1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	x
3	INTEGRITY STAFFING :
4	SOLUTIONS, INC., :
5	Petitioner :
6	v. : No. 13-433
7	JESSE BUSK, ET AL. :
8	x
9	Washington, D.C.
LO	Wednesday, October 8, 2014
L1	
L2	The above-entitled matter came on for oral
L3	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States
L 4	at 10:03 a.m.
L5	APPEARANCES:
L 6	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ., Washington, D.C.; on behalf of
L7	Petitioner.
L8	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ., Assistant to the Solicitor
L 9	General, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; on
20	behalf of United States, as amicus curiae, supporting
21	the Petitioner.
22	MARK R. THIERMAN, ESQ., Reno, Nev.; on behalf of
23	Respondents.
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	CURTIS E. GANNON, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of United States,	
8	as amicus curiae, supporting the Petitioner	17
9	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
10	MARK R. THIERMAN, ESQ.	
11	On behalf of the Respondents	27
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
13	PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioner	55
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Τ	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:03 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument
4	first this morning in Case 13-433, Integrity Staffing
5	Solutions v. Busk.
6	Mr. Clement.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF PAOL D. CLEMENT
8	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER
9	MR. CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
LO	please the Court:
L1	Going through security as part of the egress
L2	process is a classic postliminary activity that is
L3	non-compensable under the Portal-to-Portal Act. It is
L 4	materially similar to the process of checking out at the
L5	end of the day or waiting in line to do so, which is a
L 6	quintessential postliminary activity under the Act.
L7	The Ninth Circuit erred in treating this
L8	time as integral and indispensable to a principal
L9	activity and Respondent's position that the time is
20	compensable without regard to whether it is an integral
21	or indispensable activity is more problematic still.
22	JUSTICE KAGAN: Mr. Clement excuse me
23	can I give you a hypo?
24	Suppose that there's an employer with cash
25	registers, and there's a very extensive process for

- 1 closing out the cash registers, and that extensive
- 2 process is to protect against theft. If it weren't for
- 3 that concern, you could close out a cash register much
- 4 more quickly. Or the same for bank tellers or the same
- 5 for casino dealers, you know, that there's, like, a
- 6 20-minute process which is essentially an antitheft
- 7 security process. And it happens at the end of the
- 8 shift when the cashier goes off duty.
- 9 What's the difference between that case and
- 10 going through security in -- at Amazon?
- 11 MR. CLEMENT: Well, I think one difference
- 12 is not -- I'm not crystal clear that that time would not
- 13 be non-compensable because I think that's sort of the
- 14 winding down period, which is, I think, with -- at least
- 15 potentially within the ambit of preliminary and
- 16 postliminary activities.
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: Do you know, by the way? I
- 18 mean, before you get on to that, do you know how that's
- 19 treated under the law? Because I guess my assumption
- 20 was that this kind of period would be treated as
- 21 compensable. But if that's not right, let me know.
- MR. CLEMENT: Well, it's a problem with
- 23 hypotheticals because I don't know that that particular
- 24 case has arisen. I would think that would be actually a
- 25 close question under the Act, because you do have this

- 1 notion under the Portal-to-Portal Act that preliminary
- 2 activities and postliminary activities are
- 3 non-compensable. And that, if you go back to Mt.
- 4 Clemens, which used the term "preliminary," that
- 5 included the sort of wind up process and might well
- 6 include this sort of wind down process at the work
- 7 station.
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: Couldn't you say that
- 9 closing down the cash register is part of the job?
- 10 MR. CLEMENT: You could.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: But getting yourself
- 12 inspected as you leave -- as you leave the place of
- 13 business is not part of the job. The other case where
- 14 it's just part of the job.
- 15 MR. CLEMENT: You could definitely say that,
- 16 Justice Scalia, and I meant to get that --
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: You could not only say it;
- 18 it seems to me true.
- MR. CLEMENT: Well, all the better, then, to
- 20 say it, which is that this then becomes an easier case
- 21 than that because it is part of the egress process,
- 22 which is really the process of getting from your --
- 23 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But part of Justice
- 24 Kagan's hypothetical was that the 20 minutes or the 30
- 25 minutes is just for antitheft purposes. Or at least I'll

- 1 interpret her hypothetical that way. Just for antitheft
- 2 purposes. Otherwise the cashiers -- the records and so
- 3 forth are turned up.
- 4 But just for antitheft protection, you need
- 5 the 20 or 30 minutes. If I can interpret the
- 6 hypothetical that way, then it seems to me to be the
- 7 same.
- 8 MR. CLEMENT: I don't think it's the same.
- 9 I think it's an arguable case under the Act that it
- 10 still might be postliminary, but I think if you look at
- 11 the cases that are out there, the courts have struggled
- 12 a bit with the preliminary and postliminary activities
- 13 that take place at the work station.
- Where I don't think they've struggled until
- 15 the Ninth Circuit decision here is when you're talking
- 16 about time after you've left the primary work station
- 17 and when you're in the process of going to the doors.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: That would seem to make it
- 19 depend on a complete fortuity. You know, if I -- if I
- 20 have the cashier walk from the -- the -- with her tray
- 21 to the manager's station and do the same thing there on
- 22 the way out the door, there would be one answer, and if
- 23 she does it at the cashier's station, it would be
- 24 another answer. And that seems not particularly
- 25 sensible.

1	MR. CLEMENT: Well, there are some not
2	particularly sensible results under the Portal-to-Portal
3	Act because things do turn on where activities take
4	place vis-à-vis walking time. But I do think what makes
5	this an easier case than your hypothetical is the exit
6	screenings are a logical part of the egress process.
7	The other side in this case tries to raise the specter
8	of lawn mowing being uncompensated and car washing being
9	uncompensated, but no employer requires to you take a
10	couple of swipes at a car on your way out the door.
11	JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Clement, is it is
12	it irrelevant that we're told here that because there
13	are not enough security checkers and because all the
14	shifts get out at the same time, what could be a
15	five-minute process turns out to be 25 minutes, 25
16	minutes of the workers' time, and 20 of those allegedly

19 MR. CLEMENT: Justice Ginsburg, ultimately,

would not occur if the employer had -- provided

17

18

sufficient staffing.

- 20 we don't think that allegation is relevant here. Now,
- 21 it is just an allegation. But the reason that I say
- 22 that it's not relevant here is twofold. One, the one
- 23 thing we know from the Portal-to-Portal Act and the
- 24 pre-Portal-to-Portal Act cases is the pure length of
- 25 time of something does not take it out of preliminary or

- 1 postliminary activities.
- 2 If you go back to the Jewell Ridge case and
- 3 look at Justice Jackson's dissent, he makes crystal
- 4 clear that the travel time at issue there was over an
- 5 hour total, and nonetheless the clear import of
- 6 Portal-to-Portal Act is to treat that hour as
- 7 non-compensable time.
- 8 The second reason why I think this waiting
- 9 time at the exit would be particularly a bad candidate
- 10 for treating as compensable just because it was
- 11 relatively long is because it's not uniform 25 minutes.
- 12 And so it's directly analogous to the time that was --
- 13 people had to wait to go in and punch the clock at the
- 14 pottery factory in Mt. Clemens.
- 15 And even the Mt. Clemens Court didn't treat
- 16 the waiting time to punch in as compensable. And I
- 17 think part of the reason was that it didn't really make
- 18 any sense. It took eight minutes for everybody to get
- 19 through, but that would create all sorts of anomalies
- 20 where the first person who got through would get
- 21 treated -- would get paid for eight more minutes than
- 22 the last person that got through.
- 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But that's true -- I'm
- 24 sorry. That's true of a workday generally. Some people
- 25 have to close down the shop; others don't. So it takes

- 1 the people who are closing down the shop longer. I'm
- 2 not worried about --
- 3 MR. CLEMENT: Could I stop you there,
- 4 though? I think that's not really true of the
- 5 compensable principal time of the workday after the
- 6 Portal-to-Portal Act, which is whistle to whistle.
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Yeah, but could we
- 8 start -- could we start with that question?
- 9 MR. CLEMENT: Sure.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What's a principal
- 11 activity? How is it defined? Because pre or
- 12 post-activity to a principal activity, you still have to
- 13 define what a principal activity is. And -- and so I
- 14 think, isn't a principal activity work that benefits the
- 15 employer in some way?
- 16 MR. CLEMENT: No, Justice Sotomayor. And I
- 17 think it's important to get two concepts separate. One
- 18 is work under the Fair Labor Standards Act. And for
- 19 purposes of that, all you really do need is things that
- 20 are required by the employer for the employer's benefit
- 21 that require a minimum amount of exertion. That's the
- 22 test from this Court's cases.
- 23 But principal activities is a separate and
- 24 more demanding test under the Portal-to-Portal Act. And
- 25 the way I'd think about the statute is the Fair Labor

- 1 Standards Act makes all work presumptively compensable.
- 2 And then only when you get to something that is arguably
- 3 postliminary or preliminary do you have to ask a
- 4 question that involves principal activities. Because if
- 5 you have compensable work and it's not even arguably
- 6 preliminary or postliminary, it's compensable without
- 7 regard to the Portal-to-Portal Act.
- 8 But when you get to the Portal-to-Portal
- 9 Act, then you have to look, is this a preliminary and
- 10 postliminary activity. And if it is, then it's
- 11 presumptively noncompensable unless it's integral and
- 12 indispensable to a principal activity.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, let's -- let's take
- 14 Justice Ginsburg's hypothetical. Let's -- let's assume
- 15 that it takes 25 minutes to check out and that it would
- 16 be very easy for the employer to hire a few more
- 17 checkers and make it 5 minutes. Just assume that that's
- 18 the fact.
- 19 Why isn't the long line in -- caused by very
- 20 few checkers for the benefit of the employer? It's for
- 21 the benefit of the employer to hire fewer checkers.
- 22 MR. CLEMENT: It might be for the benefit of
- 23 the employer in that set of circumstances, Justice
- 24 Kennedy, but that doesn't make it not postliminary
- 25 activity and not compensable. And if you look at the

- 1 universe of all noncompensable time under the
- 2 Portal-to-Portal Act, I think in every instance you
- 3 could say if the employer only did more, he could
- 4 reduce -- he or she, it could reduce that time.
- 5 And so, if you go back --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: He could move his plant,
- 7 for example, to be closer to the city where the
- 8 employees live, right? So it takes him an hour to get
- 9 to work. It's his fault that it -- that it takes them
- 10 an hour instead of just 10 minutes. He should move his
- 11 plant. It's just a matter of cost, right?
- 12 MR. CLEMENT: That's exactly right, Justice
- 13 Scalia. And you can ticket from the commuting time to
- 14 the commuting time internally if you go back to those
- 15 trams in the -- in the coal mining cases. If the
- 16 employer added more trams or more tram drivers,
- 17 presumably, that travel time would be reduced.
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Is it -- is it always
- 19 irrelevant to the analysis that it's for or not for the
- 20 benefit of the employer?
- 21 MR. CLEMENT: It is irrelevant for the
- 22 Portal-to-Portal Act analysis. And as I said, it's not
- 23 irrelevant to the analysis at all because the fact that
- 24 it is for the employer's benefit is part of what makes
- 25 it compensable work presumptively or work under the Fair

- 1 Labor Standards Act.
- 2 But when you get to applying the
- 3 Portal-to-Portal Act, that is activity that even though
- 4 it's work, even though it's required by the employer,
- 5 and even though it's for the benefit of the employer, is
- 6 nonetheless noncompensable by the terms of the
- 7 Portal-to-Portal Act. And if I could just continue
- 8 the --
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All right. That's --
- 10 that's not quite right, because if the employer requires
- 11 you to put on a particular outfit that you can't do the
- 12 work without, we've said that's compensable.
- 13 MR. CLEMENT: I don't think you have a
- 14 case --
- 15 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So -- and -- but donning
- 16 generally isn't, so I'm not sure quite how you can take
- 17 out that element from this analysis.
- 18 MR. CLEMENT: Justice Sotomayor, I don't
- 19 think there's a case of this Court that says just
- 20 because the employer requires something that would
- 21 otherwise be superfluous that it therefore becomes
- 22 integral and indispensable. And so I would actually
- 23 take --
- 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I agree with you. It
- 25 can't be superfluous. It can't be something that's --

- 1 for the benefit of the employee. Putting on clothes to
- 2 keep yourself from being splattered with a nonharmful
- 3 substance is for the benefit of the employee. But --
- 4 MR. CLEMENT: But -- but take something
- 5 where a particular employer has an idiosyncratic view
- 6 and actually wants their employers -- employees to have
- 7 a certain color smock or a certain piece of equipment
- 8 that's not actually particularly integral and
- 9 indispensable to anything.
- I don't think anything in this Court's cases
- 11 would say just because the employer required it, it is
- 12 therefore compensable.
- And I think if you go back to the
- 14 quintessential example of something that is postliminary
- 15 and noncompensable, the time clocks, the checking out
- 16 process, all of that is required by the employer and for
- 17 the employer's benefit.
- And so I don't think you can meaningfully
- 19 distinguish the exit screening from those
- 20 quintessentially postliminary noncompensable things.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Where did that come from?
- 22 Indispensable. Indispensable. Hardly anything is
- 23 indispensable. Where -- where does that word come from?
- 24 MR. CLEMENT: Well, the word comes from this
- 25 Court's decision in Steiner and it come -- comes

- 1 from the Labor Department's --
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: The Labor Department
- 3 says -- they're here saying it's the correct test, which
- 4 I think is -- well, perhaps more important, is there a
- 5 close and direct relationship? Which I understand a
- 6 little bit better. Isn't that labor?
- 7 MR. CLEMENT: That's their gloss on what
- 8 integral and indispensable means.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, I see. Okay.
- 10 MR. CLEMENT: And what I -- what I would
- 11 say --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I mean, it can't
- 13 literally mean indispensable, can it? Because then
- 14 anything at the end of the day, they're probably five
- 15 ways of doing it. The cash registers, you can do it
- 16 this way. You can do it that way. I mean --
- 17 MR. CLEMENT: Well, I -- with all due
- 18 respect, Justice Breyer, I do think that the test
- 19 should be indispensable. And I do think there are
- 20 plenty of things --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: If I can think of a way at
- 22 the end of the day that the employer could have done it
- 23 by saving a little more time or something like that,
- 24 then it's postliminary.
- MR. CLEMENT: Well, if it's otherwise

