```
Allon on the line as well.
                                                                    2
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you.
                                                                    3
                                                                                 United States?
                     SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
No. 142, Original
                                                                    4
                                                                                 MR. GRAY: Yes. Good morning, your
                                                                    5
                                                                            Honor. This is Michael Gray for the United
            STATE OF FLORIDA.
                                                                    6
                                                                            States.
                       Plaintiff.
                                                                    7
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Good morning.
            STATE OF GEORGIA,
                                                                    8
                                                                                 Counsel, let's -- let me ask you whether
                       Defendants.
                                                                    9
                                                                            you have anything to add to your status
                   TELEPHONE CONFERENCE before SPECIAL MASTER
                                                                   10
                                                                            reports. Florida?
            RALPH I. LANCASTER, held at the law offices of Pierce
                                                                   11
                                                                                 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, we can go into
            Atwood, LLP, at Merrill's Wharf, 254 Commercial Street,
                                                                   12
                                                                            much greater detail on the subject matter of
             Portland, Maine, on January 12, 2016, commencing at
            10:00 a.m., before Claudette G. Mason, RMR, CRR, a
                                                                   13
                                                                            our status reports. Obviously there's a few
            Notary Public in and for the State of Maine.
                                                                   14
                                                                            things in the Georgia filing that didn't --
            APPEARANCES .
                                                                   15
                                                                            we didn't anticipate; and we're happy to
                                       PHILIP J. PERRY, ESQ.
ALLEN C. WINSOR, ESQ.
JONATHAN L. WILLIAMS, ESQ.
ANDREW D. PRINS, ESQ.
             For the State of Florida:
                                                                   16
                                                                            address those. But I think the status
                                                                   17
                                                                            reports fairly describe most of our issues.
                                       CRAIG S. PRIMIS, ESQ.
DEVORA W. ALLON, ESQ.
BRITT GRANT, ESQ.
SARAH HAWKINS WARREN, ESQ.
             For the State of Georgia:
                                                                   18
                                                                                 If I might, your Honor, I would like to
                                                                   19
                                                                            talk about the missing documentation briefly.
             For the U.S.A.:
                                       MICHAEL T. GRAY, ESQ.
                                                                   20
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: We'll get to
             Also Present:
                                       JOSHUA D. DUNLAP, ESQ.
MARY CLIFFORD
                                                                   21
                                                                            that in a moment.
                                                                   22
                                                                                 MR. PERRY: Okay.
                                                                   23
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
                         THE REPORTING GROUP
                          Mason & Lockhart
                                                                                 MR. PRIMIS: Your Honor, we have set out
                                                                   24
                                                                   25
                                                                            our position in the status report. We think
                                                                                     THE REPORTING GROUP
                                                                                      Mason & Lockhart
                                                         2
                                                                                                                            4
 1
                 PROCEEDINGS
                                                                    1
                                                                            it's fairly represented there.
2
              SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Morning,
                                                                    2
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: All right.
 3
         counsel.
                                                                    3
                                                                            Thank you.
 4
              MR. PERRY: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                                    4
                                                                                 It appeared to me, as I read your status
5
                                                                    5
              MR. PRIMIS: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                                            reports, that there are three issues, one
 6
              MS. GRANT: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                                            regarding Mr. Putnam, one regarding 30(b)(6)
                                                                    6
7
                                                                    7
              SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: We have the
                                                                            e-mail preservation, and the adequacy of
8
         usual crew here, Mr. Dunlap, Ms. Clifford,
                                                                    8
                                                                            30(b)(6) witnesses. Have I correctly
9
                                                                    9
         and Ms. Mason.
                                                                            summarized those matters?
10
                                                                   10
              Counsel, let's start with appearances.
                                                                                 Is there anything else, Florida?
11
         Florida?
                                                                   11
                                                                                 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I think you are
12
              MR. PERRY: Your Honor, it's Phil Perry
                                                                   12
                                                                            correctly summarizing them, your Honor.
13
                                                                   13
         for Florida. Also on the phone are Allen
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
14
         Winsor, Jonathan Williams, and Andrew Prins.
                                                                   14
                                                                                 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor.
15
              SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you.
                                                                   15
                                                                                 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: I hope,
16
                                                                   16
                                                                            although I'm not very comfortable suggesting
              Georgia?
17
              MS. GRANT: Your Honor, this is Britt
                                                                   17
                                                                            this, that they become nonissues through your
18
         Grant from Georgia. Also on the phone with
                                                                   18
                                                                            meet and confer meetings. But let's start
19
         me in Atlanta is Sarah Hawkins Warren. And
                                                                   19
                                                                            with Mr. Putnam. Georgia, is that --
20
         Mr. Craig Primis and some other colleagues
                                                                   20
                                                                                 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor?
21
         are on the phone in Washington. I'll have
                                                                   21
                                                                                 Yes, we requested the deposition of
22
         them introduce themselves.
                                                                   22
                                                                            Mr. Putnam. And, frankly, we're surprised by
23
              MR. PRIMIS: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                                   23
                                                                            the level of objection that we have gotten on
24
         Craig Primis from Kirkland & Ellis for
                                                                   24
                                                                            it. Mr. Putnam runs one of the critical
25
                                                                   25
         Georgia. And I have my colleague Devora
                                                                            agencies that's involved in this dispute, the
                  THE REPORTING GROUP
                                                                                     THE REPORTING GROUP
                    Mason & Lockhart
                                                                                       Mason & Lockhart
```

- 1 Florida Department of Agriculture and
- 2 Consumer Services. He's -- he plays and has
- played a key role in Florida on both water
- supply and fishery issues; and he's made
- public statements and comments and created
- 6 documents on those issues.

7 As we said in our status report with

- regard to water supply, Mr. Putnam in his 8
- 9 capacity as Commissioner of the Department of
- Agriculture has made public statements about 10
- 11 the federal government's duty and in
- 12 particular the Army Corps of Engineers to
- 13 deliver to Florida the water it needs. And
- 14 he has attributed that duty and obligation to
- 15 the Army Corps of Engineers.
- As your Honor knows, it's a key issue in 16
- 17 the case. It's already been presented in the
- context of the 12(b)(7) motion, and we're 18
- 19 trying to develop the facts on that issue.
- 20 He obviously has personal knowledge of those
- 21 issues and can speak to them, and we're
- 22 entitled to establish those facts.

23

25

- In addition, the oyster fishery issue is
- a significant issue in the case. As we 24
 - attached to our letter, Mr. Putnam drafted

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- 6
- 1 and sent a September 2012 letter seeking the
- 2 declaration of a fishery failure. He sent
- 3 that to Governor Scott who then forwarded it
- on to the Department of Commerce. We have
- 5 not sought Governor Scott's deposition. By
- going to Mr. Putnam we actually thought we 6
- 7 were doing the right thing, which was to go
- to a lower-level agency official with 8
- 9 responsibility for the matters rather than
- 10 speaking with the Governor.
- 11 And Florida specifically relies on that
- 12 request in paragraph 56 of its complaint,
- 13 that being the request to declare a fishery
- failure. And we just want to take discovery 14
- 15 to those underlying facts. Mr. Putnam has
- firsthand knowledge of that. He was involved 16
- 17 in discussions with agency officials of his
- agency and others leading up to the sending 18
- of that letter. And then he signed it and 19 20 made the decision to submit it.
- 21 This case is just not like the cases that
- 22 Florida cites where they say that there has
- 23 to be an extraordinary -- extraordinary --
- showing. In all of those cases, if you look
- at the facts of what happened, it was an
 - THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- individual who was trying to take a
- 2 deposition of a senior, typically federal
- 3 government official who had no personal
- involvement and no personal knowledge of the
- issues that were in dispute. And in those
- cases the deposition requested was rightly to
- 7 be seen as harassing.
 - And the Courts have also articulated the
- 9 potential fear of a flood of deposition
- 10 notices to people who are high-ranking
- 11 officials. That is not the concern here.
- 12 This is a case that Florida chose to bring.
- 13 Mr. Putnam is a leader of one of the agencies
- 14 that has critical information with regard to
- it. He presumably was involved in the 15
- 16 decision to bring this case. And one would
- 17 expect there to be depositions of senior
- 18 government officials in an Original Action
- 19 pending in the U.S. Supreme Court.
- 20 The -- the -- I'm sorry. There was just
- 21 an interruption here.
- 22 By allowing Georgia, what we propose to
- 23 address Florida's concerns about its time, a
- 24 four-hour deposition of a person who has been
- 25 involved in these issues and has

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- responsibility for the agency that supervises
- it seems like an eminently reasonable way to
- resolve this dispute. He has personal 3
- knowledge. He's signed documents, and he's
- 5 made statements. That takes it out of the
- types of cases that Florida has cited to your 6
- 7 Honor.

15

18

25

- And we have cited other cases which 8
- 9 stand to the proposition that where even
- 10 senior government officials have relevant
- 11 knowledge, personal knowledge, they can stand
- for a deposition. And we have tried to 12
- accommodate any concern about the time 13
- commitment by reducing the amount of time. 14
 - SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Well --
- 16
- MR. PRIMIS: We have -- yes, your Honor? 17
 - SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: No, go ahead.
- 19 MR. PRIMIS: I was simply going to say
- 20 that Florida raised this concern a couple of
- 21 months ago when we first noted Mr. Putnam for
- 22 his deposition. And we did try to obtain
- 23 this information from other people that we
- believed would have information about it or 24

THE REPORTING GROUP

would be in a position to know more about the

9

- 1 letter and its origin. And we quoted them in
- 2 our paper. We cited six or seven people that
- we have asked. And they are not able to
- 4 cover it.

5 But even still, as the Samsung case that

- 6 we have cited points out, is that these --
- 7 the types of people that Florida is now for
- 8 the first time directing us to instead of
- 9 Mr. Putnam are what you would call rank and
- 10 file employees. They are not involved in the
- 11 decision making process at the management
- 12 level. They can't tell us why Mr. Putnam
- 13 made statements about the Army Corps' duty in
- 14 connection with this case. And they can't
- 15 tell us why Mr. Putnam made the decision to
- seek the fishery declaration and the bases
- 17 for that decision.
- So we tried to accommodate the request
- 19 from Florida. We tried to work with them
- 20 on it. We tried to minimize the time
- 21 commitment. But we just can't seem to get
- 22 past this and set up the deposition. And so
- 23 we thought it appropriate at this time to
- 24 seek the Court's assistance.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you, THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

10

Georgia.

25

- 2 Hope springs eternal. I gather that
- 3 this was not a nonissue after your meet and
- 4 confer, so I'll call on Florida.
- 5 MR. PERRY: Thank you, your Honor.
- 6 I would like to start, if I might, by
- 7 putting this issue in some context. Florida
- 8 has already produced for depositions multiple
- 9 witnesses that are playing important roles
- 10 within the Florida state governmental
- 11 structure. The Secretary for the Department
- of Environmental Protection, for example, has
- 13 testified as has the Executive Director of
- 14 the relevant water management district. The
- 15 agricultural Commissioner is, however, on a
- 16 higher and much different level than those --
- 17 than those persons. He's one of three
- 18 elected members of the Governor's cabinet
- 19 along with the Attorney General and the chief
- 20 financial officer. In other words, he's one
- 21 of the highest ranking officials in the
- 22 state.
- 23 We could, your Honor, make a case
- similar to the case that Mr. Primis is making
- for deposing Georgia's elected agricultural THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- 1 Commissioner, Mr. Gary Black. He's been
- 2 involved in a number of issues along the
- 3 Flint River, particularly with respect to the
- 4 Flint River Drought Protection Act. And we
- could certainly make the same type of case
- 6 that -- that Georgia is trying to make for
- 7 his deposition. We think, however, the case
- 8 law precludes that unless you make a showing
- 9 that you can't get the information elsewhere.
- 10 And, frankly, we also want to stress
 - that the type of action where we would go try
- 12 to depose a high-ranking official in Georgia
- 13 is likely to be divisive and likely to have
- impacts on how the states get along in
- mediation and other context going forward.
- 16 So we have been careful not to be provocative
- 17 in seeking high-level depositions where
- 18 depositions of other people in Georgia's
- 19 state government would suffice.
- 20 And I think that although Mr. Primis
- 21 mentioned some press articles and surmises
- 22 that our agricultural Commissioner,
- 23 Mr. Putnam, might have special knowledge, he
- 24 hasn't tried genuinely to obtain those facts
- 25 from other folks.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

12

- 1 And let me be very careful about how I
 - 2 describe that because I would like to be
 - 3 precise. Certainly, depositions have been
 - 4 taken so far of other people in the Florida
 - 5 government. None of them are in the chain of
 - 6 command that addressed the specific report
 - 7 and the specific letter that are at the core
 - 8 of Georgia's request for Commissioner
 - **9** Putnam's deposition. In fact, we have
 - 10 informed Georgia of the specific people that
 - 11 do have that type of information, the people
 - 12 that were the principal authors of the report
 - and the letter. And, indeed, there is one
 - 14 person who I think it's fair to say is the
 - 15 principal author of both who is already
 - scheduled to be deposed by Georgia in this
 - 17 case. That's a gentleman named Mark
 - 18 Berrigan.
 - There are two other people we have
 - 20 identified, Mr. Berrigan's supervisor in the
 - 21 Division of Aquaculture, Ms. Palmer, and then
 - 22 the Commissioner's chief of staff,
 - 23 Mr. Joyner, all of whom can be deposed if
 - 24 Georgia wishes to take that course.
 - Instead, it's our view, your Honor, that THE REPORTING GROUP

16

13

- 1 they're leap-frogging what their requirements
- 2 are under the case law. And, indeed, their
- 3 principal case requires a showing that the
- evidence sought is not available through less
- 5 burdensome means or alternative sources. And
- 6 we have supplied these alternative sources
- that don't provoke the type of high-level
- deposition that we think is inappropriate.
- 9 So, your Honor, we think that we can
- work with Georgia cooperatively to ensure 10
- that they have the amount of time they need 11
- 12 to conduct the depositions that are a
- 13 necessary prerequisite to making a showing
- 14 that they need Mr. Putnam. We would be happy
- 15 to work with them on scheduling. We would
- 16 be happy very specifically to try to
- 17 schedule, you know, multiple people in one
- 18 day if that would be helpful. But at this
- 19 stage we think it's unfair and inappropriate
- 20 under even their own case law to seek
- 21 Mr. Putnam's deposition. And, in fact,
- 22 collaterally we believe that it has the
- 23 potential to be divisive when that type of
- provocative action is not necessary and may 24
- 25 never be necessary.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

14

- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you.
- Mr. Primis, do you want to say anything 2
- else? 3

1

- MR. PRIMIS: Just a few responses, your 4
- 5 Honor.
- 6 First, I didn't hear any response on the
- 7 Army Corps statements that Mr. Putnam has
- made and the positions he's taken on the 8
- 9 necessity of federal government involvement
- 10 to deliver Florida the water it needs, in
- 11 particular as it relates to oysters and other
- species in the bay. And, second, we did ask 12
- 13 months ago for the people who were more
- directly involved in that letter, the junior 14
- 15 people. And we were told we could learn that
- through deposition discovery, and they 16
- 17 wouldn't tell us. And so, now, when we tried
- and were unable to unearth this information,
- only now when we have renewed our request for 19
- 20 Mr. Putnam did they end up telling us who
- 21 they believed would be the people that should
- 22 provide this information.
- 23 But, nevertheless, Mark Berrigan, who
- is noticed for deposition, he's a fairly
- low-level employee of the -- of the -- he's

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- now retired; but he was a fairly low-level
- employee at the time who was involved down 2
- the chain in this letter. And we believe we
- have the right to establish, and Mr. Putnam
- is the only one who can tell us what the
- basis was as the senior manager of this
- 7 division and this agency when Florida reached
- out and made that request and put the 8
- 9 information that it chose before it made its
- 10 request to the federal Department of
- Commerce. 11

12 So we believe we have met the test. We

- don't believe we're required to go on a goose 13
- chase deposing all different kinds of people, 14
- 15 especially when we know that none of them
- 16 will ultimately be able to tell us what was
- 17 on Mr. Putnam's -- what was in Mr. Putnam's
- head and what was his basis for making the 18
- decisions he made. 19

20 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, if I might

- 21 respond?
- 22 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Sure.
- MR. PERRY: Your Honor, let me start by 23
- saying, again, that because Mr. Primis and 24
- his colleagues haven't yet taken the 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- depositions of the persons I identified, I
- don't think that they're in a position to
- summarize or explain what the testimony would 3
- be for either those individuals or for 4
- 5 Mr. Putnam.
- 6 Second, Mr. Primis cites press
- 7 statements about the Army Corps. Virtually
- every public official in the United States 8
- 9 makes press statements at one time or
- another. Press releases are made on their 10
- 11 behalf. There are all sorts of statements in
- the press at public events; and, yet, this 12
- 13 doctrine applies, this doctrine requiring
- that you exhaust your efforts to try to 14
- 15 obtain the information elsewhere before you
- get to the level when you're actually 16
- 17 deposing a high-level official like
- 18 Mr. Putnam.
- 19 So I might also note that it is true
- 20 that a couple months ago we had conversations
- 21 about the Commissioner of Agriculture,
- 22 Mr. Putnam. And our position then was the
- 23 same as it is now. You have an obligation to
- go out and take these depositions and see if 24
- you actually need this information. And then THE REPORTING GROUP

