1 from Kirkland & Ellis, LLP, for the State of 2 Georgia. I also have with me my colleague SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES No. 142, Original 3 Devora Allon. 4 MS. GRANT: And, good morning, your STATE OF FLORIDA. 5 Honor. Britt Grant is also on the line from Plaintiff, 6 Georgia. 7 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you. STATE OF GEORGIA, 8 Mr. Allen, will you be the spokesperson Defendants. 9 for Georgia? TELEPHONE CONFERENCE before SPECIAL MASTER 10 MR. PRIMIS: This is Craig Primis. I'll RALPH I. LANCASTER, held at the law offices of Pierce 11 be the spokesperson for Georgia. Atwood, LLP, at Merrill's Wharf, 254 Commercial Street, 12 And just to clear up any confusion, we Portland, Maine, on December 8, 2015, commencing at 10:00 a.m., before Claudette G. Mason, RMR, CRR, a 13 have two people with the last name Allen on Notary Public in and for the State of Maine. 14 our team. I believe you may be referring to 15 Winn Allen, who has spoken in the past. This For the State of Florida: PHILIP J. PERRY, ESQ. 16 ALLEN C. WINSOR, ESQ. OSVALDO VAZQUEZ, ESQ. is an unrelated person named Devora Allon. 17 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you, CRAIG S. PRIMIS, ESQ. DEVORA W. ALLON, ESO. For the State of Georgia: DEVORA W. ALLON, ESQ. BRITT GRANT, ESQ. 18 Mr. Primis, for clarifying that. 19 United States? For the U.S.A.: MICHAEL T. GRAY, ESQ. 20 MR. GRAY: Yes, your Honor. Good JOSHUA D. DUNLAP, ESQ. MARY CLIFFORD Also Present: 21 morning, your Honor. This is Michael Gray 22 for the United States. 23 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Gray, THE REPORTING GROUP 24 having looked at the progress reports, I Mason & Lockhart 25 don't think there is anything that will call THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart 2 4 1 1 for your comments; but you should feel free 2 2 **PROCEEDINGS** to jump in if there is anything that is 3 3 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Good morning, subject to your thoughts or comments. And 4 4 counsel. let me say on an ongoing basis -- I won't 5 5 repeat this again -- but you should MR. PERRY: Good morning, your Honor. 6 6 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: We have the understand that that invitation stands at 7 usual suspects here, Josh Dunlap, the case 7 each conference. Clear? 8 8 manager; Mary Clifford, the legal assistant; MR. GRAY: Yes. Thank you very much. 9 and the incomparable Claudette Mason, our 9 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Let's start, 10 10 reporter. if we may, with my favorite -- this will be 11 11 Let's start again with appearances, no surprise -- the mediation and settlement 12 12 please. Florida? sections of your progress reports. 13 MR. PERRY: Phil Perry for Florida, your 13 Let me remind you that whatever you do, 14 Honor. 14 whatever you say will be part of a 15 MR. WINSOR: Good morning. This is 15 transcript. So you should be circumspect in 16 Allen Winsor. I'm on the line as well. 16 responding to my questions. 17 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Anyone else 17 First, both progress reports indicated 18 18 for Florida? that you have begun the mediation discussion. 19 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Good morning, sir. This I'm not clear, however, exactly what is going 20 20 is Os Vazquez from Florida as well. on. When is the last time that you met or 21 21 discussed mediation? SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Perry, 22 22 will you be the spokesperson today? Florida?

23

24

25

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I believe it was

last week. And Mr. Primis and I have been

having that discussion, and we have been in

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

23

24

25

MR. PERRY: I will, your Honor.

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?

MR. PRIMIS: Your Honor, Craig Primis

the process of arranging for all the

logistics that are required for a

confidential mediation process, including the

4 selection of the mediator.

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia, do 5 6 you agree?

7 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor -- Craig Primis -- I do agree. 8

To put a little more color on that, the parties agreed shortly after the call last month to exchange proposed mediator names. I am mindful of your Honor's comments at the beginning. I won't identify who we

identified to each other, but we did exchange 14 names. And both sides have had an 15

opportunity to evaluate whether the people on 16

those lists would be appropriate. 17

They were all reputable attorneys. So in our view it was a good faith exchange of 20 names. And we're trying to come to ground on selecting one of those people. That was the conversation that Mr. Perry and I had last week.

I believe he was going to take some steps this week to further evaluate one of THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

6

the candidates, and Georgia stands ready to move expeditiously once he's done that.

3 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: I take it then that this is by phone conference rather 4

5 than in person, Mr. --

MR. PRIMIS: Yes. In terms of selection 6 of a mediator and logistics, we have been 7

doing that by phone conference. I think once 8

9 we have a mediator selected, I'm just

anticipating that at that point we'll all 10

agree that it will be important to the 11

process to get key people meeting in person. 12

13 But at this point, just for efficiency

purposes, we're moving through with telephone 14 15 conferences.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: And when will 16 17 the next discussion of mediation take place,

Florida? 18

22

23

19 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, Phil Perry for 20 Florida.

21 Mr. Primis is correct that we are

engaged in an effort to make progress in the mediator selection, and we hope that we'll

have another discussion on that topic with 24

Georgia by the end of this week. 25

> THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia? 1

2 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, we're ready to talk

whenever Mr. Perry finishes with his due 3

4 diligence. So certainly this week would be

acceptable to us. And from our perspective, 5

the sooner the better. 6

7 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Will the decision on a mediator be done by outside 8 9 lawyers or by consensus process, or how is it

working, Florida? 10

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, my sense is that 11 it may well be by a consensus process. Right 12

now, without disclosing any of the details, 13 there is a potential that we could agree on

14 an individual that both entities, both states 15

know of and are familiar with. And so that 16

17 was, I think, what Mr. Primis was describing

a moment ago. And so that's a good 18

development, and we're working on that now. 19

20 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?

MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor. The --21 22 the assumption that Georgia has been

proceeding on, I think it's been explicitly 23

stated between the parties, is that the 24

agreed mediator would be somebody acceptable 25

> THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

> > 8

not only to outside counsel, but also to the

decision makers within the states because 2

3 it's important for there to be buy-in both 4 among clients and counsel for the process and

5 for the mediator.

6 And so we have been driving towards a 7 voluntary and consensual selection process,

8 which appears to be moving fairly quickly,

9 and, hopefully, will be resolved soon.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: You said lead 10 11 mediator?

MR. PRIMIS: No. I -- there would just 12 13 be one mediator. I'm sorry if I said that.

Maybe I meant lead to a mediator, but we are 14

15 only focusing on and aiming to select one.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Let me just 16 17 suggest that a consensus decision, that is a

18 decision by the outside lawyers and

19 representatives of the states and God knows

who else, is doomed to failure. There should 20

21 be one person on each side; and it should be

22 one of -- in my opinion it should be one of 23

the outside lawyers who has the authority to make that decision. Otherwise, you're never 24

going to get there.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

20

Now, that's just my comment; but I'm 1 going to suggest to you that you -- because you are experienced, talented lawyers -- that you suggest to your state clients that they delegate the authority to select the mediator to you. You can obtain whatever information 7 they want to give you; but in the end, you make the decision.