- 1 postliminary, it doesn't come outside of that exception
- 2 and become a principal activity. And I think two points
- 3 to make here. One is, you know, I think the knife
- 4 sharpening in King Packing, for example, really is
- 5 indispensable. You can't run a butchering operation
- 6 without sharp knives.
- 7 I think you can perfectly well run a
- 8 warehouse facility without egress security. So I think
- 9 these are different.
- 10 JUSTICE KAGAN: Actually, Amazon, I don't
- 11 think you can. I mean, what makes Amazon, Amazon? It's
- 12 a system of inventory control that betters everybody else
- in the business. And what's really important to Amazon
- 14 is that it knows where every toothbrush in the warehouse
- is. And that's just as integral to what Amazon does and
- 16 to what it requires its employees to do as, for example,
- 17 the -- I'm going back to my hypos -- but the person who
- 18 closes out the cash register, the person who closes out
- 19 the bank teller operation, is that this is sort of a
- 20 necessary part of what -- of what the -- the folks who
- 21 do all the stocking and the unshelving and shelving do
- 22 at Amazon.
- 23 MR. CLEMENT: Well, I guess I would beg to
- 24 differ, Justice Kagan. I think, certainly, everything
- 25 in the Amazon facility is barcoded and the like, and

- 1 everybody knows where everything is, but there's always
- 2 the possibility that somebody would not barcode an
- 3 incoming item at all and put it in their pocket.
- Now, if they're doing that, they're not
- 5 discharging their principal activities, and if they're
- 6 detected on the way out, I mean, that might help keep
- 7 the next person on mission, but that doesn't make it
- 8 integral and indispensable to discharging the primary
- 9 job duty.
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: I suppose that it is also
- 11 necessary to Amazon's business that it know how many
- 12 hours each of its workers has worked, so it knows how
- 13 much to pay them and doesn't pay them more, right?
- 14 And -- and yet, there's no doubt whatever, is there,
- 15 that punching in and punching out is not -- is -- is
- 16 preliminary and postliminary, right?
- 17 MR. CLEMENT: I hope there's no doubt about
- 18 that, Justice Scalia. And I do think that the exit
- 19 security screenings are just the modern -- modern
- 20 analogue of that. Not only do they both come at the
- 21 employer's insistence and for the employer's benefit,
- 22 but they also have this process of verifying that the
- 23 employers are essentially behaving in an honest and --
- 24 and -- way.
- It's the same way as trying to ensure that an

- 1 employee is not lying about having worked an eight-hour
- 2 day. You use the time clocks to verify that. You also
- 3 use the exit screening for that process. And the other
- 4 aspect of it that makes it so closely analogous is they
- 5 are a logical part of the egress process. They are part
- 6 of getting you from your principal work station to the
- 7 exit doors at the end of the day, classically
- 8 postliminary activity.
- 9 If that's not -- if the Court is to treat
- 10 that as compensable, then it's not clear what's left of
- 11 the Portal-to-Portal Act. The Portal-to-Portal Act was
- 12 Congress's judgment that these kind of preliminary and
- 13 postliminary activities should not be compensable.
- It would be perfectly rational to have a
- 15 system where you compensated employees from portal to
- 16 portal, and that's basically the regime that this Court
- 17 created in a series of cases culminating in Mt. Clemens
- 18 Pottery. But it's just as clear that Congress reversed
- 19 that result in Portal-to-Portal Act.
- 20 If I could reserve the balance of my time.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Gannon.
- ORAL ARGUMENT OF CURTIS E. GANNON
- 24 FOR THE UNITED STATES
- 25 AS AMICUS CURIAE, SUPPORTING PETITIONER

- 1 MR. GANNON: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
- 2 please the Court:
- 3 The Portal-to-Portal Act generally accepted
- 4 from mandatory compensation the activities that are
- 5 associated with a process by which employees arrive on
- 6 the employer's premises at the entrance to the portal and
- 7 get to the place where they perform their principal work
- 8 activities at the beginning of the day, and then the
- 9 process by which they leave at the end of the day and
- 10 get to the exits.
- 11 We think that security screenings here are
- 12 noncompensable because they are postliminary activities,
- 13 and they are not integral and indispensable to the
- 14 employees' principal work activities.
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You said in your brief
- 16 that there are some security searches that would be
- 17 compensable. So would you tell us which ones are and
- 18 which ones aren't?
- 19 MR. GANNON: Well, I think you're referring
- 20 to footnote 18 of our brief, Justice Ginsburg.
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Yes.
- 22 MR. GANNON: And there we talk about the
- 23 fact that the employer can't just require lots of other
- things to be done at the end of the day and call those
- 25 things postliminary. I don't think that we have any

- 1 particular examples of searches in mind. There aren't
- 2 cases that deal with compensable searches. But I think
- 3 the question there would be whether the activity has so
- 4 fundamentally changed the nature of what's going on that
- 5 it no longer resembles the ordinary process of checking
- 6 out. The reason why we think --
- 7 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You can't think of an
- 8 example, then, where a security check, an exit security
- 9 check, would be compensable?
- MR. GANNON: Well, I think it would probably
- 11 have to be a type of search that is so dramatically
- 12 different, more intrusive, more time-consuming, the
- 13 search itself, not just the time waiting in line, that
- 14 it's fundamentally transformed the nature of the
- 15 activity. So I think to the extent that some of the
- 16 examples that are suggested in the briefs, like drug
- 17 testing, you could analogize that in some way to an
- 18 anti-theft search. One of the hypotheticals has to do
- 19 with a person who works in a pharmaceutical
- 20 manufacturing facility, have they taken the
- 21 pharmaceuticals. The employer may want to test them on
- 22 the way out.
- 23 And I'd say that drug testing is not the
- 24 type of thing that is normally associated with entering
- 25 and leaving the property. It's not the ordinary course

- 1 of checking in and checking out, waiting in line to do
- 2 so, the types of things that the Labor Department was
- 3 thinking about in 1947 when it adopted the interpretive
- 4 regulation that gave those examples.
- 5 And I also think that drug testing is not
- 6 the sort of thing that's classically associated with the
- 7 entrances and the exits. It's the sort of thing that is
- 8 usually done somewhere else. And that's different from
- 9 security and safety screenings, the most of which I
- 10 understand Respondents concede are not actually going to
- 11 be compensable.
- 12 And so we think that those are the two
- 13 reasons why in this case this looks like something that
- 14 is both postliminary -- it happens as part of a process
- 15 of getting out, it is -- it happens at the door, at the
- 16 portal or near there -- and it is not integral and
- 17 indispensable. It is different from the activities that
- 18 were at issue in Steiner or in King Packing or in IBP,
- 19 because the employees when they are on the work floor
- 20 doing their job, surely they do have access to
- 21 merchandise. It is of course important to Amazon, as
- 22 you pointed out, Justice Kagan, that they not take the
- 23 merchandise. Amazon and Integrity Staffing want to know
- 24 where the merchandise is.
- But the idea that this benefits the employer

- 1 or is required by the employer isn't enough to make it
- 2 compensable because, as Mr. Clement was just saying,
- 3 that's the test for whether something was work. That's
- 4 what was the test under Mt. Clemens, and Congress
- 5 excepted from that a class of activities, preliminary
- 6 and postliminary activities, that are noncompensable.
- 7 Travel time and preliminary and postliminary activities
- 8 like time clocks, punching in and punching out, that's
- 9 required by the employer. It benefits the employer.
- 10 That's not enough to make it compensable.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: But is the idea, then,
- 12 Mr. Gannon, just -- you know, it's basically a door
- 13 test? I mean, portal to portal. It might make sense
- 14 just to have a door test, exits and entrances.
- 15 MR. GANNON: I think that it has -- to be
- 16 more precise about it, I think that canonically most of
- 17 the activities are going to be associated with the
- 18 process of getting in and out. Those were the -- those
- 19 were the things that were at issue in the
- 20 Portal-to-Portal Act cases that Congress was effectively
- 21 reversing when it adopted the Portal-to-Portal Act. And
- 22 I think it's the continuous workday rule that's going to
- 23 make it --it makes sure that it happens only at the
- 24 beginning and the end of the day. I think that, to be
- 25 more precise about it, though, the way the statute is

- 1 phrased, it talks about these being activities that
- 2 occur before the principal work activities begin at the
- 3 end of the day or after they cease. Something isn't
- 4 preliminary if you've already started doing your
- 5 principal activities and it's not postliminary if you
- 6 haven't already ceased them.
- 7 And so the question is whether this is itself a
- 8 principal activity by virtue of being integral and
- 9 indispensable and --
- 10 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Can we write this case
- 11 without discussing whether or not this is for the
- 12 benefit of the employer? Or do we have to address that?
- 13 MR. GANNON: Well, I think that it's always
- 14 going to be for the benefit of the employer if it comes
- 15 up in the context of the Portal-to-Portal Act, because
- 16 we wouldn't be concerned about whether the exception --
- 17 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So you're saying that that is
- 18 really not at all helpful or necessary for the analysis?
- 19 MR. GANNON: I don't think it is, because
- 20 that's the antecedent question about whether it would
- 21 have been compensable under the FLSA. If it doesn't
- 22 benefit the employer, if it's not required by the
- 23 employer, it wouldn't have been work, you don't need to
- 24 decide whether it's preliminary and postliminary and
- 25 therefore excepted from the mandatory compensation

- 1 requirement.
- 2 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So you can -- so we assume
- 3 that it's for the benefit of the employer.
- 4 MR. GANNON: Yes. I think that it almost
- 5 certainly is always going to be for the benefit of the
- 6 employer, just like time clocks are, just like you could
- 7 say the requirement in Tennessee Coal and Jewell Mining,
- 8 the mine cases, that employees were required to ride
- 9 from the face -- from the portal of the mine, down to
- 10 the face where they are going to be working, they were
- 11 required to ride in the ore skiff -- in the ore skip,
- 12 and presumably that benefitted the employer for all
- 13 sorts of reasons. They didn't have to make more room
- 14 for people to walk. They didn't have to worry about
- 15 employees getting injured by skips that were going by.
- 16 And that's not enough to ensure --
- 17 JUSTICE KAGAN: Can I give you a different
- 18 hypo, which is similar to some of the ones that have
- 19 been floating around in the brief, but it's actually based
- 20 on real life circumstances. There was a judge ages ago
- 21 in the Southern District of New York who had his clerks
- 22 -- all that they did was help him with his opinions and
- 23 his cases and that was their principal activity, but had
- 24 his clerks come early in order to cut his grapefruit and
- otherwise make breakfast for him. And would that be

- 1 compensable?
- 2 MR. GANNON: Well, setting aside the
- 3 question of whether the law clerks were covered by the
- 4 FLSA to begin with --
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah,
- 6 yeah.
- 7 MR. GANNON: -- I take the point that that
- 8 would be compensable, and I think there we're talking
- 9 about a kind of activity that isn't preliminary or
- 10 postliminary. We do think that those words have
- 11 independent meaning. As we discuss in our brief --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: The one question I have for
- 13 your side is this. I would -- perhaps no one else
- 14 would, but I a pay a lot of attention to the Labor
- 15 Department.
- MR. GANNON: So do we, Justice Breyer.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, I understand that.
- 18 But this is a dismissal of a complaint and
- 19 it seems to me normally what happens is you debate these
- 20 facts on the summary judgment. I don't know if they
- 21 want to introduce something else. I mean, in my own
- 22 mind, I can think of five things I'd like to know about
- 23 the activity and about other activities and, you know,
- 24 is it more like the cash register, is it more like this
- or that. So shouldn't we send it back so that if they

- 1 want to develop the record further, they can?
- 2 MR. GANNON: I think, as the facts are
- 3 alleged here, we know enough to know that these
- 4 activities are not --
- 5 JUSTICE BREYER: No matter what? I mean,
- 6 suppose it turns out that the warehouse thing -- you
- 7 look all over the country and this kind of warehouse
- 8 employee, of course they have security checks and they
- 9 have a special kind of security checks, other people
- 10 don't have them. This is just normal that a warehouse
- 11 employee does have a security check at the end of the
- 12 day, and, boy, it begins to look a little bit more
- 13 integral. It begins to look like part of the job, just
- 14 as he has to put the books back on the shelf. He has to
- 15 put the books back on the shelf and he has to get a
- 16 security check.
- 17 MR. GANNON: Putting books back on the
- 18 shelf, closing out the cash register, taking the cash
- 19 car to the supervisor, all of those things we think are
- 20 compensable. Those are not --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Then what's different about
- 22 this? What you do is you put it back on the shelf, you
- 23 go get your security checked, and there we are.
- 24 MR. GANNON: Because those are still the
- 25 activities that the employee is paying you to perform,

- 1 and so that is the job that you are doing. You're still
- 2 handling the money, you're still --
- 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: I quess I just don't
- 4 understand that, Mr. Gannon, because, as Justice Kennedy
- 5 said, the point of my hypo was that it's not part of the
- 6 job. It's actually an antitheft mechanism.
- 7 MR. GANNON: But it's part of the job
- 8 because it's things that have to be done in order to --
- 9 I mean, the employer has set up the procedure in order
- 10 to manage the cash. The cash has to be taken somewhere
- 11 at the end of the day. When you're doing all of that --
- 12 JUSTICE KAGAN: The cash could be taken
- 13 somewhere much more quickly and much more easily if the
- 14 employer were not worried about the employee pocketing
- 15 some of it, you know. I mean, you could just put it in
- 16 a big bag, versus going through this very, very careful
- 17 sort of inventory control.
- 18 MR. GANNON: I don't think the purpose is
- 19 enough to distinguish. And we discuss at the end of our
- 20 brief about how there are safety and security searches,
- 21 that the purposes overlap a lot here, whether the
- 22 employer is trying to protect its time when it requires
- 23 somebody to do punching in or punching out or to protect
- 24 its property. I think the purpose is very difficult to
- 25 make that the distinguishing factor.

1	But there we think that those activities are
2	still what the employer is paying you to do. They're
3	not when you're done with the cash drawer, when
4	you've turned in the cash and then you're done, you've
5	ceased your principal activities for the day, now you
6	need to walk out the door. And if at the door, they
7	want to look in your purse, we think that that doesn't
8	transform the time it took you from being done with your
9	job to getting off the property into being compensable
10	any more than it would with the donning and doffing
11	cases.
12	Once you had finished taking off the garb,
13	you were done, and then if you still had to walk you
14	weren't getting paid. In IBP, this Court held that when
15	you were waiting in line to put on the equipment in the

- 16 morning, if the employer decides that they're just a
- 17 Nervous Nellie and they want you to be wearing all sort
- 18 of extra security equipment that nobody really thinks is
- important but the employer demands that you do, and they
- 20 think it benefits them, then you're going to have to get
- 21 paid for the time it takes to put that on, but not the
- 22 time you are waiting in line to do so.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- Mr. Thierman.
- 25 ORAL ARGUMENT OF MARK R. THIERMAN

1	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
2	MR. THIERMAN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it
3	please the Court:
4	We agree that there are levels of analysis
5	and the first level is, is this work; and under 785.7 of
6	the regs this is work because it's an activity required
7	for the benefit of the employer. Having said it's work,
8	the question then becomes, is it a principal activity.
9	Not whether it is integral and indispensable, but is it
10	a principal activity, because we never get to integral
11	and indispensable, we never get to postliminary and
12	preliminary, if it is a standalone or a principal
13	activity. And to answer that question
14	JUSTICE ALITO: Just before you get to
15	that, to understand the structure of your argument, if
16	we were to disagree with you on the question of whether
17	it's a principal activity that means you would lose this
18	case? You haven't argued that this is integral and
19	indispensable, have you?
20	MR. THIERMAN: The Ninth Circuit has taken
21	the position it's integral and indispensable. We have
22	not argued that. We have argued that the Ninth Circuit
23	test there are two tests. There's
24	JUSTICE ALITO: Have you abandoned that
25	argument?