20

18

- we didn't hear anything for two months. And
- last week was the first time we heard about 2
- 3 this again.
- And so we are very willing to work 4
- 5 cooperatively with Georgia to make the people
- available so that they can determine whether
- they actually need to take Mr. Putnam's
- deposition. But our position at this stage
- 9 is that any such deposition is premature, and
- it may never be needed. 10
- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you. 11
- Counsel, are you -- does either one of 12
- 13 you or both of you want to submit a brief on
- 14 this matter; or are you content with your
- 15 summaries in your status reports and the
- arguments you have made today? Georgia? 16
- 17 MR. PRIMIS: Your Honor, we can, I
- believe, rest on the papers we have submitted 18
- 19 so far.
- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Florida? 20
- 21 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, we could submit
- 22 additional authority, if that would be
- 23 helpful. I don't think we need further
- argument; but if the -- if additional 24
- authority would help the Court, we would be

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- pleased to submit that.
- 2 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: That's your
- 3 choice, counsel, not mine.
- MR. PERRY: Okay. Your Honor, we would 4
- like to submit additional authority. We can 5
- do it by the end of the day tomorrow, if that 6
- 7 would be sufficient.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
- 9 MR. PRIMIS: We would like an
- opportunity to respond to whatever Florida 10
- 11 submits, your Honor.
- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: What timing? 12
- 13 MR. PRIMIS: If we get it by the end of
- 14 the day tomorrow, I would say by Monday?
- 15 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Let me remind
- both counsel that on February 29 we hit a 16
- 17 deadline for the final nonexpert depositions.
- All right. Well, let's move on then to 18
- the 30(b)(6) e-mail preservation issue. I 19
- assume that hasn't been resolved either? 20
- 21 Florida?
- 22 MR. PERRY: No, your Honor, it hasn't.
- 23 This, again, is an e-mail issue that we have
- 24 been pursuing for some time.
- 25 I would like to describe first what the THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- context is for the e-mails we're seeking and
- 2 then why they're important. First, these are
- Georgia state employees' e-mails that we're
- seeking. They were all priority custodians.
- At the outset that was the agreement. Their
- names are Allen Barnes, Carol Couch, and
- 7 Harold Reheis. There are a couple others
- 8 that are not as high-ranking as those
- 9 individuals, but those individuals were the
- directors of Georgia's Environmental 10
- 11 Protection Division at relevant times. And
- 12 that division had responsibility for state
- water planning, for issuing permits for 13
- irrigation in the ACF Basin and, indeed, had 14
- 15 specific authority to mandate reductions in
- irrigation along the Flint River during 16
- drought years to ensure that the Flint River 17
- had acceptable flows. And, of course, the 18
- 19 Flint, as the Court knows, is one of the key
- 20 rivers that feeds the Apalachicola.
- 21 We have only a few dozen e-mails for
- 22 each of the people I identified. By way of
- 23 comparison, for a gentleman named Greg
- Munson, who is a former official of our 24
- 25 Department of Environmental Protection, we

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- produced 5,500 e-mails. So I think there's a
- 2 fairly stark comparison.
- We have been seeking this information 3
- from Georgia for quite a long time. Their --4
- 5 their response to us has essentially, as we
- understand it, been that there was a server 6
- 7 migration, meaning that servers were changed
- at some point in time, and that a whole range 8
- 9 of e-mail for former employees was lost.
- 10 Our technical experts suggest to us that
- those e-mails are unlikely to be lost and 11
- 12 that there are -- even in the event that some
- 13 of the files can't be found, there are other
- 14 ways to reconstruct those files. And so we
- 15 have had a bit of trouble getting over the
- last several months a real clear explanation 16
- 17 for what has happened.
- We know that Georgia has been continuing 18
- to investigate this issue for some time, and 19
- it's taken a number of steps. We just 20
- 21 learned recently last week that their
- 22 investigation has ceased. This is an issue
- 23 that we raised in November, and it was,
- 24 indeed, in our December status report; but
- it's only been very recently that Georgia has

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

24

21

- officially declined to produce a witness to
- 2 explain what type of actions they have taken
- 3 to preserve these e-mails or to find them.
- 4 And, frankly, we want the e-mails because we
- 5 think that they're going to be likely quite

6 relevant.

So Georgia, in its recent status report,
says, quote, to the extent Florida can
identify additional reasonable steps Georgia
has not already thought of, Georgia is
willing to consider them.

We certainly appreciate that statement, but we don't have a sufficient understanding about what's been done and what could be done. And we have had trouble getting a clear explanation for those things. And it's a very narrowly-tailored deposition under 30(b)(6) that we're proposing to use to get

Among other things, we would like to
determine very specifically whether Georgia
has attempted to reconstruct at least the
internal e-mails for these individuals by
looking on the currently-used or
recently-used e-mail servers for other

THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason & Lockhart

22

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 people who received e-mails, sent e-mails,
- 2 or had cc's with e-mails to these
- 3 individuals. That would be a fairly easy
- 4 way to start.

those answers.

19

And it appears to us from the letters
we have gotten -- but we're not absolutely
sure -- it appears to us they have not taken
that step and that they think it's unduly

9 burdensome.

identified.

19

And even in the event that it's not
possible to reconstruct that using the
servers, the current or former servers
that have been used at EPD, we think it
might be possible to do the same type of
reconstruction of these individuals' e-mails
by looking on local e-mail archives on the
computers of other people that would have
commonly corresponded with the people I

We think that that's not incredibly
burdensome, can be done fairly quickly. And
we would like to take a deposition to
understand what has been done and what could
be done on that particular score, among
others.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

1 Also our experts -- and let me pause to

2 say, you know, part of the problem here, your

3 Honor, is that lawyers have been talking to

4 lawyers about technical issues. And what

5 we -- what our deposition would do is ensure

6 that we have an appropriately technically

7 oriented person deposing a technical expert

8 to figure out exactly what's been done and

9 what could be done.

Among other things, we would like to 10 11 probe whether there are tape backups of the old e-mail server, whether there are tape 12 backups that were routinely made or made --13 perhaps immediately made prior to the 14 migration. Our technical experts say that 15 16 would be commonplace to do, that it would be very surprising if a tape backup was not 17

made. And so it's a logical question for usto probe.Now, without burdening the Court with

Now, without burdening the Court with too many pieces of background information, I will give one very specific example where the e-mails that we're looking for would be quite relevant. As I mentioned, there is a statute in Georgia called the Flint River Drought

THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason & Lockhart

1 Protection Act, which at relevant times

provided that the State would every year make

a prediction of whether there would be severe

4 drought conditions expected in the year, and

5 if that severe drought conditions were

6 predicted in accordance with a very specific

7 approach, quote, the Division will determine

8 the total number of acres of irrigated land

9 serviced by irrigation systems located within

one or more of the affected areas that must

11 not be irrigated that year in order to

maintain the acceptable Flint River

13 streamflow. That's Georgia code 12-5-546 and

14 547.

We know, for example, during the recent

16 drought years that the staff of EPD,

17 Georgia's Environmental Protection Division,

18 urged some of these individuals, particularly

19 I'll say here Allen Barnes, to declare a

20 severe drought, which would have triggered

21 the provision I read. But despite the

22 recommendation from his staff, Mr. Barnes

23 apparently decided not to invoke that

24 authority and not to halt agricultural

25 irrigation.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

25

1 As a result -- and I'm speaking very 2 specifically about 2011 and 2012 at this point -- there were very significantly low flows on the Flint and then some of the worst

flows on record for -- down the Apalachicola

in those years. And that's -- what I'm

describing here is exactly the type of inequitable conduct cited in our complaint

9 that this case is meant to address.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

22

23

We think that Allen Barnes's e-mails would shed specific light on this topic, if we could find them. We're trying to, by seeking this deposition, get some clues to where they might exist or whether with some reasonable amount of effort they can be recreated. But we don't have his e-mails, and we're not certain.

So we have asked Georgia repeatedly for this deposition. We are very happy to confine it to a very narrow set of issues specifically targeted at obtaining these e-mails and are happy to work with Georgia for that purpose.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you. 24 25 Georgia?

> THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

> > 26

MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor. Thank you very much. This is Craig Primis for Georgia. As we pointed out in our status report,

depositions like this are disfavored; and we cited cases for that. They distract from the merits. And that's particularly an issue here.

As your Honor has acknowledged, with six weeks to go the parties have 30 depositions or more. And at the same time we're also working on expert reports that are due on February 29.

13 Florida has known about this issue since at least April 2015 when we provided the 14 15 information in our interrogatory response about what happened to the e-mails for these 16 17 directors. We have been transparent about it. We have informed them, and we have taken 18 substantial follow-up efforts to try to 19 determine whether there are ways to restore 20 21 these e-mails in the manner Mr. Perry has

These directors left the Environmental 24 25 Protection Division before the leave to file

articulated and to see if there are other

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

this suit was even sought. Harold Reheis

left in 2003, a decade before leave was

sought. Carol Couch left in 2009, and Allen

Barnes left in January of 2012. So these

people have been gone, in Mr. Reheis's case

for a long time, and all of them before this 7 case was filed.

And as we told Florida, we told them in 8 our interrogatory response and we told them

in follow-up communications, in mid-2013 10

there was, in fact, an e-mail migration to a 11

different server. Former employee accounts 12 were not migrated; so they didn't -- if you 13

weren't an active employee at the time, your 14

e-mails weren't carried over to the new 15

server, which is not uncommon. And at that 16

point, the old server was recycled and 17

repurposed and used elsewhere, and the data 18

that had previously been available on it was 19 20 not available for recovery.

21 And we have asked all these questions --22 the lawyers have -- to try and determine whether we can obtain these e-mails and 23

provide them to Florida for the case. 24

> All of this was done in the ordinary THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

course of business. There was nothing

unusual or improper about any of this. And

we have been transparent about it since April 3

when we told Florida about it nine months 4

5 ago.

25

6 This issue was not raised in Florida's 7 initial 30(b)(6) notice, I would note. We

didn't hear about it as a potential 30(b)(6) 8

9 issue until December, eight months after we

first told Florida what had happened with the 10 11 e-mails.

And I would note at that point -- until

12 13 that point, Florida had only served 13

30(b)(6) topics; but then after it became 14

15 apparent that we were going to proceed

without a 30(b)(6), we suddenly got a belated 16

17 30(b)(6) notice with 15 new topics in

December, all of which we have then had to 18

19 evaluate and determine who could testify to

them and prepare them for. And 14 of those 20

21 we have agreed to provide witnesses for.

22 We just don't think it's necessary to

23 have an e-mail -- e-mail server deposition,

especially when we have taken the efforts 24

that we have taken; and we have told Florida

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

sources for them.

32

- 1 that. We have searched other servers. We
- 2 have searched network drives. We have
- 3 searched for decommissioned computers, and we
- have searched hard copy documents. We have
- investigated the availability of backup
- tapes. We have consulted with Georgia's
- IT people and their technical consultant,
- their vendor. And we have searched for
- 9 e-mails collected from other people who were
- identified as custodians to see if there were 10
- other director e-mails in there. And those 11
- are the ones where the people are going to be
- most likely to have e-mails from directors on 13
- 14 the issues in this case.

It sounds like Florida doesn't believe 15 us; but we have, in fact, done all of that 16 work. And we have gone to great lengths to 17

identify and produce e-mails. 18

19 And if you take a step back and look at what we have produced, we found 35 boxes of 20

- 21 paper files from the directors, including
- 22 all kinds of memos and reports and
- 23 contemporaneous documents. We produced from
- 24 those 14,000 pages of information. We
 - produced 9,000 pages from Harold Reheis, even

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

30

- 1 though he left the agency back in 2003. We
- 2 have -- we did find on a network server an
- 3 administrative assistant to the directors,
- 4 her old e-mail account. And we were able to
- 5 produce 4,000 pages of documents from that.
- **6** All told, 24,000 pages or 23,000 pages have
- 7 been produced from these former directors.
- And Florida has all of the official documents 8
- 9 that bear their names that were published on
- behalf of the agency and represent the 10
- 11 initiatives that they were involved with
- 12 during their time in the agency.

13 So in response to providing all of that

- information, which we believe is a 14
- 15 significant sign of good faith and a level
- of cooperation in Georgia that we would 16
- 17 undertake here, we got this 30(b)(6) topic
- which we view as burdensome, not justified, 18
- and harassing. And when we objected to it, 19
- in response to that we got a letter that had 20
- 21 28 subtopics that we were supposed to prepare
- 22 people for deposition about while -- none of
- 23 which relates to the merits of the case,
- 24 while we're still trying to finish the
- remaining 30 depositions and expert reports

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- over the next six weeks.
- 2 Most of the information they seek is
- 3 protected attorney work product because
- 4 lawyers for Georgia have been doing this
- work. It would cover many employees and
- 6 outside consultants, many of whom are formers
- and don't even work there anymore given the 7
- time frame. They're seeking information
- 9 spanning over 30 years back to 1983 when
- Mr. Reheis started. They have asked for 10
- policies and practices. They want a witness 11
- 12 to testify on all computer crashes,
- 13 disruptions and data recovery efforts, all
- 14 asset registries, all file naming
- conventions. These are all over a period of 15
- 16 30 years. They want somebody to testify
- about all record centers throughout the 17
- entire State of Georgia that conceivably have 18
- a document for any of these people. And they 19
- want technical details on all of the 20
- equipment they used, even from the '90's and 21
- 22 2000's, much of which has already been
- repurposed or discarded, just given their 23
- 24 age.

25

7

So we think this is an incredibly THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- burdensome distraction from the merits of the
- case. We have been transparent about it. We
- have informed Florida of our efforts, and we 3
- have made significant effort to see what we
- 5 could find. And, in fact, we have found and
- produced documents. 6

And the e-mail issue that has arisen

- here was done in the ordinary course and in 8
- 9 good faith before this case was filed. There
- 10 is just no need for a burdensome 30(b)(6)
- 11 deposition.

As Mr. Perry articulated, we have told 12

- 13 Florida on the phone and, again, in our
- status report and correspondence with them 14
- 15 that if they have reasonable steps,
- additional steps that could be taken for 16
- 17 places to look for these e-mails, we're
- willing to entertain that; and we have. And 18
- 19 we have done that consistently since April
- 20 when we initially told Florida in a greater
- 21 level of detail than is customary in a case
- 22 exactly what happened with these particular
- 23 e-mails.

24 As for Mr. Perry's suggestions of what

25 we could do further here, we're happy to look

THE REPORTING GROUP

36

33

1 at all of those issues again. I will state,

2 and we have told Florida, that we did run

3 searches for people, for these directors, by

looking at the servers and also the

information that was collected from the 5

custodian. 6

16

case.

7 I suppose we could see if it's feasible to run searches on -- throughout the entire 8 9 state government of Georgia to see if there are e-mails from these three people that 10 11 still exist. But we collected e-mails and documents from dozens of people, and those are the people who would be most likely to 13 have information relating to this case and 14 e-mails from the directors related to this 15

17 So, again, we're always willing to entertain reasonable additional steps. If we 18 could identify them and produce them and 19 provide them, we would. But based on the 20 21 extent of work we have done to date, we just 22 have not been able to recreate or recover 23 e-mails that were discarded in the ordinary course prior to the initiation of this 24 25 litigation.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

34

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you. 1

Florida, do you want to say anything

further on this issue? 3

Hello? 4

2

7

19

21

5 MR. PERRY: Yes, your Honor.

First, on the timeline point, if I 6

might, I think we have a slightly different

view than Mr. Primis about the timelines. It

8

9 was our sense that they were continuing to

investigate well into December and that they 10

11 just concluded their investigation recently.