That's just a suggestion. I have no power to order you to do that, and I wouldn't do it anyway. But I suggest from my experience as an independent counsel that if 12 you try to do things by consensus, you're doomed to failure.

Well, I would hope -- I'm very pleased that you have begun making progress on the mediation. And I'm hopeful that you will get to the decision very quickly.

Do you intend to set a time limit for the mediation to conclude, Florida?

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, we would like to speak with the mediator in a little more detail on our options for format and process. It may be that we want to approach this in a

way that allows us to initially mediate a

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

10

1 couple of the core issues fairly quickly to 2 see where we get and see if there's a road

3 forward and then schedule further sessions

4 thereafter. But we want to do it in a way

that maximizes the potential for success, 5

6 your Honor.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

15

7 And so we appreciate your suggestion and your comments very much, and for our part or 8

for Florida's part we are trying to find a

way to maximize the potential success by 10

11 arranging a process that allows us the 12 flexibility to move quickly, but also

13 recognizes the complexity involved.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia? 14

MR. PRIMIS: Yes. I think we agree with

one part of what Mr. Perry said, which is

17 that it's important to get the mediator's suggestions and input on logistics. We

intend to be very flexible, and the mediators

that we have exchanged by name are all

experienced and have their own developed methodologies for going about doing this. 22

So I think the first step -- and this 23 should happen rapidly -- would be for the

parties to get together with the mediator, THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

get an overview of the case, the issues that

are centrally in dispute, and then get that

person's take on the best way forward,

obviously with input from the parties given

our superior knowledge of the facts of the case at this point.

But that would be the recommended first step. And I think we would want to secure time with the mediator at the mediator's earliest convenience.

And these are usually busy people, so it might take a bit of time to get that person up to speed and to get on their calendar, but move it forward quickly and assess where it's heading and how the mediator can keep the process moving forward.

We would be open to discussing an end point for it, but in my experience these sometimes evolve organically and are very iterative processes. And while time pressure would be good to keep people focused, we wouldn't want it to become an impediment if progress is being made in the interim.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Two things, and then we'll switch to something else.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

12

First, feel free to share with your clients

my suggestions as to giving you authority to

make these decisions rather than doing it by

consensus. And, secondly, I anticipate that

the next status report will reflect that the

parties have agreed to a mediator and a 6

timing for the mediation. 7

8 Any questions about that?

9 MR. PRIMIS: No, your Honor.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia --

Florida?

MR. PERRY: No. No, your Honor.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: All right.

Then, let's turn to other issues which have 14

15 been raised in the progress report. Florida

has said that it is continuing to seek 16

17 documents by subpoena of various professors

at the Georgia universities. Do the parties 18

19 have anything to add to that?

Florida?

21 MR. PERRY: Yes, your Honor. We have 22 attempted to only seek documents that we

think are critical to our case for trial. 23

And after your order ordered the production 24

of Dr. Kistenmacher's e-mails a few weeks

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

13

ago, we have, of course, spent a lot of time with that; and we have continued his deposition. And that has taught us quite a 4 bit about the case.

Those e-mails are invaluable to us, and 5 we have been able also to very specifically 6 tailor the additional e-mails we will need. 7 And we have only identified three professors from Georgia universities that have e-mails 9 that we would like to pursue. One is a 10 gentleman named Dr. Georgakakos, who is the 11 supervisor of Dr. Kistenmacher. And we have 12 offered search terms to Georgia for 13 Dr. Kistenmacher focused on the same very 14 specific issues we think will help us with 15 this case. 16

Dr. Georgakakos is the director of an entity that advertises itself as in close partnership with the Georgia State Environmental Protection Division on hydrology issues and integrally involved in Georgia state water planning. And so our search terms were very narrowly confined to those issues that we think will yield fruit for us.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

We understand from Georgia that they have run those search terms for two different accounts for Dr. Georgakakos. The first account is his account -- his e-mail account for Georgia Tech University. And our search terms narrowed the field to -- of his e-mail inbox to a subset which I understand is about 3,000 e-mails.

The second e-mail inbox he has is one he has used with Dr. Kistenmacher. We know this, of course, because we have the Kistenmacher e-mails now. I won't identify the e-mail address, but we have several dozen e-mails from Dr. Georgakakos's private e-mail address. And so we're aware that he does a good portion of his business from that address.

We are still waiting to hear from Georgia on what the search results were for that e-mail inbox. And if the numbers are similar to the numbers we got from the Georgia Tech e-mail inbox, we think that's probably a reasonable production for them to make, not an incredible burden to produce those e-mails.

> THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

And, in fact, what we have also learned 1 2 from the Kistenmacher e-mails is that these Georgakakos e-mails will not only be relevant to Dr. Georgakakos's deposition, but there 4 are communications in those e-mails to a 5 whole range of people that we are seeking to depose. And those e-mails address a great 7 range of issues that we believe are relevant 8 to this case. 9

When we first talked about the Dr. Kistenmacher e-mails, I made a comment that the documents we received prior to that point were essentially an unassembled automobile engine, parts in a box with no explanation how to reassemble them. The e-mails have shown us exactly what the relevance was of all those documents.

It has been an incredibly helpful exercise to read them, and we're confident that we will have the same reaction to the e-mails for Dr. Georgakakos.

The other two individuals for whom we're seeking e-mails are Dr. Mark Masters from Albany State University. And he is relevant as the director of the Georgia Water Planning

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

16

and Policy Center, which is focused very specifically on agricultural water use in the Flint River Basin in particular. The other 4 is Dr. James Hook, who is retired, but has a 5 similar focus. He's a University of Georgia 6 professor.

Again, we would like to depose both of them soon. Dr. Mark Masters is January 6 to 7. And so we are putting some premium on speed here.

11 We recently heard back from Georgia as to the scope of our search terms for 12 13 Dr. Masters, and they appropriately pointed out that our search terms were too broad for 14 15 him. So we are going to very soon, and probably this afternoon, change our search 16 terms to much more narrowly define what we 17 need from him. 18

So we reduced their burden and focused more specifically on what's likely to be important. And we will do the same for Dr. Hook.

But, again, all of these e-mails are important to a whole range of our 24 depositions. So that's why we're pursuing THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

20

18

19

them.

17

19 20

21

22

23

24

7

17

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia? 2 MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor. Craig 3 4 Primis for Georgia.

The background on these e-mails is that 5 these were not original e-mail custodians agreed to by the parties, and there were 7 initially agreements that university e-mails would not be produced. In response to the 9 10 subpoena duces tecum that we received with 11 their deposition notices, as well as in light 12 of the Court's ruling on the Dr. Kistenmacher 13 e-mails, we have been endeavoring to work 14 with Florida to narrow what is a very large 15 universe of e-mails that they have been seekina. 16

And so, as Mr. Perry indicated, we have been applying search terms trying to find 18 reasonable ways to narrow these because our burden objections are serious; and they are important. But if we can find a way to address them, we will do that. And we have been attempting to do that.

