;
- 10 there are often threats and hate mail. They don't want
- 11 to give the prayer. And many city councils won't stand
- 12 up to the political pressure and enable those people to
- 13 give the prayer. So there are multiple reasons why
- 14 rotation does not solve the problem here.
- We think nonsectarianism has a very long
- 16 tradition. The government is not a competent judge of
- 17 religious truth, Madison said, that was not a
- 18 controversial proposition in the founding.
- 19 And even in the first Congress, in the
- 20 prayers they point to, there were no prayers there that
- 21 violate our principle, invoking details in which
- 22 believers disagreed because, then, 98-1/2 percent of the
- 23 population was Protestant, Christ was not yet a point
- 24 on which believers disagreed.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, that gets exactly to

- 1 the -- that gets exactly to the problem with your
- 2 argument about nonsectarian prayer. Yes, when -- at the
- 3 beginning of the country, the population was
- 4 98 percent-plus Protestant. Then it became
- 5 predominantly Christian. Then it became predominant --
- 6 almost exclusively Christian and Jewish.
- 7 And it -- but now, it's not that -- it's --
- 8 it's gone much further than that. So we have a very
- 9 religiously diverse country. There are a lot of
- 10 Muslims, there are a lot of Hindus, there are Buddhists,
- 11 there are Baha'is, there are all sorts of other
- 12 adherents to all sorts of other religions.
- 13 And they all should be treated equally,
- 14 and -- but I don't -- I just don't see how it is
- 15 possible to compose anything that you could call a
- 16 prayer that is acceptable to all of these groups.
- 17 MR. LAYCOCK: We --
- 18 JUSTICE ALITO: And you haven't given me an
- 19 example.
- 20 MR. LAYCOCK: We -- we cannot treat -- I'm
- 21 not a pastor -- we cannot treat everybody -- literally
- 22 everybody equally without eliminating prayer altogether.
- 23 We can treat the great majority of the people equally
- 24 with the tradition of prayer to the almighty, the
- 25 governor of the universe, the creator of the world --

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: You want to pick the groups
- 2 we're going to exclude?
- 3 MR. LAYCOCK: I think you picked them, Your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: The Baha'i, who else?
- 6 These -- these groups are too small to --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We've already
- 8 excluded the atheists, right?
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: Yeah, the atheists are out
- 10 already. Yes.
- 11 MR. LAYCOCK: We've excluded the atheists.
- 12 I don't think the Baha'i are excluded by nonsectarianism.
- 13
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Okay. So who else? I
- 15 mean, you suggest -- you say just the vast majority is
- 16 all that we have to cater to.
- 17 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, I -- I think the -- the
- 18 atheists are inevitably excluded. We can't help --
- 19 JUSTICE SCALIA: Okay. Good. Got that.
- Number 1, atheists. Who else?
- 21 MR. LAYCOCK: True -- true polytheists who
- 22 don't understand their gods as manifestations of the one
- 23 god are probably excluded. I'm not sure many others
- 24 are.
- 25 And you have all these lawyerly

- 1 hypotheticals, but the fact is we've done this kind of
- 2 prayer in this country for 200 years. There's a long
- 3 tradition of civic prayer, and the clergy know how to do
- 4 it. But in Greece, no one has told them that's what we
- 5 want you to do.
- 6 And -- and I would say the one time the
- 7 country in a major way got involved in
- 8 government-sponsored, sectarian prayers that people
- 9 disagreed about was when we imposed Protestant religious
- 10 exercises on Catholic children in the 19th century. And
- 11 that produced mob violence, church burnings, and people
- 12 dead in the streets.
- 13 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. --
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We've already
- 15 separated out, I thought, in our jurisprudence, children
- 16 and adults.
- 17 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, Lee v. Weisman twice
- 18 reserves the question of whether adults might be subject
- 19 to similar pressures.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, you do accept
- 21 the fact that children may be subject to subtle coercion
- in a way that adults are not, right?
- 23 MR. LAYCOCK: In some ways that adults are
- 24 not, but there's -- there's no doubt that before you
- 25 stand up to ask for relief from a governing body, you

- 1 don't want to offend that body. Adults are subject to
- 2 coercion here. And -- and no competent attorney would
- 3 tell his client, it doesn't matter whether you visibly
- 4 dissent from the prayer or not. You try to have your
- 5 client make a good impression.
- 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, I just want to make
- 7 sure what your position -- your position is that town
- 8 councils like Greece can have prayers if they are
- 9 non-provocative, modest, decent, quiet,
- 10 non-proselytizing. That's your position?
- 11 MR. LAYCOCK: I wouldn't use all those
- 12 adjectives, but, yes. And -- and we don't think that's
- 13 difficult to do.
- 14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, Congress has a set of
- 16 guidelines which you've read and are here in the papers
- 17 and so forth. Are those satisfactory to you?
- 18 MR. LAYCOCK: We'd like to be a little more
- 19 explicit, but those are vastly better than --
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: If those are satisfactory
- 21 to you, then I wonder, are they satisfactory to
- 22 everyone? And -- and you will find all kinds of
- 23 different beliefs and thoughts in this country, and
- 24 there will be people who say, but I cannot give such a
- 25 prayer if I am a priest in that particular -- or a

- 1 minister or whatever in that particular religion. I
- 2 must refer to the God -- to God as I know that God by
- 3 name. And what do we do with them?
- 4 That's what -- I mean, we can recommend it,
- 5 but can we say that the Constitution of the United
- 6 States requires it?
- 7 MR. LAYCOCK: You know, there are such
- 8 people, and I respect that, and they should not be
- 9 giving government prayers. They're taking on a
- 10 government function when they agree to give the
- 11 invocation for the town board.
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Laycock --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, that's -- that's --
- 14 that's really part of the issue, whether they're
- 15 undertaking a government function or whether they're
- 16 acting as citizens in a legislative body, representative
- 17 of the people who bring -- who bring to that their --
- 18 their own personal beliefs.
- I think the average person who -- who -- who
- 20 participates in a legislative prayer does not think that
- 21 this is a governmental function. It's a personal
- 22 function. And -- and that's why we separate out the
- 23 legislative prayer from other kinds of prayers.
- 24 MR. LAYCOCK: They're -- they're not praying
- 25 for their congregation. They are -- they are invited by

- 1 the board, the prayer-giver is selected by the board,
- 2 the board decides to have the prayer, the board gives
- 3 this one person and only one person time on the agenda
- 4 to pray. This is clearly governmental as you held in
- 5 Santa Fe --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: If you had an atheist
- 7 board, you would not have any prayer.
- 8 MR. LAYCOCK: Precisely.
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: I guarantee you because it
- 10 is a personal prayer that the members of the legislature
- 11 desire to make.
- 12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, assuming that
- 13 we don't --
- 14 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Laycock, would you --
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice Sotomayor.
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Assuming -- you hear the
- 17 resistance of some members of the Court to sitting as
- 18 arbiters of what's sectarian and nonsectarian, and I
- 19 join some skepticism as to knowing exactly where to join
- 20 that line.
- 21 Assuming you accept that, what would be the
- 22 test that you would proffer, taking out your preferred
- 23 announcement that this prayer has to be nonsectarian?
- 24 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, the test that we have
- 25 proffered is the test from the McCreary dissent, points

- 1 on which believers are known to disagree, so you don't
- 2 have to be a theologian. Points on which people are
- 3 commonly known to disagree, and the Fourth Circuit has
- 4 had no difficulty administering this rule. The cases
- 5 that come to it are clearly sectarian or clearly
- 6 nonsectarian --
- 7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: It just seems to me that
- 8 enforcing that standard and the standard I suggested
- 9 involves the State very heavily in the censorship and --
- 10 and the approval or disapproval of prayers.
- 11 MR. LAYCOCK: But it's not censorship when
- 12 it's the governmental --
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That may play, ultimately,
- 14 in your position if we say that that's why there
- 15 shouldn't be any prayer at all. But then you have the
- 16 problem mentioned by Justice Scalia that we are
- 17 misrepresenting who we really are.
- 18 MR. LAYCOCK: If you really believe
- 19 government can't draw lines here, then your alternatives
- 20 are either prohibit the prayer entirely or permit
- 21 absolutely anything, including the prayer at the end of
- 22 our brief, where they ask for a show of hands, how many
- 23 of you believe in prayer? How many of you feel
- 24 personally in need of prayer? If there are no limits,
- 25 you can't draw lines.

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: That's not a prayer.
- 2 That's not a prayer.
- 3 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, it was how --
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: "How many of you have been
- 5 saved?" That's not a prayer.
- 6 MR. LAYCOCK: It was how he introduced his
- 7 prayer, and if you can't draw lines, I don't know why he
- 8 can't say that.
- 9 JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Laycock, sort of, all
- 10 hypotheticals aside, isn't the question mostly here, in
- 11 most communities, whether the kind of language that I
- 12 began with, which refers repeatedly to Jesus Christ,
- 13 which is language that is accepted and admired and
- incredibly important to the majority members of a
- 15 community, but is not accepted by a minority, whether
- 16 that language will be allowed in a public town session
- 17 like this one.
- 18 That's really the question, isn't it?
- 19 MR. LAYCOCK: That's the issue that actually
- 20 arises in the case.
- 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: That's the issue that
- 22 actually arises. Here's what -- I don't think that this
- 23 is an easy question. I think it's hard, because of
- 24 this: I think it's hard because the Court lays down
- 25 these rules, and everybody thinks that the Court is

- 1 being hostile to religion, and people get unhappy and
- 2 angry and agitated in various kinds of ways. This goes
- 3 back to what Justice Breyer suggested.
- 4 Part of what we are trying to do here is to
- 5 maintain a multi-religious society in a peaceful and
- 6 harmonious way. And every time the Court gets involved
- 7 in things like this, it seems to make the problem worse
- 8 rather than better. What do you think?
- 9 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, I don't -- I don't think
- 10 that's true. I mean, there are people who distort your
- 11 decisions. There are people who misunderstand your
- 12 decisions honestly and -- and innocently. But keeping
- 13 government neutral as between religions has not been a
- 14 controversial proposition in this Court. And I don't
- 15 think the Fourth Circuit has made it worse.
- They've got a workable rule, and the prayers
- 17 are no longer exclusively Christian prayers in the
- 18 Fourth Circuit, and they have been able to mostly
- 19 enforce that, and there hasn't been litigation at the
- 20 margins because all the prayers were clearly --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Suppose you did this: You
- 22 combined your two approaches. The town has to -- it
- 23 cannot -- it must make a good-faith effort to appeal to
- 24 other religions who are in that area. And then you have
- 25 these words from the House, the chaplain should keep in

- 1 mind that the House of Representatives -- or you would
- 2 say whatever relevant group -- is comprised of members
- 3 of many different faith traditions, period, end of
- 4 matter.
- Is that sufficient, those two things?
- 6 MR. LAYCOCK: That would help immensely. We
- 7 think some of the clergy need more detailed explanation
- 8 of what that means, but, yes, that would help immensely.
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Should we write that in a
- 10 concurring opinion?
- 11 (Laughter.)
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I mean, I'm serious about
- 13 this. This -- this involves government very heavily in
- 14 religion.
- 15 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, government became very
- 16 heavily involved in religion when we decided there could
- 17 be prayers to open legislative sessions. Marsh is the
- 18 source of government involvement in religion. And, now,
- 19 the question is how to manage the problems that arise
- 20 from that.
- 21 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, Marsh is not the
- 22 source of the government involvement religion in this
- 23 respect. The first Congress is the source.
- 24 MR. LAYCOCK: Fair enough. Fair enough.
- 25 The tradition to which Marsh points.