And, indeed, we informed them, I believe it 12

13 was in November and reported it on December 4

in our status report, that we were going to 14

15 conduct this type of deposition because we

felt like we weren't making progress; and we 16

17 couldn't get a straight sense of what could

be done to find these e-mails. 18

I'll say that for some of these

individuals, we know they used their e-mail 20

accounts because from time to time -- rarely,

22 but from time to time they e-mailed our state

23 government officials. And we received, and

we sent them e-mails. So we know that these 24

e-mail accounts were used.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

I'm heartened to hear from Mr. Primis 1

that he would see if it's feasible to check

other noncustodian e-mail accounts to see if

they can recreate the e-mail accounts for

these individuals. We have not heard them

say that before. I think that's important. 6

7 And what they have said about checking

other custodians' accounts for e-mails, for 8

9 example, for Mr. Barnes, is an interesting

point; but we have no e-mails, for example, 10

11 between -- as far as I know, between

12 Mr. Barnes and other key people that were --

like an individual named Mr. Wei Zeng who we 13

think corresponded daily with Mr. Barnes. 14

For example, the point I was making 15

about the Flint River Drought Protection Act, 16

17 we know that Wei Zeng sent a memo on that

very specific topic recommending that a 18

severe drought be declared and that 19

appropriate steps to halt irrigation be 20

triggered. And, yet, we have no 21

22 communications by e-mail from Mr. Barnes to

23 Mr. Wei Zeng or vice versa, as far as I know.

I have not seen any, and we have been looking 24

for them. 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

So with respect to custodians, I 1

appreciate that Mr. Primis has said they did

a search to see if they could recreate it 3

among the limited universe of custodians. We 4

5 got very little to suggest any result from

that. And today, if I understand him 6

7 correctly, he is saying that he would see if

it's feasible to search among a wider group 8

9 of people.

16

Frankly, your Honor, I welcome that; but 10

11 I'm not sure that that replaces the need to

do a deposition and figure out technically --12

13 not lawyer to lawyer, but among technical

people that understand this -- what has been 14

15 done and what might be done.

And we are raising this because, as I

17 tried to indicate previously, some of these

e-mails may be quite central to our case. 18

And so we waited as long as we reasonably 19

20 could. We asked for a 30(b)(6) deposition.

21 And on the point about its intrusiveness 22 or the unduly burdensome nature of that, it's

23 true that one of our co-counsel sent a letter

to Mr. Primis with 28 questions that we 24

25 wanted to ask any deponent. And he

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

37

- characterized some of those as going back 30
- years. That wasn't the intent. The intent 2
- was to give them a full heads-up on what the
- questions would be, essentially a deposition
- outline, so they could choose the right
- person. In other words, we were trying to be
- completely transparent about the issues we're
- interested in probing. I don't think it's
- 9 fair to say that would require an enormous
- amount of work. And we're very happy to 10
- 11 negotiate that inquiry in a way that
- highlights very specific issues. 12

And if there is a way to get to the

- point where we get a meaningful deposition 14
- 15 that lasts a period of time that's
- 16 reasonable, that's fine, too. But what we're
- trying to do is get enough information to 17
- find the key documents in this case. And the 18
- baseline we have is that we just haven't been 19
- 20 able to make progress.

13

21

- And, you know, I have enjoyed working
- 22 with Mr. Primis over time; so I don't mean to 23 make any comment about, you know, our
- inability to get things done on a general 24
- level, but on this issue we have been unable

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

38

- 1 to make progress. And we feel like we can't
- 2 get a coherent answer that our technical
- 3 people can understand without the type of
- technical deposition that we're proposing 4
- here, your Honor. 5
- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Primis, 6
- 7 do you want to say anything else?
- MR. PRIMIS: Yes. I would just say one 8
- 9 thing about Mr. Barnes and Mr. Zeng. They
- have the e-mails from Mr. Zeng. And they're 10
- correct, they have e-mails showing them 11
- 12 communicating on a regular basis with a wide
- 13 variety of people. The fact that Mr. Zeng's
- e-mails don't have e-mails from Mr. Barnes is 14
- 15 just as suggestive that Mr. Barnes rarely
- used e-mail as it is that there is something 16
- 17 wrong or amiss. And they have not
- established that Mr. Barnes, in fact, 18
- e-mailed about these issues. 19

20

- So it's -- it's really not a basis to
- 21 drill into this with the 28 topics over 30
- years the way they suggested, especially when
- counsel has been making the efforts that I
- have described to keep Florida informed and
- to do what we can to see if they exist.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- 1 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you.
- 2 Counsel, keeping in mind my earlier
- 3 comment about the deadline of February 29, do
- 4 you want to file briefs on this one; or are
- you content to rely on the representations
- and materials in your status reports and the
- arguments that you have had today? Florida? 7
 - MR. PERRY: We're content to rely on
- 9 what's just been said, your Honor.
 - SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
- 11 MR. PRIMIS: Same for Georgia, your
- Honor. 12

8

10

13

- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: All right.
- Let's turn to the third issue that your 14
- 15 status reports discussed, the adequacy of
- 16 30(b)(6) witnesses. Georgia?
- 17 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor. I think
- on this one we may be better able to work 18
- things out with Florida; but I will outline 19
- 20 the concern that we have had.
- 21 We have started taking the 30(b)(6)
- 22 depositions. And when we asked the kind of
- usual background questions about what 23
- preparation had been done and who people have 24
- spoken to, we are getting answers that 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

40

- indicate that there has not been an adequate
 - level of preparation and that the witnesses
 - are really not informed about the issues that 3
 - we have identified. 4
 - 5 With regard to Mr. Munson, for instance,
 - we wanted to know the basis for Florida's 6
 - 7 allegation that Georgia engaged in bad faith
 - in the Compact negotiations. And Mr. Munson 8
 - 9 didn't speak to anybody who was involved in
 - those negotiations. He personally was not, 10
 - 11 and he hadn't done the work to find out what
 - happened firsthand from these people. And 12
 - we're told that the basis for the bad faith 13
 - allegation is that post hoc judicial opinion 14
 - 15 that Mr. Munson had nothing to do with. So
 - we were concerned about that. And maybe 16
 - 17 there is a way to negotiate our way through that. 18
 - Another issue that arose was with 19
 - Mr. Cyphers. We were presented at his 20
 - 21 30(b)(6) deposition with a 35-page,
 - 22 single-spaced script of information that had
 - 23 never been previously provided before; and we
 - were told he was going to use that as the 24
 - basis for his deposition testimony. He also THE REPORTING GROUP

44

did have a collection of documents in a 2 notebook.

And I want to be clear. We're not 3 objecting to providing 30(b)(6) witnesses with a collection of documents in a notebook, and we don't think that's a waiver of work product. That's very common and routine, and we have seen it happen before. We're not taking issue with that, and we have not taken 9 issue with that with Florida. But when we're 10 presented with this 35-page script which 11 across the top of it says, attorney-client 12 privilege, attorney work product, and the

13 witness testifies that it was prepared by 14 lawyers and that he relied on the lawyers to 15 16 get all the information correct, we have a

concern that we are getting lawyer-drafted 17 and lawyer-driven information as our 30(b)(6) 18

testimony from Florida on these issues; and 19 we're not getting the witness's testimony. 20

21 We also cannot cross-examine the witness

because the witness was not involved in the preparation of that document and didn't know 23

who had been other than the lawyers. 24

So we're concerned that barriers are THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

Florida's efforts to mitigate the harms that

Florida claims it's experiencing. And

Mr. Stevenson -- Steverson did no additional

work and himself only had knowledge of

limited areas of mitigation that Florida had

engaged in. And we just couldn't explore

other things through him that we had hoped to 7

explore.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6

So that is the basis for our concern. I think this one probably warrants some additional discussion and negotiation between the parties. But it was becoming a concern as we approached the end of our discovery period.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Florida? MR. PERRY: Thank you, your Honor. Let me start by agreeing with Mr. Primis

that we could probably resolve some of these 18 issues through negotiation. And, indeed, we 19

20 have already talked about that to some 21 extent.

22 But on the merits of the concerns that

Mr. Primis stressed, we have a different 23

view; and I would like to explain that 24

briefly, if I might. First, as the Court may 25 THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

42

1 being set up to get at this information

2 either because, in the case of Mr. Munson, he

3 hadn't done the work and was only ready to

4 testify about what Florida wanted him to say

5 and was not available for cross-examination

6 based on other issues that related to it and

7 might have tested his testimony; and then

with regard to Mr. Cyphers, we're basically 8

9 asked to take on faith this lawyer-drafted

script. And we're struggling with ways to 10

get at that, especially when it's provided at 11

the deposition for the first time. And it's 12

13 35 pages of single-spaced information, all of

which seems lawyer drafted and edited. 14

So those are our issues. We are open to negotiating these points with Florida in good faith and to try to find a way to get at the

actual information and to give us an 18

opportunity to test it through 19

cross-examination, which we just don't feel 20

we have been able to do with regard to the 21

22 initial 30(b)(6) witnesses that have been

23 provided.

25

15

16

17

Likewise, with Mr. Steverson, we had 24 25 asked for a witness who could testify about

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

recall, this is an issue that's been

percolating for some time and was addressed

in our December status report where we cited

a number of cases about our concern about the

scope of the request for 30(b)(6) depositions 5

and how they were impractical and required 7 some fairly extraordinary steps to -- to

prepare a witness to comply. And, indeed, 8

9 since that time Georgia has filed its own

objections to our 30(b)(6)'s and made several 10

11 of the almost identical objections to those

we stressed in our prior status report. 12

13 So moving very specifically to the three witnesses that Mr. Primis identified, 14

15 Mr. Cyphers, the one Mr. Primis identified as

having relied on an outline, he is the 16

17 executive director of the Northwest Florida

Water Management District. In other words, 18

he has responsibility for that District's 19

20 activities throughout the relevant area of

21 Florida for this case.

22 I think it's helpful to identify the

specific requests that Georgia made for his

30(b)(6) testimony. First, Florida's 24

management of all agricultural water use,

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

23

5

45

- 1 Florida's management of all municipal and
- 2 industrial water use, any and all diversions,
- all permitting, the amount and nature of
- 4 consumptive uses of water within that portion
- 5 of the ACF Basin. In other words, your
- 6 Honor, their requests, which Mr. Cyphers was
- 7 addressing, were essentially everything the
- 8 District does or has done at any point to
- 9 manage the kind of water uses that -- that
- 10 the District manages in that area of Florida.

11 Admittedly, the Apalachicola is sparsely

- 12 populated; and there's only limited
- 13 agricultural activity there. And there's
- 14 very little water use when compared with what
- 15 you see upstream in Georgia, very little
- 16 agricultural water use, very little municipal
- 17 or industrial water use; but, nevertheless,
- 18 the amount of preparation required to address
- 19 all those broad topics was very significant.

And as the case law suggests, it's not reasonably possible for a single person to

- remember every little detail for every
- 23 possible question that might be asked under
- 24 those broad topics. And, indeed, the only
 - practical way to reasonably prepare somebody

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

46

- 1 would be to provide them with the type of
- ${\bf 2}$ $\,$ notebook or outline or background material on
- 3 which they could rely.

20

21

22

- 4 And here, the outline was not prepared
- 5 only by a lawyer. Certainly, a lawyer was
- 6 involved. But there were 16 different state
- 7 employees who were involved in preparing the
- 8 outline. And this is a 30(b)(6) deposition,
- 9 so Mr. Cyphers was preparing to speak on
- 10 behalf of the State. And those state
- 11 employees contributed information to the
- outline, and we have identified every one of
- 13 those 16 people by name for Georgia. And two
- of those people are already scheduled to be
- 15 deposed, one next week. And, certainly, next
- 16 week when Mr. Guy Gowens is deposed, Georgia
- Week Wildir in day dowells is deposed, deorgi
- 17 can ask him the questions about the
- 18 preparation of the outline.
- And, indeed, the outline refers to other documents. It covers a great deal of detail
- 21 including specifics on permitting, all the
- 22 types of permits required, how the permitting
- system is administered, what the procedures
- are, how water is supplied to small
- 25 municipalities, what the very few

THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason & Lockhart

- 1 agricultural users do and how their permits
- 2 work and how they are limited and how our
- 3 conservation programs work for agriculture,
- which by the way have been quite successful.
 - But all that material requires an
- extreme degree of knowledge about detail.
- 7 And so we have cited in our brief -- and I'll
- 8 cite another case here -- examples of where
- 9 Courts have looked at this practice of
- 10 providing a notebook or an outline or other
- 11 materials for a witness to rely upon, if
- necessary, when being deposed as a 30(b)(6).
- 13 One case is called Zeng V. EDS, Z E N G, 2007
- 14 Westlaw 2713805 in the Eastern District of
- 15 Virginia in 2007. That gives a fair summary,
- we think, of the challenges in a situation
- 17 like this with a 30(b)(6) and how to comply.
- Now, we think that there's commentary.
- 19 Other commentators have balanced these issues
- 20 and come to the conclusion, we think, that
- 21 what we did here was the right approach, you
- 22 know, faced with an incredibly broad range of
- 23 30(b)(6) request, trying to provide a
- 24 meaningful witness. And so that's how we did
- 25 it.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

48

- 1 Now, Georgia's response to this was to
- 2 demand a deposition of the Florida lawyer who
- 3 was involved with those 16 state employees in
- 4 preparing the outline. And it's hard for us
- 5 to understand how that's justified,
- 6 particularly in light of the fact that we
- 7 have identified every one of the 16 people;
- 8 the outline itself identifies the source of
- 9 the information, including the documents that
- 10 were relied upon to put it together. I have
- 11 got graphs from documents. It's highly
- 12 detailed. And they can depose individuals
- that we have identified about it, and they
- 14 are doing so.
- 15 So while I agree with Mr. Primis that we
- 16 can work out our remaining issues, I disagree
- 17 about the premise that I think he's advancing
- 18 that what we did was somehow wrong. In fact,
- 19 I think the way we handled this is the only
- 20 way to reasonably handle this type of issue
- 21 when it presents, you know, such huge scope
- 22 problems and was appropriate, as we think the
- ${\bf 23}$ $\,$ commentators say and the case law says, for a
- 24 30(b)(6).

Now, with respect to Mr. Munson, who
THE REPORTING GROUP
Mason & Lockhart

52

49

- 1 Mr. Primis also mentioned, he was called as a
- 2 30(b)(6) to testify about our allegation in
- 3 our complaint that Georgia acted in bad
- 4 faith. And that allegation is founded on a
- 5 determination by Judge Bowdre of the Northern
- 6 District of Alabama in 2005 that Georgia did,
- indeed, act in bad faith in certain
- negotiation settings with Florida.

9 And what Georgia wanted to do in that

deposition was depose Mr. Munson about its 10

11 counterargument. And its counterargument had

12 to do with negotiations that followed that

bad faith determination. And Mr. Munson 13

wasn't prepared to address those because 14

those weren't part of the topic. 15

Now, I'll say that there are other 16

17 people that have been deposed and will be

deposed in this case that do have that type 18

19 of knowledge. Already, Georgia has taken a

two-day deposition of former Florida employee 20

21 Doug Barr, who also ran the Water Management

22 District, and has on its schedule a

deposition for David Struhs, also on the 23

24 negotiations it wishes to probe.

25

So I do not think that they are lacking THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

executive director is going to be deposed

2 soon -- in fact, this Friday, I believe -- on

those issues. 3

And so, again, I do not believe that

Georgia is lacking for any type of discovery.

We are -- we are supplying people. And I

think, your Honor, that our response to the 7

30(b)(6) notices, although we have 8

9 objections, we did the best we could to

10 prepare. It takes a huge amount of time to

11 do this, as evidenced by the 16 state

employees that were helping prepare 12

Mr. Cyphers. 13

14 So we think that that record is not one of noncompliance. It's one of attempts to 15

16 comply under difficult circumstances

17 occasioned by extremely broad requests for

18 30(b)(6) witnesses.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Primis, 19 20 do you want to add anything to what has just

21 been said?

MR. PRIMIS: Sure. Just briefly, your 22

23 Honor.

I would just note that the practice, as 24

I said, of providing a notebook or even some

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

50

1 for any discovery on that issue. And I would

disagree with Mr. Primis's premise that 2

3 Mr. Munson wasn't well prepared.

For Mr. Steverson, who by the way is the 4

5 Secretary of the Department of Environmental

6 Protection in Florida, I also disagree with

7 what Mr. Primis said. Mr. Steverson is an

expert on a whole wide range of topics. He 8

9 ran the water quality -- the Water Management

10 District prior to his appointment as

11 Secretary. He is intimately familiar, in

12 fact, with a range of conservation measures

13 to mitigate harm to the Apalachicola, which

was, of course, the 30(b)(6) request,

15 including efforts by Florida, at the cost of

16 hundreds of millions of dollars, to set aside

17 land for conservation purposes along the

18 river. He is not, however, familiar with

what the deposition ended up focusing upon; 19

and that is efforts taken to manage the 20

21 harvest of oysters in Apalachicola Bay. And

22 those issues are actually addressed by a

23 different agency within the Florida state

government, the Fish and Wildlife Commission.

And there, Mr. Nick Wiley, who is the THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

bullet points with historical factual

information to a witness is not unusual. And

the case that Mr. Perry identified had a

notebook; and it said -- it's not entirely

clear what the witness was provided, but it 5

sounded like it was just clipped from 6

7 interrogatory responses that had already been

advanced in the case that all the parties had 8

9 access to so they could be examined and

10 tested. What we were looking at here with

11 Mr. Cyphers was 35 pages of an advocacy

piece. It was a lawyer advocacy piece. It 12

13 wasn't neutral in terms of the presentation

of the facts; and it wasn't an historical 14

15 document, which Mr. Cyphers clearly could

have been given if that would have been 16

17 helpful to him to articulate Florida's

testimony. This was clearly written by a 18 19 lawyer.