We were surprised by some of the statements in the status report from Florida THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

18

1 which suggested that we had not been

2 responding to Florida, because we have been

3 engaged with them in an ongoing process and,

4 in fact, had provided them additional

5 information on Thursday and Friday of last

week that wasn't reflected in their report. 6

So we are presently reviewing and aiming

8 to produce the Dr. Georgakakos e-mails

9 subject to the narrow search terms from his

Georgia Tech account. His AOL e-mail box 10

11 presented some separate issues because it's

12 his personal e-mail account, and he was in

13 Africa for much of the month of November.

14 But now that he's back, we're endeavoring to

15 do for those e-mails what we did with the

16 Georgia Tech ones with the search terms.

With regard to Dr. Masters and Dr. Hook,

Dr. Masters did -- does have a very large

19 amount of e-mail. And we have been engaged

with Florida to try to narrow that universe. 20

21 We have been transparent about what the

22 search terms are yielding, and Mr. Perry

23 indicated that.

And so once we get Mr. Perry's narrowed 24 25 search terms, we will quickly apply them.

> THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

And assuming that it results in a reasonable

number to review and produce, we will go

ahead and do that. 3

4 So we're being responsive. We are

5 evaluating it as it comes and meeting and conferring with Florida on these issues.

7 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Counsel --

8 ves, sir?

9 MR. PERRY: Your Honor, if I may respond. It's Phil Perry. 10

11 Mr. Primis, I think, indicated at the 12 outset of his explanation a moment ago that

13 there was an agreement not to share

university e-mails. Of course, we addressed 14

that during the argument on the motion to 15

compel for Dr. Kistenmacher, and we disagree 16 17

with that. I would say in addition that our

University -- not represented by the same

20 counsel, but the University of Florida has

21 produced e-mails to Georgia. And Georgia --

for University of Florida professors. And 22

23 Georgia has been using those e-mails in

depositions. So I think it would be 24

inequitable if there were some situation 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

where Georgia didn't have to produce e-mails that we did. 2

3 I would say that I'm happy to hear that 4 with respect to the Georgia Tech account that

the information will be available soon. And

I hope the same result will be -- will be

forthcoming with respect to the account for 7

8 Dr. Georgakakos's personal e-mails.

9 As your Honor is incredibly aware and reminds us every time we have these calls, we 10

11 have a strict schedule. And, you know, we

have been trying to arrange our depositions 12

13 in a way where we can get these documents and

use them sufficiently soon that they're

valuable for our depositions. And we have

arranged the schedule for January and

17 February contemplating that these e-mails

would be something we can get very soon. 18

19 So I just would like to, if I might, your Honor, put that point on the e-mail 20 21 discussion.

22 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Primis, 23 do you want to respond to any part of that?

MR. PRIMIS: Well, I just simply note 24

25 first that there's a give and take on these

24

11

22

- things. And when we ask for search terms,
- they're not always expeditiously provided.
- When they are provided, we run them
- 4 expeditiously. And so it's inaccurate to
- suggest that there's been a delay on 5
- Georgia's part. We are working with Florida
- to try and do this in a reasonable and 7
- efficient manner. 8

As well, with regard to the e-mails from 9

- Dr. Kistenmacher, it is true a number of them 10
- were marked at day two of his deposition. 11
- But based on my review of the transcript, it 12
- was largely a rehash of what had happened at 13
- day one of his deposition, just using the 14
- e-mails to tee up the same issues that had 15
- been explored through the reports and other 16
- 17 documents for Dr. Kistenmacher that had been
- previously provided. 18
- So while we're engaging in this give 19 and take and are agreeable to producing 20
- these search-term-narrowed sets of e-mail, 21
- I think that the value of that has been 22
- overstated. We'll do it, but it's not like 23
- there were magical moments in day two of 24
- Dr. Kistenmacher's deposition. It was

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- essentially a replay of day one. 1 We're proceeding in good faith, and we 2
- 3 will continue to do so.
- 4 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you.
- 5 I recognize that volume in this instance
- is as heavy as it is in everything else 6
- attached to this matter. But I also note 7
- that this issue -- these issues have been the 8
- 9 subject of meetings and conferring for
- months. You look at the progress reports; 10
- you review the transcripts. At some point it 11
- has to come to an end. 12
 - So I'm going to suggest -- in fact, I'm going to tell you that I want you to report
- 15 at the next status conference that the
- issues -- these issues have either been 16
- 17 resolved or that there is a dispute ripe for
- resolution. Do you have any questions about 18
- 19 that order?

13

14

20

- Florida?
- 21 MR. PERRY: Phil Perry for Florida.
- 22 No, your Honor.
- 23 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
- MR. PRIMIS: No, your Honor. 24
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: All right.

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- Georgia has identified or raised the question
- 2 that Florida hasn't yet provided witnesses or
- dates for a number of 30(b)(6) topics. Is 3
- there anything to be added to that, Georgia? 4
- MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor. We have 5
- been trying to pursue our 30(b)(6)
- deposition, which we served in September. So 7
- it was -- it's been pending for several 8
- months. And we have been trying to secure 9
- both the date and identity of witnesses. 10
- There -- we have obtained witnesses by name and for date for some of the topics. 12
- And we have been able to depose one 13
- individual on one topic. But with regard to 14
- in particular the injury 30(b)(6) topics, 15
- which we discussed last time and which we 16
- 17 have now revised to try and compromise with
- Florida in terms of the scope, we still don't 18
- have dates or witnesses. 19
- 20 In addition, there are some topics for which Florida has indicated that they have
- 21 22 dates; but they won't identify which
- individuals in Florida are going to testify 23
- to those topics, which makes preparation for 24
- those depositions very difficult. And so it

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

continues to be a source of concern.

- 2 We do regularly exchange e-mails and
- meet and confer on deposition dates. And a
- 4 number of depositions have been scheduled.
- 5 But on the 30(b)(6), we still seem to be
- 6 having difficulty.

7

- SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Florida?
- MR. PERRY: Your Honor, I believe 8
- 9 there's two more 30(b)(6) depositions
- scheduled the week of December 14 to 18 on 10
- 11 multiple topics, if I'm not mistaken.
- If I might, your Honor, I would like to 12 13 address this a little more broadly. We -- on
- a few of the topics which we saw as 14
- 15 incredibly broad, we asked Georgia to narrow
- those topics both, practically, because it 16
- 17 would be very difficult to prepare a witness
- on those topics, and because the topics 18
- 19 called essentially for premature disclosure
- of expert testimony. We have no problem 20
- putting forth a witness on underlying factual
- 21
- material so long as the question isn't what 22
- will your expert testimony be when the 23
- deadline arrives. 24

We have -- and we intend to provide THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

25

28

25

26

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 witnesses in January for the most part for
- 2 those topics that have been in some dispute.
- 3 The reason we're able to do so now is because
- 4 Georgia very recently narrowed its topics.
- 5 And the narrowed topics assist us to find the
- 6 right person and schedule the 30(b)(6)

7 depositions.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

One point that I might remark on in 8 Georgia's status report is this; they argue, 9 I think, on page 2 that Florida pivoted from 10 its initial and long-standing focus on a 2012 11 oyster collapse, and it now appears to claim 12 that the entire Apalachicola Bay and nearly 13 14 every plant and animal species will be harmed. 15

Your Honor, if I might address that briefly, what we have is an argument called a productivity argument, a loss of productivity, essentially an argument that the unique estuarine environment in Apalachicola Bay is shrinking and that the productivity of the area that is still the optimal mix of salinity is far smaller than 24 it used to be. And that is caused in part by 25 low flows, a change in the nature of the

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

1 nutrients, and higher salinities.