- 1 JUSTICE ALITO: The first Congress that also
- 2 adopted the First Amendment.
- 3 MR. LAYCOCK: That -- that's correct, and
- 4 that had prayers that did not address predestination or
- 5 having to accept Jesus as your savior or any other point
- 6 on which listeners disagree.
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: Many of them -- many of them
- 8 were very explicitly Christian, were they not?
- 9 MR. LAYCOCK: They were very explicitly
- 10 Christian, but that was not a point of disagreement at
- 11 the time. They stayed away from any -- any issue that
- 12 Protestants disagreed on.
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: In a way, it sounds quite
- 14 elitist to say, well, now, we can do this in Washington
- and Sacramento and Austin, Texas, but you people up
- 16 there in Greece can't do that.
- MR. LAYCOCK: Well, it's not that the people
- 18 in Greece can't do it. It's just that this board is
- 19 functioning in a fundamentally different way from what
- 20 Congress or the State legislature functions. And
- 21 also --
- 22 JUSTICE ALITO: My -- my understanding is
- 23 that the first chaplain of the Senate was the Episcopal
- 24 bishop of New York; isn't that correct? And he used to
- 25 read -- he took his prayers from the Book of Common

- 1 Prayer. That was acceptable to Baptists at the time,
- 2 Quakers?
- 3 MR. LAYCOCK: Well, it wouldn't have been
- 4 their choice. But did he talk about the choice between
- 5 bishops and presbyteries and congregations as a way of
- 6 governing the church? They have not offered a single
- 7 example of a prayer in the founding era that addressed
- 8 points on which Protestants were known to disagree.
- 9 And I don't think there is one. The
- 10 founding generation kept government out of religious
- 11 disagreements. And what has changed is not the
- 12 principle. What has changed is that we have a wider
- 13 range of religious disagreements today.
- 14 If there are no further questions, we ask
- 15 you to affirm.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 17 Mr. Laycock.
- Mr. Hungar, you have 3 minutes remaining.
- 19 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF THOMAS G. HUNGAR
- 20 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 21 MR. HUNGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 22 First, I would like to correct one factual
- 23 misimpression -- the assertion that only non-Christian
- 24 prayer-givers delivered the prayer after 2008. It's not
- in the record, but the official web site of the Town of

- 1 Greece shows that at least four non-Christian
- 2 prayer-givers delivered prayers thereafter in 2009, '10,
- 3 '11, and '13.
- 4 On the sectarian points, clearly, the
- 5 line --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel --
- 7 MR. HUNGAR: I'm sorry?
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: One a year?
- 9 MR. HUNGAR: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Four additional people
- 11 after the suit was filed?
- MR. HUNGAR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: One a year?
- MR. HUNGAR: Approximately.
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How often does the
- 16 legislature meet?
- 17 MR. HUNGAR: Once a month.
- 18 And on the sectarian line, I'd just like to
- 19 point the Court to the Senate brief -- the amicus brief
- 20 filed by Senators, pages 8 to 17, which shows the
- 21 extensive history from the beginning of the Republic
- 22 until today of prayer in Congress. That would be
- 23 sectarian and unconstitutional under Respondents'
- 24 position.
- With respect to coercion, it's

- 1 unquestionably true that there is less -- less basis for
- 2 claiming coercion here than there was in Marsh.
- 3 In Marsh, Senator Chambers was required to
- 4 be on the Senate floor by rule, he had to be there to do
- 5 his job, and the practice was to stand every single
- 6 time, which he did because he felt coerced to do it;
- 7 whereas, here, the record suggests that there were three
- 8 times when somebody requested people to stand out of 121
- 9 occasions. The idea that this is more coercive than
- 10 Marsh is absurd.
- In Marsh, the Court expressly rejected a
- 12 coercion argument saying, "We expect adults to be able
- 13 to deal with this."
- And, with respect to the history, as well, I
- 15 think the debate in the Continental Congress, when this
- 16 issue was first raised, shows what the American
- 17 tradition has been; that is, Americans are not bigots,
- 18 and we can stand to hear a prayer delivered in a
- 19 legislative forum by someone whose views we do not agree
- 20 with. That is the tradition in this country, and that is
- 21 why it doesn't violate the Establishment Clause.
- 22 And, finally, with respect to the fact that
- 23 this is a municipality, rather than a state or local --
- 24 or Federal government, that can't possibly make a
- 25 difference as an Establishment Clause matter.

1	It makes no sense to suggest that the
2	that a prayer at the local level is more dangerous for
3	Establishment Clause purposes than what Congress is
4	doing. Only Congress could establish a religion for the
5	entire nation, which is the core preventative purpose of
6	the Establishment Clause. To suggest that there are
7	greater restrictions on municipalities makes no sense at
8	all.
9	We think that the dangerously overbroad
10	theories advanced by Respondents are at odds with our
11	history and traditions, which we reflect this tradition
12	of tolerance for religious views that we don't agree
13	with in the legislative context.
14	Respondents' theories also conflict with the
15	religion clauses mandate, that it's not the business of
16	government to be regulating the content of of prayer
17	and and regulating theological orthodoxy.
18	Thank you.
19	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
20	The case is submitted.
21	(Whereupon, at 11:04 a.m., the case in the
22	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
23	
24	
25	

	adults 24:15 48:16	59:17	area 17:1 44:6	haakduan 25:10
<u>A</u>	48:18,22,23 49:1	amicus 1:19 2:7	54:24	backdrop 25:19 bad 36:18
aberration 8:15,17	59:12	14:4 20:2 58:19	argue 7:16 21:9	bahai 6:17 32:16
able 11:1 42:6,11	advance 28:3,8,16		<u> </u>	47:5,12
54:18 59:12		analogs 27:12	argument 1:12 2:2	bahais 44:8 46:11
abolished 30:9	28:18,21,24 29:6	analogy 43:2	2:5,9,12 3:3,7	
aboveentitled 1:11	29:9,13,16 35:7	analysis 10:4 12:2	11:5 15:5,7 20:1 27:5 29:23 31:25	baptists 57:1
60:22	advanced 60:10	angels 44:19		barrier 3:15
absence 8:11	advancement 26:22	angry 54:2 announcement 9:4	46:2 57:19 59:12	basic 43:15
absolutely 52:21	advances 27:25	51:23	arises 53:20,22 artifacts 11:7	basis 39:9 59:1 becket 14:4
absurd 59:10	advancing 15:11			
accept 10:14 40:14	advocating 43:22	answer 12:23 19:19	aside 53:10	began 3:19 53:12
48:20 51:21 56:5	affirm 57:15	30:2	asked 3:23 8:5 25:6	beginning 7:9,13
acceptable 32:14	afraid 44:5	answered 7:24	37:19,20,21,25	9:4 21:24 25:21
33:6,9,18 34:17	agenda 51:3	ante 34:7	38:3	41:13 46:3 58:21
34:19 39:18 46:16	agitated 54:2	anyones 17:9	asking 19:18 29:3	begins 15:7
57:1	agree 8:6 20:21	appeal 43:7 44:21	31:21,21	behalf 1:15,21 2:4
accepted 53:13,15	21:15 24:2,4,22	54:23	aspect 27:2 43:9 44:11	2:11,14 3:8 29:24 38:7 57:20
access 16:16,21	25:17 50:10 59:19	appeals 3:11 23:7		
38:16 39:13	60:12	appear 17:3 30:5,9	aspects 37:18	beliefs 42:11 49:23
account 43:9	agreement 37:10	35:24	assembly 28:1,2	50:18
acknowledge 3:25	akin 26:21	appearance 44:15	assert 16:2	believe 6:8 9:6
acknowledged	al 1:6	appearances 1:14	assertion 57:23	13:17 21:12 25:8
27:19	alito 15:19 16:7,11	appeared 15:21	assume 5:23 37:1	33:20,20 34:3
acting 42:12 50:16	16:17 30:13,16,20	appearing 29:6	assuming 51:12,16	39:22 44:19 52:18
action 31:9,9,22	30:23 31:4,10,24	appears 31:18	51:21	52:23
add 39:17	32:4,12 33:3,10	appendix 23:7	atheist 51:6	believers 32:24
addition 5:16	33:14,16 34:16	33:11 34:11	atheists 32:17,18	41:4 45:22,24
additional 58:10	38:20 45:25 46:18	applicants 16:4	32:19,22 33:4	52:1
address 22:20	55:21 56:1,7,22	application 22:16	34:21,21 47:8,9	believes 25:7 33:17
32:11 56:4	allah 21:21	27:7	47:11,18,20	belittle 28:13
addressed 57:7	allegheny 15:13	applications 13:24	attempts 6:16	belongs 25:8
adhere 39:5	alliteration 13:5 allow 43:12	23:4,4	attend 5:20 39:6	benefit 45:5
adherents 46:12		applies 5:12	attention 38:14 attorney 49:2	better 44:19 49:19 54:8
adjectives 49:12	allowed 42:16 53:16	apply 4:22 12:3,4	•	
adjudicate 23:9		approach 18:2 20:9 24:23 40:14 44:9	attracting 38:14	bigots 59:17
adjudicated 23:4	almighty 33:12,14		audience 36:12	bills 14:11
administering 52:4	34:3 46:24	44:14	august 34:11	bishop 56:24
administrative	alternatives 52:19	approaches 54:22	austin 56:15	bishops 57:5
13:22 31:22,23	altogether 46:22	approaching 25:18	average 50:19	bit 38:21 41:11
admired 53:13	ameliorate 41:6	appropriate 13:18	avoid 10:22 20:16	blessed 4:2
adopt 11:14,23	amen 4:5	40:1	award 16:3,21	block 31:20
27:22 29:1	amendment 8:7	approval 35:7	aware 5:11	board 7:7,8,8,15
adopted 12:16 27:8	12:12,16 56:2	52:10	B	14:17 23:9,17
56:2	american 25:1	approximately	back 11:19,20	25:4 30:5,25 31:8
adopting 37:14	32:25 33:1 59:16	58:14	12:11 36:3 54:3	31:9,22 36:20
adult 11:1	americans 25:13	arbiters 51:18	12.11 30.3 37.3	41:23 43:5 50:11
	l			