And like the Neurontin case that we

20 21 cited, what is happening here is that Florida

22 is using a witness, Mr. Cyphers, to advance

23 the lawyer's position as it's drafted up in

this 35-page advocacy piece. We can't test 24

25 the information underlying it through the THE REPORTING GROUP

56

13

14

- 1 30(b)(6) witness like we're supposed to
- 2 because we can't ask about the process that
- 3 led to the creation of the document, what
- 4 information was let out -- left out, and what
- 5 information the 14 individuals that Mr. Perry
- 6 said were canvassed, what they actually said.
- 7 We have the lawyer version of what they said
- 8 over which privilege was waived.
- **9** So we're trying to work with Florida to
- 10 find a way to get at that. If the answer is
- 11 that there are other people with the
- 12 knowledge, then we would suggest that they be
- designated as a 30(b)(6) witness so that
- their testimony be designated as 30(b)(6)
- 15 testimony so that we don't spend all this
- 16 time and effort preparing for witnesses who
- 17 are just going to come in and read a
- 18 lawyer's -- lawyer's single-spaced brief into
- 19 the record. That's not what 30(b)(6)'s are
- 20 supposed to be about. It's not a process I
- 21 have ever seen before, and it's the one that
- 22 was specifically criticized in the Neurontin
- 23 case.
- So we'll continue our efforts with
- 25 Mr. Perry. We'll see what we can do to work THE REPORTING GROUP

54

1 out these issues and make these more

Mason & Lockhart

- productive, but we did want to identify this
- 3 as an issue for the Court.
- 4 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: If I heard
- 5 both counsel correctly, you believe that this
- 6 issue can be resolved by further
- 7 negotiations. Is that correct, Georgia?
- 8 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, that is certainly our
- **9** hope, your Honor; and we fully believe we
- **10** can.
- 11 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Florida?
- MR. PERRY: That's our hope as well,
- 13 your Honor.
- 14 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: So am I
- 15 correct in my understanding that this is not
- 16 an issue for the Court at this time?
- MR. PRIMIS: That's correct, your Honor.
- 18 We'll continue to work on it with Florida.
- 19 MR. PERRY: Yes.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: So at the
- 21 risk of sounding like a broken record, let me
- remind you, again, of the February 29
- 23 deadline for completion of nonexpert
- 24 depositions.

25

With the briefing that you're going to THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- 1 give me, we will turn to it as soon as we
- 2 can. But it will not be an instant response,
- 3 and so you need to keep in mind that deadline
- 4 that I mentioned.
- Now, let me move to my favorite topic,
- 6 settlement and mediation. And here, let me
- 7 begin with a note of caution. Again, as you
- 8 know, this transcript will be on the docket
- 9 on our website. So please be careful; please
- 10 don't mention the name of the mediator, the
- 11 location of the mediator, anything that would
- 12 lead to further disclosures.
 - Let me ask you; when was the mediator selected? Florida?
- MR. PERRY: I'm not sure I know the
- 16 exact date, but it was over a week ago, your
- 17 Honor. Maybe two weeks ago.
- 18 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
- MR. PRIMIS: My recollection is that it
- 20 happened sometime over the Christmas holiday
- 21 or slightly before that.
- 22 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: And when is
- 23 the first meeting scheduled for the mediator?
- 24 Florida?
- 25 MR. PERRY: This is Phil Perry -- yes, THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

1 this is Phil Perry for Florida.

- We are awaiting word from the mediator's
- 3 assistant on a call to address the logistics
- 4 of the mediation, which we think will not
- 5 take a lot of time. So we think soon we're
- 6 likely to have that call. We don't yet have
- 7 a call date.
- 8 And then consistent with your statement
- 9 a moment ago, we will have mediation sessions
- 10 that are substantive; but we're -- I think
- 11 both Mr. Primis and I agree we're not
- 12 inclined to disclose those on the public
- 13 record with the dates or the locations or any
- 14 of that information.
- 15 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Do you know
- 16 any of the technical setup?
- Do you know whether there will be
- 18 written submissions required, for example,
- **19** Florida?
- MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I think we're
- 21 going to agree on written submissions that
- 22 will be submitted by both parties. I think
- 23 that's what we're going to address very soon
- 24 with the mediator's manager.
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: So no THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

60

schedule has been established at this date,

and there is no way to predict what the pace 2 will be? 3

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, if I might add, 4

we have a date picked for the first date of 5

the substantive mediation that I think is

agreed between all the parties. What I'm

talking about is the logistics call that

9 precedes that, you know, by a period of time.

And I'm trying to be careful not to mention 10

the specific date that we intend to begin

substantive mediation efforts on this public 12 13

transcript.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Well, I'm 14 concerned, of course, about timing on this. 15

Do you know who will be representing Florida 16

at this first meeting of the mediator, 17

Mr. Perry? 18

19 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, we haven't finally determined who will attend; but I'm 20 sure it will be somebody with authority to 21

22 act.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia? 23 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor. We have 24 senior people with authority who are prepared THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

58

4

to attend the mediation, as well as myself.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: And when

you're saying senior people with authority,

are you talking counsel; or are you talking 4

5 State?

2

MR. PRIMIS: No, no. I'm talking State, 6

7 the state administrator who is intimately

involved with this and has been for a while

9 as well as other people who pay attention to

this at a senior level within the state. 10

11 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Florida?

MR. PERRY: It will not be a 12

13 lawyers-only mediation from our perspective.

And then in addition, to the extent the 14

15 mediator, on our upcoming logistical call,

would want technical support there as well, 16

17 we're willing to bring that as well.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: I am 18

obviously concerned about timing and the pace

and whether the mediator will understand the 20

need to move this along or whether she will 21

22 simply treat it as an ordinary matter without

23 any understanding of the need for speed.

I understand you can't respond to that, 24 and I'm not asking you to.

> THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

Let me suggest -- and it's only a

2 suggestion -- that if you want to, I would

welcome a joint confidential submission about

the mediation with whatever information you

want to share with me. That's only a

suggestion. It's not an order.

Now, is there anything else from 7

Florida? 8

11

9 MR. PERRY: No, your Honor.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia? 10

MR. PRIMIS: No, your Honor.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Let me, if I 12

13 may then, finalize this discussion with a

couple of points. Several times during the 14

course of this -- today's discussion the word 15

"drought" appeared. And drought is something 16

that I raised early on, drought, and the flow 17

of water, the amount of water. I'm sure that 18

counsel are aware of and have probably read 19

George Mitchell's book published in 1990 20

titled World on Fire, Saving an Endangered 21

22 Earth. George, of course, is a good friend;

and he's very prescient as well as well read. 23

I would recommend that you suggest to your 24

principals in each state that they read the 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

book because no matter what the ultimate

order is from the Court, Mother Nature will

play a role in the ultimate result. 3

And, secondly, let me make a suggestion

that whoever is attending this mediation have

the authority to compromise. And I emphasize 6

7 the word "COMPROMISE" in capital letters

because if you go in with lines in the sand, 8

9 you're wasting the mediator's time; and

10 you're wasting your time.

11 And I know counsel understand this

because you have been through it before; 12

13 but I want to be sure that the state

representatives who are there understand 14

15 it. If they go in without the ability to

compromise, this mediation is doomed to 16

17 failure. And that's something none of us

wants to happen. 18

With that, Josh, anything else? 19

20 MR. DUNLAP: Nothing.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mary?

22 MS. CLIFFORD: No.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: We are

finished, counsel. Thank you very much, 24

again. I appreciate all you're trying to do

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

Page 57 to 60 of 71

21

23

19

here. MR. PERRY: Thank you, your Honor. MR. PRIMIS: Thank you, your Honor. (The telephone conference was concluded at 11:05 a.m.) THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart **CERTIFICATE** I, Claudette G. Mason, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maine, hereby certify that the foregoing 61 pages are a correct transcript of my stenographic notes of the above-captioned proceedings. I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the event or outcome of the above-named cause of action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand this 15th day of January, 2016. **Notary Public** My Commission Expires June 9, 2019. THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

1	52:11	activity [1] - 45:13	ALLEN [1] - 1:16	aside [1] - 50:16
•	35-page [3] - 40:21,	actual [1] - 42:18	Allon [1] - 3:1	asset [1] - 31:14
10:00 [1] - 1:13	41:11, 52:24	add [3] - 3:9, 51:20,	ALLON [1] - 1:19	assistance [1] - 9:24
11:05 [1] - 61:5	71.11, 02.24			
12 [1] - 1:12	4	57:4	allowing [1] - 7:22	assistant [2] - 30:3,
	4	addition [2] - 5:23,	almost [1] - 44:11	56:3
12(b)(7 [1] - 5:18	4 [1] - 34:13	58:14	alternative [2] - 13:5,	assume [1] - 18:20
12-5-546 [1] - 24:13		additional [8] - 17:22,	13:6	Atlanta [1] - 2:19
13 [1] - 28:13	4,000 [1] - 30:5	17:24, 18:5, 21:9,	amiss [1] - 38:17	attached [1] - 5:25
14 [2] - 28:20, 53:5		32:16, 33:18, 43:3,	amount [8] - 8:14,	attempted [1] - 21:22
14,000 [1] - 29:24	5	43:11	13:11, 25:15, 37:10,	attempts [1] - 51:15
142 [1] - 1:1	5 500 00 4	address [7] - 3:16,	45:3, 45:18, 51:10,	• • • •
15 _[1] - 28:17	5,500 [1] - 20:1			attend [2] - 57:20,
15th [1] - 62:11	547 [1] - 24:14	7:23, 25:9, 45:18,	59:18	58:1
	56 [1] - 6:12	49:14, 56:3, 56:23	Andrew [1] - 2:14	attending [1] - 60:5
16 [5] - 46:6, 46:13,		addressed [3] - 12:6,	ANDREW [1] - 1:17	attention [1] - 58:9
48:3, 48:7, 51:11	6	44:2, 50:22	answer [2] - 38:2,	attorney [3] - 31:3,
1983 [1] - 31:9	_	addressing [1] - 45:7	53:10	41:12, 41:13
1990 [1] - 59:20	61 [1] - 62:4	adequacy [2] - 4:7,	answers [2] - 21:19,	Attorney [1] - 10:19
		39:15	39:25	attorney-client [1] -
2	9	adequate [1] - 40:1	anticipate [1] - 3:15	41:12
	-	administered [1] -	Apalachicola [5] -	=
2000's [1] - 31:22	9 [1] - 62:19	46:23	•	attributed [1] - 5:14
2003 [2] - 27:2, 30:1	9,000 [1] - 29:25		19:20, 25:5, 45:11,	Atwood [1] - 1:11
2005 [1] - 49:6	90's [1] - 31:21	administrative [1] -	50:13, 50:21	author [1] - 12:15
2007 [2] - 47:13, 47:15		30:3	apparent [1] - 28:15	authority [9] - 17:22,
	Α	administrator [1] -	APPEARANCES [1] -	17:25, 18:5, 19:15,
2009 [1] - 27:3	A	58:7	1:15	24:24, 57:21, 57:25,
2011 [1] - 25:2	a.m [2] - 1:13, 61:5	admittedly [1] - 45:11	appearances [1] -	58:3, 60:6
2012 [3] - 6:1, 25:2,	ability [1] - 60:15	advance [1] - 52:22	2:10	authors [1] - 12:12
27:4	able [7] - 9:3, 15:16,	advanced [1] - 52:8	appeared [2] - 4:4,	availability [1] - 29:5
2015 [1] - 26:14		advancing [1] - 48:17	59:16	-
2016 [2] - 1:12, 62:11	30:4, 33:22, 37:20,	•		available [5] - 13:4,
2019 [1] - 62:19	39:18, 42:21	advocacy [3] - 52:11,	applies [1] - 16:13	17:6, 27:19, 27:20,
23,000 [1] - 30:6	above-captioned [1] -	52:12, 52:24	appointment [1] -	42:5
24,000 [1] - 30:6	62:6	affected [1] - 24:10	50:10	awaiting [1] - 56:2
	above-named [1] -	age [1] - 31:24	appreciate [3] - 21:12,	aware [1] - 59:19
254 [1] - 1:11	62:9	agencies [2] - 4:25,	36:2, 60:25	
2713805 [1] - 47:14	absolutely [1] - 22:6	7:13	approach [2] - 24:7,	В
28 [3] - 30:21, 36:24,	acceptable [2] -	agency [9] - 6:8, 6:17,	47:21	
38:21	19:18, 24:12	6:18, 8:1, 15:7, 30:1,	approached [1] -	background [3] -
29 [4] - 18:16, 26:12,	access [1] - 52:9	30:10, 30:12, 50:23	43:13	23:21, 39:23, 46:2
39:3, 54:22		ago [7] - 8:21, 14:13,	appropriate [3] - 9:23,	backup [2] - 23:17,
•	accommodate [2] -	_		29:5
3	8:13, 9:18	16:20, 28:5, 55:16,	35:20, 48:22	backups [2] - 23:11,
3	accordance [1] - 24:6	55:17, 56:9	appropriately [1] -	-
30 [6] - 26:9, 30:25,	account [1] - 30:4	agree [3] - 48:15,	23:6	23:13
31:9, 31:16, 37:1,	accounts [6] - 27:12,	56:11, 56:21	April [3] - 26:14, 28:3,	bad [5] - 40:7, 40:13,
38:21	34:21, 34:25, 35:3,	agreed [2] - 28:21,	32:19	49:3, 49:7, 49:13
30(b)(6 [30] - 4:6, 4:8,	35:4, 35:8	57:7	Aquaculture [1] -	balanced [1] - 47:19
			-	Barnes [12] - 19:6,
		agreeing [1] - 43:17	12:21	Dailles [12] - 19.0,
18:19, 21:18, 28:7,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5	agreeing [1] - 43:17	12:21 archives (1) - 22:16	24:19, 24:22, 27:4,
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] -	agreement [1] - 19:5	archives [1] - 22:16	• • •
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] -	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14,
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22,	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14,
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1,	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13,	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16,	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1,	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16,	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1,	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25 based [2] - 33:20, 42:6
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8, 51:18, 53:1, 53:13,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3 Action [1] - 7:18	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1, 5:10, 16:21 agriculture [1] - 47:3	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7 Army [5] - 5:12, 5:15,	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8, 51:18, 53:1, 53:13, 53:14	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3 Action [1] - 7:18 action [3] - 11:11,	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1, 5:10, 16:21 agriculture [1] - 47:3 ahead [2] - 8:17, 8:18	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7 Army [5] - 5:12, 5:15, 9:13, 14:7, 16:7	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25 based [2] - 33:20, 42:6
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8, 51:18, 53:1, 53:13, 53:14 30(b)(6) [2] - 47:12,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3 Action [1] - 7:18 action [3] - 11:11, 13:24, 62:9	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1, 5:10, 16:21 agriculture [1] - 47:3 ahead [2] - 8:17, 8:18 Alabama [1] - 49:6	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7 Army [5] - 5:12, 5:15, 9:13, 14:7, 16:7 arose [1] - 40:19	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25 based [2] - 33:20, 42:6 baseline [1] - 37:19 bases [1] - 9:16
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8, 51:18, 53:1, 53:13, 53:14 30(b)(6) [2] - 47:12, 48:24	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3 Action [1] - 7:18 action [3] - 11:11, 13:24, 62:9 actions [1] - 21:2	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1, 5:10, 16:21 agriculture [1] - 47:3 ahead [2] - 8:17, 8:18 Alabama [1] - 49:6 allegation [4] - 40:7,	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7 Army [5] - 5:12, 5:15, 9:13, 14:7, 16:7 arose [1] - 40:19 articles [1] - 11:21	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25 based [2] - 33:20, 42:6 baseline [1] - 37:19 bases [1] - 9:16 Basin [2] - 19:14, 45:5
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8, 51:18, 53:1, 53:13, 53:14 30(b)(6) [2] - 47:12, 48:24 30(b)(6)'s [2] - 44:10,	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3 Action [1] - 7:18 action [3] - 11:11, 13:24, 62:9 actions [1] - 21:2 active [1] - 27:14	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1, 5:10, 16:21 agriculture [1] - 47:3 ahead [2] - 8:17, 8:18 Alabama [1] - 49:6 allegation [4] - 40:7, 40:14, 49:2, 49:4	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7 Army [5] - 5:12, 5:15, 9:13, 14:7, 16:7 arose [1] - 40:19 articles [1] - 11:21 articulate [1] - 52:17	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25 based [2] - 33:20, 42:6 baseline [1] - 37:19 bases [1] - 9:16 Basin [2] - 19:14, 45:5 basis [8] - 15:6, 15:18,
18:19, 21:18, 28:7, 28:8, 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 30:17, 32:10, 36:20, 39:16, 39:21, 40:21, 41:4, 41:18, 42:22, 44:5, 44:24, 46:8, 47:17, 47:23, 49:2, 50:14, 51:8, 51:18, 53:1, 53:13, 53:14 30(b)(6) [2] - 47:12, 48:24	ACF [2] - 19:14, 45:5 acknowledged [1] - 26:8 acres [1] - 24:8 Act [3] - 11:4, 24:1, 35:16 act [2] - 49:7, 57:22 acted [1] - 49:3 Action [1] - 7:18 action [3] - 11:11, 13:24, 62:9 actions [1] - 21:2	agreement [1] - 19:5 agricultural [8] - 10:15, 10:25, 11:22, 24:24, 44:25, 45:13, 45:16, 47:1 Agriculture [3] - 5:1, 5:10, 16:21 agriculture [1] - 47:3 ahead [2] - 8:17, 8:18 Alabama [1] - 49:6 allegation [4] - 40:7, 40:14, 49:2, 49:4	archives [1] - 22:16 area [2] - 44:20, 45:10 areas [2] - 24:10, 43:5 argument [1] - 17:24 arguments [2] - 17:16, 39:7 arisen [1] - 32:7 Army [5] - 5:12, 5:15, 9:13, 14:7, 16:7 arose [1] - 40:19 articles [1] - 11:21	24:19, 24:22, 27:4, 35:9, 35:12, 35:14, 35:22, 38:9, 38:14, 38:15, 38:18 Barnes's [1] - 25:10 Barr [1] - 49:21 barriers [1] - 41:25 based [2] - 33:20, 42:6 baseline [1] - 37:19 bases [1] - 9:16 Basin [2] - 19:14, 45:5