This is not a novel argument. It's an 2 3 argument we raised in our complaint at

- paragraph 57. It's an argument that was
- 5 raised in the motion for leave to file our
- 6 complaint at page 6 and in the attached
- declaration at paragraph 7 where the 7
- declarant explained that the reduced nutrient 8
- impacts and higher salinity levels will
- reduce estuarine productivity in general, and
- 11 then went on from there to explain what that
- 12 meant.

13 Our interrogatory responses to the contention interrogatories on this have been 14 15 very fulsome, including by explaining that

- oysters are an example of the loss of -- of
- 17 the type of harm we're suffering, but more
- broadly, that the increases in salinity
- 19 levels and reduction in nutrient levels in
- 20 the bay will alter the entire structure of
- 21 the community of various species. And then
- we have identified exactly what those species 22
- are, what the phytoplankton and zooplankton 23
- are, and so forth. 24

25

And so there is a way to get at that THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- argument that gets at the facts and doesn't
- seek premature expert testimony. And that's
- by taking depositions regarding data on
- the -- on the amount of species found or the
- number of species found at various points in 5
- the bay over time, the salinity levels,
- 7 nutrient measurements, and all that sort of
- material that is already in our interrogatory 8
- 9 responses.

10

We're very happy to supply witnesses on those factual issues. And I'm generalizing

- 11 12 here because there are a great number of
- specifications in their 30(b)(6) subpoena. 13
- There are essentially 29 of them -- 28 with a 14
- subpart. And so we have satisfied a great 15
- number of those already with -- either with 16
- 17 the deposition that occurred or the
- 18 depositions that will occur this month and
- 19 those that are already scheduled. It's just
- 20 as to these remaining topics where there's a
- 21 mix of expert testimony and underlying facts,
- we're trying to discipline the process so we 22
- get a clarity at the outset that these will 23
- be about the underlying factual issues and 24
- not a call for expert testimony.

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- And I might add that we identified a 1 number of cases in our status report. Not 2
- because we think we have a dispute that
- currently needs resolution now, but because
- 5 we wanted to identify for the Court the
- reason why we have for a couple weeks been 6
- going back and forth on the scope of these 7
- designations and the 30(b)(6) notice. It's 8
- because there's case law that recognizes that
- sometimes 30(b)(6) notices can be overbroad, 10
- 11 and the impact of those can be improper, and
- contention interrogatories can better serve 12
- 13 the party's needs.

Here we think we have largely worked that out, and we expect that we will soon be able to have dates and witness names for Georgia, mostly in, if not all in January.

SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Primis? MR. PRIMIS: Yes, your Honor.

19 20 I think Mr. Perry's discussion just now

confirmed why we are entitled to 30(b)(6) 21

- testimony on those issues. We don't have to 22
- take Mr. Perry's word for it or his 23
- description, and I don't think Georgia has to 24

wait until they find an expert to say what he

32

8

29

- just said. If there are people within the
- State of Florida who study these issues and
- have factual information that support what
- Mr. Perry just said, we are entitled to take
- their deposition in the 30(b)(6) context.
- 6 And that's all we have been seeking from the
- beginning. We haven't sought contention 7
- interrogatories through 30(b)(6) topics. We 8
- 9 haven't sought expert testimony through
- 30(b)(6) topics. We just want to understand
- 10
- what the factual basis is for the things that 11
- 12 Mr. Perry just said.

And based on our review of the documents 13

- and the thrust of the complaint, while it 14
- does mention the overall ecology of 15
- Apalachicola Bay, when we have looked for 16
- documents and pressed Florida on what has 17
- actually been harmed, what species in the bay 18
- 19 at present have been harmed due to Georgia,
- the only one that we can identify that they 20
- have taken the position in internal documents 21
- 22 is due to Georgia are the oysters. And the
- complaint references some other species, but 23
- it doesn't ever say they have been harmed due 24
- to Georgia's water use. 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

So we have simply been trying to get at

- what exactly it is that Florida is claiming 2
- in this case. And the -- and their harm.
- 4 And over time, the benefit -- one benefit of
- 5 our asking these questions is that Mr. Perry
- 6 has identified reduced ecological
- 7 productivity as one -- as their principal
- alleged harm. And so, now, we're trying to 8
- 9 explore the factual basis for that and
- determine whether anyone in Florida who 10
- 11 studied these matters for the state has ever
- said that. 12

1

13 And so it sounds like we're on a path to get those witnesses, which is a good thing. 14

- 15 I think the cases identified in Florida's
- status report are totally inapposite and are 16
- 17 directed at different issues where a party is
- seeking to gain another party's work product 18
- through a contention interrogatory type
- 20 deposition request or to get expert testimony
- 21 in a patent case. That's not what we're
- 22 talking about here. Florida has asserted
- 23 that certain plants and animals have been
- harmed and that certain businesses have been
- 25 harmed due to Georgia's water use. And we THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

- would like to depose a representative on
- behalf of the state who has the information 2
- 3 on what those specific items are.
- 4 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Mr. Perry, do
- you want to comment further; or is this 5
- 6 discussion done?
- 7 MR. PERRY: Only briefly, your Honor.
 - And I -- and I may disagree with
- 9 Mr. Primis; but I think we have got our
- issues mostly worked out. 10

11 I will say just in closing on this issue

- that this isn't a new argument. It was in 12
- our complaint. It was in all the papers we 13
- filed at the outset, as I cited. 14
- 15 I will also say that there is a
- considerable amount of authority out there 16
- 17 explaining the use of these theories.
- They're well grounded scientifically. The 18
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has addressed 19
- these types of productivity arguments in many 20
- cases, including the consolidated delta smelt 21
- 22 cases. And, of course, EPA and NOAA have
- brought forth theories of this type in 23
- various different regulatory and litigation 24
- context. 25

THE REPORTING GROUP Mason & Lockhart

- And so to the extent that Mr. Primis is 1 suggesting that there's somehow been a change
- 3 here, I think that's not the way we see it;
- 4 and I don't think that documents bear that
- 5 out.

30

- 6 So with that, I'll close on this topic,
- 7 your Honor.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you.
- 9 Counsel, I can't overemphasize the
- importance of prompt identification of 10
- 11 witnesses and scheduling of depositions. So
- I'm directing that at your next progress 12
- 13 report, next status conference, you should
- tell me that you have completed identifying 14
- 15 the witnesses; and you have proposed
- deposition dates for all of them who are 16
- 17 under your control.
 - Any questions about that, Florida?
- 19 MR. PERRY: No, your Honor.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia?
- 21 MR. PRIMIS: No, your Honor.
- 22 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Anything else
- 23 you want to add, status reports, Florida?
- MR. PERRY: No, your Honor. 24
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Georgia? THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