51:1,1,2,2,7 56:18	41:15 42:20 43:18	37:13,21 40:11	39:7,12 40:12	49:2
boards 36:13	44:10 47:18 52:19	47:7 48:14,20	clearer 40:17	components 41:19
bodies 14:23,23	52:25 53:7,8	51:15 57:16,21	clearly 4:19 6:22	compose 46:15
35:22	56:16,18 59:24	60:19	9:8 12:1 15:18	comprehensive
body 5:12,17 7:6	carry 11:5	child 30:10 38:16	31:11 51:4 52:5,5	39:1
13:21 14:1,24	case 3:4,12 4:6,9,10	children 16:3 27:14	54:20 58:4	comprised 55:2
18:3 22:15 25:19	4:21 6:8,9 7:9,12	27:18 48:10,15,21	clergy 35:8,11,12	conceded 8:8
26:6,17 31:11,11	9:17,18,19 10:1	choice 57:4,4	35:15,19,24 36:10	concern 15:14
48:25 49:1 50:16	10:18 13:12 15:13	christ 4:1 21:10	36:15 41:7 48:3	23:11
book 56:25	18:12 19:12,14	45:23 53:12	55:7	concerned 30:8
boundaries 39:5	23:19 25:2 27:4,4	christian 17:23	client 49:3,5	concerns 17:9
boundary 40:8	39:24 44:13 53:20	20:9 24:25 38:8	clients 30:7	concurring 55:10
41:1	60:20,21	46:5,6 54:17 56:8	closer 5:10 9:16	conduct 15:14
bow 3:24 38:1,2,3	cases 13:10 14:16	56:10	closest 25:4 43:2	conducted 4:14
branch 42:14	52:4	christians 17:2	coerced 10:21	confident 12:9
branches 7:10	categories 16:6	25:14 32:14 44:7	22:17 59:6	confirmation 5:3
breyer 16:23 17:7	cater 47:16	christs 34:12	coerces 36:18,18,19	conflict 60:14
17:20 18:5,11,20	catholic 48:10	church 48:11 57:6	coercion 15:14	confused 26:11
19:18 26:20 43:8	censorship 52:9,11	circuit 16:25 28:11	22:20 23:23 24:2	congregation 50:25
43:21 49:15,20	century 48:10	39:25 40:13 52:3	24:5 27:6,11,21	congregations 57:5
54:3,21	certain 26:8	54:15,18	36:22,24 37:1,9	congress 12:15
brief 7:5 14:4 15:9	certainly 17:6,8,17	circuits 20:6 40:14	37:14 38:9 41:6	14:2,10 15:1
26:5,7 27:17	18:7 42:22	circumstances	41:15,16 44:11	25:22,23 26:13
28:20 52:22 58:19	chairman 22:15	40:16	48:21 49:2 58:25	31:13 41:24 43:1
58:19	challenges 26:22	citizen 25:3 31:18	59:2,12	45:19 49:15 55:23
bring 40:7,25 42:2	chambers 10:19	citizenry 42:25	coercive 7:17 37:15	56:1,20 58:22
42:2 50:17,17	59:3	citizens 24:21	37:17 38:12 59:9	59:15 60:3,4
bringing 22:16	chance 36:6 44:21	37:18 38:2,3	combined 54:22	congressional 5:2
broad 37:10	changed 57:11,12	41:24,25 42:2,2,4	come 14:17 18:19	5:10 12:17,18
broadly 34:19	changes 40:6,23	42:12 43:3 45:3	36:3 45:3 52:5	21:18
brought 26:5,23	channel 16:16,21	50:16	comes 32:5	consistent 13:13
buddhists 32:14	30:7,8 38:16	city 11:21 35:11	coming 7:20 44:3	15:11
46:10	chaplain 6:6,10	45:11	commits 31:20	constitution 24:20
burnings 48:11	9:22 12:17 25:25	citys 35:13	committed 3:14	40:22 50:5
business 60:15	25:25 28:1 29:5	civic 35:10 48:3	committee 5:6,25	constitutional 9:17
	29:17,17 54:25	civil 33:1	43:3	9:18,19,20 11:3
c 1:8,15,18 2:1 3:1	56:23	claim 16:5	committees 5:13	12:24,25 13:2,11
cable 16:16,21 30:7	chaplains 12:18	claiming 59:2	common 56:25	13:13,16,20 17:18
30:8	32:10 39:1,8 41:3	clause 8:22 12:2	commonly 52:3	17:21 20:20 22:3
california 28:2	charlottesville 1:20	15:10,14 36:23,24	communities 53:11	40:4,8 41:1
call 7:17 41:12	checks 26:8	36:25 37:1,2,6,7	community 6:19	constitutionally
46:15	chief 3:3,9,19 4:6	37:10,12 59:21,25	18:4 25:3 53:15	9:23 10:16 18:8
called 3:20 4:6 23:2	10:2,3 11:4,11 19:23 20:4 21:23	60:3,6 clauses 13:14 43:12	comparable 8:12	content 9:25 28:10
candid 26:6 29:4	29:21,25 32:17	60:15	compelled 5:19 9:1 10:17	29:18 36:8,9 60:16
cant 20:23 21:9	34:6,25 35:3,18	clear 11:16 12:19	competent 45:16	context 4:23 5:1
20.25 21.5	34.0,23 33.3,10	CICAI 11.10 12.19	competent 45.10	CONTEXT 4.23 3.1
			1	I

				-
8:10,11 21:23	20:7,16	deputy 1:17 18:17	51:25	<u> </u>
32:23 33:1 60:13	creator 33:13 46:25	described 9:14	dissenters 32:23	e 2:1 3:1,1
contexts 4:23	crimes 31:20	desire 51:11	distinction 4:16 8:9	easier 6:8 27:4
continental 25:22	criteria 26:24	detailed 55:7	8:20 10:13	easily 7:21
59:15	cross 4:1,6 11:21	details 45:21	distinguishable	easy 26:10 53:23
continued 38:16	11:24	determination 34:7	9:24	editing 36:8,9
controversial 45:18	curiae 1:19 2:7	34:8 35:9	distinguishes 41:22	effect 7:25
54:14	20:2	determinations	distinguishing 5:15	effort 17:22 18:13
convenes 13:23		35:4	distort 54:10	18:22 54:23
conversation 36:6	<u> </u>	determine 20:7,23	district 21:20 40:6	either 17:3 25:24
conversion 28:12	d 1:8,15,18 3:1	21:3	diverse 46:9	52:20
core 15:13 60:5	58:18	determining 21:5	divine 22:23	element 27:6
corner 31:19	damnation 20:24	devil 33:24 34:2,3	doctrine 4:11 13:18	elements 27:10,20
correct 4:18 6:7,23	28:13	didnt 44:13,17	24:16	eliminated 41:15
9:7 43:20 56:3,24	damning 20:19	difference 6:4 10:4	doesnt 4:9 7:6	41:18
57:22	danger 12:9	10:7 25:18 31:12	11:16,22 32:9	eliminating 46:22
correctly 3:11	dangerous 60:2	59:25	38:11 44:4,4 49:3	elitist 56:14
couldnt 15:2	dangerously 60:9	differences 21:7	59:21	elses 43:10
council 15:23 35:5	day 5:25 6:1	22:25 23:25	doing 10:24 12:10	enable 45:12
35:19	dead 48:12	different 5:13,20	16:24 17:18,22	enacting 42:7
councils 22:21	deal 59:13	8:24 9:14 10:17	18:14 43:5 44:23	endorsement 3:15
45:11 49:8	dealings 10:24	17:12 18:20,21	60:4	endorsements
counsel 19:24	debate 59:15	21:4 23:19 25:20	dominated 45:6	37:11
29:21 51:12 58:6	debates 21:6,16,19	38:21,22 42:25	don 10:2	ends 15:7 34:12
60:19	decent 49:9	43:1,6,13 44:3	dont 4:8 7:22 9:6	enforce 54:19
counselor 21:9	decided 55:16	49:23 55:3 56:19	10:15 12:22 14:19	enforcing 52:8
count 34:13	decides 51:2	differently 11:12	16:5 17:16 18:7	engrafting 3:14
country 4:12 24:19	decision 16:21 20:6	difficult 49:13	18:15 19:11 21:12	entertained 14:11
43:12 46:3,9 48:2	decisions 54:11,12	difficulty 52:4	22:2,7,7,10 23:21	entertains 13:23
48:7 49:23 59:20	define 12:20 36:16	direct 31:15,17	24:4 27:2 29:8,14	entire 60:5
county 15:12	degrees 37:18	disagree 11:1 32:25	40:14 41:7,17	entirely 30:3 41:15
course 5:11 14:6,7	deity 26:1 42:5,12	41:5 52:1,3 56:6	43:4,10 45:5,10	52:20
27:14,23 36:10	42:19,21	57:8	46:14,14 47:12,22	entity 16:21
court 1:1,12 3:10	delegate 36:15 deliver 28:2	disagreed 45:22,24	49:1,12 51:13	entrance 26:9
3:11,14,19 4:4 7:7	delivered 19:15	48:9 56:12	52:1 53:7,22 54:9	environment 37:15
8:6 9:5 10:26	57:24 58:2 59:18	disagreement	54:9,14 57:9	episcopal 56:23
12:13 14:17,25		16:20 17:8 56:10	60:12	equal 24:21
16:25 20:5 21:20	democracy 31:15 31:18	disagreements	doubt 48:24	equally 13:16 15:2
21:20 23:7 24:11	denigrate 28:8,18	57:11,13	douglas 1:20 2:10	15:10 46:13,22,23
24:13 27:21 28:6	28:24	disagrees 11:2	29:23	equivalent 14:12
30:1 37:10 40:1	denomination 6:5	disapproval 52:10	downside 44:1	17:4 18:25 19:6
43:2 51:17 53:24	6:10 9:22 29:17	disclaimers 41:9	dozens 44:2	era 57:7
53:25 54:6,14	denominations 6:6	disparaging 7:3	draw 4:1 52:19,25	error 3:14
58:19 59:11	department 1:18	disproportionate	53:7	esq 1:15,17,20 2:3
courtroom 3:20 5:1	depend 4:23	45:7	drawn 14:22	2:6,10,13
courts 12:2 13:9	acpena 1.23	dissent 33:23 49:4		essence 15:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