bay [1] - 14:12 Bay [1] - 50:21 bear [1] - 30:9 became [1] - 28:14 become [1] - 4:17 becoming [1] - 43:12 begin [2] - 55:7, 57:11 behalf [3] - 16:11, 30:10, 46:10 belated [1] - 28:16 Berrigan [2] - 12:18, 14:23 Berrigan's [1] - 12:20 best [1] - 51:9 better [1] - 39:18 between [4] - 35:11, 43:11, 57:7 bit [1] - 20:15 Black [1] - 11:1 book [2] - 59:20, 60:1 **Bowdre** [1] - 49:5 boxes [1] - 29:20 brief [3] - 17:13, 47:7, 53:18 briefing [1] - 54:25 briefly [3] - 3:19, 43:25, 51:22 briefs [1] - 39:4 bring [3] - 7:12, 7:16, 58:17 Britt [1] - 2:17 **BRITT** [1] - 1:19 broad [4] - 45:19, 45:24, 47:22, 51:17 broken [1] - 54:21 bullet [1] - 52:1 burdening [1] - 23:20 burdensome [7] -13:5, 22:9, 22:21, 30:18, 32:1, 32:10, 36:22 business [1] - 28:1

C

cabinet [1] - 10:18 cannot [1] - 41:21 canvassed [1] - 53:6 capacity [1] - 5:9 capital [1] - 60:7 captioned [1] - 62:6 careful [4] - 11:16, 12:1, 55:9, 57:10 Carol [2] - 19:6, 27:3 carried [1] - 27:15 case [39] - 5:17, 5:24, 6:21, 7:12, 7:16, 9:5, 9:14, 10:23, 10:24, 11:5, 11:7, 12:17, 13:2, 13:3, 13:20,

25:9, 27:5, 27:7, 27:24, 29:14, 30:23, 32:2, 32:9, 32:21, 33:14, 33:16, 36:18, 37:18, 42:2, 44:21, 45:20, 47:8, 47:13, 48:23, 49:18, 52:3, 52:8, 52:20, 53:23 cases [7] - 6:21, 6:24, 7:6, 8:6, 8:8, 26:5, 44:4 caution [1] - 55:7 cc's [1] - 22:2 ceased [1] - 20:22 centers [1] - 31:17 central [1] - 36:18 certain [2] - 25:17, 49:7 certainly [6] - 11:5, 12:3, 21:12, 46:5, 46:15, 54:8 CERTIFICATE [1] -62:1 certify [2] - 62:3, 62:7 **chain** [2] - 12:5, 15:3 **challenges** [1] - 47:16 changed [1] - 20:7 characterized [1] -37:1 chase [1] - 15:14 check [1] - 35:2 checking [1] - 35:7 chief [2] - 10:19, 12:22 choice [1] - 18:3 choose [1] - 37:5 **chose** [2] - 7:12, 15:9 Christmas [1] - 55:20 circumstances [1] -51:16 cite [1] - 47:8 cited [9] - 8:6, 8:8, 9:2, 9:6, 25:8, 26:5, 44:3, 47:7, 52:21 cites [2] - 6:22, 16:6 claims [1] - 43:2

Claudette [2] - 1:13, 62:2 clear [4] - 20:16, 21:16, 41:3, 52:5 clearly [2] - 52:15, 52:18 client [1] - 41:12 CLIFFORD [2] - 1:23, 60:22 **Clifford** [1] - 2:8 clipped [1] - 52:6 clues [1] - 25:13 **co** [1] - 36:23 co-counsel [1] - 3e-22 THE REPORTING GROUP 1, 54:7, 54:15, code [1] - 24:13

coherent [1] - 38:2 collaterally [1] - 13:22 colleague [1] - 2:25 colleagues [2] - 2:20, 15:25 collected [3] - 29:9, 33:5, 33:11 collection [2] - 41:1, 41:5 comfortable [1] - 4:16 command [1] - 12:6 commencing [1] -1:12 comment [2] - 37:23, 39:3 commentary [1] -47:18 commentators [2] -47:19, 48:23 comments [1] - 5:5 Commerce [2] - 6:4, 15:11 Commercial [1] - 1:11 Commission [2] -50:24, 62:18 Commissioner [6] -5:9, 10:15, 11:1, 11:22, 12:8, 16:21 Commissioner's [1] -12:22 commitment [2] -8:14, 9:21 common [1] - 41:7 commonly [1] - 22:18 commonplace [1] -23:16 communicating [1] -38:12 communications [2] -27:10, 35:22 Compact [1] - 40:8 compared [1] - 45:14 comparison [2] -19:23, 20:2 complaint [3] - 6:12, 25:8, 49:3 completely [1] - 37:7 completion [1] - 54:23 comply [3] - 44:8, 47:17, 51:16 compromise [2] -60:6, 60:16 COMPROMISE [1] -60:7 computer [1] - 31:12 computers [2] - 22:17, 29:3

8:13, 8:20, 39:20, 41:17, 43:9, 43:12, concerned [4] - 40:16, 41:25, 57:15, 58:19 concerns [2] - 7:23, 43:22 concluded [2] - 34:11, conclusion [1] - 47:20 conditions [2] - 24:4, 24:5 conduct [3] - 13:12, 25:8, 34:15 confer [2] - 4:18, 10:4 CONFERENCE [1] -1:9 conference [1] - 61:4 confidential [1] - 59:3 confine [1] - 25:20 connection [1] - 9:14 conservation [3] -47:3, 50:12, 50:17 consider [1] - 21:11 consistent [1] - 56:8 consistently [1] -32:19 consultant [1] - 29:7 consultants [1] - 31:6 consulted [1] - 29:6 Consumer [1] - 5:2 consumptive [1] -45:4 contemporaneous [1] - 29:23 content [3] - 17:14, 39:5, 39:8 context [4] - 5:18, 10:7, 11:15, 19:1 continue [2] - 53:24, 54:18 continuing [2] - 20:18, 34:9 contributed [1] -46:11 conventions [1] -31:15 conversations [1] -16:20 cooperation [1] -30:16 cooperatively [2] -13:10, 17:5 **copy** [1] - 29:4 core [1] - 12:7 **Corps** [4] - 5:12, 5:15, 14:7, 16:7 Corps' [1] - 9:13

54:17, 62:4 correctly [4] - 4:8, 4:12, 36:7, 54:5 corresponded [2] -22:18, 35:14 correspondence [1] -32:14 cost [1] - 50:15 Couch [2] - 19:6, 27:3 counsel [14] - 2:3, 2:10, 3:8, 17:12, 18:3, 18:16, 36:23, 38:23, 39:2, 54:5, 58:4, 59:19, 60:11, 60:24 counterargument [2] - 49:11 couple [4] - 8:20, 16:20, 19:7, 59:14 course [9] - 12:24, 19:18, 28:1, 32:8, 33:24, 50:14, 57:15, 59:15, 59:22 Court [8] - 7:19, 17:25, 19:19, 23:20, 43:25, 54:3, 54:16, 60:2 COURT [1] - 1:1 Court's [1] - 9:24 **Courts** [2] - 7:8, 47:9 cover [2] - 9:4, 31:5 covers [1] - 46:20 **CRAIG** [1] - 1:18 Craig [3] - 2:20, 2:24, 26:2 **crashes** [1] - 31:12 created [1] - 5:5 creation [1] - 53:3 crew [1] - 2:8 critical [2] - 4:24, 7:14 criticized [1] - 53:22 cross [3] - 41:21, 42:5, 42:20 cross-examination [2] - 42:5, 42:20 cross-examine [1] -41:21 CRR [1] - 1:13 current [1] - 22:12 currently-used [1] -21:24 **custodian** [1] - 33:6 custodians [4] - 19:4, 29:10, 36:1, 36:4 **custodians'** [1] - 35:8 customary [1] - 32:21 Cyphers [9] - 40:20, 42:8, 44:15, 45:6, 46:9, 51:13, 52:11,

conceivably [1] -

52:15, 52:22

D
daily [1] - 35:14 data [2] - 27:18, 31:13 date [7] - 33:21, 55:16
56:7, 57:1, 57:5, 57:11
dates [1] - 56:13 David [1] - 49:23 deadline [4] - 18:17, 39:3, 54:23, 55:3
deal [1] - 46:20 decade [1] - 27:2
December [6] - 20:24, 28:9, 28:18, 34:10, 34:13, 44:3 decided [1] - 24:23
decided [1] - 24.23 decision [5] - 6:20, 7:16, 9:11, 9:15, 9:17
decisions [1] - 15:19 declaration [2] - 6:2, 9:16
declare [2] - 6:13, 24:19
declared [1] - 35:19 declined [1] - 21:1 decommissioned [1]
29:3 Defendants [1] - 1:7 degree [1] - 47:6
deliver [2] - 5:13, 14:10 demand [1] - 48:2
Department [7] - 5:1, 5:9, 6:4, 10:11, 15:10, 19:25, 50:5
deponent [1] - 36:25 depose [3] - 11:12, 48:12, 49:10
deposed [8] - 12:16, 12:23, 46:15, 46:16, 47:12, 49:17, 49:18, 51:1
deposing [4] - 10:25, 15:14, 16:17, 23:7 deposition [40] - 4:21,
6:5, 7:2, 7:6, 7:9, 7:24, 8:12, 8:22, 9:22, 11:7, 12:9,
13:8, 13:21, 14:16, 14:24, 17:8, 17:9, 21:17, 22:22, 23:5,
25:13, 25:19, 28:23, 30:22, 32:11, 34:15, 36:12, 36:20, 37:4,
37:14, 38:4, 40:21, 40:25, 42:12, 46:8, 48:2, 49:10, 49:20, 49:23, 50:19

depositions [15] -7:17. 10:8. 11:17. 11:18, 12:3, 13:12, 16:1, 16:24, 18:17, 26:4, 26:9, 30:25, 39:22, 44:5, 54:24 describe [3] - 3:17, 12:2, 18:25 described [1] - 38:24 describing [1] - 25:7 designated [2] -53:13, 53:14 despite [1] - 24:21 detail [5] - 3:12, 32:21, 45:22, 46:20, 47:6 detailed [1] - 48:12 details [1] - 31:20 determination [2] -49:5, 49:13 determine [6] - 17:6, 21:21, 24:7, 26:20, 27:22, 28:19 **determined** [1] - 57:20 **develop** [1] - 5:19 **DEVORA**[1] - 1:19 Devora [1] - 2:25 different [7] - 10:16, 15:14, 27:12, 34:7, 43:23, 46:6, 50:23 difficult [1] - 51:16 directing [1] - 9:8 directly [1] - 14:14 director [3] - 29:11, 44:17, 51:1 **Director** [1] - 10:13 directors [9] - 19:10, 26:17, 26:24, 29:13, 29:21, 30:3, 30:7, 33:3, 33:15 disagree [3] - 48:16, 50:2, 50:6 discarded [2] - 31:23, 33:23 disclose [1] - 56:12 disclosures [1] -55:12 discovery [5] - 6:14, 14:16, 43:13, 50:1, 51:5 discussed [1] - 39:15 discussion [3] -43:11, 59:13, 59:15 discussions [1] - 6:17 disfavored [1] - 26:4 disinterested [1] -62:8 dispute [3] - 4:25, 7:5, 8:3 disruptions [1] -

31:13

distract [1] - 26:5 distraction [1] - 32:1 district [1] - 10:14 District [7] - 44:18, 45:8, 45:10, 47:14, 49:6, 49:22, 50:10 **District's** [1] - 44:19 diversions [1] - 45:2 **Division** [5] - 12:21, 19:11, 24:7, 24:17, 26:25 division [2] - 15:7, 19:12 divisive [2] - 11:13, 13:23 docket [1] - 55:8 doctrine [2] - 16:13 document [4] - 31:19, 41:23, 52:15, 53:3 documentation [1] -3:19 documents [14] - 5:6, 8:4, 29:4, 29:23, 30:5, 30:8, 32:6, 33:12, 37:18, 41:1, 41:5, 46:20, 48:9, 48:11 dollars [1] - 50:16 done [20] - 21:14, 21:15, 22:21, 22:23, 22:24, 23:8, 23:9, 27:25, 29:16, 32:8, 32:19, 33:21, 34:18, 36:15, 37:24, 39:24, 40:11, 42:3, 45:8 doomed [1] - 60:16 Doug [1] - 49:21 down [2] - 15:2, 25:5 dozen [1] - 19:21 dozens [1] - 33:12 drafted [5] - 5:25, 41:17, 42:9, 42:14, 52:23 drill [1] - 38:21 driven [1] - 41:18 drives [1] - 29:2 Drought [3] - 11:4, 23:25, 35:16 drought [9] - 19:17, 24:4, 24:5, 24:16, 24:20, 35:19, 59:16, 59:17 due [1] - 26:11 **DUNLAP** [2] - 1:22, 60:20 **Dunlap** [1] - 2:8 during [4] - 19:16, 24:15, 30:12, 59:14

duty 131 - 5:11 5:14

Mason & Lockhart

THE REPORTING GROUP [1] - 50:19

Ε e-mail [18] - 4:7, 18:19, 18:23, 20:9, 21:25, 22:16, 23:12, 27:11, 28:23, 30:4, 32:7, 34:20, 34:25, 35:3, 35:4, 35:22, 38:16 e-mailed [2] - 34:22, 38:19 e-mails [40] - 19:1, 19:3, 19:21, 20:1, 20:11, 21:3, 21:4, 21:23, 22:1, 22:2, 22:15, 23:23, 25:10, 25:16, 25:22, 26:16, 26:21, 27:15, 27:23, 28:11, 29:9, 29:11, 29:13, 29:18, 32:17, 32:23, 33:10, 33:11, 33:15, 33:23, 34:18, 34:24, 35:8, 35:10, 36:18, 38:10, 38:11, 38:14 early [1] - 59:17 Earth [1] - 59:22 Eastern [1] - 47:14 easy [1] - 22:3 edited [1] - 42:14 **EDS** [1] - 47:13 effort [3] - 25:15, 32:4, 53:16 **efforts** [11] - 16:14, 26:19, 28:24, 31:13, 32:3, 38:23, 43:1, 50:15, 50:20, 53:24, 57:12 eight [1] - 28:9 either [4] - 16:4, 17:12, 18:20, 42:2 elected [2] - 10:18, 10:25 Ellis [1] - 2:24 elsewhere [3] - 11:9, 16:15, 27:18 eminently [1] - 8:2 **emphasize** [1] - 60:6 employee [5] - 14:25, 15:2, 27:12, 27:14, 49:20 **employees** [7] - 9:10, 20:9, 31:5, 46:7, 46:11, 48:3, 51:12 **employees'** [1] - 19:3 end [4] - 14:20, 18:6, 18:13, 43:13 Endangered [1] -59:21

engaged [2] - 40:7, 43:6 Engineers [2] - 5:12, 5:15 enjoyed [1] - 37:21 enormous [1] - 37:9 ensure [3] - 13:10, 19:17, 23:5 entertain [2] - 32:18, 33:18 entire [2] - 31:18, 33:8 entirely [1] - 52:4 entitled [1] - 5:22 Environmental [6] -10:12, 19:10, 19:25, 24:17, 26:24, 50:5 **EPD** [2] - 22:13, 24:16 **equipment** [1] - 31:21 especially [4] - 15:15, 28:24, 38:22, 42:11 **ESQ** [10] - 1:16, 1:16, 1:17, 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21, 1:22 essentially [3] - 20:5, 37:4, 45:7 establish [2] - 5:22, 15:4 established [2] -38:18, 57:1 eternal [1] - 10:2 evaluate [1] - 28:19 event [3] - 20:12, 22:10, 62:8 events [1] - 16:12 evidence [1] - 13:4 evidenced [1] - 51:11 exact [1] - 55:16 exactly [3] - 23:8, 25:7, 32:22 examination [2] -42:5, 42:20 examine [1] - 41:21 **examined** [1] - 52:9 example [7] - 10:12, 23:22, 24:15, 35:9, 35:10, 35:15, 56:18 **examples** [1] - 47:8 executive [2] - 44:17, 51:1 **Executive** [1] - 10:13 exhaust [1] - 16:14 exist [3] - 25:14, 33:11, 38:25 expect [1] - 7:17 expected [1] - 24:4 experiencing [1] -43:2 expert [4] - 23:7, 26:11, 30:25, 50:8

experts [3] - 20:10, 23:1. 23:15 **Expires** [1] - 62:18 explain [3] - 16:3, 21:2, 43:24 explanation [2] -20:16, 21:16 explore [2] - 43:6, 43:8 extent [4] - 21:8, 33:21, 43:21, 58:14 extraordinary [3] -6:23, 44:7 **extreme** [1] - 47:6 **extremely** [1] - 51:17