18

```
MR. PRIMIS: No, your Honor.
2
            SPECIAL MASTER LANCASTER: Thank you
 3
        very much. We are done.
 4
            MR. PERRY: Thank you, your Honor.
 5
            MR. PRIMIS: Thank you.
 6
              (The telephone conference was concluded
7
              at 10:35 a.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                THE REPORTING GROUP
                 Mason & Lockhart
                                                 34
              CERTIFICATE
 1
           I, Claudette G. Mason, a Notary Public
 2
 3
        in and for the State of Maine, hereby certify
 4
        that the foregoing 33 pages are a correct
 5
        transcript of my stenographic notes of the
 6
        above-captioned proceedings.
7
           I further certify that I am a
8
        disinterested person in the event or outcome
9
        of the above-named cause of action.
10
            IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I subscribe my hand
11
        this 10th day of December, 2015.
12
13
14
15
                      Notary Public
16
17
    My Commission Expires
19
    June 9, 2019.
20
21
22
23
24
25
                THE REPORTING GROUP
                 Mason & Lockhart
```

				ı
1	accounts [1] - 14:3	16:13	24:15	Commercial [1] - 1:11
I	action [1] - 34:9	area [1] - 25:22	broadly [2] - 24:13,	Commission [1] -
10:00 [1] - 1:13	add [3] - 12:19, 28:1,	argue [1] - 25:9	26:18	34:18
10:35 [1] - 33:7	32:23	argument [9] - 19:15,	brought [1] - 31:23	communications [1] -
10th [1] - 34:11	added [1] - 23:4	25:17, 25:18, 25:19,	burden [3] - 14:24,	15:5
14 [1] - 24:10	addition [2] - 19:18,	26:2, 26:3, 26:4,	16:19, 17:20	community [1] - 26:21
142 [1] - 1:1	23:20	27:1, 31:12	business [1] - 14:16	compel [1] - 19:16
18 [1] - 24:10	additional [2] - 13:7,	arguments [1] - 31:20	businesses [1] -	complaint [5] - 26:3,
	18:4	arrange [1] - 20:12	30:24	26:6, 29:14, 29:23,
2	address [7] - 14:13,	arranged [1] - 20:16	busy [1] - 11:11	31:13
2 tr. 25:10	14:15, 14:17, 15:7,	arranging [2] - 5:1,	buy [1] - 8:3	completed [1] - 32:14
2 [1] - 25:10	17:22, 24:13, 25:16	10:11	buy-in [1] - 8:3	complexity [1] - 10:13
2012 [1] - 25:11 2015 [2] - 1:12, 34:11	addressed [2] - 19:14,	arrives [1] - 24:24		compromise [1] -
	31:19	asserted [1] - 30:22	С	23:17
2019 [1] - 34:19	advertises [1] - 13:18	assess [1] - 11:14		concern [1] - 24:1
254 [1] - 1:11 28 [1] - 27:14	Africa [1] - 18:13	assist [1] - 25:5	calendar [1] - 11:13	conclude [1] - 9:20
26 [1] - 27.14 29 [1] - 27:14	afternoon [1] - 16:16	assistant [1] - 2:8	candidates [1] - 6:1	concluded [1] - 33:6
23 [1] - 21 . 14	ago [3] - 7:18, 13:1,	assuming [1] - 19:1	captioned [1] - 34:6	confer [1] - 24:3
3	19:12	assumption [1] - 7:22	case [10] - 2:7, 11:1,	conference [6] - 4:7,
<u>_</u>	agree [5] - 5:6, 5:8,	attached [2] - 22:7,	11:6, 12:23, 13:4,	6:4, 6:8, 22:15,
3,000 [1] - 14:8	6:11, 7:14, 10:15	26:6	13:16, 15:9, 28:9,	32:13, 33:6
30(b)(6 [13] - 23:3,	agreeable [1] - 21:20	attempted [1] - 12:22	30:3, 30:21 cases [4] - 28:2,	CONFERENCE [1] -
23:6, 23:15, 24:5,	agreed [4] - 5:10,	attempting [1] - 17:23		1:9
24:9, 25:6, 27:13,	7:25, 12:6, 17:7	attorneys [1] - 5:18	30:15, 31:21, 31:22 caused [1] - 25:24	conferences [1] - 6:15
28:8, 28:10, 28:21,	agreement [1] - 19:13	Atwood [1] - 1:11	Center [1] - 25.24	conferring [2] - 19:6,
29:5, 29:8, 29:10	agreements [1] - 17:8	authority [4] - 8:23,	centrally [1] - 10.1	22:9
33 [1] - 34:4	agricultural [1] - 16:2	9:5, 12:2, 31:16	certain [2] - 30:23,	confident [1] - 15:19
	ahead [1] - 19:3	automobile [1] - 15:14	30:24	confidential [1] - 5:3
5	aiming [2] - 8:15, 18:7	available [1] - 20:5	certainly [1] - 7:4	confined [1] - 13:23
57 [1] - 26:4	- Albany [1] - 15:24	aware [2] - 14:15, 20:9	CERTIFICATE [1] -	confirmed [1] - 28:21
37 [1] - 20.4	alleged [1] - 30:8	_	34:1	confusion [1] - 3:12
6	Allen [4] - 2:16, 3:8,	В	certify [2] - 34:3, 34:7	consensual [1] - 8:7
	3:13, 3:15	background [1] - 17:5	change [3] - 16:16,	consensus [5] - 7:9,
6 [2] - 16:8, 26:6	ALLEN [1] - 1:16	based [2] - 21:12,	25:25, 32:2	7:12, 8:17, 9:13,
	Allon [2] - 3:3, 3:16	29:13	circumspect [1] - 4:15	12:4
7	ALLON [1] - 1:18	Basin [1] - 16:3	cited [1] - 31:14	considerable [1] -
7 [2] - 16:9, 26:7	allows [2] - 9:25,	basis [3] - 4:4, 29:11,	claim [1] - 25:12	31:16
1 [2] - 10.3, 20.1	10:11	30:9	claiming [1] - 30:2	consolidated [1] -
8	- alter [1] - 26:20	bay [3] - 26:20, 27:6,	clarifying [1] - 3:18	31:21
0	amount [3] - 18:19,	29:18	clarity [1] - 27:23	contemplating [1] -
8 [1] - 1:12	27:4, 31:16	Bay [3] - 25:13, 25:21,	Claudette [3] - 1:13,	20:17
	animal [1] - 25:14	29:16	2:9, 34:2	contention [4] - 26:14, 28:12, 29:7, 30:19
9	animals [1] - 30:23	bear [1] - 32:4	clear [3] - 3:12, 4:7,	28:12, 29:7, 30:19 context [2] - 29:5,
9 [1] - 34:19	anticipate [1] - 12:4	become [1] - 11:22	4:19	31:25
9 [1] - 34. IV	anticipating [1] - 6:10	beginning [2] - 5:13,	clients [3] - 8:4, 9:4,	continue [1] - 22:3
۸	anyway [1] - 9:11	29:7	12:1	continue [1] - 22.3
Α	AOL [1] - 18:10	begun [2] - 4:18, 9:16	CLIFFORD [1] - 1:22	continued [1] - 13.2 continues [1] - 24:1
a.m [2] - 1:13, 33:7	Apalachicola [3] -	behalf [1] - 31:2	Clifford [1] - 2:8	continues [1] - 24.1 continuing [1] - 12:16
able [4] - 13:6, 23:13,	25:13, 25:21, 29:16 APPEARANCES [1] -	benefit [2] - 30:4	close [2] - 13:18, 32:6	control [1] - 32:17
25:3, 28:16	1:15	best [1] - 11:3	closing [1] - 31:11	convenience [1] -
above-captioned [1] -		better [2] - 7:6, 28:12	collapse [1] - 25:12	11:10
34:6	appearances [1] - 2:11	between [1] - 7:24	colleague [1] - 3:2	conversation [1] -
above-named [1] -	apply [1] - 18:25	bit [2] - 11:12, 13:4	color [1] - 5:9	5:22
34:9	applying [1] - 17:18	box [2] - 15:14, 18:10	commencing [1] -	core [1] - 10:1
acceptable [2] - 7:5,	appreciate [1] - 10:7	briefly [2] - 25:17,	1:12	correct [2] - 6:21, 34:4
7:25	approach [1] - 9:24	31:7	comment [3] - 9:1,	counsel [7] - 2:4, 8:1,
account [7] - 14:4,	approach[1] - 9.24 appropriate [1] - 5:17	Britt [1] - 3:5	15:11, 31:5	8:4, 9:12, 19:7,
18:10, 18:12, 20:4,		RRITT 111 - 1·19	comments [4] - 4:1,	19:20, 32:9
20:7	appropriately [1] - THI	E REPORTING G	ROUP ;:12, 10:8	couple [2] - 10:1, 28:6
	M	Iason & Lockha	rt	
		ason & hocking	<u> </u>	10 of 15