4. 1.12.0		5612225722	11 17 22 21	54 12 55 12 15 10
essential 13:8	explanation 8:15	56:1,2,23 57:22	general 1:17 22:21	54:13 55:13,15,18
establish 13:15	55:7	59:16	generation 57:10	55:22 57:10 59:24
60:4	explicit 49:19	five 41:13	gershengorn 1:17	60:16
establishment 8:22	explicitly 32:24	flawed 20:10	2:6 19:25 20:1,4	governmental 42:7
12:1,8 15:10,14	56:8,9	flipping 34:9	20:21 21:2,13,15	50:21 51:4 52:12
15:15 36:25 37:1	explore 44:23	floor 27:15 59:4	22:1,7,10,19	governmentspon
37:6,9,12 59:21	expressing 16:20	following 3:18,25	23:15,21 24:4,7	36:10 48:8
59:25 60:3,6	expressly 59:11	forbid 8:23,23	24:18 25:16 26:4	governor 46:25
et 1:6	extensive 58:21	force 15:6	26:18 28:5,17,22	gratuitous 41:16
event 36:13	extent 26:23	forced 25:6	29:2,8,12	great 46:23
everybody 10:23	extreme 15:15	formalistic 30:3	ginsburg 4:15 6:11	greater 13:15,15
39:18 46:21,22		formalized 31:14	6:25 13:19 26:4	60:7
53:25	F	forth 49:17	39:24 40:18,21,25	greece 1:3 3:5 7:15
everybodys 37:24	faced 20:25	forum 16:8 23:2	give 5:6 32:12,13	14:14 17:10 38:23
evidence 16:1	facing 3:21	27:1 30:14,15	32:15 33:3,5,10	48:4 49:8 56:16
ex 34:7	fact 5:16 7:16	31:7 59:19	36:6 38:20 41:3	56:18 58:1
exact 4:25 5:8	10:10 12:8,13,14	foundations 4:12	45:9,11,13 49:24	ground 10:13
exactly 7:13 20:15	13:12 29:4 48:1	founding 4:13	50:10	group 30:10 38:15
37:13,14 45:25	48:21 59:22	13:14 14:6 45:18	given 14:8 20:13	55:2
46:1 51:19	factor 5:16	57:7,10	25:24 26:16 43:15	groups 33:7 42:16
example 21:17	factors 23:14	four 6:16 58:1,10	46:18	44:2,3 46:16 47:1
27:13 32:13,13,15	factual 57:22	fourth 44:14 52:3	gives 51:2	47:6
33:3,6,10 38:20	fair 29:4 55:24,24	54:15,18	giving 35:15 50:9	guarantee 51:9
46:19 57:7	faith 15:17 38:8	framing 12:12	go 11:19,20 18:4	guest 25:25 35:23
examples 14:5	55:3	free 36:23,24 37:2	28:2	guidance 19:9
34:10	familiar 6:20	37:7	god 9:5,6 11:8,14	22:23 24:9
exclude 33:5 47:2	family 31:19	front 3:20 5:5	33:17,20,21 44:12	guidelines 35:23
excluded 33:22	far 5:11 9:19 11:4	full 24:21 32:22	47:23 50:2,2,2	41:3 49:16
34:22 47:8,11,12	38:17	function 50:10,15	gods 33:21 47:22	guy 22:14
47:18,23	father 34:16,18	50:21,22	goes 12:11 54:2	
exclusion 15:17	fe 51:5	functioning 56:19	going 11:17,19,20	H
exclusively 6:15	federal 14:9 20:16	functions 13:22	15:18 18:3 23:18	h 1:17 2:6 20:1
12:20 46:6 54:17	22:24 59:24	14:13 31:23 56:20	25:3 28:2,3 34:6	hand 8:11 26:12
excuse 23:16	feel 17:23 22:17	fund 14:4	36:3 37:23 47:2	handle 20:17
executive 7:6 31:23	52:23	fundamental 15:13	good 26:15 33:4	hands 52:22
42:14	fellow 10:25	fundamentally	47:19 49:5	happened 18:9,17
exercise 36:23,24	felt 10:21,23 59:6	56:19	goodfaith 18:13	harbors 26:21
37:2,7	filed 58:11,20	further 9:20 46:8	54:23	hard 53:23,24
exercises 48:10	finally 59:22	57:14	governing 48:25	harmonious 54:6
existed 12:24	find 49:22	future 11:17	57:6	harmoniously
existing 40:22	first 3:4 8:4,7 11:13	iutuic 11.17	government 7:11	43:14
existing 40.22 exists 25:5	12:6,12,15,15	G	14:6 15:16 24:24	hasnt 54:19
exists 23.3 exit 26:10	14:4 20:11 24:10	g 1:15 2:3,13 3:1,7	24:24 25:5,13,19	hate 45:10
	24:11 25:22,23	57:19	36:8,12,14 41:9	havent 42:20 46:18
expect 59:12	32:9 36:6 38:24	galleries 27:18	41:10 45:16 50:9	heads 3:24 38:1,3
expected 11:1	45:2,19 55:23	galloway 1:6 3:5		hear 3:3 51:16
experts 42:13	10.2,17 00.20	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	50:10,15 52:19	1.001 3.3 31.10
	ı	ı	l	<u> </u>

50.19	hostile 54:1	immediately 25.6	50:25	indiciony 0.24 25
59:18 hearing 5:2,3,4	hostile 54:1 house 35:22 54:25	immediately 25:6 38:6	invites 20:15	judiciary 8:24,25 juniors 27:16
7:21 30:4,17,19	55:1	immensely 55:6,8	invocation 6:1 19:8	juniors 27.16 jurisprudence
30:21 31:2,3	houses 18:4 39:3	impact 13:15	30:5 50:11	48:15
39:14	humankind 44:20	imply 32:22	invocations 19:15	justice 1:18 3:3,9
hearings 7:17,19	hungar 1:15 2:3,13	importance 37:18	invoke 22:22 42:5,6	3:17,19 4:6,15,16
15:24 22:13 43:3	3:6,7,9,17 4:8,19	important 5:15 8:9	42:12,18,21	4:24 5:18,22,23
heavenly 34:16,18	5:9,19 6:3,7,12,14	12:1 23:5 41:8	invoked 26:1	6:4,11,13,25 7:3
heavily 52:9 55:13	6:22 7:12 8:2,8,16	53:14	invokes 21:10	7:23,24 8:4,4,13
55:16	8:21 9:3,7,10,12	impose 42:24	invoking 22:23	8:19 9:2,9,11 10:2
held 3:11 7:18,19	10:15 11:10,25	imposed 48:9	45:21	10:3,8 11:4,11
10:26 21:21 22:14	13:1,6,9 14:3,21	imposition 42:17	involved 48:7 54:6	12:22 13:2,7,19
29:15 39:15 51:4	15:8,25 16:10,13	impossible 34:20	55:16	14:15 15:4,19
help 41:9 47:18	16:19 17:6,16	38:13 44:20	involvement 55:18	16:7,11,17,23
55:6,8	18:1,7,15,23,24	impression 49:5	55:22	17:7,20 18:5,11
helpful 26:25	19:2,5,8,11,14,21	include 18:13	involves 4:19,21,21	18:20,24 19:3,6
heres 24:19 53:22	57:18,19,21 58:7	including 17:12	52:9 55:13	19:10,17,18,23
hes 10:26 19:18	58:9,12,14,17	43:13 52:21	irritated 38:18	20:4,18,22 21:8,9
high 27:15	hybrid 13:21,25	inclusive 44:16	isnt 16:8 26:13	21:14,22,23 22:4
hindus 32:15 44:8	26:6,16	incongruous 14:25	53:10,18 56:24	22:9,12 23:15
46:10	hypothetical 8:5	15:3	issue 3:12 7:14 9:25	24:2,6,18 25:16
historical 8:15,17	9:13,13 10:5,8	inconsistent 25:12	16:16,18 35:23	26:4,20 27:23
11:5,7 12:19	12:5,11	incredibly 53:14	45:3 50:14 53:19	28:15,19,25 29:3
13:20	hypotheticals 48:1	indicated 13:10	53:21 56:11 59:16	29:10,21,25 30:2
history 4:12 5:10 5:12 8:12,14,23	53:10	indicates 15:13 indication 10:11	issues 23:10 ive 39:19	30:13,16,20,23 31:4,10,24 32:4
11:16 12:1,6,10	I	individual 15:20	IVE 39.19	32:12,17,18 33:3
13:25 14:7,11	ian 1:17 2:6 20:1	indoctrination	J	33:10,14,16,24
20:12 24:8,8,10	id 19:21 22:19	24:16	jesus 4:1,3 21:10	34:6,16,23,25
24:12 25:20 27:13	idea 59:9	inevitably 47:18	53:12 56:5	35:3,18,25 36:7
29:19 58:21 59:14	identical 37:7	inform 26:10	jew 6:1 24:25	36:17,22 37:5,13
60:11	identified 3:13	infraction 40:4	jewish 46:6	37:21 38:9,20
holy 21:19	23:24	innocently 54:12	jews 25:14 32:14	39:24 40:11,18,21
home 30:10 38:15	identifies 14:5 39:3	inoffensive 43:24	44:8	40:25 41:20 43:8
honest 29:4	identify 25:6 26:19	instance 8:1,2	job 42:3 59:5	43:21 45:25 46:18
honestly 54:12	idiom 20:14 25:24	institution 25:5	join 37:19 38:7	47:1,5,7,9,14,19
honor 4:8 6:3,7 8:3	ill 34:25	instruct 32:8,10	51:19,19	48:13,14,20 49:6
9:7 13:1 14:3,21	illequipped 20:16	35:19	joint 33:11 34:11	49:14,15,20 50:12
15:8,25 16:10	im 3:17 5:11 8:2 17:20 18:5 29:3	instruction 35:16	judeochristian 33:2	50:13 51:6,9,12
18:1 21:2,13 22:1	29:10 34:9,12,23	instructions 35:15	judge 20:24 40:6	51:14,15,15,16
22:8,19 23:1,11 23:22 24:5 26:18	35:25,25 36:24	interact 43:3 interest 42:10	44:2 45:16	52:7,13,16 53:1,4
28:5,23 29:2,8,20	37:23 40:19 43:10	interest 42:10	judges 42:1,13	53:9,21 54:3,21 55:9,12,21 56:1,7
30:19 33:9 47:4	46:20 47:23 55:12	introduced 53:6	judgments 21:4	56:13,22 57:16,21
58:9,12	58:7,9	investigatory 5:4	24:14	58:6,8,10,13,15
honorable 9:5	immediate 30:12	invited 10:9 20:8	judicial 8:10	60:19
	•	•	•	•