- 1 MR. THIERMAN: No, I think we've basically
- 2 put the argument in a different place.
- 3 JUSTICE ALITO: Where in your brief do I
- 4 find your argument on integral and indispensable?
- 5 MR. THIERMAN: We adopt the same test as the
- 6 Ninth Circuit. We just say that it proves principal
- 7 activity. We don't say it proves integral and
- 8 indispensable. So we have the same test --
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: So a principal activity is
- 10 something that's integral and indispensable and then a
- 11 postliminary activity could be compensable if it's also
- 12 integral and indispensable?
- 13 MR. THIERMAN: No. A principal activity is
- 14 something that the employer tells to you do for the
- 15 benefit of the employer that's not carved out by one of
- 16 the exceptions, the exceptions being travel under
- 17 254(a)1, checking in and checking out and --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: Cutting grapefruit for the
- 19 judge would be a separate principal activity.
- 20 MR. THIERMAN: It would, because the judge
- 21 told to you do it.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yes, but this is --
- 23 no one's principal activity is going through security
- 24 screening. The employer doesn't hire somebody, I need
- 25 somebody to go through employee screening. He hires

- 1 them to do something else and then the employee
- 2 screening is certainly not principal.
- 3 MR. THIERMAN: But no one hired the clerks
- 4 of this Court to wear morning jackets, yet it is a
- 5 requirement of the job. Would they be -- are they
- 6 required to do it? Yes. If they are required to do it
- 7 on site, that is you require the changing on site by the
- 8 regulations, it must be compensable. You could have
- 9 waiters wear uniforms if you required --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: I think you hire them to
- 11 wear proper attire. Of course, you do. You could hire
- 12 a policeman to wear police uniforms --
- 13 MR. THIERMAN: Then you hire warehousemen
- 14 not to steal merchandise.
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: No, that's not part of
- 16 their job as a warehouseman. That isn't what they are
- 17 hired for. Policemen are hired to look like policemen
- 18 and act like policemen. And people who argue for the
- 19 Solicitor General are hired to speak like lawyers and
- 20 dress like members of the SG's office. I think that's
- 21 the difference between a principal activity and
- 22 something that's postliminary or preliminary.
- 23 MR. THIERMAN: Well, it depends on the view
- 24 you take of their job, if you look at the tasks they are
- 25 performing or do you look at their overall function.

- 1 Their overall function is to move merchandise without
- 2 losing it. If they don't -- if they ship it to the wrong
- 3 address, if they drop it in the wrong bin then it can't
- 4 be received, it's not there the next day they look for
- 5 that particular item in that particular bin, then they
- 6 haven't done their job. And if they steal, it's the
- 7 same thing.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well then, I quess I
- 9 don't understand what "principal" means. You're saying
- 10 everything that is related somehow to the job is
- 11 principal. I would have thought principal has to do
- 12 with things that are more significantly related.
- 13 You would say, typically, if somebody asked
- 14 what do you do, if you were one of these people, you
- 15 would say, oh, I fill orders for Amazon. I mean, it may
- 16 be part of that that you go through security at the end
- 17 of the day, but that doesn't make it a principal
- 18 activity.
- 19 MR. THIERMAN: The term "principal" doesn't
- 20 mean that it's overwhelmingly important. It means it's
- 21 one of your job tasks. And just like making the
- 22 grapefruit --
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Then I don't know
- 24 why don't you say "activities" rather than "principal
- 25 activities"?

- 1 MR. THIERMAN: The statute uses the word
- 2 "principal activity."
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I know you say it
- 4 because you want to fit under the statute, but I'm
- 5 saying I don't understand. It seems to me you're just
- 6 saying anything that is required for the benefit of the
- 7 employer is a principal activity.
- 8 MR. THIERMAN: We are saying that anything
- 9 that is required -- a person is hired to do what they
- 10 are told to do. That's your job. It's not whether it
- 11 exists in some kind of abstract job function or abstract
- 12 flow chart. The worker isn't sitting there deciding,
- 13 well, gee, is this a principal activity?
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The workers are
- 15 told: You've got to check out. It's something they
- 16 are told that they have to do.
- 17 MR. THEIRMAN: That's right.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And under your
- 19 theory that would be a principal activity.
- 20 MR. THIERMAN: No. Workers are told they
- 21 have to return their tools, which they have to be paid
- 22 for; they have to punch out or check out; and then after
- 23 that, and 20 minutes after that, they have to be
- 24 searched. So the idea that this is just a part of
- 25 checking out is wrong, factually, in this case.

- 1 It is also wrong that when you -- for
- 2 example, roll calls. Roll calls for -- are a checking
- 3 in function, but yet because there's more to it than
- 4 just checking in it's compensable, whereas just saying,
- 5 hi, I'm here, is not compensable.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: Just as the employer does
- 7 not hire somebody to check in, that's not the job. I
- 8 want to employ you to check in? Of course not.
- 9 So also, an employer does not hire somebody
- 10 in order to be inspected when he leaves. I don't see
- 11 how you can call it a principal activity.
- MR. THIERMAN: If the employer --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: And it's not indispensable
- 14 to the taking care of the material in the warehouse.
- 15 It's indispensable to -- it's important to the employer
- 16 and he requires it just as he requires punching in and
- 17 punching out. But that doesn't make it part of the job.
- 18 MR. THIERMAN: It's indispensable to keeping
- 19 your job. If you don't go through the security --
- 20 JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, that's true, but so
- 21 is punching in and punching out.
- 22 MR. THIERMAN: And there is this carveout
- 23 for checking in and checking out, which we acknowledge.
- 24 It is a separate, discrete function and it is a -- it is
- 25 not a work of consequence, which is actually the second

- 1 part of the definition under --
- 2 JUSTICE KAGAN: But the other way to look at
- 3 this is that the reason why checking in and checking out
- 4 is not compensable is because it does have to do with
- 5 this going in and going out. You know, it's all about
- 6 ingress and egress, and that's what the Portal-to-Portal
- 7 Act was about. And if I make you go through a security
- 8 gate as part of getting out of a factory, then it just
- 9 becomes part of this -- the principal design of the
- 10 Portal-to-Portal Act was about going in and going out
- 11 and this is part of that.
- MR. THIERMAN: But I can't have an assembly
- 13 line where you start at one end and at the end of the
- 14 assembly line, you're done for the day and say
- 15 everything along the way since it leads to your exit is
- 16 going to be a part of the egress process.
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: What you have here which
- 18 you could address in this is -- he's quite right,
- 19 Mr. Clement. Steiner uses the words three or four
- 20 times, the integral and indispensable, but they qualify
- 21 activities that take place outside of regular work
- 22 hours. I think here it's conceded that it takes place
- 23 outside regular work hours, it's indeed after checkout,
- 24 etcetera. So the question becomes integral and
- 25 indispensable and they have gloss on that and so forth.

- 1 Okay.
- 2 So I'm in the situation probably I'd say go
- 3 with the Labor Department. They are the ones who are in
- 4 charge of this. And they are saying you lose. They
- 5 point to something in 1951. And I have two questions.
- 6 The first one is, is there anything else you want to put
- 7 in besides this very detailed complaint? And the second
- 8 question is, okay, why don't you lose? What am I
- 9 supposed to do with that opinion? It's sitting there --
- 10 MR. THIERMAN: It's not reasoned. It
- 11 doesn't --
- 12 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, that's true, but it's
- 13 never seen in ad law in this area that -- okay, you can
- 14 cite Skidmore et cetera. But --
- 15 MR. THIERMAN: They don't even rely on it.
- 16 It's not an interpretation of the regulations. I think
- 17 what happened is is the bundle --
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: But they are telling us
- 19 now.
- 20 MR. THIERMAN: They are telling us now, but
- 21 I think it's bundled. The question is, do we bundle
- 22 this process or do we unbundle it. We say, just like
- 23 the cashier -- by the way, we represent a lot of
- 24 employees who sue casinos for the fact that they punch
- out, go downstairs, count their bank and then tally up,

- 1 takes 15 to 20 minutes, and then they go out a
- 2 special door for casino employees, and is all that
- 3 egress or is that a separate act?
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, the answer to the
- 5 first question, which was yes or no, there isn't
- 6 anything else you want to put in the record. You are
- 7 perfectly content with our deciding this on the facts
- 8 that are in the complaint?
- 9 MR. THIERMAN: I would put in the record
- 10 just what I just told Justice Kagan. That is,
- 11 this is a discrete act. This is not a wait -- they
- 12 punch out first. They don't punch out at the end. They
- 13 don't punch out during. They punch out -- the checking
- in and checking out function is completely finished.
- 15 They hand in their tools. They hand in, which they're
- 16 paid for because that the Department of Labor says you
- 17 have to be paid for, and the regulations don't -- none
- 18 of the briefs talk about the 7 -- the 785 series of
- 19 regulations which define work. And the 785 series
- 20 define work as basically when you're under the control
- 21 and doing what the employer tells to you do. And there
- 22 are --
- 23 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And how do you explain
- 24 that you're not compensated for the time you are on the
- 25 line waiting to don your protective gear? Protective

- 1 gear, donning it itself, that's a required part of the
- 2 job, and you're compensated for that. But you're not
- 3 compensated for the wait to do that.
- 4 MR. THIERMAN: Because it's a way in versus
- 5 a way out. You're leaving. So the continuous workday
- 6 works in favor of the employee in this time. The
- 7 continuous workday starts at the donning part, it ends
- 8 at the doffing part. And in the Steiner case and
- 9 Alvarez the doffing, the wait to doff, was covered
- 10 because it was part of the continuous work day. It --
- 11 it -- so it's the mirror image of when coming in. We're
- 12 not saying they should get paid for waiting on line to
- 13 come in. We're saying they should get paid waiting on
- 14 line to be searched. They are already checked out.
- 15 It's to be searched, and drug testing is compensable.
- 16 When they send to you a physical to get drug tested or a
- 17 physical to do a job, that's compensable time.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: All of this is
- 19 subject to collective bargaining. In other words,
- 20 you're sitting down and you say we want it to be \$15 an
- 21 hour and you're asking for a dollar raise and you'll
- 22 say, look, one reason we should get it is because we
- 23 have to wait a half hour to get out or whatever, and
- 24 that's -- whether it's compensable time or not, that can
- 25 certainly be a factor that goes into the collective

- 1 bargaining.
- 2 MR. THIERMAN: Well --
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: This only comes out
- 4 because it's after the fact. And after it's all taken
- 5 place, you go back and say we should get -- what are
- 6 these, double damages or --
- 7 MR. THIERMAN: Liquidated damages are
- 8 discretionary, it's --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But going forward,
- 10 they can always say, oh, we're not going -- the court
- 11 says we're not going to get compensated for this time so
- we're going to insist on a higher amount of the hourly
- 13 wage for the 8 hours that we do get compensated for.
- 14 MR. THIERMAN: Well --
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: Are these employees
- 16 unionized?
- 17 MR. THIERMAN: These are non-union
- 18 employees. They are all non-union employees.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, a lot of the
- 20 employees covered by this are union employees, right?
- 21 MR. THIERMAN: Actually, the -- the
- 22 Petitioner makes a big deal about this avalanche of
- 23 things happening, but so far out of the 7,000 or so FLSA
- 24 work -- lawsuits that are filed every -- every year,
- 25 there are only five or six defendants who have ever been

- 1 hit with this in the last ten years. And no, they are
- 2 mostly non-union companies.
- 3 You are talking about 3.0, and the clothes
- 4 changing is a separate exception. But in fact, the reg
- 5 on that says if it was required by law or the employer
- 6 and it's not covered by the union contract, then it is
- 7 compensable. So it is the requirement that the employer
- 8 tell you to do it that, I think is the linchpin to make
- 9 something a principal activity.
- 10 If the employer didn't have a mandate, if
- 11 the employer says to Justice Scalia, well, I don't
- 12 really care how you get here but you better show up at
- 13 8 o'clock, you can get here at 7:30, you can get here at
- 14 7:45. You take the risk you're going to be late. That's
- 15 a different story. That's a different standard. That's
- 16 the integral and indispensable.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: What if the employer says I
- 18 have to take a particular employer-owned trolley to get
- 19 to the place where I work?
- 20 MR. THIERMAN: It's covered by a specific
- 21 exception under the 254(a)(1). It's the travel.
- 22 Mt. Clemens was the furthest extension of work we have.
- 23 They rolled back Mt. Clemens. They rolled back a part
- 24 of Tennessee Coal, which was the travel time, but when
- 25 they rolled back Mt. Clemens, they only rolled back a

- 1 portion of it. They rolled back the going from the
- 2 portal to your work station part of it. They didn't
- 3 roll back everything that was in Mt. Clemens.
- 4 Even though it's in the briefs, I'm sure the
- 5 Petitioner would not want to the de minimus rule to be
- 6 eviscerated. It is a rule for their favor. That's in
- 7 Mt. Clemens. There's lots of stuff in Mt. Clemens that
- 8 still survives on both sides. But Mt. Clemens rolled
- 9 back -- was rolled back by the Portal-to-Portal Act by
- 10 the concept of you're on the property, you're in the
- 11 employ. I mean, where -- Nevada has one of the -- is --
- 12 actually has that kind of sense of employment as opposed
- 13 to the Federal employment, which is a much more
- 14 employee -- employer directed. You do what you're told.
- 15 Your day starts when your first command comes down.
- 16 Here's -- the last command is to be
- 17 searched. And it doesn't take 2 minutes; it takes
- 18 20 minutes, because they don't want to open up more
- 19 kiosks and -- and -- or use some kind of queueing theory
- 20 and shorten the lines. That's their choice.
- 21 It's also a way of enforcing a -- a policy
- 22 or a way of -- there are other ways to make sure people
- 23 don't steal things. They could use cameras. They could
- 24 use -- they could use a tally. They could make people
- 25 stand by their bench at the end of the day and they go

- 1 through every bin. Certainly that would be compensable.
- 2 It's -- the fact is they chose this method.
- 3 It's an employer's choice to do it, because it's the
- 4 cheapest method to do it, to discourage employee theft.
- 5 And if the merchandise isn't there at the -- at the
- 6 end of the day or the beginning of the next shift, you
- 7 can't do your job. So in that sense, it is
- 8 indispensable. You need that merchandise not to be
- 9 stolen so you can fill your orders.
- But just the command itself, unless it's a
- 11 carveout, which we have a carveout for changing -- for
- 12 checking in, checking out. We have a carveout for
- 13 travel, community-type travel. It's work because you're
- 14 told to do it and it's not an employee's position to
- 15 say, gee, I don't think this is work or I don't think
- 16 this is compensable. They used the example of sneaking
- 17 out the back door. We don't want employees to be going,
- 18 oh, well, I'm not getting paid for this, so I'm going to
- 19 sneak out the back door.
- Now, when it's a non-mandated -- employer
- 21 non-mandated task, then we have a whole set of different
- 22 rules. That's when we use integral and indispensable.
- 23 Then we say: Is it necessary? Is it indispensable?
- 24 Was he doing it for his own convenience or was he doing
- 25 it for the employer's because he couldn't do the job