course [4] - 13:1, 14:11, 19:14, 31:22 **COURT** [1] - 1:1 Court [1] - 28:5 Court's [1] - 17:12 Craig [4] - 2:25, 3:10, 5:7, 17:3 **CRAIG** [1] - 1:18 critical [1] - 12:23 CRR [1] - 1:13 **custodians** [1] - 17:6

D

data [1] - 27:3 date [2] - 23:10, 23:12 dates [6] - 23:3, 23:19, 23:22, 24:3, 28:16, 32:16 deadline [1] - 24:24 **December** [3] - 1:12, 24:10, 34:11 decision [7] - 7:8, 8:2, 8:17, 8:18, 8:24, 9:8, 9:18 **decisions** [1] - 12:3 declarant [1] - 26:8 declaration [1] - 26:7 Defendants [1] - 1:7 define [1] - 16:17 delay [1] - 21:5 delegate [1] - 9:5 delta [1] - 31:21 depose [4] - 15:7, 16:7, 23:13, 31:1 deposition [12] - 13:3, 15:4, 17:11, 21:11, 21:14, 21:25, 23:7, 24:3, 27:17, 29:5, 30:20, 32:16 depositions [11] -16:25, 19:24, 20:12, 20:15, 23:25, 24:4, 24:9, 25:7, 27:3, 27:18, 32:11 describing [1] - 7:17 **description** [1] - 28:24 designations [1] -28:8 detail [1] - 9:23 details [1] - 7:13 determine [1] - 30:10 developed [1] - 10:21 development [1] -7:19 **DEVORA**[1] - 1:18 **Devora** [2] - 3:3, 3:16

24:17 difficulty [1] - 24:6 diligence [1] - 7:4 directed [1] - 30:17 directing [1] - 32:12 director [2] - 13:17, 15:25 disagree [2] - 19:16, 31:8 discipline [1] - 27:22 **disclosing** [1] - 7:13 disclosure [1] - 24:19 discussed [2] - 4:21, 23:16 discussing [1] - 11:17 discussion [7] - 4:18, 4:25, 6:17, 6:24, 20:21, 28:20, 31:6 disinterested [1] -34:8 dispute [4] - 11:2, 22:17, 25:2, 28:3 **Division** [1] - 13:20 documents [10] -12:17, 12:22, 15:12, 15:17, 20:13, 21:17, 29:13, 29:17, 29:21, 32:4 done [4] - 6:2, 7:8, 31:6, 33:3 doomed [2] - 8:20, 9:14 dozen [1] - 14:13 **Dr** [26] - 12:25, 13:11, 13:12, 13:14, 13:17, 14:3, 14:10, 14:14, 15:4, 15:11, 15:21, 15:23, 16:4, 16:8, 16:13, 16:22, 17:12, 18:8, 18:17, 18:18, 19:16, 20:8, 21:10, 21:17, 21:25 **driving** [1] - 8:6 duces [1] - 17:10 due [5] - 7:3, 29:19, 29:22, 29:24, 30:25 **DUNLAP** [1] - 1:21 **Dunlap** [1] - 2:7 during [1] - 19:15

Ε

e-mail [13] - 14:4, 14:6, 14:9, 14:13, 14:14, 14:20, 14:22, 17:6, 18:10, 18:12, 18:19, 20:20, 21:21 e-mails [32] - 12:25, 13:5, 13:7, 13:9, 14:8, 14:12, 14:1

14:25, 15:2, 15:3, 15:5, 15:7, 15:11, 15:16, 15:21, 15:23, 16:23, 17:5, 17:8, 17:13, 17:15, 18:8, 18:15, 19:14, 19:21, 19:23, 20:1, 20:8, 20:17, 21:9, 21:15, 24:2 earliest [1] - 11:10 ecological [1] - 30:6

ecology [1] - 29:15 efficiency [1] - 6:13 efficient [1] - 21:8 effort [1] - 6:22 either [2] - 22:16, 27:16

Ellis [1] - 3:1 end [4] - 6:25, 9:7, 11:17, 22:12

endeavoring [2] -17:13, 18:14 engaged [3] - 6:22, 18:3. 18:19

engaging [1] - 21:19 engine [1] - 15:14 entire [2] - 25:13, 26:20

entities [1] - 7:15 entitled [2] - 28:21, 29:4

entity [1] - 13:18 environment [1] -25:20

Environmental [1] -13:20

EPA[1] - 31:22

ESQ [8] - 1:16, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21

essentially [5] - 15:13, 22:1, 24:19, 25:19,

27:14 estuarine [2] - 25:20, 26:10

evaluate [2] - 5:16, 5:25

evaluating [1] - 19:5 event [1] - 34:8

evolve [1] - 11:19

exactly [4] - 4:19, 15:16, 26:22, 30:2 **example** [1] - 26:16 exchange [4] - 5:11,

5:14, 5:19, 24:2 **exchanged** [1] - 10:20 exercise [1] - 15:19

expect [1] - 28:15 THE REPORTING GROUP), 29:2, 29:17,

experience [2] - 9:12, 11:18 experienced [2] - 9:3, 10:21 expert [8] - 24:20, 24:23, 27:2, 27:21, 27:25, 28:25, 29:9, 30:20