:	10-775 42.0	24.1 1 25.21		55.2
justification 8:20	laws 42:8	24:1,1 25:21	making 4:16 17:22	55:2
K	lawyerly 47:25	26:13 31:13 51:10	18:13,21 38:25	mentioned 33:7
kagan 3:17 4:16,24	lawyers 3:21	56:20 58:16	malice 6:18	52:16
5:22 6:13 8:5	laycock 1:20 2:10	legislatures 8:25	manage 55:19	mere 29:14
10:8 21:10 23:15	29:22,23,25 30:15	9:21 22:22,24	mandate 60:15	mind 55:1
24:18 25:16 48:13	30:18,22 31:2,6	27:18	manifestations	mine 35:1
49:14 50:12 51:14	31:17 32:2,7,21	level 14:9 60:2	33:21 47:22	minister 3:20,23,24
53:9,21	33:8,11,15,19	license 23:4	manner 42:18	5:8 6:17 9:14
kagans 7:3,24 30:2	34:2,9,18 35:8,21	light 29:19	margins 54:20	29:5 50:1
keep 32:10 43:23	36:5,9,19 37:3,8	limit 28:7	marsh 3:13,15 4:11	ministers 6:21
54:25	37:17,25 38:12	limitations 7:2,4	4:19 6:9,9 9:21	minorities 45:8,8
keeping 54:12	39:20 40:9,15,19	limits 52:24	10:18 12:14 14:19	minority 39:6
kennedy 7:23 8:4	40:24 41:2,20	line 9:19,20 14:22	15:1 20:15,19	53:15
8:13,19 14:15	42:20 43:20 45:1	51:20 58:5,18	24:9,10,15 28:7	minutes 7:20 41:13
15:4 27:23 28:15	46:17,20 47:3,11	lines 52:19,25 53:7	28:17,23 29:9,15	57:18
28:19,25 29:3,10	47:17,21 48:17,23	liquor 23:4	29:15,16 32:21	misimpression
35:25 36:7 49:6	49:11,18 50:7,12	listen 37:16	55:17,21,25 59:2	57:23
	50:24 51:8,14,24	listeners 56:6	59:3,10,11	misrepresenting
52:7,13 55:9,12 56:13	52:11,18 53:3,6,9	literally 46:21	marshals 9:3	52:17
	53:19 54:9 55:6	litigation 54:19	matter 1:11 7:1,6	missing 41:21
kept 23:18 57:10	55:15,24 56:3,9	little 38:21 49:18	17:19 18:9,16	misunderstand
kind 5:4 13:25 14:1	56:17 57:3,17	live 17:24 43:14	22:21 49:3 55:4	54:11
31:3 32:5 35:10	lays 53:24	local 25:3 45:7	59:25 60:22	misunderstood
35:16,20 48:1	lead 5:25	59:23 60:2	matters 15:23	9:13
53:11	leads 15:15	long 11:9 20:12	mccreary 32:23	mitigates 23:22
kinds 4:25 21:6,16	led 12:8	24:11 42:16 45:15	33:23 51:25	mob 48:11
35:23 41:22 43:16	lee 48:17	48:2	meals 42:6	modest 49:9
49:22 50:23 54:2	left 18:12 35:14	longer 54:17	mean 6:2,22 10:16	modified 28:16
knew 6:20	legal 3:14	look 11:11 21:3	10:18 11:7,22	moments 38:14
know 11:22 13:11	legislation 31:21	34:10 36:4	15:8 17:19 29:16	month 39:1 58:17
15:20 16:11 17:16	legislative 3:12,16	looking 29:18 39:2	43:9 44:6,23	morning 3:4
18:6,15 19:11	4:10,13,20,21,22	looks 24:11	47:15 50:4 54:10	motto 11:7,8,14
23:17,21 34:19	5:12,17 7:9,13	lord 4:3	55:12	12:7
35:11 38:10 43:10	8:10 12:7,10 14:8	lot 31:20 38:22	means 11:18 24:23	multiple 16:15
44:18 48:3 50:2,7	14:12,13,18,23,24	46:9,10	55:8	19:15 45:13
53:7	14:24 15:1 18:2,3	lots 17:11	meant 29:9	multireligious 54:5
knowing 51:19	20:12 22:15 24:12		measure 40:3	municipal 14:5
known 32:24 41:5	25:23 28:7 31:10	<u>M</u>	meet 58:16	22:22
52:1,3 57:8	31:11,22 35:22	m 1:13 3:2 13:6	meeting 7:7 13:23	municipalities 60:7
	39:11 41:22 43:2	22:10 60:21	14:24 23:8 31:16	municipality 59:23
language 26:2	43:17 50:16,20,23	madison 45:17	32:1 36:13 39:11	muslim 5:25 24:25
0 0	55:17 59:19 60:13	mail 45:10	41:14 42:23	muslims 32:14 44:8
28:23 53:11,13,16	legislator 10:25	maintain 54:5	meetings 7:14 23:9	46:10
laughter 19:13,20	legislature 4:17	major 43:11 48:7	32:6 45:4	
32:20 34:1,5 35:2 55:11	8:24 10:20 13:21	majority 45:7	members 4:4 5:5	N N
JJ.11	14:1 20:7 23:16	46:23 47:15 53:14	51:10,17 53:14	n 2:1,1 3:1

name 34:12 50:3
narrower 28:6
nation 60:5
nation 00:3
nature 22:14 26:6
26:17 39:14 44:19
near 17:10,24
nebraska 9:21
10:20 14:2 26:13
necessarily 11:25
necessary 26:16
40:7
need 36:23,25
40:23 52:24 55:7
needed 10:23,24
needs 41:2
neutral 54:13
never 31:25
new 1:3 3:15 56:24
newspaper 18:18
nice 13:5 26:14
night 45:4
nightmare 44:5
nomination 5:7 nonbeliever 25:1
nonbeliever 25:15
28:13
nonchristian 57:23
58:1
nondenominatio
43:24
nondiscriminatory
9:15
nonlegislative
14:22
nonproselytizing
49:10
nonprovocative
49:9
nonpurely 14:13
nonreligious 19:15
44:18
nonsectarian 32:10 39:23 46:2 51:18
51:23 52:6
nonsectarianism
mongeetti iamigiii

45:15 47:12
note 18:8
november 1:9
nuisance 31:19
number 13:22
34:10 47:20
numbers 44:7 45:8

o 2:1 3:1 oath 5:20 9:1 **object** 15:22 18:14 objecting 17:15 objection 16:23 17:5 18:6 **obviously** 4:9 5:15 15:21 occasion 16:13 occasional 35:12 occasionally 16:8 occasions 6:16 16:15,15 19:16 59:9 occurred 5:24 occurs 25:19 odds 60:10 offend 49:1 offensive 3:13 offer 38:7 offered 57:6 officer 35:5 officers 16:3 official 4:17 25:25 35:19 36:1.12 57:25 **oh** 28:4 okay 9:9,11 11:17 11:23 34:3 47:14 47:19 once 58:17 ones 6:15 23:3 26:20 27:11.21 onethird 34:14 open 6:6 9:15 39:7 55:17

opening 20:12 30:3

opinion 15:12
16:25 17:21 43:10
55:10
opposed 16:22
opposite 17:22
oral 1:11 2:2,5,9
3:7 29:23
order 41:12,17
ordinances 42:8
organized 18:22
orthodox 6:1
orthodoxy 60:17
ought 42:11
outlined 39:19
outside 39:4
overbroad 60:9

P **p** 3:1 page 2:2 34:10 pages 26:7 27:15 58:20 **paid** 6:9 **papers** 49:16 parallel 5:24 13:4,7 parents 30:10 parse 20:22 parsing 20:15 21:1 24:14 part 6:18 15:24 16:8 27:5,24 30:14,21 36:13 39:11,13,21 50:14 54:4 participants 17:25 participate 37:19 37:22,23 38:1,13 41:7 participates 50:20 participating 10:11 38:5 particular 11:16 28:14 31:3 33:16 49:25 50:1 particularly 36:2 parties 3:21 21:17

39:25 partisan 8:25 **pastor** 46:21 pastors 38:6 peaceful 54:5 peer 24:17 **people** 5:19 6:19 9:1,6 10:9,17,20 10:25 17:12,23 18:22 22:5,17 25:8,9 26:10 30:5 30:9 31:7 36:19 38:5,18 39:5 41:7 41:23 42:5,11 43:12 44:11,13 45:12 46:23 48:8 48:11 49:24 50:8 50:17 52:2 54:1 54:10,11 56:15,17 58:10 59:8 percent 21:17,18 21:18 45:22 percentplus 46:4 perfectly 18:2 period 55:3 permissible 4:7 9:23 permit 16:4 52:20 permitted 20:7 person 5:5 19:15 50:19 51:3.3 **personal** 30:6,11 31:8 50:18,21 51:10 personally 52:24 persuade 38:19 persuasive 27:9 petition 24:24 38:17 petitioner 1:4,16 1:19 2:4,8,14 3:8 20:3 57:20 petitioners 30:2 petitions 30:11 physically 38:1

pick 47:1 **picked** 47:3 **pieces** 41:18 pillars 24:9 **place** 7:4,4 32:9 places 39:4 plaintiffs 15:20 **play** 52:13 please 3:10 20:5 30:1 38:6 **plenty** 34:14 **point** 10:5 17:8,15 20:25 22:20 26:24 27:13 30:19 31:11 33:4,4 36:17 45:20,23 56:5,10 58:19 pointed 23:1 27:11 27:20 points 27:17 32:11 32:24 41:4 51:25 52:2 55:25 57:8 58:4 **police** 16:3 policy 32:9 35:11 40:10 41:3,3 policymaking 31:21 **political** 45:9,12 polytheists 33:22 47:21 population 45:23 46:3 **posed** 10:8 **position** 6:25 15:11 17:17 18:16 27:2 49:7,7,10 52:14 58:24 **possibility** 43:17,22 possible 39:22 43:25 46:15 possibly 59:24 practical 17:19 18:9,16 **practice** 3:16 9:21 10:19 11:16 12:7