- 1 without it?
- 2 If we have a situation where we're -- we're
- 3 told to do it and he doesn't do it, he's fired, I think
- 4 that's -- that's ipso facto indispensable to his job,
- 5 whether it makes sense or not from the employer's point
- 6 of view. I mean, employers make people do all kinds of
- 7 things that don't make sense.
- 8 Anyway, the fact is that there are lots of
- 9 examples in the regs of things that are just not -- that
- 10 are not standalone jobs that are principal activities.
- 11 And if the -- if the definition of a principal activity
- 12 requires it to be related to something else --
- 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I think you -- I think
- 14 you may have misspoke. There aren't standalone --
- 15 standalone jobs that are not principal activities.
- 16 MR. THIERMAN: I'm sorry. I did it
- 17 backwards. There are jobs that are not standalone, that
- 18 are portions of the day that are principal activities.
- 19 The grapefruit would be one. You don't make grapefruit
- 20 all day long for the judge. There are -- so the concept
- 21 that it has to be a job in and of itself that you can
- 22 have somebody else to do it is wrong.
- 23 The changing clothes, the engage to wait.
- 24 If you look in the -- if you look in the legislative
- 25 history and you look in the regulations, there are two

- 1 scenarios that Senator Cooper says explains everything.
- 2 The first one is waiting -- is you've got to come in and
- 3 oil your machine before you can do your job. That's
- 4 integral and indispensable. But the second one is
- 5 laying out work for other people. Now, we don't even
- 6 know what that particular person's job is. For all we
- 7 know, they could be the coffee maker or the -- or the
- 8 gofer or whatever, but they have a task that has been
- 9 assigned by their employer that they must be paid for
- 10 doing, the laying out the work of other people. That's
- 11 not integral and indispensable to their job. But it's a
- 12 specific task. It's a principal activity. And
- 13 that's -- and that's what I think the legislation
- 14 contemplates.
- 15 JUSTICE ALITO: Those are all things that
- 16 somebody might pay somebody to do individually. So if
- 17 the -- if the law clerk didn't prepare the grapefruit,
- 18 the judge might hire somebody else to come in and
- 19 prepare the grapefruit. But this is different, isn't
- 20 it? Because nobody would -- you wouldn't pay anybody
- 21 just to come in and go through a security --
- 22 MR. THIERMAN: And nobody would pay anyone
- 23 to have their changing into a uniform to be a waiter.
- 24 But if you require the changing on site, it's
- 25 compensable. No one --

- 1 JUSTICE ALITO: All I'm saying is that the
- 2 examples you gave are -- are quite different because
- 3 those are like mowing the lawn, things like that, those
- 4 are things you would pay somebody. If you didn't have
- 5 this employee do it, you could -- you might hire
- 6 somebody else to do it.
- 7 MR. THIERMAN: The problem is waiting to
- 8 receive instructions. Okay. That is clearly engaged to
- 9 wait; you're waiting to receive instructions. If you
- 10 aren't going to do anything with those instructions,
- 11 that's not a job. You don't say if someone's a
- 12 professional instruction-receiving person. That's not a
- 13 job. Yet it's a principal activity. You are engaged to
- 14 wait. And it doesn't matter whether you're engaged to
- wait because the employer wants you there on the ready
- 16 for something to happen or the employer just says, you
- 17 know, I feel like having the factory full on Tuesday.
- 18 Maybe -- whatever the employer wants to do, assuming
- 19 it's not illegal --
- 20 JUSTICE ALITO: No, I understand that, but
- 21 it's -- that enables you to do the thing that the
- 22 employer is paying you for. It's different from the
- 23 examples that you gave.
- 24 MR. THIERMAN: It is if you're not -- if it
- does, in fact, lead to that. But there are employers

- 1 who say -- they're OCD, they want everyone there till
- 2 8 o'clock, even if you have nothing to do. I'm paying
- 3 you to stay till 8:00 o'clock, you stay till 8:00
- 4 o'clock. There's nothing that is coming out of that,
- 5 but yet you are told to stay, so you stay. And there's
- 6 no -- there's no function to it. I mean, the employers
- 7 do things like that or they do it for reasons that we
- 8 don't know or we won't understand and we don't care
- 9 because that's our system. They are allowed to run
- 10 their business their way. And a corollary of that is
- 11 that they tell people what to do. And, you know, the
- 12 old rule in the union shop is obey and grieve. You
- don't like, do it and then grieve it later.
- 14 Same thing here. It doesn't make sense
- 15 what we're doing, but we're going to do it. And
- 16 that's -- and, you know, maybe it's a slow day and he
- 17 just wants to make his -- the employer wants to keep the
- 18 people busy. Whatever it is, if the employer tells to
- 19 you do it and it's not within these carveouts, it -- it
- 20 is compensable.
- 21 And the drug testing is another example.
- 22 The drug testing is compensable. Why? Because your
- 23 freedom -- you're giving up your time. Your freedom
- 24 isn't -- and you're doing it because the employer told
- 25 told you to do it.

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: Suppose the employer has
- 2 enough stations that it just takes a minute to go
- 3 through. Would you still be making the argument that
- 4 that's compensable?
- 5 MR. THIERMAN: If the -- if the employees go
- 6 through in a minute, it's de minimis. And that's -- and
- 7 that's the safety valve or the escape valve. First we
- 8 decide if it's work; second we decide if it's within the
- 9 postliminary and preliminary carveout; and third we
- 10 decide if it's de minimis.
- 11 JUSTICE SCALIA: Gotcha. Okay. Five
- 12 minutes?
- 13 MR. THIERMAN: The Ninth Circuit uses a
- 14 ten-minute rule. It -- it varies. I mean, it -- it --
- if it's constantly done every day for ten minutes
- 16 exactly, it gets close. But three minutes, it's
- 17 trivial.
- 18 But we're not talking trivial here. We're
- 19 talking 20, 25 minutes. And it's 20 to 25 minutes not
- 20 necessary to be done if they didn't have the screening,
- 21 if they didn't have that -- that type of screening. You
- 22 know, you take off your clothes, you take off your
- 23 shoes, you put your jacket down, you empty your pockets.
- 24 And then the metal detector goes off anyway and they
- 25 take you aside and they do another screening. That's

- 1 not de minimis. That's a whole long process. If you go
- 2 through the airport, we know it.
- 3 The funny thing is, you ask about the -- we
- 4 do this on the way into an airport. Nobody screens you
- 5 on the way out of an airport. I don't think the
- 6 government very often screens people on the way out of a
- 7 building. It could be an exception, I know. But -- but
- 8 generally speaking, people are screened on the way in,
- 9 and that's because it's not for the benefit of the
- 10 employer, it's for the benefit of the public, for their
- 11 security and safety.
- This is not a security check. This is not
- 13 patting you down for weapons or taking out nuclear
- 14 secrets or anything like that. This is why -- this is
- 15 only a theft deterrent mechanism. In fact, one could
- 16 argue that the -- that the search itself, whether they
- 17 find anything or not, has an effect on every other
- 18 employee who knows it's happening. It's a --
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: What would the rule be --
- 20 suppose there weren't a special section about clothing.
- 21 Suppose that didn't exist and -- and suppose you had to
- 22 change into a uniform. Would that be compensable or
- 23 not?
- 24 MR. THIERMAN: Well, the way the -- the way
- 25 the history --

1	JUSTICE BREYER: What is the history?
2	MR. THIERMAN: The way the history is, it
3	says if it's necessary for the job and then they
4	distinguish between changing on site and changing off
5	site. So we're not talking about protective clothing.
6	We're talking about decorative clothing. Okay? And
7	the and the argument is you change once in the
8	morning when you go to work. That you do on your own
9	time. And if the employer says, I want you to wear a
10	blue shirt instead of a pink shirt or a yellow shirt,
11	he's not really adding to your burden. All he's doing
12	is selecting your clothes for you.
13	JUSTICE BREYER: But if it's a uniform
14	MR. THIERMAN: But if it's even if it's a
15	uniform, if you could wear it to work and this
16	happens with police officers. If they can wear their
17	uniform to work and the and the locker room at work
18	is just for the convenience of the officers, it's not
19	compensable. But if they have to change on site for
20	whatever reason in clean rooms they have to change on
21	site because they need those uniforms to be pressed. In
22	one of our cases we have involving a casino, they don't
23	want their image diluted by seeing uniform outside
24	JUSTICE BREYER: So you're saying if you
25	didn't have that special section, this is compensable.

- 1 If it were a change of clothing, it had to take place on
- 2 site.
- 3 MR. THIERMAN: Exactly.
- 4 JUSTICE BREYER: And so this isn't really
- 5 different from that.
- 6 MR. THIERMAN: That's right. It's not
- 7 different from that because they're telling you you have
- 8 to stay on site.
- 9 JUSTICE BREYER: You have to do it on site
- 10 and it's no -- it's the same kind of burden that you might
- 11 have to have protective clothes or some kind of special
- 12 thing that you change into on site.
- 13 MR. THIERMAN: Right. They -- the employer
- 14 requires it for the employer's convenience and it
- doesn't fall in the travel exception. It's not checking
- in and checking out because, as I've said, the checking
- 17 in and checking out, first of all, is a very, very minor
- 18 task.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: What's the example that you
- 20 you found that's the closest to this that favors you?
- 21 MR. THIERMAN: Drug testing.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Hmm?
- 23 MR. THIERMAN: Drug testing.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Drug testing.
- 25 MR. THIERMAN: Because drug testing you can

- 1 do on the way out or you can do it on the -- on the way
- 2 in or -- and -- and if they want to test you on the way
- 3 out because they want you to go -- I mean, there are
- 4 ways of defeating a drug test by taking other chemicals.
- 5 So they escort you to the bathroom, they make somebody
- 6 sit there and watch you while you -- while you -- while
- 7 you give them a sample and then they go test it, and
- 8 they won't let you leave until you're done testing it --
- 9 until they're done testing it and they have a result.
- 10 That's a close example. And yet --
- 11 JUSTICE BREYER: I mean, is that well
- 12 established?
- 13 MR. THIERMAN: There's DOL memo of 1997,
- 14 September 15, and it says drug testing is compensable.
- 15 Physical exams for truck drivers and other types of
- 16 people are compensable. Fueling the truck when you're
- done with your trip is compensable.
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: Is waiting to put on
- 19 protective gear compensable?
- 20 MR. THIERMAN: Waiting to put on, no;
- 21 waiting to put off, yes.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: Say it -- say it again?
- 23 MR. THIERMAN: Waiting to put on, no.
- 24 JUSTICE SCALIA: No.
- MR. THIERMAN: Waiting to put off, yes.

- 1 See, we're -- we're dealing with a continuous workday
- 2 rule and we're on the other end of it. Most of the
- 3 cases everyone is talking about are preliminary to work.
- 4 We're talking postliminary if -- or principal activity.
- 5 And on the way out, it's the continuous workday rule
- 6 works in favor of the employee. On the way in, it works
- 7 in favor of the employer because the clock hasn't
- 8 started. Anyway --
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: All of the examples
- 10 you've just been giving and what you say the rules
- 11 are --
- 12 MR. THIERMAN: Yes.
- 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- are they part of the
- 14 labor regulations?
- 15 MR. THIERMAN: Yes. They're -- they're --
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So I can find them?
- 17 MR. THIERMAN: Yes. They're in -- they're
- 18 in the -- what the -- what the government and Petitioner
- 19 don't discuss is the 785 series. The 785 series is
- 20 what's work. The 785 series says that if you are
- 21 required to take a -- a seminar, even if it's nothing to
- 22 do with what you -- what you are employed to do, it's
- 23 compensable.
- 24 If it's a voluntary seminar, then it -- then
- 25 there's a -- a kind of a test. Well, is it related to

- 1 your work, not related to your work, is it going to
- 2 advance your work or not advance it. But if it's
- 3 totally unrelated to your work, but you're required to
- 4 take the seminar, whether it's after hours or right
- 5 after class -- or right after the work, it's
- 6 compensable. There are a whole series of --
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: Unless it's covered by the
- 8 Portal-to-Portal Act. And the Portal-to- Portal Act is
- 9 an exception to that.
- 10 MR. THIERMAN: No. The regulations point
- 11 blank say it's covered. There's no Portal-to-Portal Act
- 12 argument on those regulations.
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: The notion that if it is
- 14 compensable work it's covered, there is an exception
- 15 to -- there's an exception to what the employer
- 16 requires. He can require some things and yet not be
- 17 liable for, under -- under the Act, to pay for those
- 18 things. That's what the Portal-to-Portal Act is about.
- 19 MR. THIERMAN: The -- and we say those
- 20 exceptions that he's not required to pay for are the
- 21 transportation to and from the mine, which is (1)(a) --
- 22 or (a)(1) of the Portal -- 254(a)(1), and then you don't
- 23 get to (a)(2) if it's a -- if it's a principal activity.
- 24 And the regulations on attending seminars does not
- 25 discuss any exemption about way in or way out. It

- 1 simply says that if the employer mandates you take a
- 2 seminar, no matter what it's on, they have to pay you
- 3 for the time.
- 4 So there's no Portal-to-Portal Act issue if
- 5 it's a principal activity. And what the regulations of
- 6 78 -- 785 say, these are lists of things that are
- 7 compensable because the employer told you to do it. And
- 8 it's -- whether it's fueling the truck at the end of the
- 9 day -- you don't need to have the truck fueled at the
- 10 end of the day because you're already done driving, so
- it's not integral and indispensable to anything. Those
- 12 are the kind of things that the Portal-to-Portal Act
- doesn't cover because they're principal activities.
- 14 I -- I could -- I could -- sexual harassment
- 15 training, another one. We require employees to go
- 16 through sexual harassment training. Is that a part of
- 17 their job? It's a task. It's required of them.
- 18 JUSTICE BREYER: What about checkout?
- 19 MR. THIERMAN: Checking out meaning?
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, they say this is like
- 21 checkout. You go -- you go check out, put your time
- 22 card in, check out.
- 23 MR. THIERMAN: Yes. That is, punching in,
- 24 punching out is an exception. Checking in and checking
- 25 out is an exception.