Expires [1] - 34:18 explain [1] - 26:11 explained [1] - 26:8 **explaining** [2] - 26:15, 31:17

explanation [2] -15:15, 19:12 **explicitly** [1] - 7:23 **explore** [1] - 30:9 **explored** [1] - 21:16

extent [1] - 32:1

F fact [3] - 15:1, 18:4, 22:13 facts [3] - 11:5, 27:1, 27:21 factual [6] - 24:21, 27:11, 27:24, 29:3, 29:11, 30:9 failure [2] - 8:20, 9:14 fairly [2] - 8:8, 10:1 faith [2] - 5:19, 22:2 **familiar** [1] - 7:16 far [1] - 25:23 favorite [1] - 4:10 February [1] - 20:17 few [2] - 12:25, 24:14 field [1] - 14:6 file [1] - 26:5 filed [1] - 31:14 finishes [1] - 7:3 first [7] - 4:17, 10:23, 11:7, 12:1, 14:3, 15:10, 20:25 Fish [1] - 31:19 flexibility [1] - 10:12 **flexible** [1] - 10:19 Flint [1] - 16:3 FLORIDA [1] - 1:3 Florida [36] - 1:16, 2:12, 2:13, 2:18, 2:20, 4:22, 6:18, 6:20, 7:10, 9:20, 12:11, 12:15, 12:20, 17:14, 17:25, 18:2, 18:20, 19:6, 19:20,

19:22, 21:6, 22:20,

22:21, 23:2, 23:18,

23:21, 23:23, 24:7,

30:2, 30:10, 30:22, 32:18, 32:23 Florida's [2] - 10:9, 30:15 flows [1] - 25:25 focus [2] - 16:5, 25:11 focused [4] - 11:21, 13:14, 16:1, 16:19 focusing [1] - 8:15 foregoing [1] - 34:4 format [1] - 9:23 forth [4] - 24:21, 26:24, 28:7, 31:23 forthcoming [1] - 20:7 forward [4] - 10:3, 11:3, 11:14, 11:16 free [2] - 4:1, 12:1 Friday [1] - 18:5 fruit [1] - 13:24

G

fulsome [1] - 26:15

gain [1] - 30:18 general [1] - 26:10 generalizing [1] -27:11 gentleman [1] - 13:11 Georgakakos [6] -13:11, 13:17, 14:3, 15:3, 15:21, 18:8 Georgakakos's [3] -14:14, 15:4, 20:8 **GEORGIA** [1] - 1:6 Georgia [46] - 1:18, 2:24, 3:2, 3:6, 3:9, 3:11, 5:5, 6:1, 6:25, 7:1, 7:20, 7:22, 10:14, 12:10, 12:18, 13:9, 13:13, 13:19, 13:22, 14:1, 14:5, 14:19, 14:22, 15:25, 16:5, 16:11, 17:2, 17:4, 18:10, 18:16, 19:21, 19:23, 20:1, 20:4, 22:23, 23:1, 23:4, 24:15, 25:4, 28:17, 28:24, 29:19, 29:22, 32:20, 32:25 Georgia's [4] - 21:6, 25:9, 29:25, 30:25 given [1] - 11:4 God [1] - 8:19 Grant [1] - 3:5 **GRANT** [2] - 1:19, 3:4 Gray [1] - 3:21 **GRAY** [3] - 1:20, 3:20, 4:8

Mason & Lockhart

gray [1] - 3:23

great [3] - 15:7, 27:12,

different [3] - 14:2,

difficult [2] - 23:25,

30:17, 31:24

27:15	important [6] - 6:11,	January [4] - 16:8,	10:18	maximize [1] - 10:10
ground [1] - 5:20	8:3, 10:17, 16:21,	20:16, 25:1, 28:17	long-standing [1] -	maximizes [1] - 10:5
grounded [1] - 31:18	16:24, 17:21	Josh [1] - 2:7	25:11	meant [2] - 8:14,
	improper [1] - 28:11	JOSHUA [1] - 1:21	look [1] - 22:10	26:12
Н	IN [1] - 34:10 inaccurate [1] - 21:4	jump [1] - 4:2 June [1] - 34:19	looked [2] - 3:24, 29:16	measurements [1] - 27:7
hand [1] - 34:10	inapposite [1] - 30:16	June [1] = 04.19	loss [2] - 25:18, 26:16	mediate [1] - 9:25
happy [2] - 20:3, 27:10	inbox [4] - 14:7, 14:9,	K	low [1] - 25:25	mediation [8] - 4:11,
harm [3] - 26:17, 30:3,	14:20, 14:22			4:18, 4:21, 5:3, 6:17,
30:8	including [3] - 5:3,	keep [2] - 11:15, 11:21	M	9:17, 9:20, 12:7
harmed [6] - 25:15,	26:15, 31:21	key [1] - 6:12	magical [1] - 21:24	mediator [17] - 5:4,
29:18, 29:19, 29:24, 30:24, 30:25	incomparable [1] - 2:9	Kirkland [1] - 3:1 Kistenmacher [10] -	mail [13] - 21.24	5:11, 6:7, 6:9, 6:23,
heading [1] - 11:15	increases [1] - 26:18	13:12, 13:14, 14:10,	14:9, 14:13, 14:14,	7:8, 7:25, 8:5, 8:11,
hear [2] - 14:18, 20:3	incredible [1] - 14:24	14:12, 15:2, 15:11,	14:20, 14:22, 17:6,	8:13, 8:14, 9:5, 9:22,
heard [1] - 16:11	incredibly [3] - 15:18,	17:12, 19:16, 21:10,	18:10, 18:12, 18:19,	10:25, 11:9, 11:15,
heavy [1] - 22:6	20:9, 24:15	21:17	20:20, 21:21	12:6
held [1] - 1:10	independent [1] - 9:12	Kistenmacher's [2] -	mails [32] - 12:25,	mediator's [2] - 10:17, 11:9
help [1] - 13:15	indicated [5] - 4:17,	12:25, 21:25	13:5, 13:7, 13:9,	mediators [1] - 10:19
helpful [1] - 15:18	17:17, 18:23, 19:11,	knowledge [1] - 11:5	14:8, 14:12, 14:14,	
hereby [1] - 34:3	23:21	knows [1] - 8:19	14:25, 15:2, 15:3,	meet [1] - 24:3 meeting [2] - 6:12,
higher [2] - 26:1, 26:9	individual [2] - 7:15, 23:14		15:5, 15:7, 15:11,	19:5
Honor [35] - 2:5, 2:14,	individuals [2] -	L	15:16, 15:21, 15:23,	meetings [1] - 22:9
2:23, 2:25, 3:5, 3:20,	15:22, 23:23		16:23, 17:5, 17:8,	mention [1] - 29:15
3:21, 4:23, 5:7, 6:19,	inequitable [1] - 19:25	LANCASTER [36] -	17:13, 17:15, 18:8,	Merrill's [1] - 1:11
7:11, 7:21, 9:21,	information [5] - 9:6,	1:10, 2:3, 2:6, 2:17,	18:15, 19:14, 19:21,	met [1] - 4:20
10:6, 12:9, 12:12,	18:5, 20:5, 29:3,	2:21, 2:24, 3:7, 3:17, 3:23, 4:9, 5:5, 6:3,	19:23, 20:1, 20:8,	methodologies [1] -
12:21, 17:3, 19:9,	31:2	6:16, 7:1, 7:7, 7:20,	20:17, 21:9, 21:15,	10:22
20:9, 20:20, 22:22,	initial [1] - 25:11	8:10, 8:16, 10:14,	24:2	Michael [1] - 3:21
22:24, 23:5, 24:8,	injury [1] - 23:15	11:24, 12:10, 12:13,	Maine [3] - 1:12, 1:14, 34:3	MICHAEL [1] - 1:20
24:12, 25:16, 28:19,	input [2] - 10:18, 11:4	17:2, 19:7, 20:22,		might [6] - 11:12,
31:7, 32:7, 32:19,	instance [1] - 22:5	22:4, 22:23, 22:25,	makers [1] - 8:2	20:19, 24:12, 25:8,
32:21, 32:24, 33:1,	integrally [1] - 13:21	24:7, 28:18, 31:4,	manager [1] - 2:8 manner [1] - 21:8	25:16, 28:1
33:4	intend [3] - 9:19,	32:8, 32:20, 32:22,	Mark [2] - 15:23, 16:8	mindful [1] - 5:12
Honor's [1] - 5:12	10:19, 24:25	32:25, 33:2	marked [1] - 21:11	mistaken [1] - 24:11
Hook [3] - 16:4, 16:22, 18:17	interim [1] - 11:23	large [2] - 17:14,	MARY [1] - 1:22	mix [2] - 25:23, 27:21
hope [3] - 6:23, 9:15,	internal [1] - 29:21	18:18	Mary [1] - 2:8	moment [2] - 7:18,
20:6	interrogatories [3] -	largely [2] - 21:13,	Mason [3] - 1:13, 2:9,	19:12
hopeful [1] - 9:17	26:14, 28:12, 29:8	28:14	34:2	moments [1] - 21:24
hopefully [1] - 8:9	interrogatory [3] -	last [7] - 3:13, 4:20,	MASTER [36] - 1:9,	month [3] - 5:11,
hydrology [1] - 13:21	26:13, 27:8, 30:19	4:24, 5:10, 5:22,	2:3, 2:6, 2:17, 2:21,	18:13, 27:18
ilyurology [i]	invaluable [1] - 13:5	18:5, 23:16	2:24, 3:7, 3:17, 3:23,	months [2] - 22:10,
	invitation [1] - 4:6	law [2] - 1:10, 28:9	4:9, 5:5, 6:3, 6:16,	23:9
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	involved [2] - 10:13,	lawyers [4] - 7:9, 8:18,	7:1, 7:7, 7:20, 8:10,	morning [6] - 2:3, 2:5,
identification [1] -	13:21	8:23, 9:3	8:16, 10:14, 11:24,	2:15, 2:19, 3:4, 3:21
32:10	issue [2] - 22:8, 31:11	lead [2] - 8:10, 8:14	12:10, 12:13, 17:2,	most [1] - 25:1
identified [7] - 5:14,	issues [19] - 10:1,	learned [1] - 15:1	19:7, 20:22, 22:4,	mostly [2] - 28:17, 31:10
13:8, 23:1, 26:22,	11:1, 12:14, 13:15, 13:21, 13:24, 15:8,	leave [1] - 26:5 legal [1] - 2:8	22:23, 22:25, 24:7,	motion [2] - 19:15,
28:1, 30:6, 30:15	18:11, 19:6, 21:15,	legal [1] - 2.6	28:18, 31:4, 32:8,	26:5
identify [5] - 5:13,	22:8, 22:16, 27:11,	26:19, 27:6	32:20, 32:22, 32:25,	move [3] - 6:2, 10:12,
14:12, 23:22, 28:5, 29:20	27:24, 28:22, 29:2,	light [1] - 17:11	33:2	11:14
identifying [1] - 32:14	30:17, 31:10	likely [1] - 16:20	masters [3] - 16:13,	moving [3] - 6:14, 8:8,
identity [1] - 23:10	items [1] - 31:3	limit [1] - 9:19	18:17, 18:18	11:16
impact [1] - 28:11	iterative [1] - 11:20	line [2] - 2:16, 3:5	Masters [2] - 15:23, 16:8	MR [37] - 2:5, 2:13,
impact [1] - 26:9	itself [1] - 13:18	lists [1] - 5:17	material [2] - 24:22,	2:15, 2:19, 2:23,
impediment [1] -		litigation [1] - 31:24	27:8	2:25, 3:10, 3:20, 4:8,
11:22	J	LLP [2] - 1:11, 3:1	matter [1] - 22:7	4:23, 5:7, 6:6, 6:19,
		E REPORTING G		7:2, 7:11, 7:21, 8:12,
importance iti - 32. IU -	10.4	* PEPORTING (2)	K()[[[D] J [i] - JJ . []	
importance [1] - 32:10	James [1] - 16:4 THI	- KELOKIING G	-	9:21, 10:15, 12:9,