41:7

12:17,24 13:5,7	52:10 54:16,17,20	proceedings 15:21	question 4:9,15,25	33:9 57:25 59:7
13:11,20 14:8	55:17 56:4,25	15:22 39:10	5:10,14 6:11 7:4	recruiting 39:1
18:3 38:21 40:7	58:2	process 9:15,15	7:24 8:4,17,17,21	refer 50:2
59:5	praying 50:24	produced 48:11	18:12 19:19 20:25	references 20:8
practices 13:14	preach 28:12	proffer 51:22	28:12 30:3 34:24	refers 53:12
pray 36:11 38:10	precedents 12:2	proffered 51:25	40:18 48:18 53:10	reflect 60:11
38:11 42:22 51:4	13:9	prohibit 52:20	53:18,23 55:19	reflected 21:7
prayer 3:16,24	precisely 51:8	prohibited 37:12	questions 57:14	regarded 11:6
4:11,13,20,21,22	predestination	promises 24:20,20	quiet 49:9	regularly 26:1
7:9,10,12,20,22	56:4	promptly 7:24	quite 17:12 26:6	regulating 60:16,17
8:5 10:12 12:7,11	predispositions	proper 26:8,15	29:10,12 31:8	rejected 59:11
14:6 16:14 17:3	42:3	properly 11:6	56:13	relate 25:13
18:3,19,25 19:7	predominant 46:5	proposal 11:13	quote 21:9	relevant 10:1 55:2
20:13,13,15 21:3	predominantly	30:24 31:3	quoted 18:18	relief 30:25 32:22
21:23,25 23:8,9	46:5	proposals 31:8		40:1,2 48:25
23:18 24:12,14	preferable 44:24	propose 40:6	<u>R</u>	religion 13:13,16
28:3,7,11 29:18	preferred 51:22	proposed 34:8	r 3:1 22:10	15:16 17:13 20:20
30:12 32:1,8,10	presbyteries 57:5	proposition 45:18	rabbi 29:6,13,15	27:25 28:3,9 29:7
32:13,15 33:6	presence 27:14	54:14	raise 4:3	33:1,17 39:6,8
34:8,11 35:10,17	29:14	propriety 4:13	raised 4:3 23:12	43:12,13,13 50:1
35:20 36:1 37:16	present 4:9 33:5	proselytize 28:8,16	59:16	54:1 55:14,16,18
37:19 38:7,7	presented 23:12	28:18,20,23,24	range 57:13	55:22 60:4,15
39:10,18 41:11,12	30:11	proselytizing 7:2	ratemaking 14:17	religions 17:12
41:12,17,22 42:21	pressure 24:17	20:19,24 21:3	ratified 12:16	21:11 33:19 34:17
42:25 43:4,16	45:12	36:2	rational 8:14 18:2	44:3 46:12 54:13
44:17 45:4,9,11	pressures 48:19	protestant 45:23	read 6:15,24 7:5	54:24
45:13 46:2,16,22	preventative 60:5	46:4 48:9	21:10 49:16 56:25	religious 17:2,4
46:24 48:2,3 49:4	priest 29:5 49:25	protestants 56:12	readily 8:6	19:1,4 20:14
49:25 50:20,23	principal 24:8	57:8	ready 5:6,6 really 12:22 30:16	24:16 25:9,24
51:2,7,10,23	principally 35:8,14	protests 45:9	31:25 39:21 50:14	39:8 42:9,11 45:8
52:15,20,21,23,24	principle 45:21	public 7:17,19,21	52:17,18 53:18	45:17 48:9 57:10
53:1,2,5,7 57:1,7	57:12	14:19 16:7 23:2	reasons 20:10 45:2	57:13 60:12
57:24 58:22 59:18	principles 15:9	26:24 27:1 30:4	45:13	religiously 46:9
60:2,16	private 14:11	30:14,14,15 38:16	rebuttal 2:12 57:19	rely 4:20
prayergiver 26:2	probably 34:4	53:16	receive 35:15	remainder 19:22
51:1	47:23	publicize 16:25	recipients 16:3	remaining 57:18 remanded 39:25
prayergivers 20:9	problem 9:3 17:18	purpose 7:21 20:18	recognize 4:13	
20:14 57:24 58:2	17:21 31:19 39:19 43:16 45:14 46:1	35:16 43:11,15 60:5	22:25 26:12	remedy 39:21,24 41:19
prayers 3:12 6:14 6:21,23,24 9:24	52:16 54:7		recognizes 4:11	repeat 34:23,25
9:25 12:19 15:2	problematic 3:13	purposes 33:5 60:3 put 4:4 8:1 30:7	recommend 43:23	
20:8,23 21:18	-	40:5 41:12	50:4	repeatedly 53:12
25:24 33:8,12,12	problems 55:19 procedures 31:14	putting 15:16 18:21	recommended	reply 27:17 representative
35:6 36:8,10	proceeding 4:17	putting 13.10 16.21	26:20	50:16
39:23 45:20,20	15:24 30:21 38:25	0	record 12:18,19	representatives
48:8 49:8 50:9,23	39:13	quakers 57:2	15:22 23:6,12	35:22 41:23 55:1
70.0 77.0 30.3,23	37.13	1		33.44 71.43 33.1
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

	1	•	1	1
republic 58:21	rotation 45:1,5,6	24:13 28:11 36:6	59:16	sounded 6:14,21,23
requested 59:8	45:14	39:25 40:13,14	side 4:4 27:6 42:10	sounds 56:13
required 18:8 27:3	rubin 19:5,12,14	43:21	44:20	source 55:18,22,23
59:3	rule 4:22 22:15	secondly 12:10	sides 23:20,22	speak 16:8
requires 20:6 50:6	52:4 54:16 59:4	14:10	sign 4:5	specific 30:6,24
reserve 19:21	ruled 27:7	sectarian 12:20	significant 10:16	specifically 30:25
reserves 48:18	rules 53:25	20:8 21:5,10,14	12:3 23:13,25	spectators 3:22
resistance 51:17		21:16,19,20,21	44:7	spirit 21:20
respect 12:4 13:20	<u>S</u>	24:14 29:18 32:8	significantly 23:19	spiritual 44:20
22:20 26:19 27:1	s 2:1 3:1	36:2 37:11 42:24	silently 42:22	spoke 16:11,14,15
28:10 50:8 55:23	sacramento 28:1	48:8 51:18 52:5	similar 26:20 48:19	sponsored 36:14
58:25 59:14,22	56:15	58:4,18,23	simply 8:14 11:15	squared 20:11
respects 12:3 14:4	sacrifice 4:1	secular 43:18	13:21 35:15	squarely 15:16
respond 24:19	safe 26:21	see 18:22 44:13	single 29:16,17,17	stand 3:23 10:17,20
responded 4:5	santa 51:5	46:14	45:3 57:6 59:5	10:21,23 22:18
respondents 1:21	satisfactory 40:12	seeing 21:16 44:1	sir 11:10	36:20 38:1,15
2:11 7:16 12:20	40:13 49:17,20,21	seeks 29:6	sit 21:25 22:2,5,8	45:11 48:25 59:5
16:2 23:23 27:11	save 9:5 44:12	selected 51:1	37:15	59:8,18
27:20 29:24 58:23	saved 53:5	senate 27:14,15	site 57:25	standard 52:8,8
60:10,14	saving 3:25	56:23 58:19 59:4	sitting 5:5 22:13	standing 16:2
responsibility	savior 21:11 56:5	senator 10:19 59:3	37:24 44:13 51:17	started 23:17
35:13	saw 44:11	senators 58:20	situation 5:21	starts 23:8 38:24
responsive 25:4	saying 10:6 17:20	sending 12:15	40:22	state 9:20 14:9
restrictions 60:7	18:5,18 20:19	sense 60:1,7	skepticism 51:19	22:24 27:18 28:1
resurrection 4:2	26:15,16 31:25	separate 50:22	small 17:10 22:13	31:13 35:21 52:9
review 34:8 35:6	32:3,3,7 44:3	separated 23:6	47:6	56:20 59:23
reviewed 35:6	59:12	31:4,7 48:15	society 54:5	statements 4:25
revisit 11:20	says 5:8 28:20	separating 41:11	solemnizing 22:23	states 1:1,12,19 2:7
right 11:7,8,9 16:9	29:16 31:18 38:10	separation 27:4,8	solicitor 1:17	9:5 12:16 15:2
22:9 28:11 32:12	38:10	30:4 39:12,20	solve 31:18,19	20:2 41:11 44:12
34:20 35:21 37:3	scalia 5:18,23 6:4	series 14:16 21:4	45:14	50:6
41:10 43:21 44:9	9:2,9,11 12:22	serious 42:7,9	somebody 18:25	stay 10:10 41:4
44:14,17 47:8	13:2,7 18:24 19:3	55:12	19:3 30:23 36:4	stayed 56:11
48:22	19:6,10,17 24:2,6	seriously 21:8	38:10 59:8	stays 38:11
rise 10:6,9,9 21:24	32:18 33:24 34:23	session 3:19 7:10	somewhat 31:6	stifle 42:18
roberts 3:3 10:3	36:17,22 37:5	7:13 9:4 21:24	sorry 8:2 34:12,23	stood 4:5
11:4,11 19:23	38:9 41:20 47:1,5	53:16	36:25 58:7,9	streets 48:12
29:21 32:17 34:6	47:9,14,19 50:13	sessions 20:12	sort 4:25 10:6	strength 4:2
34:25 35:3,18	51:6,9 52:16 53:1	43:17 55:17	20:15 40:15 53:9	strikes 25:11
37:13,21 40:11	53:4	set 32:25 49:15	sorts 46:11,12	strongest 27:5
47:7 48:14,20	scheme 45:5,6	setting 26:9	sotomayor 10:2	structure 39:9
51:15 57:16 60:19	school 7:7 27:15	shouldnt 52:15	20:18,22 21:8,14	subject 48:18,21
rochester 17:11,11	scope 36:16	show 7:21 15:23	21:22 22:4,9,12	49:1
room 5:2 22:5,13	seal 11:21,23	52:22	51:12,15,16 58:6	submit 21:5
25:8,10 44:12	seated 10:10 38:11	shows 8:12 12:6	58:8,10,13,15	submitted 60:20,22
rotating 39:9	second 20:6,14	30:7 58:1,20	sought 20:16	subtle 48:21
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