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: Why? What's the theory? I
- 2 mean, what's the theory?
- 3 MR. THIERMAN: Well, the theory, I think --
- 4 I -- I think that in 1947, that's what they -- they
- 5 thought it was such a -- I don't want to use the word
- 6 "de minimis" because it has whole other meaning,
- 7 but it's --
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: But they're thinking --
- 9 see, the other side says, well, this is like checking
- 10 out, you know.
- 11 MR. THIERMAN: But it's not. And that's --
- 12 and isn't that a factual question for a jury? But it's
- 13 not. It's not like checking out because they've already
- 14 checked out and you don't check out twice. I mean, you
- 15 don't. You don't check out twice. And the difference
- 16 between checking in -- because, like, I don't have a
- 17 checkout example -- but the difference in checking in
- 18 and a roll call -- and -- and you must get paid for roll
- 19 calls -- is that the employer tells you to be there at a
- 20 certain time and do this thing where -- and that's
- 21 compensable. But if a -- so if you guild or if you put
- 22 the one straw on top of the checking out, you break the
- 23 camel. And -- and it's a very, very small exception,
- 24 because generally speaking, we want people not to work
- 25 off the clock, because this is what this case is all

- 1 about. It's about --
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you. Thank
- 3 you, counsel.
- 4 MR. THIERMAN: -- working off the clock.
- 5 Thank you, Your Honors.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Clement you have
- 7 four minutes.
- 8 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF PAUL D. CLEMENT
- 9 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 10 MR. CLEMENT: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 11 Just a few points in rebuttal.
- 12 I'd like to start with what is the linchpin
- 13 of principal activities. Principal activities is
- 14 obviously a term introduced to the Act by the
- 15 Portal-to-Portal Act. The regulations define that as
- 16 work of consequence. Now, my friend would like you to
- 17 say that the linchpin is whether it's required. Here's
- 18 why that makes no sense at all. Whether you get into
- 19 the Fair Labor Standards Act in the first instance is
- 20 determined by whether it's required. Now, it doesn't
- 21 much -- do much good for Congress to say, don't worry
- 22 about that, employers. We have an exception for you.
- 23 But the exception is also never satisfied as long as
- 24 it's required. That would give the exception no --
- JUSTICE BREYER: That isn't really, I think,

- 1 their main point. I think this is -- this isn't really
- 2 like they say, just checking out. What it is more like
- 3 is drug testing. You heard the argument. Drug
- 4 testing --
- 5 MR. CLEMENT: I heard their argument.
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: -- a seminar that you'd
- 7 have on the thing about sexual harassment, da, da, da.
- 8 Okay. So what is your response?
- 9 MR. CLEMENT: Especially as my friend
- 10 describes drug testing, it doesn't sound like any
- 11 logical part of the egress process. And I think that's
- 12 why those things are not covered. They're not covered
- 13 not because --
- 14 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you, certainly,
- 15 Mr. Clement, make it part of the egress process. I
- 16 mean, if this is just ingress/egress and I -- I -- seems
- 17 as though that's part of what the Portal-to-Portal Act
- 18 does. Why not make it part of the egress process and
- 19 then there would be a wholly different outcome; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 MR. CLEMENT: Well, no, I don't think so. I
- 22 think at some point, the Court can obviously take --
- 23 police employers that want to lard on things that have
- 24 nothing to do with the egress process and say you've got
- 25 a wash my car on the way out. And as he describes the

- 1 drug testing process, you're actually diverted from your
- 2 process of exiting. You're escorted -- escorted to --
- 3 to the restroom and monitored while you do it. It
- 4 doesn't sound anything like an egress process.
- 5 And those activities are covered not because
- 6 they are postliminary activities that are integral and
- 7 indispensable. Those activities are covered because
- 8 they're work under the FLSA and the portal-to-portal
- 9 exceptions for postliminary and preliminary activity
- 10 simply don't apply. And that covers car washing; it
- 11 covers drug testing; it covers also these videos. It
- 12 really is quite different. But the checkout process,
- 13 which is part of the egress process, really does make
- 14 this very close.
- One other point of clarification on this,
- 16 Justice Scalia, you were exactly right when you said the
- 17 785 series, which they want to rely on, are about hours
- 18 worked under the Fair Labor Standards Act. They say
- 19 what's in the universe of things that are potentially
- 20 subject to the exceptions of the Portal-to-Portal Act.
- 21 The principal activities is defined in the
- 22 regulations in the 790 series, which is all about the
- 23 Portal-to-Portal Act and 790.A is helpfully entitled
- 24 principal activities. What are principal activities?
- Not anything that the employer requires, but work of

- 1 consequence and that gets you to this concept that work
- 2 of consequence is things like cutting grapefruit or
- 3 preparing every work station in the facility or driving
- 4 the bus that gets people to the mine. Work of
- 5 consequence is not checking in and checking out. It is
- 6 not going through security. Nobody gets paid to go
- 7 through security all day. Now, it also -- nobody gets
- 8 paid take a bath all day either. And so that's why --
- 9 that's not a complete test. You still have to ask the
- 10 final question, which is whether it's integral and
- 11 indispensable to the principal activities.
- 12 Now, my friends really don't want to talk
- 13 about that, I think, with good reason because this
- 14 clearly is not integral and indispensable. And I don't
- 15 think you can make not stealing indispensable to the
- 16 workday; otherwise, all these employees could stay home
- 17 away from the -- the merchandise and they'd never steal
- 18 a thing and that would be their work function. It just
- 19 doesn't work. The work function is to fulfill the
- 20 orders.
- I want to say one last thing about your
- 22 opening hypothetical, Justice Kagan. There is another
- 23 difference between your hypothetical and this situation,
- 24 which at least as I understood your hypothetical, if an
- 25 employee has to stay at their work station and while a

- 1 20-minute process goes on, that's the employer requiring
- 2 them to be on the premises for an extra 20 minutes.
- 3 That's much more like being engaged to wait than waiting
- 4 to engage.
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: I gave it to you two ways.
- 6 And one was at your work station and the other was an
- 7 employer, who knowing that there was this ingress/egress
- 8 rule, made it part of the ingress/egress process. So
- 9 that's my other question repeated again.
- 10 MR. CLEMENT: But -- but even as to the
- 11 modified hypothetical, if you have to stay on the
- 12 employer's premises for an extra 20 minutes, that's
- 13 closer to being engaged to wait as opposed to waiting to
- 14 engage. The allegations here are not that this process
- 15 takes 25 minutes. It can take up to 25 minutes if
- 16 you're in the very back of the line. And I think one of
- 17 the many reasons not to adopt their rule is you don't
- 18 want to create an incentive for every employee to try to
- 19 get to the back of the line, which is hardly going to
- 20 speed things up.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- The case is submitted.
- 23 (Whereupon, at 11:05 a.m., the case in the
- 24 above-entitled matter was submitted.)

25

	adding 48:11	apply 57:10	balance 17:20	36:4 47:19 48:1
A 120.24	address 22:12 31:3	apply 37.10 applying 12:2	bank 4:4 15:19	48:13,24 49:4,9
abandoned 28:24	34:18	area 35:13	35:25	49:19,22,24 50:11
aboveentitled 1:12	adopt 29:5 59:17	arent 18:18 19:1	barcode 16:2	53:18,20 54:1,8
59:24	adopted 20:3 21:21	42:14 44:10	barcoded 15:25	55:25 56:6
abstract 32:11,11	advance 52:2,2	arguable 6:9	bargaining 37:19	brief 18:15,20
accepted 18:3 access 20:20	ages 23:20	arguably 10:2,5	38:1	23:19 24:11 26:20
acknowledge 33:23	ago 23:20	argue 30:18 47:16	based 23:19	29:3
act 3:13,16 4:25 5:1	agree 12:24 28:4	argued 28:18,22,22	basically 17:16	briefs 19:16 36:18
6:9 7:3,23,24 8:6	airport 47:2,4,5	argument 1:13 2:2	21:12 29:1 36:20	40:4
9:6,18,24 10:1,7,9	al 1:7	2:5,9,12 3:3,7	bath 58:8	building 47:7
11:2,22 12:1,3,7	alito 28:14,24 29:3	17:23 27:25 28:15	bathroom 50:5	bundle 35:17,21
17:11,11,19 18:3	29:9 43:15 44:1	28:25 29:2,4 46:3	beg 15:23	bundled 35:21
21:20,21 22:15	44:20	48:7 52:12 55:8	beginning 18:8	burden 48:11 49:10
30:18 34:7,10	allegation 7:20,21	56:3,5	21:24 41:6	bus 58:4
36:3,11 40:9 52:8	allegations 59:14	arisen 4:24	begins 25:12,13	business 5:13 15:13
52:8,11,17,18	alleged 25:3	arrive 18:5	behalf 1:16,20,22	16:11 45:10
53:4,12 55:14,15	allegedly 7:16	aside 24:2 46:25	2:4,7,11,14 3:8	busk 1:7 3:5
55:19 56:17 57:18	allowed 45:9	asked 31:13	28:1 55:9	busy 45:18
57:20,23	alvarez 37:9	asking 37:21	behaving 16:23	butchering 15:5
activities 4:16 5:2,2	amazon 4:10 15:10	aspect 17:4	bench 40:25	
6:12 7:3 8:1 9:23	15:11,11,13,15,22	assembly 34:12,14	benefit 9:20 10:20	<u>C</u>
10:4 16:5 17:13	15:25 20:21,23	assigned 43:9	10:21,22 11:20,24	c 1:9,16,19 2:1 3:1
18:4,8,12,14	31:15	assistant 1:18	12:5 13:1,3,17	call 18:24 33:11
20:17 21:5,6,7,17	amazons 16:11	associated 18:5	16:21 22:12,14,22	54:18
22:1,2,5 24:23	ambit 4:15	19:24 20:6 21:17	23:3,5 28:7 29:15	calls 33:2,2 54:19
25:4,25 27:1,5	amicus 1:20 2:8	assume 10:14,17	32:6 47:9,10	camel 54:23 cameras 40:23
31:24,25 34:21	17:25	23:2	benefits 9:14 20:25	cameras 40.23
42:10,15,18 53:13	amount 9:21 38:12	assuming 44:18	21:9 27:20	candidate 8.9 canonically 21:16
55:13,13 57:5,6,7	analogize 19:17	assumption 4:19	benefitted 23:12	cant 12:11,25,25
57:21,24,24 58:11	analogous 8:12	attending 52:24 attention 24:14	better 5:19 14:6	14:12 15:5 18:23
activity 3:12,16,19	17:4	attire 30:11	39:12 betters 15:12	19:7 31:3 34:12
3:21 9:11,12,13	analogue 16:20 analysis 11:19,22	avalanche 38:22	big 26:16 38:22	41:7
9:14 10:10,12,25	11:23 12:17 22:18	avaianche 56.22	bin 31:3,5 41:1	car 7:8,10 25:19
12:3 15:2 17:8	28:4	В	bit 6:12 14:6 25:12	56:25 57:10
19:3,15 22:8	anomalies 8:19	back 5:3 8:2 11:5	blank 52:11	card 53:22
23:23 24:9,23	answer 6:22,24	11:14 13:13 15:17	blue 48:10	care 33:14 39:12
28:6,8,10,13,17	28:13 36:4	24:25 25:14,15,17	books 25:14,15,17	45:8
29:7,9,11,13,19	antecedent 22:20	25:22 38:5 39:23	boy 25:12	careful 26:16
29:23 30:21 31:18	antitheft 4:6 5:25	39:23,25,25 40:1	break 54:22	carved 29:15
32:2,7,13,19 33:11 39:9 42:11	6:1,4 19:18 26:6	40:3,9,9 41:17,19	breakfast 23:25	carveout 33:22
43:12 44:13 51:4	anybody 43:20	59:16,19	breyer 13:21 14:2,9	41:11,11,12 46:9
52:23 53:5 57:9	anyway 42:8 46:24	backwards 42:17	14:12,18,21 24:12	carveouts 45:19
ad 35:13	51:8	bad 8:9	24:16,17 25:5,21	case 3:4 4:9,24 5:13
added 11:16	appearances 1:15	bag 26:16	34:17 35:12,18	5:20 6:9 7:5,7 8:2
auucu 11.10	11		, -	
	-	-	-	·

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
12:14,19 20:13	13:15 19:5 20:1,1	10:22 11:12,21	compensable 3:20	36:20
22:10 28:18 32:25	29:17,17 32:25	12:13,18 13:4,24	4:21 8:10,16 9:5	convenience 41:24
37:8 54:25 59:22	33:2,4,23,23 34:3	14:7,10,17,25	10:1,5,6,25 11:25	48:18 49:14
59:23	34:3 36:13,14	15:23 16:17 21:2	12:12 13:12 17:10	cooper 43:1
cases 6:11 7:24	41:12,12 49:15,16	34:19 55:6,8,10	17:13 18:17 19:2	corollary 45:10
9:22 11:15 13:10	49:16,17 53:19,24	56:5,9,15,21	19:9 20:11 21:2	correct 14:3
17:17 19:2 21:20	53:24 54:9,13,16	59:10	21:10 22:21 24:1	cost 11:11
23:8,23 27:11	54:17,22 56:2	clerk 43:17	24:8 25:20 27:9	couldnt 5:8 41:25
48:22 51:3	58:5,5	clerks 23:21,24	29:11 30:8 33:4,5	counsel 17:21
cash 3:24 4:1,3 5:9	checkout 34:23	24:3 30:3	34:4 37:15,17,24	27:23 55:3 59:21
14:15 15:18 24:24	53:18,21 54:17	clock 8:13 51:7	39:7 41:1,16	count 35:25
25:18,18 26:10,10	57:12	54:25 55:4	43:25 45:20,22	country 25:7
26:12 27:3,4	checks 25:8,9	clocks 13:15 17:2	46:4 47:22 48:19	couple 7:10
cashier 4:8 6:20	chemicals 50:4	21:8 23:6	48:25 50:14,16,17	course 19:25 20:21
35:23	chief 3:3,9 17:21	close 4:3,25 8:25	50:19 51:23 52:6	25:8 30:11 33:8
cashiers 6:2,23	18:1 27:23 28:2	14:5 46:16 50:10	52:14 53:7 54:21	court 1:1,13 3:10
casino 4:5 36:2	29:22 31:8,23	57:14	compensated 17:15	8:15 12:19 17:9
48:22	32:3,14,18 37:18	closely 17:4	36:24 37:2,3	17:16 18:2 27:14
casinos 35:24	38:3,9,19 55:2,6	closer 11:7 59:13	38:11,13	28:3 30:4 38:10
caused 10:19	55:10 59:21	closes 15:18,18	compensation 18:4	56:22
cease 22:3	choice 40:20 41:3	closest 49:20	22:25	courts 6:11 9:22
ceased 22:6 27:5	chose 41:2	closing 4:1 5:9 9:1	complaint 24:18	13:10,25
certain 13:7,7	circuit 3:17 6:15	25:18	35:7 36:8	cover 53:13
54:20	28:20,22 29:6	clothes 13:1 39:3	complete 6:19 58:9	covered 24:3 37:9
certainly 15:24	46:13	42:23 46:22 48:12	completely 36:14	38:20 39:6,20
23:5 30:2 37:25	circumstances	49:11	concede 20:10	52:7,11,14 56:12
41:1 56:14	10:23 23:20	clothing 47:20 48:5	conceded 34:22	56:12 57:5,7
cetera 35:14	cite 35:14	48:6 49:1	concept 40:10	covers 57:10,11,11
change 47:22 48:7	city 11:7	coal 11:15 23:7	42:20 58:1	create 8:19 59:18
48:19,20 49:1,12	clarification 57:15	39:24	concepts 9:17	created 17:17
changed 19:4	class 21:5 52:5	coffee 43:7	concern 4:3	crystal 4:12 8:3
changing 30:7 39:4	classic 3:12	collective 37:19,25	concerned 22:16	culminating 17:17
41:11 42:23 43:23	classically 17:7	color 13:7	congress 17:18	curiae 1:20 2:8
43:24 48:4,4	20:6	come 13:21,23,25	21:4,20 55:21	17:25
charge 35:4	clean 48:20	15:1 16:20 23:24	congresss 17:12	curtis 1:18 2:6
chart 32:12	clear 4:12 8:4,5	37:13 43:2,18,21	consequence 33:25	17:23
cheapest 41:4	17:10,18	comes 13:24,25	55:16 58:1,2,5	cut 23:24
check 10:15 19:8,9	clearly 44:8 58:14	22:14 38:3 40:15	constantly 46:15	cutting 29:18 58:2
25:11,16 32:15,22	clemens 5:4 8:14,15	coming 37:11 45:4	contemplates 43:14	D
33:7,8 47:12	17:17 21:4 39:22	command 40:15,16	content 36:7	d 1:9,16,16,19 2:3
53:21,22 54:14,15	39:23,25 40:3,7,7	41:10	context 22:15	2:13 3:1,7 55:8
checked 25:23	40:8	communitytype	continue 12:7	da 56:7,7,7
37:14 54:14	clement 1:16 2:3,13	41:13	continuous 21:22	damages 38:6,7
checkers 7:13	3:6,7,9,22 4:11,22	commuting 11:13	37:5,7,10 51:1,5	day 3:15 14:14,22
10:17,20,21	5:10,15,19 6:8 7:1	11:14	contract 39:6	17:2,7 18:8,9,24
checking 3:14	7:11,19 9:3,9,16	companies 39:2	control 15:12 26:17	11.2,110.0,7,2-1
1	I	I	I	I