F

faced [1] - 47:22 fact [11] - 12:9, 13:21, 27:11, 29:16, 32:5, 38:13, 38:18, 48:6, 48:18, 50:12, 51:2 facts [6] - 5:19, 5:22, 6:15, 6:25, 11:24, 52:14 factual [1] - 52:1 failure [3] - 6:2, 6:14, 60:17 fair [3] - 12:14, 37:9, 47:15 fairly [8] - 3:17, 4:1, 14:24, 15:1, 20:2, 22:3, 22:21, 44:7 **faith** [9] - 30:15, 32:9, 40:7, 40:13, 42:9, 42:17, 49:4, 49:7, 49:13 familiar [2] - 50:11, 50:18 far [4] - 12:4, 17:19, 35:11, 35:23 favorite [1] - 55:5 fear [1] - 7:9 feasible [3] - 33:7, 35:2, 36:8 February [4] - 18:16, 26:12, 39:3, 54:22 federal [4] - 5:11, 7:2, 14:9, 15:10 feeds [1] - 19:20 felt [1] - 34:16 few [4] - 3:13, 14:4, 19:21. 46:25 figure [2] - 23:8, 36:12 **file** [4] - 9:10, 26:25, 31:14, 39:4 filed [3] - 27:7, 32:9, 44:9 files [3] - 20:13, 20:14,

29:21 filing [1] - 3:14 final [1] - 18:17 **finalize** [1] - 59:13 **finally** [1] - 57:20 financial [1] - 10:20 fine [1] - 37:16 finish [1] - 30:24 finished [1] - 60:24 Fire [1] - 59:21 first [14] - 8:21, 9:8, 14:6, 17:2, 18:25, 19:2, 28:10, 34:6, 42:12, 43:25, 44:24, 55:23, 57:5, 57:17 firsthand [2] - 6:16, 40:12 Fish [1] - 50:24 **fishery** [5] - 5:4, 5:23,

6:2, 6:13, 9:16 **Flint** [9] - 11:3, 11:4, 19:16, 19:17, 19:19, 23:25, 24:12, 25:4, 35:16 **flood** [1] - 7:9 **FLORIDA** [1] - 1:3 Florida [69] - 1:16, 2:11, 2:13, 3:10, 4:10, 5:1, 5:3, 5:13, 6:11, 6:22, 7:12, 8:6, 8:20, 9:7, 9:19, 10:4,

10:7, 10:10, 12:4, 14:10, 15:7, 17:20, 18:10, 18:21, 21:8, 26:13, 27:8, 27:24, 28:4, 28:10, 28:13, 28:25, 29:15, 30:8, 32:3, 32:13, 32:20, 33:2, 34:2, 38:24, 39:7, 39:19, 41:10, 41:19, 42:4, 42:16, 43:2, 43:5, 43:15, 44:17, 44:21, 45:10, 48:2, 49:8, 49:20, 50:6, 50:15, 50:23, 52:21, 53:9, 54:11, 54:18, 55:14, 55:24, 56:1, 56:19, 57:16, 58:11, 59:8 Florida's [7] - 7:23,

28:6, 40:6, 43:1, 44:24, 45:1, 52:17 **flow** [1] - 59:17 flows [3] - 19:18, 25:4,

focusing [1] - 50:19 folks [1] - 11:25

25:5

follow [2] - 26:19, 27:10

follow-up [2] - 26:

27:10 followed [1] - 49:12 foregoing [1] - 62:4 former [6] - 19:24, 20:9, 22:12, 27:12, 30:7, 49:20 formers [1] - 31:6 forward [1] - 11:15 forwarded [1] - 6:3 founded [1] - 49:4 four [1] - 7:24 four-hour [1] - 7:24 frame [1] - 31:8

Friday [1] - 51:2 friend [1] - 59:22 frogging [1] - 13:1 full [1] - 37:3

frankly [4] - 4:22,

11:10, 21:4, 36:10

fully [1] - 54:9

Gary [1] - 11:1 gather [1] - 10:2 General [1] - 10:19 general [1] - 37:24 gentleman [2] - 12:17, genuinely [1] - 11:24

G

George [2] - 59:20, 59:22 **GEORGIA** [1] - 1:6 Georgia [55] - 1:18, 2:16, 2:18, 2:25,

3:14, 3:23, 4:13,

4:19, 7:22, 10:1, 11:6, 11:12, 12:10, 12:16, 12:24, 13:10, 17:5, 17:16, 18:8, 19:3, 20:4, 20:18, 20:25, 21:7, 21:9, 21:10, 21:21, 23:25, 24:13, 25:18, 25:22, 25:25, 26:2, 30:16, 31:4, 31:18, 33:9, 39:10, 39:11, 39:16, 40:7, 44:9, 44:23,

45:15, 46:13, 46:16, 49:3, 49:6, 49:9, 49:19, 51:5, 54:7, 55:18, 57:23, 59:10 Georgia's [7] - 10:25,

11:18, 12:8, 19:10, 24:17, 29:6, 48:1 given [3] - 31:7, 31:23,

52:16 goose [1] - 15:13

government [9] - 7:3,

12:5, 14:9, 33:9, 34:23, 50:24 government's [1] -5:11 governmental [1] -10:10 Governor [3] - 6:3, 6:5, 6:10

Governor's [1] - 10:18 Gowens [1] - 46:16 Grant [1] - 2:18

GRANT [3] - 1:19, 2:6, 2:17

graphs [1] - 48:11 GRAY [2] - 1:21, 3:4 **Gray** [1] - 3:5

great [2] - 29:17, 46:20

greater [2] - 3:12, 32:20

Greg [1] - 19:23 group [1] - 36:8

guy [1] - 46:16

Н halt [2] - 24:24, 35:20 hand [1] - 62:10 handle [1] - 48:20 handled [1] - 48:19 happy [7] - 3:15, 13:14, 13:16, 25:19, 25:22, 32:25, 37:10 harassing [2] - 7:7, 30:19

hard [2] - 29:4, 48:4

harm [1] - 50:13 harms [1] - 43:1

Harold [3] - 19:7, 27:1, 29:25

harvest [1] - 50:21 **HAWKINS** [1] - 1:20 Hawkins [1] - 2:19

heads [1] - 37:3 heads-up [1] - 37:3

head [1] - 15:18

hear [4] - 14:6, 17:1, 28:8, 35:1

heard [3] - 17:2, 35:5, 54:4

heartened [1] - 35:1 held [1] - 1:10

hello [1] - 34:4 help [1] - 17:25

helpful [4] - 13:18, 17:23, 44:22, 52:17

helping [1] - 51:12 hereby [1] - 62:3 high (6) - 7:10, 11:12,

THE REPORTING GROUP, 13:7, 16:17,

high-level [3] - 11:17,

19:8

13:7, 16:17 high-ranking [3] -7:10, 11:12, 19:8 higher [1] - 10:16 highest [1] - 10:21 highlights [1] - 37:12 highly [1] - 48:11 himself [1] - 43:4 historical [2] - 52:1, 52:14 hit [1] - 18:16 hoc [1] - 40:14 holiday [1] - 55:20 Honor [54] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:6, 2:12, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5, 3:11, 3:18, 3:24, 4:11, 4:12, 4:14, 4:20, 5:16, 8:7, 8:16, 10:5, 10:23, 12:25, 13:9, 14:5, 15:20, 15:23, 17:17, 17:21, 18:4, 18:11, 18:22,

51:23, 54:9, 54:13, 54:17, 55:17, 56:20, 57:4, 57:19, 57:24, 59:9, 59:11, 61:2, 61:3 hope [4] - 4:15, 10:2, 54:9, 54:12 hoped [1] - 43:7 hour [1] - 7:24 huge [2] - 48:21, 51:10

23:3, 26:1, 26:8,

34:5, 36:10, 38:5,

39:9, 39:12, 39:17,

43:16, 45:6, 51:7,

hundreds [1] - 50:16

identical [1] - 44:11 identified [12] - 12:20, 16:1, 19:22, 22:19, 29:10, 40:4, 44:14, 44:15, 46:12, 48:7, 48:13, 52:3 identifies [1] - 48:8 identify [5] - 21:9, 29:18, 33:19, 44:22, 54:2 immediately [1] -23:14 impacts [1] - 11:14 **important** [3] - 10:9, 19:2, 35:6 impractical [1] - 44:6 **improper** [1] - 28:2

IN [1] - 62:10 inability [1] - 37:24 inappropriate [2] -13:8, 13:19 inclined [1] - 56:12 including [4] - 29:21, 46:21, 48:9, 50:15 incredibly [3] - 22:20, 31:25, 47:22 indeed [10] - 12:13, 13:2, 19:14, 20:24, 34:12, 43:19, 44:8, 45:24, 46:19, 49:7 indicate [2] - 36:17, 40:1 individual [2] - 7:1, 35:13 individuals [10] - 16:4, 19:9, 21:23, 22:3, 24:18, 34:20, 35:5, 48:12, 53:5 individuals' [1] -22:15 industrial [2] - 45:2, 45:17 inequitable [1] - 25:8 information [34] -7:14, 8:23, 8:24, 11:9, 12:11, 14:18, 14:22, 15:9, 16:15, 16:25, 20:3, 23:21, 26:15, 29:24, 30:14, 31:2, 31:8, 33:5, 33:14, 37:17, 40:22, 41:16, 41:18, 42:1, 42:13, 42:18, 46:11, 48:9, 52:2, 52:25, 53:4, 53:5, 56:14, 59:4 informed [6] - 12:10, 26:18, 32:3, 34:12, 38:24, 40:3 initial [2] - 28:7, 42:22 **initiation** [1] - 33:24 **initiatives** [1] - 30:11 inquiry [1] - 37:11 instance [1] - 40:5 instant [1] - 55:2 instead [2] - 9:8, 12:25 intend [1] - 57:11 intent [2] - 37:2 **interested** [1] - 37:8 interesting [1] - 35:9 internal [1] - 21:23 interrogatory [3] -26:15, 27:9, 52:7 interruption [1] - 7:21 intimately [2] - 50:11, 58:7

introduce [1] - 2:22 intrusiveness [1] -36:21 investigate [2] -20:19, 34:10 investigated [1] - 29:5 investigation [2] -20:22, 34:11 invoke [1] - 24:23 involved [15] - 4:25, 6:16, 7:15, 7:25, 9:10, 11:2, 14:14, 15:2, 30:11, 40:9, 41:22, 46:6, 46:7, 48:3, 58:8 involvement [2] - 7:4, 14:9 irrigated [2] - 24:8, 24:11 irrigation [5] - 19:14, 19:16, 24:9, 24:25, 35:20 issue [26] - 5:16, 5:19, 5:23, 5:24, 10:7, 18:19, 18:23, 20:19, 20:22, 26:6, 26:13, 28:6, 28:9, 32:7, 34:3, 37:25, 39:14, 40:19, 41:9, 41:10, 44:1, 48:20, 50:1, 54:3, 54:6, 54:16 issues [25] - 3:17, 4:5, 5:4, 5:6, 5:21, 7:5, 7:25, 11:2, 23:4, 25:20, 29:14, 33:1, 37:7, 37:12, 38:19, 40:3, 41:19, 42:6, 42:15, 43:19, 47:19, 48:16, 50:22, 51:3, 54:1 issuing [1] - 19:13 IT [1] - 29:7 itself [1] - 48:8

J

January [3] - 1:12, 27:4, 62:11 joint [1] - 59:3 Jonathan [1] - 2:14 **JONATHAN** [1] - 1:17 Josh [1] - 60:19 JOSHUA [1] - 1:22 Joyner [1] - 12:23 Judge [1] - 49:5 judicial [1] - 40:14 June [1] - 62:19 junior [1] - 14:14 justified [2] - 30:18, 48:5 THE REPORTING GROUP

K

keep [2] - 38:24, 55:3 keeping [1] - 39:2 key [5] - 5:3, 5:16, 19:19, 35:12, 37:18 kind [2] - 39:22, 45:9 kinds [2] - 15:14. 29:22 Kirkland [1] - 2:24 knowledge [11] - 5:20, 6:16, 7:4, 8:4, 8:11, 11:23, 43:4, 47:6, 49:19, 53:12 known [1] - 26:13 knows [2] - 5:16, 19:19

L

lacking [2] - 49:25,

51:5 LANCASTER [47] -1:10, 2:2, 2:7, 2:15, 3:2, 3:7, 3:20, 3:23, 4:2, 4:13, 4:15, 8:15, 8:18, 9:25, 14:1, 15:22, 17:11, 17:20, 18:2, 18:8, 18:12, 18:15, 25:24, 34:1, 38:6, 39:1, 39:10, 39:13, 43:15, 51:19, 54:4, 54:11, 54:14, 54:20, 55:18, 55:22, 56:15, 56:25, 57:14, 57:23, 58:2, 58:11, 58:18, 59:10, 59:12, 60:21, 60:23 land [2] - 24:8, 50:17 last [3] - 17:2, 20:16, 20:21 lasts [1] - 37:15 law [6] - 1:10, 11:8, 13:2, 13:20, 45:20, 48:23 lawyer [12] - 36:13, 41:17, 41:18, 42:9, 42:14, 46:5, 48:2, 52:12, 52:19, 53:7 lawyer's [3] - 52:23, 53:18 lawyer-drafted [2] -41:17, 42:9 lawyer-driven [1] -41:18 lawyers [8] - 23:3, 23:4, 27:22, 31:4, 41:15, 41:24, 58:13 lawyers-only [1] -

leading [1] - 6:18 leap [1] - 13:1 leap-frogging [1] -13:1 learn [1] - 14:15 learned [1] - 20:21 least [2] - 21:22, 26:14 leave [2] - 26:25, 27:2 led [1] - 53:3 left [6] - 26:24, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 30:1, 53:4 lengths [1] - 29:17 less [1] - 13:4 **letter** [10] - 5:25, 6:1, 6:19, 9:1, 12:7, 12:13, 14:14, 15:3, 30:20, 36:23 letters [2] - 22:5, 60:7 level [15] - 4:23, 6:8, 9:12, 10:16, 11:17, 13:7, 14:25, 15:1, 16:16, 16:17, 30:15, 32:21, 37:25, 40:2, 58:10 light [2] - 25:11, 48:6 likely [6] - 11:13, 21:5, 29:13, 33:13, 56:6 likewise [1] - 42:24 limited [4] - 36:4, 43:5, 45:12, 47:2 line [1] - 3:1 lines [1] - 60:8 **litigation** [1] - 33:25 LLP [1] - 1:11 local [1] - 22:16 located [1] - 24:9 location [1] - 55:11 locations [1] - 56:13 logical [1] - 23:18 logistical [1] - 58:15 logistics [2] - 56:3, 57:8 look [4] - 6:24, 29:19, 32:17, 32:25 looked [1] - 47:9 looking [6] - 21:24, 22:16, 23:23, 33:4, 35:24, 52:10 lost [2] - 20:9, 20:11 low [3] - 14:25, 15:1, 25:3 low-level [2] - 14:25, lower [1] - 6:8 lower-level [1] - 6:8