11 1 11 12	l. 14.12	Lu. 50124	20 12 21 41 11	10.4
suddenly 11:12	terms 14:12	thing 5:8 13:4	39:12,21 41:11	49:4
sufficient 55:5	test 3:15 24:3,5,6	16:24 30:17,18	48:6 51:3 54:6	trying 38:18 54:4
suggest 47:15 60:1	27:24,24 28:6,25	32:5 41:8 42:10	56:11 57:1 59:6	turn 4:4
60:6	34:19 36:23 37:6	44:22	times 59:8	twice 48:17
suggested 16:24	37:7,9,14 51:22	things 11:2,6 25:7	today 11:13,23	two 7:2 12:2 14:3
39:22 52:8 54:3	51:24,25	26:14,19,24,25	13:4 28:3 44:2,17	16:14 20:10 41:18
suggests 41:9,10	testified 10:19	27:12 30:6 36:20	57:13 58:22	45:3 54:22 55:5
59:7	testify 5:6,20 9:1	39:14 41:16 54:7	told 10:9,10 48:4	twothirds 34:14
suit 58:11	testimony 5:7 38:2	55:5	tolerance 60:12	type 4:14 23:11
supervised 44:1	texas 56:15	think 3:18 4:8 5:9	top 36:1	types 23:10
supervisor 18:18	thank 3:9 19:23	7:3 8:9 9:16 10:2	totality 40:16	typically 38:6
support 5:7	29:20,21 57:16,21	10:15 12:1 13:9	town 1:3 3:4 7:7,15	
supporting 1:19	60:18,19	14:19 17:15 18:7	13:23 14:14 15:23	<u>U</u>
2:8 20:3	thats 5:9,9 6:7,8	21:6 22:2,4,5,7,8	17:10,24 18:17	ultimately 52:13
suppose 3:18 4:24	7:8,13,15 9:7,9	22:10,12,17 23:2	22:21 23:17,25	unanimity 34:20
5:22 19:18 54:21	11:8,21 13:5	23:5,12,13,16,18	24:1 26:11,23	unconstitutional
supposed 25:4 35:4	15:17 18:20,21	23:22,24 24:7,8,9	27:8 30:5 31:12	13:3 39:17 58:23
35:5	23:1,13 28:19,19	24:17,22 25:16	31:16 32:1,6,8	undergird 15:10
supreme 1:1,12	28:22,25 29:9,15	26:7,21 27:2,3,10	35:5,19 38:22,24	understand 7:1
14:17	30:13,14,15,19,23	27:21 28:5,10	39:3,4,5,11 40:9	10:5 12:22 14:19
sure 26:9 29:10,12	31:20,21 32:2,2,5	29:9,14 33:4,22	41:2,5,23 43:5	47:22
47:23 49:7	32:25 33:17 34:17	36:1 37:3 40:2,6,9	44:6 49:7 50:11	understanding
surprising 14:8	34:20,20,21 35:21	40:23,24 41:2,5	53:16 54:22 57:25	25:12 56:22
susan 1:6	37:3,4 38:21	41:10,18 45:1,15	towns 16:20 17:17	understood 8:22
susceptible 24:16	39:16,21 41:8,10	47:3,12,17 49:12	18:15	13:12
switch 23:20,22	43:20,25 44:5,22	50:19,20 53:22,23	tradition 4:20	undertake 42:7
syndrome 30:10	48:4 49:10,12	53:24 54:8,9,15	22:23 26:11 33:2	undertaking 50:15
38:15	50:4,13,13,14,22	55:7 57:9 59:15	35:10 43:4,6,19	undoubtedly 26:22
systematic 38:25	52:14 53:1,2,5,18	60:9	45:16 46:24 48:3	unfamiliar 45:8
	53:19,21 54:10	thinks 53:25	55:25 59:17,20	unhappy 54:1
	56:3	third 24:15 33:8	60:11	united 1:1,12,18
t 2:1,1 10:2 13:6	theologian 52:2	44:9	traditions 55:3	2:7 9:5 20:2
table 5:5	theological 60:17	thomas 1:15 2:3,13	60:11	44:12 50:5
take 11:21 32:21	theories 60:10,14	3:7 57:19	traffic 31:19	universe 46:25
43:9	theory 40:21	thoroughly 17:1	treat 46:20,21,23	unquestionably
talk 21:22 38:8	thered 17:6	thought 48:15	treated 46:13	59:1
57:4	theres 8:14 16:1,1	thoughts 49:23	tried 10:22 40:15	upside 43:25
talking 14:13,23	20:23 30:20,20	threaten 28:13	trouble 27:12 44:25	usable 30:9
22:5 40:12,19	36:24 37:1 39:12	threats 45:10	troubles 25:2	use 28:15 38:16
43:11	44:9,10 48:2,24	three 7:10 24:9	true 33:21 47:21,21	49:11
tangible 37:22	48:24	59:7	54:10 59:1	utilities 7:8
task 36:15 42:7	theyre 17:4 26:21	throw 32:18	trust 11:8,14	utility 14:16
team 25:9	29:11 34:4 37:20	time 8:22 9:25 11:9	truth 45:17	V
tell 38:18 40:2 41:6 49:3	41:24 50:9,14,15	11:13 12:14 17:25	truthfully 22:6	v 1:5 3:5 48:17
	50:24,24	19:22 23:6 30:4	try 7:16 18:13	variance 31:1
tendency 13:15	theyve 11:18 54:16	31:5,7 36:11	43:23,24 44:16	,
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