21:24 22:3 25:12	46:21 47:21 48:25	20:20 22:4 26:1	duty 4:8 16:9	29:14,15,24 32:7
26:11 27:5 31:4	differ 15:24	26:11 36:21 41:24		33:6,9,12,15
31:17 34:14 37:10	difference 4:9,11	41:24 43:10 45:15	E	36:21 39:5,7,10
40:15,25 41:6	30:21 54:15,17	45:24 48:11	e 1:18 2:1,6 3:1,1	39:11,17 40:14
42:18,20 45:16	58:23	dol 50:13	17:23	41:20 43:9 44:15
46:15 53:9,10	different 15:9	dollar 37:21	early 23:24	44:16,18,22 45:17
58:7,8	19:12 20:8,17	don 36:25	easier 5:20 7:5	45:18,24 46:1
de 40:5 46:6,10	23:17 25:21 29:2	donning 12:15	easily 26:13	47:10 48:9 49:13
47:1 54:6	39:15,15 41:21	27:10 37:1,7	easy 10:16	51:7 52:15 53:1,7
deal 19:2 38:22	43:19 44:2,22	dont 4:23 6:8,14	effect 47:17	54:19 57:25 59:1
dealers 4:5	49:5,7 56:19	7:20 8:25 12:13	effectively 21:20	59:7
dealing 51:1	57:12	12:18 13:10,18	egress 3:11 5:21	employerowned
debate 24:19	difficult 26:24	15:10 18:25 22:19	7:6 15:8 17:5	39:18
decide 22:24 46:8,8	diluted 48:23	22:23 24:20 25:10	34:6,16 36:3	employers 9:20
46:10	direct 14:5	26:3,18 29:7 31:2	56:11,15,16,18,24	11:24 13:6,17
decides 27:16	directed 40:14	31:9,23,24 32:5	57:4,13 59:7,8	16:21,21,23 18:6
deciding 32:12 36:7	directly 8:12	33:10,19 35:8,15	eight 8:18,21	41:3,25 42:5,6
decision 6:15 13:25	disagree 28:16	36:12,13,17 39:11	eighthour 17:1	44:25 45:6 49:14
decorative 48:6	discharging 16:5,8	40:18,23 41:15,15	either 58:8	55:22 56:23 59:12
defeating 50:4	discourage 41:4	41:17 42:7,19	element 12:17	employment 40:12
defendants 38:25	discrete 33:24	43:5 44:11 45:8,8	employ 33:8 40:11	40:13
define 9:13 36:19	36:11	45:13 47:5 48:22	employed 51:22	empty 46:23
36:20 55:15	discretionary 38:8	51:19 52:22 53:9	employee 13:1,3	enables 44:21
defined 9:11 57:21	discuss 24:11 26:19	54:5,14,15,15,16	17:1 25:8,11,25	ends 37:7
definitely 5:15	51:19 52:25	55:21 56:21 57:10	26:14 29:25 30:1	enforcing 40:21
definition 34:1	discussing 22:11	58:12,14 59:17	37:6 40:14 41:4	engage 42:23 59:4
42:11	dismissal 24:18	door 6:22 7:10	44:5 47:18 51:6 58:25 59:18	59:14
demanding 9:24	dissent 8:3	20:15 21:12,14		engaged 44:8,13,14
demands 27:19	distinguish 13:19	27:6,6 36:2 41:17	employees 11:8 13:6 15:16 17:15	59:3,13
department 1:19	26:19 48:4	41:19	18:5,14 20:19	ensure 16:25 23:16
14:2 20:2 24:15	distinguishing	doors 6:17 17:7	23:8,15 35:24	entering 19:24
35:3 36:16	26:25	double 38:6	36:2 38:15,18,18	entitled 57:23
departments 14:1	district 23:21	doubt 16:14,17	38:20,20 41:14,17	entrance 18:6
depend 6:19	diverted 57:1	downstairs 35:25	46:5 53:15 58:16	entrances 20:7
depends 30:23 describes 56:10,25	doesnt 10:24 15:1 16:7,13 22:21	dramatically 19:11 drawer 27:3	employer 3:24 7:9	21:14
design 34:9	27:7 29:24 31:17	drawer 27.3 dress 30:20	7:17 9:15,20	equipment 13:7 27:15,18
detailed 35:7	31:19 33:17 35:11	drivers 11:16 50:15	10:16,20,21,23	erred 3:17
detected 16:6	40:17 42:3 44:14	driving 53:10 58:3	11:3,16,20 12:4,5	escape 46:7
detector 46:24	45:14 49:15 53:13	drop 31:3	12:10,20 13:5,11	escape 40.7 escort 50:5
determined 55:20	55:20 56:10 57:4	drug 19:16,23 20:5	13:16 14:22 18:23	escorted 57:2,2
determined 33.20 deterrent 47:15	58:19	37:15,16 45:21,22	19:21 20:25 21:1	especially 56:9
develop 25:1	doff 37:9	49:21,23,24,25	21:9,9 22:12,14	esq 1:16,18,22 2:3
didnt 8:15,17 23:13	doffing 27:10 37:8	50:4,14 56:3,3,10	22:22,23 23:3,6	2:6,10,13
23:14 39:10 40:2	37:9	57:1,11	23:12 26:9,14,22	essentially 4:6
43:17 44:4 46:20	doing 14:15 16:4	due 14:17	27:2,16,19 28:7	16:23
13.17 11.1 10.20	40mg 1 1.10 10.1			10.25
	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>

	l	1	l	l
established 50:12	facility 15:8,25	folks 15:20	ginsburg 7:11,19	happen 44:16
et 1:7 35:14	19:20 58:3	footnote 18:20	18:15,20,21 19:7	happened 35:17
etcetera 34:24	fact 10:18 11:23	forth 6:3 34:25	36:23	happening 38:23
everybody 8:18	18:23 35:24 38:4	fortuity 6:19	ginsburgs 10:14	47:18
15:12 16:1	39:4 41:2 42:8	forward 38:9	give 3:23 23:17	happens 4:7 20:14
eviscerated 40:6	44:25 47:15	found 49:20	50:7 55:24	20:15 21:23 24:19
exactly 11:12 46:16	facto 42:4	four 34:19 55:7	giving 45:23 51:10	48:16
49:3 57:16	factor 26:25 37:25	freedom 45:23,23	gloss 14:7 34:25	harassment 53:14
example 11:7 13:14	factory 8:14 34:8	friend 55:16 56:9	go 5:3 8:2,13 11:5	53:16 56:7
15:4,16 19:8 33:2	44:17	friends 58:12	11:14 13:13 25:23	hasnt 51:7
41:16 45:21 49:19	facts 24:20 25:2	fueled 53:9	29:25 31:16 33:19	havent 22:6 28:18
50:10 54:17	36:7	fueling 50:16 53:8	34:7 35:2,25 36:1	31:6
examples 19:1,16	factual 54:12	fulfill 58:19	38:5 40:25 43:21	hear 3:3
20:4 42:9 44:2,23	factually 32:25	full 44:17	46:2,5 47:1 48:8	heard 56:3,5
51:9	fair 9:18,25 11:25	function 30:25 31:1	50:3,7 53:15,21	held 27:14
exams 50:15	55:19 57:18	32:11 33:3,24	53:21 58:6	help 16:6 23:22
excepted 21:5	fall 49:15	36:14 45:6 58:18	goes 4:8 37:25	helpful 22:18
22:25	far 38:23	58:19	46:24 59:1	helpfully 57:23
exception 15:1	fault 11:9	fundamentally	gofer 43:8	heres 40:16 55:17
22:16 39:4,21	favor 37:6 40:6	19:4,14	going 3:11 4:10	hes 34:18 42:3
47:7 49:15 52:9	51:6,7	funny 47:3	6:17 15:17 19:4	48:11,11 52:20
52:14,15 53:24,25	favors 49:20	further 25:1	20:10 21:17,22	hi 33:5
54:23 55:22,23,24	federal 40:13	furthest 39:22	22:14 23:5,10,15	higher 38:12
exceptions 29:16	feel 44:17		26:16 27:20 29:23	hire 10:16,21 29:24
29:16 52:20 57:9	fewer 10:21	G	34:5,5,10,10,16	30:10,11,13 33:7
57:20	filed 38:24	g 3:1	38:9,10,11,12	33:9 43:18 44:5
excuse 3:22	fill 31:15 41:9	gannon 1:18 2:6	39:14 40:1 41:17	hired 30:3,17,17,19
exemption 52:25	final 58:10	17:22,23 18:1,19	41:18 44:10 45:15	32:9
exertion 9:21	find 29:4 47:17	18:22 19:10 21:12	52:1 58:6 59:19	hires 29:25
exist 47:21	51:16	21:15 22:13,19	good 55:21 58:13	history 42:25 47:25
exists 32:11	finished 27:12	23:4 24:2,7,16	gotcha 46:11	48:1,2
exit 7:5 8:9 13:19	36:14	25:2,17,24 26:4,7	government 47:6	hit 39:1
16:18 17:3,7 19:8	fired 42:3	26:18	51:18	hmm 49:22
34:15	first 3:4 8:20 28:5	garb 27:12	grapefruit 23:24	home 58:16
exiting 57:2	35:6 36:5,12	gate 34:8	29:18 31:22 42:19	honest 16:23
exits 18:10 20:7	40:15 43:2 46:7	gear 36:25 37:1	42:19 43:17,19	honors 55:5
21:14	49:17 55:19	50:19	58:2	hope 16:17
explain 36:23	fit 32:4	gee 32:13 41:15	grieve 45:12,13	hour 8:5,6 11:8,10
explains 43:1	five 14:14 24:22	general 1:19 30:19	guess 4:19 15:23	37:21,23
extension 39:22	38:25 46:11	generally 8:24	26:3 31:8	hourly 38:12
extensive 3:25 4:1	fiveminute 7:15	12:16 18:3 47:8	guild 54:21	hours 16:12 34:22
extent 19:15	floating 23:19	54:24	Н	34:23 38:13 52:4
extra 27:18 59:2,12	floor 20:19	getting 5:11,22		57:17
F	flow 32:12	17:6 20:15 21:18	half 37:23	hypo 3:23 23:18
	flsa 22:21 24:4	23:15 27:9,14	hand 36:15,15	26:5
face 23:9,10	38:23 57:8	34:8 41:18	handling 26:2	hypos 15:17
	l		l	