leader [1] - 7:13

M mail [18] - 4:7, 18:19, 18:23, 20:9, 21:25, 22:16, 23:12, 27:11, 28:23, 30:4, 32:7, 34:20, 34:25, 35:3, 35:4, 35:22, 38:16 mailed [2] - 34:22, 38:19 mails [40] - 19:1, 19:3, 19:21, 20:1, 20:11, 21:3, 21:4, 21:23, 22:1, 22:2, 22:15, 23:23, 25:10, 25:16, 25:22, 26:16, 26:21, 27:15, 27:23, 28:11, 29:9, 29:11, 29:13, 29:18, 32:17, 32:23, 33:10, 33:11, 33:15, 33:23, 34:18, 34:24, 35:8, 35:10, 36:18, 38:10, 38:11, 38:14 Maine [3] - 1:12, 1:14, 62:3 maintain [1] - 24:12 manage [2] - 45:9, 50:20 management [4] -9:11, 10:14, 44:25, 45:1 Management [3] -44:18, 49:21, 50:9 manager [2] - 15:6, 56:24 manages [1] - 45:10 mandate [1] - 19:15 manner [1] - 26:21 Mark [2] - 12:17, 14:23 Mary [1] - 60:21 MARY [1] - 1:23 Mason [3] - 1:13, 2:9, 62:2 MASTER [47] - 1:9, 2:2, 2:7, 2:15, 3:2, 3:7, 3:20, 3:23, 4:2, 4:13, 4:15, 8:15, 8:18, 9:25, 14:1, 15:22, 17:11, 17:20, 18:2, 18:8, 18:12, 18:15, 25:24, 34:1, 38:6, 39:1, 39:10, 39:13, 43:15, 51:19, 54:4, 54:11, 54:14, 54:20, 55:18, 55:22, 56:15, 56:25, 57:14, 57:23, 58:2, 58:11, 58:18, 59:10, 59:12, 60:21, 60:23 material [2] - 46:2,

necessary [5] - 13:13,

47:5 materials [2] - 39:6, 47:11 matter [4] - 3:12, 17:14, 58:22, 60:1 matters [2] - 4:9, 6:9 mean [1] - 37:22 meaning [1] - 20:7 meaningful [2] -37:14, 47:24 means [1] - 13:5 meant [1] - 25:9 measures [1] - 50:12 mediation [11] - 11:15, 55:6, 56:4, 56:9, 57:6, 57:12, 58:1, 58:13, 59:4, 60:5, 60:16 mediator [7] - 55:10, 55:11, 55:13, 55:23, 57:17, 58:15, 58:20 mediator's [3] - 56:2, 56:24, 60:9 meet [2] - 4:18, 10:3 meeting [2] - 55:23, 57:17 meetings [1] - 4:18 members [1] - 10:18 memo [1] - 35:17 memos [1] - 29:22 mention [2] - 55:10, 57:10 mentioned [4] - 11:21, 23:24, 49:1, 55:4 merits [4] - 26:6, 30:23, 32:1, 43:22 Merrill's [1] - 1:11 met [1] - 15:12 MICHAEL [1] - 1:21 Michael [1] - 3:5 mid-2013 [1] - 27:10 might [13] - 3:18, 10:6, 11:23, 15:20, 16:19, 22:14, 25:14, 34:7, 36:15, 42:7, 43:25, 45:23, 57:4 migrated [1] - 27:13 migration [3] - 20:7, 23:15, 27:11 millions [1] - 50:16 mind [2] - 39:2, 55:3 mine [1] - 18:3 minimize [1] - 9:20 **missing** [1] - 3:19 Mitchell's [1] - 59:20 mitigate [2] - 43:1, 50:13 mitigation [1] - 43:5 moment [2] - 3:21, 56:9

Monday [1] - 18:14 months [7] - 8:21, 14:13, 16:20, 17:1, 20:16, 28:4, 28:9 morning [7] - 2:2, 2:4, 2:5, 2:6, 2:23, 3:4, most [4] - 3:17, 29:13, 31:2, 33:13 Mother [1] - 60:2 motion [1] - 5:18 move [3] - 18:18, 55:5, 58:21 moving [1] - 44:13 MR [49] - 2:4, 2:5, 2:12, 2:23, 3:4, 3:11, 3:22, 3:24, 4:11, 4:14, 4:20, 8:16, 8:19, 10:5, 14:4, 15:20, 15:23, 17:17, 17:21, 18:4, 18:9, 18:13, 18:22, 26:1, 34:5, 38:8, 39:8, 39:11, 39:17, 43:16, 51:22. 54:8. 54:12. 54:17, 54:19, 55:15, 55:19, 55:25, 56:20, 57:4, 57:19, 57:24, 58:6, 58:12, 59:9, 59:11, 60:20, 61:2, 61:3 **MS** [3] - 2:6, 2:17, 60:22 multiple [2] - 10:8, 13:17 municipal [2] - 45:1, 45:16 municipalities [1] -46:25 Munson [9] - 19:24, 40:5, 40:8, 40:15, 42:2, 48:25, 49:10,

49:13, 50:3

must [1] - 24:10

name [2] - 46:13, 55:10 named [4] - 12:17, 19:23, 35:13, 62:9 names [2] - 19:6, 30:9 naming [1] - 31:14 narrow [1] - 25:20 narrowly [1] - 21:17 narrowly-tailored [1] -21:17 Nature [1] - 60:2 nature [2] - 36:22,

45:3

13:24, 13:25, 28:22, 47:12 necessity [1] - 14:9 need [10] - 13:11, 13:14, 16:25, 17:7, 17:23, 32:10, 36:11, 55:3, 58:21, 58:23 needed [1] - 17:10 needs [2] - 5:13, 14:10 negotiate [2] - 37:11, 40:17 negotiating [1] - 42:16 negotiation [3] -43:11, 43:19, 49:8 negotiations [5] -40:8, 40:10, 49:12, 49:24, 54:7 network [2] - 29:2, 30:2 Neurontin [2] - 52:20, 53:22 neutral [1] - 52:13 never [3] - 13:25, 17:10, 40:23 nevertheless [2] -14:23, 45:17 new [2] - 27:15, 28:17 next [3] - 31:1, 46:15 Nick [1] - 50:25 nine [1] - 28:4 noncompliance [1] -51:15 noncustodian [1] -35:3 none [4] - 12:5, 15:15, 30:22. 60:17 nonexpert [2] - 18:17, 54:23 nonissue [1] - 10:3 nonissues [1] - 4:17 Northern [1] - 49:5 Northwest [1] - 44:17 Notary [3] - 1:14, 62:2, 62:15 note [5] - 16:19, 28:7, 28:12, 51:24, 55:7 notebook [6] - 41:2, 41:5, 46:2, 47:10, 51:25, 52:4 noted [1] - 8:21 notes [1] - 62:5 nothing [3] - 28:1, 40:15, 60:20 **notice** [2] - 28:7, 28:17 noticed [1] - 14:24 notices [2] - 7:10,

34:13 number [4] - 11:2. 20:20, 24:8, 44:4

O

objected [1] - 30:19 objecting [1] - 41:4 objection [1] - 4:23 objections [3] - 44:10, 44:11, 51:9 **obligation** [2] - 5:14, 16:23 obtain [4] - 8:22, 11:24, 16:15, 27:23 obtaining [1] - 25:21 **obviously** [3] - 3:13, 5:20, 58:19 occasioned [1] -51:17 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:6 officer [1] - 10:20 offices [1] - 1:10 official [7] - 6:8, 7:3, 11:12, 16:8, 16:17, 19:24, 30:8 officially [1] - 21:1 officials [6] - 6:17, 7:11, 7:18, 8:10, 10:21, 34:23 old [3] - 23:12, 27:17, 30:4 one [28] - 4:5, 4:6, 4:24, 7:13, 7:16, 10:17, 10:20, 12:13, 13:17, 15:5, 16:9, 17:12, 19:19, 23:22, 24:10, 36:23, 38:8, 39:4, 39:18, 43:10, 44:15, 46:12, 46:15, 47:13, 48:7, 51:14, 51:15, 53:21 ones [1] - 29:12 open [1] - 42:15 **opinion** [1] - 40:14 opportunity [2] -18:10, 42:19 order [3] - 24:11, 59:6, 60:2 ordinary [4] - 27:25, 32:8, 33:23, 58:22 oriented [1] - 23:7 origin [1] - 9:1 **Original** [2] - 1:1, 7:18 outcome [1] - 62:8 outline [12] - 37:5, 39:19, 44:16, 46:2, 46:4, 46:8, 46:12,

46:18, 46:19, 47:10,

THE REPORTING GROUP 48:8

Mason & Lockhart

outset [1] - 19:5 outside [1] - 31:6 own [2] - 13:20, 44:9 oyster [1] - 5:23 oysters [2] - 14:11, 50:21

pace [2] - 57:2, 58:19 pages [8] - 29:24, 29:25, 30:5, 30:6, 42:13, 52:11, 62:4 Palmer [1] - 12:21 paper [2] - 9:2, 29:21 papers [1] - 17:18 paragraph [1] - 6:12 part [2] - 23:2, 49:15 particular [4] - 5:12, 14:11, 22:24, 32:22 particularly [4] - 11:3, 24:18, 26:6, 48:6 parties [5] - 26:9, 43:12, 52:8, 56:22, 57:7 past [1] - 9:22 pause [1] - 23:1 pay [1] - 58:9 pending [1] - 7:19 people [45] - 7:10, 8:23, 9:2, 9:7, 11:18, 12:4, 12:10, 12:11, 12:19, 13:17, 14:13, 14:15, 14:21, 15:14, 17:5, 19:22, 22:1, 22:17, 22:18, 27:5, 29:7, 29:9, 29:12, 30:22, 31:19, 33:3, 33:10, 33:12, 33:13, 35:12, 36:9, 36:14, 38:3, 38:13, 39:24, 40:12, 46:13, 46:14, 48:7, 49:17, 51:6, 53:11, 57:25, 58:3, 58:9 percolating [1] - 44:2 perhaps [1] - 23:14 period [4] - 31:15, 37:15, 43:14, 57:9 permits [3] - 19:13, 46:22, 47:1 permitting [3] - 45:3, 46:21, 46:22 **PERRY** [25] - 1:16, 2:4, 2:12, 3:11, 3:22, 4:11, 10:5, 15:20, 15:23, 17:21, 18:4, 18:22, 34:5, 39:8, 43:16, 54:12, 54:19, 55:15, 55:25, 56:20,

Prins [1] - 2:14

59:24

57:4, 57:19, 58:12, 59:9, 61:2 Perry [9] - 2:12, 26:21, 32:12, 52:3, 53:5, 53:25, 55:25, 56:1, 57:18 Perry's [1] - 32:24 person [6] - 7:24, 12:14, 23:7, 37:6, 45:21, 62:8 personal [5] - 5:20, 7:3, 7:4, 8:3, 8:11 personally [1] - 40:10 persons [2] - 10:17, 16:1 perspective [1] -58:13 **Phil** [3] - 2:12, 55:25, 56:1 **PHILIP** [1] - 1:16 phone [4] - 2:13, 2:18, 2:21, 32:13 picked [1] - 57:5 piece [3] - 52:12, 52:24 pieces [1] - 23:21 Pierce [1] - 1:10 places [1] - 32:17 Plaintiff [1] - 1:4 planning [1] - 19:13 **play** [1] - 60:3 played [1] - 5:3 playing [1] - 10:9 plays [1] - 5:2 pleased [1] - 18:1 point [11] - 20:8, 25:3, 27:17, 28:12, 28:13, 34:6, 35:10, 35:15, 36:21, 37:14, 45:8 pointed [1] - 26:3 points [4] - 9:6, 42:16, 52:1, 59:14 policies [1] - 31:11 populated [1] - 45:12 portion [1] - 45:4 Portland [1] - 1:12 position [6] - 3:25, 8:25, 16:2, 16:22, 17:8, 52:23 positions [1] - 14:8 possible [4] - 22:11, 22:14, 45:21, 45:23 post [1] - 40:14 potential [3] - 7:9, 13:23, 28:8 practical [1] - 45:25 practice [2] - 47:9, 51:24 practices [1] - 31:11 precedes [1] - 57:9

precludes [1] - 11:8 priority [1] - 19:4 predict [1] - 57:2 privilege [2] - 41:13, predicted [1] - 24:6 53:8 prediction [1] - 24:3 probe [3] - 23:11, premature [1] - 17:9 23:19, 49:24 premise [2] - 48:17, probing [1] - 37:8 50:2 problem [1] - 23:2 problems [1] - 48:22 preparation [5] -39:24, 40:2, 41:23, procedures [1] - 46:23 45:18, 46:18 proceed [1] - 28:15 prepare [6] - 28:20, PROCEEDINGS [1] -30:21, 44:8, 45:25, 51:10, 51:12 proceedings [1] - 62:6 process [3] - 9:11, prepared [5] - 41:14, 46:4, 49:14, 50:3, 53:2, 53:20 57:25 produce [4] - 21:1, preparing [4] - 46:7, 29:18, 30:5, 33:19 46:9, 48:4, 53:16 produced [7] - 10:8, prerequisite [1] -20:1, 29:20, 29:23, 13:13 29:25, 30:7, 32:6 prescient [1] - 59:23 product [3] - 31:3, Present [1] - 1:22 41:7, 41:13 presentation [1] productive [1] - 54:2 52:13 programs [1] - 47:3 presented [3] - 5:17, progress [3] - 34:16, 40:20, 41:11 37:20, 38:1 presents [1] - 48:21 propose [1] - 7:22 preservation [2] - 4:7, proposing [2] - 21:18, 18:19 38:4 **preserve** [1] - 21:3 proposition [1] - 8:9 press [5] - 11:21, protected [1] - 31:3 16:6, 16:9, 16:10, Protection [9] - 10:12, 16:12 11:4, 19:11, 19:25, **presumably** [1] - 7:15 24:1, 24:17, 26:25, previously [3] - 27:19, 35:16, 50:6 36:17, 40:23 provide [6] - 14:22, **Primis** [23] - 2:20, 27:24, 28:21, 33:20, 2:24, 10:24, 11:20, 46:1, 47:23 14:2, 15:24, 16:6, provided [6] - 24:2, 26:2, 34:8, 35:1, 26:14, 40:23, 42:11, 36:2, 36:24, 37:22, 42:23, 52:5 38:6, 43:17, 43:23, providing [4] - 30:13, 44:14, 44:15, 48:15, 41:4, 47:10, 51:25 49:1, 50:7, 51:19, provision [1] - 24:21 56:11 provocative [2] -**PRIMIS** [24] - 1:18, 11:16, 13:24 2:5, 2:23, 3:24, 4:14, provoke [1] - 13:7 4:20, 8:16, 8:19, Public [3] - 1:14, 62:2, 14:4, 17:17, 18:9, 62:15 18:13, 26:1, 38:8, **public** [6] - 5:5, 5:10, 39:11, 39:17, 51:22, 16:8, 16:12, 56:12, 54:8, 54:17, 55:19, 57:12 57:24, 58:6, 59:11, published [2] - 30:9, 61:3

59:20

purpose [1] - 25:23

purposes [1] - 50:17

pursuing [1] - 18:24

15.0 10.10

Mason & Lockhart

Primis's [1] - 50:2

12:15, 13:3

PRINS [1] - 1:17

principal [3] - 12:12,

principals [1] - 59:25

precise [1] - 12:3

4:19, 4:22, 4:24, 5:8, 5:25, 6:6, 6:15, 7:13, 8:21, 9:9, 9:12, 9:15, 11:23, 13:14, 14:7, 14:20, 15:4, 16:5, 16:18, 16:22 Putnam's [5] - 12:9, 13:21, 15:17, 17:7 **putting** [1] - 10:7 Q quality [1] - 50:9

questions [5] - 27:21, 36:24, 37:4, 39:23, 46:17 quickly [1] - 22:21 quite [5] - 20:4, 21:5, 23:23, 36:18, 47:4 quote [2] - 21:8, 24:7 quoted [1] - 9:1