variances 39:14 various 6:6 14:5 54:2 vary 6:16 varying 37:18 vast 47:15 vast 47:16:20 view 11:14 22:21 26:21 violate 37:2 45:21 59:21 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violate 48:11 virginia 1:20 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 week 36:3 weisman 48:17 welcome 17:3 weve 15:5 39:21 vour 10:14 14:13 21:6 22:16 29:12 vour 49:16 Z zoning 7:8 13:24 30 7:20 23:10 32 23:10 32 23:10 37 35:21 vour 10:14 14:13 21:16 22:16 29:12 vour 49:16 Z zoning 7:8 13:24 vour 49:16 4 5 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 5 50 21:17 57:214 7 70 44:2 74:34:11,16 7 70 44:2 74:34:11,16 7 70 44:2 74:34:11,16 7 70 44:2 74:34:11,16 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
various 6:6 14:5 weisman 48:17 velcome 17:3 vory 6:16 velcome 17:3 vory 1:3 56:24 youll 38:22 44:21 30 7:20 23:10 32 23:10 32 23:10 32 23:10 37 35:21 37 35	
54:2 vary 6:16 vary 6:16 varying 37:18 vast 47:15 welcome 17:3 weve 15:5 39:21 40:15 42:24 47:7 47:11 48:1,14 whats 8:19,19 49:19 vehement 16:20 view 11:14 22:21 26:21 violate 37:2 45:21 59:21 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violate on 7:25 8:1,7 11:3 20:20 35:12 violence 48:11 virginia 1:20 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 what self 9,19 what self 9:16 whos 22:14 28:2 wiccan 6:17 wiccans 32:15 wilder 57:12 violence 48:11 virginia 1:20 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 wonder 11:4 49:21 wondering 3:17 wond 21:21 18:22 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 44:47 54:25 work 17:14 40:1 42:24 44:12 45:10 47:14 85:5 49:1,6 word 12:11 46:25 works 39:21 words 21:11 46:25 worse 54:7,15 york 1:3 56:24 youll 38:22 44:21 youre 10:14 14:13 21:16 22:16 29:12 41:21 youre 49:16 words 10:14 14:13 21:16 22:16 29:12 41:21 youve 49:16 whose 22:14 28:2 wiccan 6:17 wiccans 32:15 wider 57:12 viling 10:14 wind 44:13 wind 44:13 wind 44:13 wind 44:13 wind 44:13 wind 44:13 word 12:21 18:22 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 44:47.54:25 work 17:14 40:1 44:4.44:45:2 works 39:21 world 21:11 46:25 worse 54:7,15 york 1:3 56:24 youll 38:22 44:21 youre 10:14 14:13 21:16 22:16 29:12 41:21 youre 49:16 works 39:21 youve 49:16 whose 20:14 28:2 wiccan 6:17 wiccans 32:15 wider 57:12 viling 10:14 wind 44:13 wind 44:14 wind 44:15 wind 44:15 wind 44:15 wind 44:16 wind 44:	
vary 6:16 weve 15:5 39:21 youll 38:22 44:21 37 35:21 vast 47:15 47:11 48:1,14 youre 10:14 14:13 21:16 22:16 29:12 vastly 49:19 whats 8:19,19 15:18 38:12 51:18 youve 49:16 5 view 11:14 22:21 your 20:14 28:2 youve 49:16 5 views 59:19 60:12 wiccan 6:17 your 29:16 5 violates 40:22 wiccans 32:15 wider 57:12 zoning 7:8 13:24 violates 40:22 willing 10:14 win 44:13 od 1:13 3:2 60:21 violence 48:11 wind 44:13 wonder 11:4 49:21 od 1:13 3:2 58:2 1 visible 38:6 word 20:11 45:11 word 20:11 45:11 od 1:13 3:2 58:2 1 7 wake 30:12 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 work 17:14 40:1 44:44,4 45:2 9 9 929 23:7 98 46:4 98 145:22 work 39:21 works 39:21 works 39:21 works 49:16 9 9 9 9 1 44:17:20 12:15:20:17 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
varying 37:18 40:15 42:24 47:7 youre 10:14 14:13 4 4 4 5 9 4 9 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 <th< th=""><th></th></th<>	
vast 47:15 vastly 49:19 47:11 48:1,14 whats 8:19,19 21:16 22:16 29:12 4 view 11:14 22:21 whats 8:19,19 15:18 38:12 51:18 youve 49:16 5 views 59:19 60:12 wiccan 6:17 viccans 32:15 viccans 32:15 zoning 7:8 13:24 5 violate 37:2 45:21 vider 57:12 wilkinsons 20:24 wilkinsons 20:24 wilkinsons 20:24 willing 10:14 0 00 23:8 04 1:13 3:2 60:21 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 6 6:19 23:8,10,10 7 70 44:2 7 70 44:2 74 as 34:11,16 8 <th< th=""><th></th></th<>	
Wast 49:19 whats 8:19,19 15:18 38:12 51:18 whose 22:14 28:2 wican 6:17 wicans 32:15 wider 57:12 wilkinsons 20:24 wilkinsons 20:24 wilding 10:14 win 44:13 winder 44:21 winder 48:11 virginia 1:20 worder 11:4 49:21 wisible 38:6 wisible 48:6 word 30:12 walking 44:11 want 7:22 18:6 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 48:5 49:1,6 words 51:11 30:20 35:12 words 12:11 46:25 works 39:21 words 51:10 27:10 27:12 55 50 21:17 57 2:14	
vehement 16:20 view 11:14 22:21 26:21 vicws 59:19 60:12 violate 37:2 45:21 59:21 violates 40:22 violation 7:25 8:1,7 11:3 20:20 35:12 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 wake 30:12 wake 30:12 walking 44:11 want 7:22 18:6 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 view 11:14 22:21 whose 22:14 28:2 viccan 6:17 viccans 32:15 vider 57:12 viccans 32:15 vider 57:12 viccans 32:15 viccan 6:17 viccans 32:15 vider 57:12 vilkinsons 20:24 villing 10:14 vin 44:13 visidom 19:9 vonder 11:4 49:21 vonder 11:4 49:21 vonder 11:4 49:21 vonder 12:21 18:22 28:16 vords 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 vork 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 vork 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 visidom 19:9 vonder 11:4 49:21 vonder 11:	
view 11:14 22:21 26:21 wiccan 6:17 views 59:19 60:12 wiccan 32:15 violate 37:2 45:21 wider 57:12 59:21 wilkinsons 20:24 violates 40:22 willing 10:14 violates 40:22 wilkinsons 20:24 violates 40:22 willing 10:14 violates 48:11 wisdom 19:9 violence 48:11 wonder 11:4 49:21 visible 38:6 wont 26:11 45:11 visibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 28:16 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 workable 54:16 works 39:21 works 39:21 world 21:11 46:25 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 words 54:7,15 **Double 48:10** **Total 48:5 49:1,6** **Join 49:22 18:6 48:10 99:22:17 44:17 54:25 98:145:22 44:4,4 45:2 98:145:22 works 39:21 19:34:11 49:48:10 19:48:10	
Views 59:19 60:12 vicans 32:15 vicans 32:15 vider 57:12 vilkinsons 20:24 violates 40:22 violates 40:22 violation 7:25 8:1,7 11:3 20:20 35:12 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 Wisibly 49:3 Wonder 11:4 49:21 vondering 3:17 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 Words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 vorkable 54:16 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 48:10 visible 48:10 Vork 59:12 vork 48:10 Vork 59:12 vork 48:10 Vork 59:12 Vork 59:14 Vork 59:16 6:17 Vork 59:16 Vork 59:	
views 59:19 60:12 wiccans 32:15 zoning 7:8 13:24 violate 37:2 45:21 wilkinsons 20:24 59:21 wilkinsons 20:24 violates 40:22 willing 10:14 violation 7:25 8:1,7 wisdom 19:9 11:3 20:20 35:12 winder 11:4 49:21 violence 48:11 wonder 11:4 49:21 virginia 1:20 wonder 11:4 49:21 visible 38:6 word 26:11 45:11 visibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 works 19:21 works 39:21 works 39:21 works 39:21 works 39:21 world 21:11 46:25 world 21:11 46:25 world 21:11 46:25	
violate 37:2 45:21 59:21 wider 57:12 violates 40:22 wilkinsons 20:24 violates 40:22 willing 10:14 vision 1:2 wonder 11:4 49:21 visible 38:6 word 12:21 18:22 147:20 visibly 49:3 vord 12:21 18:22 16:14 58:3 60:21 Wake 30:12 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 york 17:14 40:1 15 21:17 98 46:4 works 39:21 works 39:21 works 39:21 166:10 9:22 98 46:4 981 45:22 17 58:20 1800 11:22 19 34:11 19th 48:10	
59:21 wilkinsons 20:24 violates 40:22 willing 10:14 violation 7:25 8:1,7 11:3 20:20 35:12 violence 48:11 winder 11:4 49:21 visible 38:6 wonder ing 3:17 visibly 49:3 word 26:11 45:11 wake 30:12 word 12:21 18:22 walking 44:11 words 11:15 36:7 want 7:22 18:6 44:17 54:25 29:1 30:24,25 work 17:14 40:1 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 words 21:11 46:25 worse 54:7,15 worse 54:7,15	
violates 40:22 willing 10:14 violation 7:25 8:1,7 11:3 20:20 35:12 violence 48:11 winder 11:4 49:21 visible 38:6 word 12:21 18:22 visibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 walking 44:11 word 17:24 40:1 want 7:22 18:6 44:17 54:25 29:1 30:24,25 work 17:14 40:1 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 work 21:11 46:25 works 39:21 work 21:11 46:25 works 39:21 work 21:11 46:25 work 54:7,15 19th 48:10	
violates 40:22 violation 7:25 8:1,7 win 44:13 win 44:13 win 44:13 04 1:13 3:2 60:21 7 70 44:2 74 34:11,16 85 58:20 85 58:20 85 58:20 85 58:20 85 58:20 85 58:20 99 929 23:7 98 46:4<	
Violation 7:25 8:1,7 11:3 20:20 35:12 visdom 19:9 violence 48:11 virginia 1:20 visible 38:6 visibly 49:3	
violence 48:11 virginia 1:20 wonder ing 3:17 visible 38:6 wont 26:11 45:11 word 12:21 18:22 1 47:20 74a 34:11,16 wisibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 1 6:14 58:3 60:21 8 8 58:20 wake 30:12 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 12696 1:4 3:4 9 9 walking 44:11 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 workable 54:16 15 21:17 98 46:4 981 45:22 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 works 39:21 works 39:21 1800 11:22 981 45:22 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 worse 54:7,15 19th 48:10 19th 48:10	
wiolence 48:11 wonder 11:4 49:21 wondering 3:17 47:20 74a 34:11,16 visible 38:6 word 12:21 18:22 1 47:20 8 visibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 28:16 8 wake 30:12 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 121 59:8 walking 44:11 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 99 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 44:4,4 45:2 98 46:4 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 works 39:21 16 6:10 9:22 17 58:20 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 words 21:11 46:25 1800 11:22 19 34:11 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 words 21:11 46:25 19 34:11	
wirginia 1:20 wondering 3:17 visible 38:6 wont 26:11 45:11 word 12:21 18:22 10 1:13 3:2 58:2 8 wisibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 11 6:14 58:3 60:21 8 wake 30:12 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 12696 1:4 3:4 9 want 7:22 18:6 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 44:4,4 45:2 98 46:4 29:1 30:24,25 workable 54:16 works 39:21 16 6:10 9:22 17 58:20 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 world 21:11 46:25 worse 54:7,15 19th 48:10	
visible 38:6 visibly 49:3 word 12:21 18:22 2 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 wake 30:12 44:17 54:25 12696 1:4 3:4 walking 44:11 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 44:4,4 45:2 workable 54:16 works 39:21 16 6:10 9:22 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 world 21:11 46:25 1800 11:22 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 19th 48:10	
W word 12:21 18:22 1120 23:7 28:16 28:16 121 59:8 wake 30:12 44:17 54:25 12696 1:4 3:4 walking 44:11 44:4,4 45:2 13 58:3 work 17:14 40:1 44:4,4 45:2 15 21:17 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 44:4,4 45:2 16 6:10 9:22 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 1800 11:22 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 works 39:21 1800 11:22 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 19th 48:10	
W 28:16 words 11:15 36:7 44:17 54:25 walking 44:11 work 17:14 40:1 42:22 18:6 29:1 30:24,25 44:4,4 45:2 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 works 39:21 works 54:7,15 works 54:7,15 121 59:8 12696 1:4 3:4 13 58:3 15 21:17 16 6:10 9:22 17 58:20 1800 11:22 19 34:11 19th 48:10	
W words 11:15 36:7 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 42:17 54:25 43:4	
wake 30:12 44:17 54:25 walking 44:11 work 17:14 40:1 want 7:22 18:6 44:4,4 45:2 29:1 30:24,25 workable 54:16 31:1 36:11 38:11 works 39:21 42:22 44:12 45:10 world 21:11 46:25 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 worse 54:7,15	
walking 44:11 work 17:14 40:1 43:4:4,4 45:2 44:4,4 45:2 98 46:4 29:1 30:24,25 work 39:21 works 39:21 16 6:10 9:22 17 58:20 1800 11:22 1800 11:22 19 34:11	
want 7:22 18:6 29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 44:4,4 45:2 workable 54:16 works 39:21 world 21:11 46:25 worse 54:7,15 44:4,4 45:2 16 6:10 9:22 17 58:20 1800 11:22 19 34:11 19th 48:10	
29:1 30:24,25 31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 works 39:21 world 21:11 46:25 worse 54:7,15 lb 6:10 9:22 17 58:20 1800 11:22 19 34:11 19th 48:10	
31:1 36:11 38:11 42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 worse 54:7,15 17 58:20 1800 11:22 19 34:11 19th 48:10	
42:22 44:12 45:10 47:1 48:5 49:1,6 worse 54:7,15 1800 11:22 19 34:11 19th 48:10	
47:1 48:5 49:1,6 worse 54:7,15 19th 48:10	
1.19th 48.10	
wary 24:13 worship 18:4 24:21 19th 46:10	
washington 1:0 15	
1.19.56.14	
wasnt 17:0 24.22 2 45:22	
way 3:13 11:15 Zu Zi 8	
15:5 22:20 36:11 200 48.2	
27:22 30:1 42:22	
22.17 37.2 49.11 200 / 18:10	
44:23 48:7,22 57:3 2008 57:24	
54:6 56:13,19 write 55:9 2009 58:2	
57:5 writing 12:15 200year 35:10	
ways 44:24 48:23 2013 1:9	
1 22.76.7	
web 57:25 X 1:2,7 24.26:7	
website 17:10 240 25:19	
18:21 29 2:11	
wed 49:18	
wednesday 1:9 year 6:15 58:8,13 3	