have other is all 5.24	: aia4 20.12	l	42.15 44.1 20	
hypothetical 5:24	insist 38:12	J	43:15 44:1,20	L
6:1,6 7:5 10:14	insistence 16:21	jacket 46:23	46:1,11 47:19	labor 9:18,25 12:1
58:22,23,24 59:11	inspected 5:12	jackets 30:4	48:1,13,24 49:4,9	14:1,2,6 20:2
hypotheticals 4:23	33:10	jacksons 8:3	49:19,22,24 50:11	24:14 35:3 36:16
19:18	instance 11:2 55:19	jesse 1:7	50:18,22,24 51:9	51:14 55:19 57:18
	instructionreceiv	jewell 8:2 23:7	51:13,16 52:7,13	lard 56:23
1 20 10 27 14	44:12	job 5:9,13,14 16:9	53:18,20 54:1,8	late 39:14
ibp 20:18 27:14	instructions 44:8,9	20:20 25:13 26:1	55:2,6,10,25 56:6	law 4:19 24:3 35:13
id 9:25 19:23 24:22	44:10	26:6,7 27:9 30:5	56:14 57:16 58:22	39:5 43:17
35:2 55:12	integral 3:18,20	30:16,24 31:6,10	59:5,21	lawn 7:8 44:3
idea 20:25 21:11	10:11 12:22 13:8	31:21 32:10,11		lawsuits 38:24
32:24	14:8 15:15 16:8	33:7,17,19 37:2	<u>K</u>	lawyers 30:19
idiosyncratic 13:5	18:13 20:16 22:8	37:17 41:7,25	kagan 3:22 4:17	laying 43:5,10
ill 5:25	25:13 28:9,10,18	42:4,21 43:3,6,11	6:18 15:10,24	lead 44:25
illegal 44:19	28:21 29:4,7,10	44:11,13 48:3	20:22 21:11 23:17	leads 34:15
im 4:12 8:23 9:1	29:12 34:20,24	53:17	24:5 26:3,12 34:2	leave 5:12,12 18:9
12:16 15:17 32:4	39:16 41:22 43:4	jobs 42:10,15,17	36:10 38:15 56:14	50:8
33:5 35:2 40:4	43:11 53:11 57:6	judge 23:20 29:19	58:22 59:5	leaves 33:10
41:18,18 42:16	58:10,14	29:20 42:20 43:18	kagans 5:24	leaving 19:25 37:5
44:1 45:2	integrity 1:3 3:4	judgment 17:12	keep 13:2 16:6	left 6:16 17:10
image 37:11 48:23	20:23	24:20	45:17	legislation 43:13
import 8:5	internally 11:14	jury 54:12	keeping 33:18	legislative 42:24
important 9:17	interpret 6:1,5	justice 1:19 3:3,9	kennedy 5:23 10:13	length 7:24
14:4 15:13 20:21	interpretation	3:22 4:17 5:8,11	10:24 11:18 22:10	level 28:5
27:19 31:20 33:15	35:16	5:16,17,23,23	22:17 23:2 26:4	levels 28:4
incentive 59:18	interpretive 20:3	6:18 7:11,19 8:3	kind 4:20 17:12	liable 52:17
include 5:6	introduce 24:21	8:23 9:7,10,16	24:9 25:7,9 32:11	life 23:20
included 5:5	introduced 55:14	10:13,14,23 11:6	40:12,19 49:10,11	linchpin 39:8 55:12
incoming 16:3	intrusive 19:12	11:12,18 12:9,15	51:25 53:12	55:17
independent 24:11	inventory 15:12	12:18,24 13:21	kinds 42:6	line 3:15 10:19
indispensable 3:18	26:17	-	king 15:4 20:18	19:13 20:1 27:15
3:21 10:12 12:22	involves 10:4	14:2,9,12,18,21	kiosks 40:19	
13:9,22,22,23	involving 48:22	15:10,24 16:10,18	knife 15:3	27:22 34:13,14
14:8,13,19 15:5	ipso 42:4	17:21 18:1,15,20	knives 15:6	36:25 37:12,14
16:8 18:13 20:17	irrelevant 7:12	18:21 19:7 20:22	know 4:5,17,18,21	59:16,19
22:9 28:9,11,19	11:19,21,23	21:11 22:10,17	4:23 6:19 7:23	lines 40:20
28:21 29:4,8,10	isnt 9:14 10:19	23:2,17 24:5,12	15:3 16:11 20:23	liquidated 38:7
29:12 33:13,15,18	12:16 14:6 21:1	24:16,17 25:5,21	21:12 24:20,22,23	lists 53:6
34:20,25 39:16	22:3 24:9 30:16	26:3,4,12 27:23	25:3,3 26:15	literally 14:13
41:8,22,23 42:4	32:12 36:5 41:5	28:2,14,24 29:3,9	31:23 32:3 34:5	little 14:6,23 25:12
43:4,11 53:11	43:19 45:24 49:4	29:18,22 30:10,15	43:6,7 44:17 45:8	live 11:8
57:7 58:11,14,15	54:12 55:25 56:1	31:8,23 32:3,14	45:11,16 46:22	locker 48:17
individually 43:16	issue 8:4 20:18	32:18 33:6,13,20	47:2,7 54:10	logical 7:6 17:5
ingress 34:6 56:16		34:2,17 35:12,18	knowing 59:7	56:11
59:7,8	21:19 53:4	36:4,10,23 37:18	knows 15:14 16:1	long 8:11 10:19
injured 23:15	item 16:3 31:5	38:3,9,15,19	16:12 47:18	42:20 47:1 55:23
injured 23.13	ive 49:16	39:11,17 42:13	10.12 77.10	longer 9:1 19:5
	<u> </u>	l	I	<u> </u>

look 6:10 8:3 10:9	meant 5:16	near 20:16	41:18	26:5,7 30:15
10:25 25:7,12,13	mechanism 26:6	necessary 15:20	oil 43:3	31:16 32:24 33:17
27:7 30:17,24,25	47:15	16:11 22:18 41:23	okay 14:9 35:1,8,13	34:1,8,9,11,16
31:4 34:2 37:22	members 30:20	46:20 48:3	44:8 46:11 48:6	37:1,7,8,10 39:23
42:24,24,25	memo 50:13	need 6:4 9:19 22:23	56:8	40:2 51:13 53:16
looks 20:13	merchandise 20:21	27:6 29:24 41:8	old 45:12	56:11,15,17,18
lose 28:17 35:4,8	20:23,24 30:14	48:21 53:9	once 27:12 48:7	57:13 59:8
losing 31:2	31:1 41:5,8 58:17	nellie 27:17	ones 18:17,18	particular 4:23
lot 24:14 26:21	metal 46:24	nervous 27:17	23:18 29:23 35:3	12:11 13:5 19:1
35:23 38:19	method 41:2,4	nev 1:22	open 40:18	31:5,5 39:18 43:6
lots 18:23 40:7 42:8	mind 19:1 24:22	nevada 40:11	opening 58:22	particularly 6:24
lying 17:1	mine 23:8,9 52:21	never 28:10,11	operation 15:5,19	7:2 8:9 13:8
	58:4	35:13 55:23 58:17	opinion 35:9	patting 47:13
M	minimis 46:6,10	new 23:21	opinions 23:22	paul 1:16 2:3,13
m 1:14 3:2 59:23	47:1 54:6	ninth 3:17 6:15	opposed 40:12	55:8
machine 43:3	minimum 9:21	28:20,22 29:6	59:13	pay 16:13,13 24:14
main 56:1	minimus 40:5	46:13	oral 1:12 2:2,5,9	43:16,20,22 44:4
maker 43:7	mining 11:15 23:7	noncompensable	3:7 17:23 27:25	52:17,20 53:2
making 31:21 46:3	minor 49:17	3:13 4:13 5:3 8:7	order 23:24 26:8,9	paying 25:25 27:2
manage 26:10	minute 46:2,6	10:11 11:1 12:6	33:10	44:22 45:2
managers 6:21	minutes 5:24,25	13:15,20 18:12	orders 31:15 41:9	people 8:13,24 9:1
mandate 39:10	6:5 7:15,16 8:11	21:6	58:20	23:14 25:9 30:18
mandates 53:1	8:18,21 10:15,17	nonharmful 13:2	ordinary 19:5,25	31:14 40:22,24
mandatory 18:4	11:10 32:23 36:1	nonmandated	ore 23:11,11	42:6 43:5,10
22:25	40:17,18 46:12,15	41:20,21	outcome 56:19	45:11,18 47:6,8
manufacturing	46:16,19,19 55:7	nonunion 38:17,18	outfit 12:11	50:16 54:24 58:4
19:20	59:2,12,15,15	39:2	outside 15:1 34:21	perfectly 15:7
mark 1:22 2:10	mirror 37:11	normal 25:10	34:23 48:23	17:14 36:7
27:25	mission 16:7	normally 19:24	overall 30:25 31:1	perform 18:7 25:25
material 33:14	misspoke 42:14	24:19	overlap 26:21	performing 30:25
materially 3:14	modern 16:19,19	notion 5:1 52:13	overwhelmingly	period 4:14,20
matter 1:12 11:11	modified 59:11	nuclear 47:13	31:20	person 8:20,22
25:5 44:14 53:2	money 26:2			15:17,18 16:7
59:24	monitored 57:3	0	P	19:19 32:9 44:12
mean 4:18 14:12,13	morning 3:4 27:16	o 2:1 3:1	p 3:1	persons 43:6
14:16 15:11 16:6	30:4 48:8	obey 45:12	packing 15:4 20:18	petitioner 1:5,17
21:13 24:21 25:5	move 11:6,10 31:1	obviously 55:14	page 2:2	1:21 2:4,8,14 3:8
26:9,15 31:15,20	mowing 7:8 44:3	56:22	paid 8:21 27:14,21	17:25 38:22 40:5
40:11 42:6 45:6	mt 5:3 8:14,15	occur 7:17 22:2	32:21 36:16,17	51:18 55:9
46:14 50:3,11	17:17 21:4 39:22	ocd 45:1	37:12,13 41:18	pharmaceutical
54:2,14 56:16	39:23,25 40:3,7,7	oclock 39:13 45:2,3	43:9 54:18 58:6,8	19:19
meaning 24:11	40:8	45:4	paol 3:7	pharmaceuticals
53:19 54:6		october 1:10	part 3:11 5:9,13,14	19:21
meaningfully 13:18	N	office 30:20	5:21,23 7:6 8:17	phrased 22:1
means 14:8 28:17	n 2:1,1 3:1	officers 48:16,18	11:24 15:20 17:5	physical 37:16,17
31:9,20	nature 19:4,14	oh 14:9 31:15 38:10	17:5 20:14 25:13	50:15

piece 13:7	3:16 4:16 5:2	52:23 53:5,13	50:18,20,21,23,25	records 6:2
pink 48:10	6:10,12 8:1 10:3,6	55:13,13 57:21,24	53:21 54:21	reduce 11:4,4
place 5:12 6:13 7:4	10:10,24 13:14,20	57:24 58:11	putting 13:1 25:17	reduced 11:17
18:7 29:2 34:21	14:24 15:1 16:16	probably 14:14		referring 18:19
34:22 38:5 39:19	17:8,13 18:12,25	19:10 35:2	Q	reg 39:4
49:1	20:14 21:6,7 22:5	problem 4:22 44:7	qualify 34:20	regard 3:20 10:7
plant 11:6,11	22:24 24:10 28:11	problematic 3:21	question 4:25 9:8	regime 17:16
please 3:10 18:2	29:11 30:22 46:9	procedure 26:9	10:4 19:3 22:7,20	register 4:3 5:9
28:3	51:4 57:6,9	process 3:12,14,25	24:3,12 28:8,13	15:18 24:24 25:18
plenty 14:20	potentially 4:15	4:2,6,7 5:5,6,21	28:16 34:24 35:8	registers 3:25 4:1
pocket 16:3	57:19	5:22 6:17 7:6,15	35:21 36:5 54:12	14:15
pocketing 26:14	pottery 8:14 17:18	13:16 16:22 17:3	58:10 59:9	regs 28:6 42:9
pockets 46:23	pre 9:11	17:5 18:5,9 19:5	questions 35:5	regular 34:21,23
point 24:7 26:5	precise 21:16,25	20:14 21:18 34:16	queueing 40:19	regulation 20:4
35:5 42:5 52:10	preliminary 4:15	35:22 47:1 56:11	quickly 4:4 26:13	regulations 30:8
56:1,22 57:15	5:1,4 6:12 7:25	56:15,18,24 57:1	quintessential 3:16	35:16 36:17,19
pointed 20:22	10:3,6,9 16:16	57:2,4,12,13 59:1	13:14	42:25 51:14 52:10
points 15:2 55:11	17:12 21:5,7 22:4	59:8,14	quintessentially	52:12,24 53:5
police 30:12 48:16	22:24 24:9 28:12	professional 44:12	13:20	55:15 57:22
56:23	30:22 46:9 51:3	proper 30:11	quite 12:10,16	related 31:10,12
policeman 30:12	57:9	property 19:25	34:18 44:2 57:12	42:12 51:25 52:1
policemen 30:17,17	premises 18:6 59:2	26:24 27:9 40:10		relationship 14:5
30:18	59:12	protect 4:2 26:22	<u>R</u>	relatively 8:11
policy 40:21	prepare 43:17,19	26:23	r 1:22 2:10 3:1	relevant 7:20,22
portal 17:15,16	preparing 58:3	protection 6:4	27:25	rely 35:15 57:17
18:6 20:16 21:13	preportaltoportal	protective 36:25,25	raise 7:7 37:21	reno 1:22
21:13 23:9 40:2	7:24	48:5 49:11 50:19	rational 17:14	repeated 59:9
52:8,22	pressed 48:21	proves 29:6,7	ready 44:15	represent 35:23
portalto 52:8	presumably 11:17	provided 7:17	real 23:20	require 9:21 18:23
portaltoportal 3:13	23:12	public 47:10	really 5:22 8:17 9:4	30:7 43:24 52:16
5:1 7:2,23 8:6 9:6	presumptively 10:1	punch 8:13,16	9:19 15:4,13	53:15
9:24 10:7,8 11:2	10:11 11:25	32:22 35:24 36:12	22:18 27:18 39:12	required 9:20 12:4
11:22 12:3,7	primary 6:16 16:8	36:12,13,13	48:11 49:4 55:25	13:11,16 21:1,9
17:11,11,19 18:3	principal 3:18 9:5	punching 16:15,15	56:1 57:12,13	22:22 23:8,11
21:20,21 22:15	9:10,12,13,14,23	21:8,8 26:23,23	58:12	28:6 30:6,6,9 32:6
34:6,10 40:9 52:8	10:4,12 15:2 16:5	33:16,17,21,21	reason 7:21 8:8,17	32:9 37:1 39:5
52:11,18 53:4,12	17:6 18:7,14 22:2	53:23,24	19:6 34:3 37:22 48:20 58:13	51:21 52:3,20
55:15 56:17 57:8	22:5,8 23:23 27:5	pure 7:24	reasoned 35:10	53:17 55:17,20,24
57:20,23	28:8,10,12,17	purpose 26:18,24	reasons 20:13	requirement 23:1,7
portion 40:1	29:6,9,13,19,23	purposes 5:25 6:2	23:13 45:7 59:17	30:5 39:7
portions 42:18	30:2,21 31:9,11	9:19 26:21	rebuttal 2:12 55:8	requires 7:9 12:10
position 3:19 28:21	31:11,17,19,24	purse 27:7	55:11	12:20 15:16 26:22
41:14	32:2,7,13,19	put 12:11 16:3	receive 44:8,9	33:16,16 42:12
possibility 16:2	33:11 34:9 39:9	25:14,15,22 26:15	received 31:4	49:14 52:16 57:25
postactivity 9:12	42:10,11,15,18	27:15,21 29:2	record 25:1 36:6,9	requiring 59:1
postliminary 3:12	43:12 44:13 51:4	35:6 36:6,9 46:23	10001425.1 50.0,7	resembles 19:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