R

raised [4] - 8:20, 20:23, 28:6, 59:17 raising [1] - 36:16 **RALPH** [1] - 1:10 ran [2] - 49:21, 50:9 range [4] - 20:8, 47:22, 50:8, 50:12 rank [1] - 9:9 ranking [4] - 7:10, 10:21, 11:12, 19:8 rarely [2] - 34:21, 38:15 rather [1] - 6:9 reached [1] - 15:7 read [6] - 4:4, 24:21, 53:17, 59:19, 59:23, 59:25 ready [1] - 42:3 real [1] - 20:16 really [2] - 38:20, 40:3 reasonable [6] - 8:2, 21:9, 25:15, 32:15. 33:18, 37:16 reasonably [4] -36:19, 45:21, 45:25, 48:20 received [2] - 22:1, 34:23 recent [2] - 21:7, 24:15 recently [4] - 20:21, 20:25, 21:25, 34:11 recently-used [1] -21:25 recollection [1] -THE REPORTING GROUP

mend [1] -

recommendation [1] -24:22 recommending [1] -35:18 reconstruct [3] -20:14, 21:22, 22:11 reconstruction [1] -22:15 record [6] - 25:5, 31:17, 51:14, 53:19, 54:21, 56:13 recover [1] - 33:22 recovery [2] - 27:20, 31:13 recreate [3] - 33:22, 35:4, 36:3 recreated [1] - 25:16 recycled [1] - 27:17 reducing [1] - 8:14 **reductions** [1] - 19:15 refers [1] - 46:19 regard [5] - 5:8, 7:14, 40:5, 42:8, 42:21 regarding [2] - 4:6 registries [1] - 31:14 regular [1] - 38:12 Reheis [4] - 19:7, 27:1, 29:25, 31:10 **Reheis's** [1] - 27:5 related [2] - 33:15, 42:6 relates [2] - 14:11, 30:23 relating [1] - 33:14 releases [1] - 16:10 relevant [7] - 8:10, 10:14, 19:11, 21:6, 23:24, 24:1, 44:20 relied [3] - 41:15, 44:16, 48:10 relies [1] - 6:11 rely [4] - 39:5, 39:8, 46:3, 47:11 remaining [2] - 30:25, 48:16 remember [1] - 45:22 remind [2] - 18:15, 54:22 renewed [1] - 14:19 repeatedly [1] - 25:18 replaces [1] - 36:11 report [11] - 3:25, 5:7, 12:6, 12:12, 20:24, 21:7, 26:3, 32:14, 34:14, 44:3, 44:12 reported [1] - 34:13 reports [10] - 3:10, 3:13, 3:17, 4:5, 17:15, 26:11, 29:22,

30:25, 39:6, 39:15 represent [1] - 30:10 representations [1] -39:5 representatives [1] -60:14 represented [1] - 4:1 representing [1] -57:16 repurposed [2] -27:18, 31:23 request [10] - 6:12, 6:13, 9:18, 12:8, 14:19, 15:8, 15:10, 44:5, 47:23, 50:14 requested [2] - 4:21, 7:6 requests [3] - 44:23, 45:6, 51:17 **require** [1] - 37:9 required [5] - 15:13, 44:6, 45:18, 46:22, 56:18 requirements [1] -13:1 requires [2] - 13:3, 47:5 requiring [1] - 16:13 resolve [2] - 8:3, 43:18 resolved [2] - 18:20, 54:6 respect [3] - 11:3, 36:1, 48:25 respond [3] - 15:21, 18:10, 58:24 response [9] - 14:6, 20:5, 26:15, 27:9, 30:13, 30:20, 48:1, 51:7, 55:2 responses [2] - 14:4, 52:7 responsibility [4] -6:9, 8:1, 19:12, 44:19 rest [1] - 17:18 restore [1] - 26:20 result [3] - 25:1, 36:5, 60:3 retired [1] - 15:1 rightly [1] - 7:6 risk [1] - 54:21 River [7] - 11:3, 11:4, 19:16, 19:17, 23:25, 24:12, 35:16 river [1] - 50:18 rivers [1] - 19:20 **RMR** [1] - 1:13 **role** [2] - 5:3, 60:3 **roles** [1] - 10:9 routine [1] - 41:7

routinely [1] - 23:13 run [2] - 33:2, 33:8 runs [1] - 4:24

S Samsung [1] - 9:5 sand [1] - 60:8 **SARAH** [1] - 1:20 Sarah [1] - 2:19 Saving [1] - 59:21 **schedule** [3] - 13:17, 49:22, 57:1 scheduled [3] - 12:16, 46:14, 55:23 scheduling [1] - 13:15 scope [2] - 44:5, 48:21 score [1] - 22:24 Scott [1] - 6:3 Scott's [1] - 6:5 script [3] - 40:22, 41:11, 42:10 search [2] - 36:3, 36:8 searched [5] - 29:1, 29:2, 29:3, 29:4, 29:8 searches [2] - 33:3, 33:8 second [2] - 14:12, 16:6 secondly [1] - 60:4 **Secretary** [3] - 10:11, 50:5, 50:11 see [13] - 16:24, 26:22, 29:10, 32:4, 33:7, 33:9, 35:2, 35:3, 36:3, 36:7, 38:25, 45:15, 53:25 seek [4] - 9:16, 9:24, 13:20, 31:2 seeking [7] - 6:1, 11:17, 19:1, 19:4, 20:3, 25:13, 31:8 seem [1] - 9:21 selected [1] - 55:14 sending [1] - 6:18 senior [7] - 7:2, 7:17, 8:10, 15:6, 57:25, 58:3, 58:10 sense [2] - 34:9, 34:17 sent [6] - 6:1, 6:2, 22:1, 34:24, 35:17, 36:23 September [1] - 6:1 served [1] - 28:13 server [7] - 20:6, 23:12, 27:12, 27:16, 27:17, 28:23, 30:2

servers [6] - 20:7,

33:4 serviced [1] - 24:9 **Services** [1] - 5:2 sessions [1] - 56:9 set [5] - 3:24, 9:22, 25:20, 42:1, 50:16 settings [1] - 49:8 settlement [1] - 55:6 setup [1] - 56:16 seven [1] - 9:2 several [3] - 20:16, 44:10, 59:14 severe [4] - 24:3, 24:5, 24:20, 35:19 share [1] - 59:5 shed [1] - 25:11 showing [5] - 6:24, 11:8, 13:3, 13:13, 38:11 sign [1] - 30:15 signed [2] - 6:19, 8:4 significant [4] - 5:24, 30:15, 32:4, 45:19 significantly [1] - 25:3 similar [1] - 10:24 simply [2] - 8:19, 58:22 single [4] - 40:22, 42:13, 45:21, 53:18 single-spaced [3] -40:22, 42:13, 53:18 situation [1] - 47:16 **six** [3] - 9:2, 26:8, 31:1 slightly [2] - 34:7, 55:21 **small** [1] - 46:24 sometime [1] - 55:20 soon [4] - 51:2, 55:1, 56:5, 56:23 **sorry** [1] - 7:20 sorts [1] - 16:11 sought [4] - 6:5, 13:4, 27:1, 27:3 sounded [1] - 52:6 sounding [1] - 54:21 sounds [1] - 29:15 source [1] - 48:8 sources [3] - 13:5, 13:6, 26:23 spaced [3] - 40:22, 42:13, 53:18 **spanning** [1] - 31:9 sparsely [1] - 45:11 speaking [2] - 6:10, 25:1 **SPECIAL** [47] - 1:9, 2:2, 2:7, 2:15, 3:2, 3:7, 3:20, 3:23, 4:2,

15:22, 17:11, 17:20, 18:2, 18:8, 18:12, 18:15, 25:24, 34:1, 38:6, 39:1, 39:10, 39:13, 43:15, 51:19, 54:4, 54:11, 54:14, 54:20, 55:18, 55:22, 56:15, 56:25, 57:14, 57:23, 58:2, 58:11, 58:18, 59:10, 59:12, 60:21, 60:23 special [1] - 11:23 species [1] - 14:12 specific [11] - 12:6, 12:7, 12:10, 19:15, 23:22, 24:6, 25:11, 35:18, 37:12, 44:23, specifically [7] - 6:11, 13:16, 21:21, 25:2, 25:21, 44:13, 53:22 **specifics** [1] - 46:21 speed [1] - 58:23 **spend** [1] - 53:15 spoken [1] - 39:25 **springs** [1] - 10:2 staff [3] - 12:22, 24:16, 24:22 stage [2] - 13:19, 17:8 stand [2] - 8:9, 8:11 stark [1] - 20:2 start [6] - 2:10, 4:18, 10:6, 15:23, 22:4, 43:17 started [2] - 31:10, 39:21 **STATE** [2] - 1:3, 1:6 state [17] - 10:10, 10:22, 11:19, 19:3, 19:12, 33:1, 33:9, 34:22, 46:6, 46:10, 48:3, 50:23, 51:11, 58:7, 58:10, 59:25, 60:13 **State** [9] - 1:14, 1:16, 1:18, 24:2, 31:18, 46:10, 58:5, 58:6, 62:3 statement [2] - 21:12, 56:8 **statements** [8] - 5:5, 5:10, 8:5, 9:13, 14:7, 16:7, 16:9, 16:11 States [3] - 3:3, 3:6, 16:8 states [1] - 11:14 **STATES** [1] - 1:1 status [16] - 3:9, 3:13,

3:16, 3:25, 4:4, 5:7,

26:3, 32:14, 34:14, 39:6, 39:15, 44:3, 44:12 **statute** [1] - 23:24 stenographic [1] -62:5 step [2] - 22:8, 29:19 steps [7] - 20:20, 21:9, 32:15, 32:16, 33:18, 35:20, 44:7 **Stevenson** [1] - 43:3 Steverson [4] - 42:24, 43:3, 50:4, 50:7 still [3] - 9:5, 30:24, 33:11 straight [1] - 34:17 **streamflow** [1] - 24:13 Street [1] - 1:11 stress [1] - 11:10 stressed [2] - 43:23, 44:12 structure [1] - 10:11 **struggling** [1] - 42:10 Struhs [1] - 49:23 subject [1] - 3:12 **submission** [1] - 59:3 submissions [2] -56:18, 56:21 submit [5] - 6:20, 17:13, 17:21, 18:1, 18:5 submits [1] - 18:11 **submitted** [2] - 17:18, 56:22 **subscribe** [1] - 62:10 substantial [1] - 26:19 substantive [3] -56:10, 57:6, 57:12 **subtopics** [1] - 30:21 **successful** [1] - 47:4 suddenly [1] - 28:16 suffice [1] - 11:19 **sufficient** [2] - 18:7, 21:13 suggest [5] - 20:10, 36:5, 53:12, 59:1, 59:24 suggested [1] - 38:22 suggesting [1] - 4:16 **suggestion** [3] - 59:2, 59:6, 60:4 suggestions [1] -32:24 **suggestive** [1] - 38:15 suggests [1] - 45:20 suit [1] - 27:1 **summaries** [1] - 17:15 **summarize** [1] - 16:3 summarized [1] - 4:9

21:25, 22:12, 29 THE REPORTING GROUP; 20:24, 21:7,

summarizing [1] -4:12 summary [1] - 47:15 supervises [1] - 8:1 **supervisor** [1] - 12:20 supplied [2] - 13:6, 46:24 supply [2] - 5:4, 5:8 **supplying** [1] - 51:6 **support** [1] - 58:16 **suppose** [1] - 33:7 **supposed** [3] - 30:21, 53:1, 53:20 Supreme [1] - 7:19 **SUPREME** [1] - 1:1 surmises [1] - 11:21 **surprised** [1] - 4:22 **surprising** [1] - 23:17 system [1] - 46:23 systems [1] - 24:9

T

tailored [1] - 21:17 tape [3] - 23:11, 23:12, 23:17 tapes [1] - 29:6 targeted [1] - 25:21 technical [11] - 20:10, 23:4, 23:7, 23:15, 29:7, 31:20, 36:13, 38:2, 38:4, 56:16, technically [2] - 23:6, 36:12 telephone [2] - 1:9, 61:4 terms [1] - 52:13 test [3] - 15:12, 42:19, 52:24 tested [2] - 42:7, 52:10 testified [1] - 10:13 testifies [1] - 41:14 testify [6] - 28:19, 31:12, 31:16, 42:4, 42:25, 49:2 testimony [9] - 16:3, 40:25, 41:19, 41:20, 42:7, 44:24, 52:18, 53:14, 53:15 THE [1] - 1:1 themselves [1] - 2:22 third [1] - 39:14 three [4] - 4:5, 10:17, 33:10, 44:13 throughout [3] -31:17, 33:8, 44:20 timeline [1] - 34:6

timelines [1] - 34:8

timing [3] - 18:12, 57:15, 58:19 titled [1] - 59:21 today [3] - 17:16, 36:6, 39:7 today's [1] - 59:15 together [1] - 48:10 tomorrow [2] - 18:6,

18:14 top [1] - 41:12 topic [5] - 25:11, 30:17, 35:18, 49:15, 55:5

topics [6] - 28:14, 28:17, 38:21, 45:19, 45:24, 50:8 total [1] - 24:8 transcript [3] - 55:8, 57:13, 62:5 transparent [4] -

26:17, 28:3, 32:2, 37:7 treat [1] - 58:22

tried [7] - 8:12, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 11:24, 14:17, 36:17
triggered [2] - 24:20, 35:21

trouble [2] - 20:15, 21:15

true [2] - 16:19, 36:23 **try** [7] - 8:22, 11:11, 13:16, 16:14, 26:19, 27:22, 42:17

trying [11] - 5:19, 7:1, 11:6, 25:12, 30:24, 37:6, 37:17, 47:23, 53:9, 57:10, 60:25 turn [2] - 39:14, 55:1 two [5] - 12:19, 17:1, 46:13, 49:20, 55:17 two-day [1] - 49:20 type [14] - 11:5, 11:11,

12:11, 13:7, 13:23, 21:2, 22:14, 25:7, 34:15, 38:3, 46:1, 48:20, 49:18, 51:5

48:20, 49:18, 51:5 **types** [3] - 8:6, 9:7, 46:22

typically [1] - 7:2

U

U.S_[1] - 7:19 U.S.A_[1] - 1:21 ultimate_[2] - 60:1, 60:3 ultimately_[1] - 15:16 unable_[2] - 14:18, 37:25 uncommon [1] - 27:16 under [5] - 13:2, 13:20, 21:17, 45:23, 51:16 underlying [2] - 6:15,

52:25 undertake [1] - 30:17 unduly [2] - 22:8, 36:22

unearth [1] - 14:18 unfair [1] - 13:19 UNITED [1] - 1:1

United [3] - 3:3, 3:5, 16:8 **universe** [1] - 36:4

unless [1] - 11:8 unlikely [1] - 20:11 unusual [2] - 28:2,

52:2

52:23

up [9] - 6:18, 9:22, 14:20, 26:19, 27:10, 37:3, 42:1, 50:19,

upcoming [1] - 58:15 upstream [1] - 45:15 urged [1] - 24:18

users [1] - 47:1 uses [2] - 45:4, 45:9 usual [2] - 2:8, 39:23

V

variety [1] - 38:13 vendor [1] - 29:8 versa [1] - 35:23 version [1] - 53:7 vice [1] - 35:23 view [4] - 12:25, 30:18, 34:8, 43:24 Virginia [1] - 47:15 virtually [1] - 16:7

W

waited [1] - 36:19

waived [1] - 53:8
waiver [1] - 41:6
wants [1] - 60:18
warrants [1] - 43:10
WARREN [1] - 1:20
Warren [1] - 2:19
Washington [1] - 2:21
wasting [2] - 60:9,
60:10
Water [3] - 44:18,
49:21, 50:9
water [17] - 5:3, 5:8,
5:13, 10:14, 14:10,
19:13, 44:25, 45:2,
THE REPORTING GROUP

45:16, 45:17, 46:24, 50:9, 59:18

ways [3] - 20:14, 26:20, 42:10

website [1] - 55:9

week [5] - 17:2, 20:21, 46:15, 46:16, 55:16

weeks [3] - 26:9, 31:1,

55:17 **Wei** [3] - 35:13, 35:17, 35:23

welcome [2] - 36:10, 59:3

Westlaw [1] - 47:14 Wharf [1] - 1:11 WHEREOF [1] - 62:10

whole [2] - 20:8, 50:8 wide [2] - 38:12, 50:8 wider [1] - 36:8

Wildlife [1] - 50:24 Wiley [1] - 50:25

WILLIAMS [1] - 1:17 Williams [1] - 2:14

willing [5] - 17:4, 21:11, 32:18, 33:17, 58:17

WINSOR [1] - 1:16 Winsor [1] - 2:14 wishes [2] - 12:24, 49:24

WITNESS [1] - 62:10 witness [14] - 21:1, 31:11, 41:14, 41:21, 41:22, 42:25, 44:8, 47:11, 47:24, 52:2, 52:5, 52:22, 53:1, 53:13

witness's [1] - 41:20 witnesses [10] - 4:8, 10:9, 28:21, 39:16, 40:2, 41:4, 42:22, 44:14, 51:18, 53:16 word [3] - 56:2, 59:15, 60:7

words [4] - 10:20, 37:6, 44:18, 45:5 World [1] - 59:21 worst [1] - 25:4 written [3] - 52:18, 56:18, 56:21

Y

year [3] - 24:2, 24:4, 24:11 years [7] - 19:17, 24:16, 25:6, 31:9, 31:16, 37:2, 38:22 Ζ

Zeng [6] - 35:13, 35:17, 35:23, 38:9, 38:10, 47:13 **Zeng's** [1] - 38:13

Mason & Lockhart _____ The Reporting Group (207) 797-6040