4.5.00			1 40 0 0 40	l
reserve 17:20	22:17 31:9 32:5,6	seen 35:13	49:8,9,12	stand 40:25
respect 14:18	32:8 33:4 35:4	selecting 48:12	sitting 32:12 35:9	standalone 28:12
respondents 1:23	37:12,13 44:1	seminar 51:21,24	37:20	42:10,14,15,17
2:11 3:19 20:10	48:24	52:4 53:2 56:6	situation 35:2 42:2	standard 39:15
28:1	says 12:19 14:3	seminars 52:24	58:23	standards 9:18
response 56:8	36:16 38:11 39:5	senator 43:1	six 38:25	10:1 12:1 55:19
restroom 57:3	39:11,17 43:1	send 24:25 37:16	skidmore 35:14	57:18
result 17:19 50:9	44:16 48:3,9	sense 8:18 21:13	skiff 23:11	start 9:8,8 34:13
results 7:2	50:14 51:20 53:1	40:12 41:7 42:5,7	skip 23:11	55:12
return 32:21	54:9	45:14 55:18	skips 23:15	started 22:4 51:8
reversed 17:18	scalia 5:8,11,16,17	sensible 6:25 7:2	slow 45:16	starts 37:7 40:15
reversing 21:21	11:6,13 16:10,18	separate 9:17,23	small 54:23	states 1:1,13,20 2:7
ride 23:8,11	29:18 30:10,15	29:19 33:24 36:3	smock 13:7	17:24
ridge 8:2	33:6,13,20 39:11	39:4	sneak 41:19	station 5:7 6:13,16
right 4:21 11:8,11	39:17 46:1,11	september 50:14	sneaking 41:16	6:21,23 17:6 40:2
11:12 12:9,10	50:18,22,24 52:7	series 17:17 36:18	solicitor 1:18 30:19	58:3,25 59:6
16:13,16 32:17	52:13 57:16	36:19 51:19,19,20	solutions 1:4 3:5	stations 46:2
34:18 38:20 49:6	scenarios 43:1	52:6 57:17,22	somebody 16:2	statute 9:25 21:25
49:13 52:4,5	screened 47:8	set 10:23 26:9	26:23 29:24,25	32:1,4
56:20 57:16	screening 13:19	41:21	31:13 33:7,9	stay 45:3,3,5,5 49:8
risk 39:14	17:3 29:24,25	setting 24:2	42:22 43:16,16,18	58:16,25 59:11
roberts 3:3 17:21	30:2 46:20,21,25	sexual 53:14,16	44:4,6 50:5	steal 30:14 31:6
27:23 29:22 31:8	screenings 7:6	56:7	someones 44:11	40:23 58:17
31:23 32:3,14,18	16:19 18:11 20:9	sgs 30:20	sorry 8:24 42:16	stealing 58:15
37:18 38:3,9,19	screens 47:4,6	sharp 15:6	sort 4:13 5:5,6	steiner 13:25 20:18
55:2,6 59:21	search 19:11,13,18	sharpening 15:4	15:19 20:6,7	34:19 37:8
roll 33:2,2 40:3	47:16	shelf 25:14,15,18	26:17 27:17	stocking 15:21
54:18,18	searched 32:24	25:22	sorts 8:19 23:13	stolen 41:9
rolled 39:23,23,25	37:14,15 40:17	shelving 15:21	sotomayor 8:23 9:7	stop 9:3
39:25 40:1,8,9	searches 18:16 19:1	shift 4:8 41:6	9:10,16 12:9,15	story 39:15
room 23:13 48:17	19:2 26:20	shifts 7:14	12:18,24 42:13	straw 54:22
rooms 48:20	second 8:8 33:25	ship 31:2	51:9,13,16	structure 28:15
rule 21:22 40:5,6	35:7 43:4 46:8	shirt 48:10,10,10	sound 56:10 57:4	struggled 6:11,14
45:12 46:14 47:19	secrets 47:14	shoes 46:23	southern 23:21	stuff 40:7
51:2,5 59:8,17	section 47:20 48:25	shop 8:25 9:1 45:12	speak 30:19	subject 37:19 57:20
rules 41:22 51:10	security 3:11 4:7	shorten 40:20	speaking 47:8	submitted 59:22,24
run 15:5,7 45:9	4:10 7:13 15:8	shouldnt 24:25	54:24	substance 13:3
	16:19 18:11,16	show 39:12	special 25:9 36:2	sue 35:24
<u>S</u>	19:8,8 20:9 25:8,9	side 7:7 24:13 54:9	47:20 48:25 49:11	sufficient 7:18
s 2:1 3:1	25:11,16,23 26:20	sides 40:8	specific 39:20	suggested 19:16
safety 20:9 26:20	27:18 29:23 31:16	significantly 31:12	43:12	summary 24:20
46:7 47:11	33:19 34:7 43:21	similar 3:14 23:18	specter 7:7	superfluous 12:21
sample 50:7	47:11,12 58:6,7	simply 53:1 57:10	speed 59:20	12:25
satisfied 55:23	see 14:9 33:10 51:1	sit 50:6	splattered 13:2	supervisor 25:19
saving 14:23	54:9	site 30:7,7 43:24	staffing 1:3 3:4	supporting 1:20
saying 14:3 21:2	seeing 48:23	48:4,5,19,21 49:2	7:18 20:23	2:8 17:25

suppose 3:24 16:10	45:18 54:19	54:1,2,3	think 4:11,13,14,24	53:7
25:6 46:1 47:20	ten 39:1 46:15	theres 3:24,25 4:5	6:8,9,10,14 7:4,20	tools 32:21 36:15
47:21,21	tenminute 46:14	12:19 16:1,14,17	8:8,17 9:4,14,17	toothbrush 15:14
supposed 35:9	tennessee 23:7	28:23 33:3 40:7	9:25 11:2 12:13	top 54:22
supreme 1:1,13	39:24	45:4,5,6 50:13	12:19 13:10,13,18	total 8:5
sure 9:9 12:16	term 5:4 31:19	51:25 52:11,15	14:4,18,19,21	totally 52:3
21:23 40:4,22	55:14	53:4	15:2,3,7,8,11,24	training 53:15,16
surely 20:20	terms 12:6	theyre 14:3,14 16:4	16:18 18:11,19,25	tram 11:16
survives 40:8	test 9:22,24 14:3,18	16:4,5 27:2,16	19:2,6,7,10,15	trams 11:15,16
swipes 7:10	19:21 21:3,4,13	36:15 45:1 49:7	20:5,12 21:15,16	transform 27:8
system 15:12 17:15	21:14 28:23 29:5	50:15 45:1 45:7	21:22,24 22:13,19	transformed 19:14
45:9	29:8 50:2,4,7	51:17 53:13 54:8	23:4 24:8,10,22	transportation
75.7	51:25 58:9	56:12 57:8	25:2,19 26:18,24	52:21
T	tested 37:16	theyve 6:14 54:13	27:1,7,20 29:1	travel 8:4 11:17
t2:1,1	testing 19:17,23	thierman 1:22 2:10	30:10,20 34:22	21:7 29:16 39:21
take 6:13 7:3,9,25	20:5 37:15 45:21	27:24,25 28:2,20	35:16,21 39:8	39:24 41:13,13
10:13 12:16,23	45:22 49:21,23,24	29:1,5,13,20 30:3	41:15,15 42:3,13	49:15
13:4 20:22 24:7	49:25 50:8,9,14	30:13,23 31:19	42:13 43:13 47:5	tray 6:20
30:24 34:21 39:14	56:3,4,10 57:1,11	32:1,8,20 33:12	54:3,4 55:25 56:1	treat 8:6,15 17:9
39:18 40:17 46:22	tests 28:23	33:18,22 34:12	56:11,21,22 58:13	treated 4:19,20
46:22,25 49:1	thank 17:21 27:23	35:10,15,20 36:9	58:15 59:16	8:21
51:21 52:4 53:1	55:2,2,5,10 59:21	37:4 38:2,7,14,17	thinking 20:3 54:8	treating 3:17 8:10
56:22 58:8 59:15	thats 4:13,18,21	38:21 39:20 42:16	thinking 20.3 34.8 thinks 27:18	tries 7:7
taken 19:20 26:10	8:23,24 9:4,21	43:22 44:7,24	third 46:9	trip 50:17
26:12 28:20 38:4	10:17 11:12 12:9	46:5,13 47:24	thought 31:11 54:5	trivial 46:17,18
takes 8:25 10:15	12:10,12,25 13:8	48:2,14 49:3,6,13	three 34:19 46:16	trolley 39:18
11:8,9 27:21	14:7 15:15 17:9	49:21,23,25 50:13	ticket 11:13	truck 50:15,16 53:8
34:22 36:1 40:17	17:16 20:6,8 21:3	50:20,23,25 51:12	till 45:1,3,3	53:9
46:2 59:15	21:3,8,10,22	51:15,17 52:10,19	time 3:18,19 4:12	true 5:18 8:23,24
talk 18:22 36:18	22:20 23:16 29:10	53:19,23 54:3,11	6:16 7:4,14,16,25	9:4 33:20 35:12
58:12	29:15 30:15,20,22	55:4	8:4,7,9,12,16 9:5	try 59:18
talking 6:15 24:8	32:10,17 33:7,20	thing 6:21 7:23	11:1,4,13,14,17	trying 16:25 26:22
39:3 46:18,19	34:6 35:12 37:1	19:24 20:6,7 25:6	13:15 14:23 17:2	tuesday 44:17
48:5,6 51:3,4	37:17,24 39:14,15	31:7 44:21 45:14	17:20 19:13 21:7	turn 7:3
talks 22:1	39:15 40:6,20	47:3 49:12 54:20	21:8 23:6 26:22	turned 6:3 27:4
tally 35:25 40:24	41:22 42:4,4 43:3	56:7 58:18,21	27:8,21,22 36:24	turns 7:15 25:6
task 41:21 43:8,12	43:10,13,13 44:11	things 7:3 9:19	37:6,17,24 38:11	twice 54:14,15
49:18 53:17	44:12 45:9,16	13:20 14:20 18:24	39:24 45:23 48:9	two 9:17 15:2 20:12
tasks 30:24 31:21	46:4,6,7,25 47:1,9	18:25 20:2 21:19	53:3,21 54:20	28:23 35:5 42:25
tell 18:17 39:8	49:6,20 50:10	24:22 25:19 26:8	timeconsuming	59:5
45:11	52:18 54:4,11,20	31:12 38:23 40:23	19:12	twofold 7:22
teller 15:19	56:11,17 58:8,9	42:7,9 43:15 44:3	times 34:20	type 19:11,24 46:21
tellers 4:4	59:1,3,9,12	44:4 45:7 52:16	told 7:12 29:21	types 20:2 50:15
telling 35:18,20	theft 4:2 41:4 47:15	52:18 53:6,12	32:10,15,16,20	typically 31:13
49:7	theirman 32:17	56:12,23 57:19	36:10 40:14 41:14	typically 51.15
tells 29:14 36:21	theory 32:19 40:19	58:2 59:20	42:3 45:5,24,25	U
	1 theory 52.17 TO.17	30.2 37.20	12.3 73.3,27,23	
	ı	ı	ı	ı

ultimately 7:19	37:3,9,23 42:23	56:25	32:14,20	1
unbundle 35:22	44:9,14,15 59:3	ways 14:15 40:22	working 23:10 55:4	1 29:17 39:21 52:21
uncompensated	59:13	50:4 59:5	works 19:19 37:6	52:22,22
7:8,9	waiter 43:23	weapons 47:13	51:6,6	10 1:14 3:2 11:10
understand 14:5	waiters 30:9	wear 30:4,9,11,12	worried 9:2 26:14	11 59:23
20:10 24:17 26:4	waiting 3:15 8:8,16	48:9,15,16	worry 23:14 55:21	13433 1:6 3:4
28:15 31:9 32:5	19:13 20:1 27:15	wearing 27:17	wouldnt 22:16,23	15 36:1 37:20 50:14
44:20 45:8	27:22 36:25 37:12	wednesday 1:10	43:20	17 2:8
understood 58:24	37:13 43:2 44:7,9	weve 12:12 29:1	write 22:10	18 18:20
uniform 8:11 43:23	50:18,20,21,23,25	whats 4:9 9:10	wrong 31:2,3 32:25	1947 20:3 54:4
47:22 48:13,15,17	59:3,13	15:13 17:10 19:4	33:1 42:22	1951 35:5
48:23	walk 6:20 23:14	25:21 49:19 51:20		1997 50:13
uniforms 30:9,12	27:6,13	54:1,2 57:19	X	
48:21	walking 7:4	whistle 9:6,6	x 1:2,8	2
union 38:20 39:6	want 19:21 20:23	wholly 56:19	Y	2 40:17 52:23
45:12	24:21 25:1 27:7	wind 5:5,6		20 5:24 6:5 7:16
unionized 38:16	27:17 32:4 33:8	winding 4:14	yeah 9:7 24:5,5,5,5 24:6	32:23 36:1 40:18
united 1:1,13,20	35:6 36:6 37:20	wont 45:8 50:8	year 38:24	46:19,19 59:2,12
2:7 17:24	40:5,18 41:17	word 13:23,24 32:1	years 39:1	2014 1:10
universe 11:1 57:19	45:1 48:9,23 50:2	54:5	yellow 48:10	20minute 4:6 59:1
unrelated 52:3	50:3 54:5,24	words 24:10 34:19	york 23:21	25 7:15,15 8:11
unshelving 15:21	56:23 57:17 58:12	37:19	york 25.21 youd 56:6	10:15 46:19,19
use 17:2,3 40:19,23	58:21 59:18	work 5:6 6:13,16	youd 30.0 youll 37:21	59:15,15
40:24,24 41:22	wants 13:6 44:15	9:14,18 10:1,5	youre 6:15,17	254 29:17 39:21
54:5	44:18 45:17,17	11:9,25,25 12:4	18:19 22:17 26:1	52:22
uses 32:1 34:19	warehouse 15:8,14	12:12 17:6 18:7	26:2,11 27:3,4,20	27 2:11
46:13	25:6,7,10 33:14	18:14 20:19 21:3	31:9 32:5 34:14	3
usually 20:8	warehouseman	22:2,23 28:5,6,7	36:20,24 37:2,2,5	
V	30:16	33:25 34:21,23	37:20,21 39:14	3 2:4 39:3
v 1:6 3:5	warehousemen	36:19,20 37:10	40:10,10,14 41:13	30 5:24 6:5 39:13
valve 46:7,7	30:13	38:24 39:19,22	44:9,14,24 45:23	4
varies 46:14	wash 56:25	40:2 41:13,15	45:24 48:24 50:8	45 39:14
verify 17:2	washing 7:8 57:10	43:5,10 46:8 48:8	50:16 52:3 53:10	
verifying 16:22	washington 1:9,16 1:19	48:15,17,17 51:3	57:1,2 59:16	5
versus 26:16 37:4	watch 50:6	51:20 52:1,1,2,3,5	youve 6:16 22:4	5 10:17
videos 57:11		52:14 54:24 55:16	27:4,4 32:15 43:2	55 2:14
view 13:5 30:23	way 4:17 6:1,6,22 7:10 9:15,25	57:8,25 58:1,3,4	51:10 56:24	
42:6	14:16,16,21 16:6	58:18,19,19,25 59:6		6
virtue 22:8	16:24,25 19:17,22	workday 8:24 9:5	Z	7
visàvis 7:4	21:25 34:2,15	21:22 37:5,7 51:1		
voluntary 51:24	35:23 37:4,5	51:5 58:16	0	7 28:5 36:18 38:23
	40:21,22 45:10	worked 16:12 17:1	0 39:3	39:13,14 79 52:6
W	47:4,5,6,8,24,24	57:18	00 45:3,3	78 53:6
wage 38:13	48:2 50:1,1,2 51:5	worker 32:12	000 38:23	785 28:5 36:18,19
wait 8:13 36:11	51:6 52:25,25	workers 7:16 16:12	03 1:14 3:2	51:19,19,20 53:6 57:17
	51.0 52.25,25	,, or nor 5 / .10 10.12	05 59:23	31.11
	ı	ı	1	1

		Page 70
790 57:22,23		
8 8 1·10 38·13 39·13		
8 1:10 38:13 39:13 45:2,3,3		
9		