12:12, 12:21, 17:3, 19:9, 20:24, 22:21, 22:24, 23:5, 24:8, 28:19, 31:7, 32:19, 32:21, 32:24, 33:1, 33:4, 33:5 **MS** [1] - 3:4 multiple [1] - 24:11

Ν name [3] - 3:13, 10:20,

23:12

named [3] - 3:16, 13:11, 34:9 names [4] - 5:11, 5:15, 5:20, 28:16 narrow [5] - 17:14, 17:19, 18:9, 18:20, narrowed [5] - 14:6, 18:24, 21:21, 25:4, narrowly [2] - 13:23, 16:17 nature [1] - 25:25 nearly [1] - 25:13 need [2] - 13:7, 16:18 needs [2] - 28:4, 28:13 never [1] - 8:24 new [1] - 31:12 next [5] - 6:17, 12:5, 22:15, 32:12, 32:13 **NOAA** [1] - 31:22 Notary [3] - 1:14, 34:2, 34:15 note [2] - 20:24, 22:7 **notes** [1] - 34:5 notice [1] - 28:8 notices [2] - 17:11, 28:10 novel [1] - 26:2 November [1] - 18:13 **number** [8] - 19:2, 21:10, 23:3, 24:4, 27:5, 27:12, 27:16, 28:2 numbers [2] - 14:20, 14:21 nutrient [3] - 26:8, 26:19, 27:7

0

nutrients [1] - 26:1

objections [1] - 17:20 obtain [1] - 9:6 obtained [1] - 23:11 obviously [1] - 11:4 occur [1] - 27:18

occurred [1] - 27:17 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:6 offered [1] - 13:13 offices [1] - 1:10 once [3] - 6:2, 6:8, 18:24 one [18] - 5:21, 5:25, 8:13, 8:15, 8:21, 8:22, 10:16, 13:10, 14:9, 21:14, 22:1, 23:13, 23:14, 25:8, 29:20, 30:4, 30:7 ones [1] - 18:16 ongoing [2] - 4:4, 18:3 open [1] - 11:17 opinion [1] - 8:22 **opportunity** [1] - 5:16 optimal [1] - 25:23 options [1] - 9:23 order [3] - 9:10, 12:24, 22:19 ordered [1] - 12:24 organically [1] - 11:19 original [1] - 17:6 Original [1] - 1:1 Os [1] - 2:20 **OSVALDO**[1] - 1:17 otherwise [1] - 8:24 outcome [1] - 34:8 outset [3] - 19:12, 27:23. 31:14 outside [4] - 7:8, 8:1, 8:18, 8:23 overall [1] - 29:15 overbroad [1] - 28:10 overemphasize [1] -32:9 overstated [1] - 21:23 overview [1] - 11:1 own [1] - 10:21 oyster [1] - 25:12 oysters [2] - 26:16, 29:22

P

page [2] - 25:10, 26:6 pages [1] - 34:4 papers [1] - 31:13 paragraph [2] - 26:4, 26:7 part [8] - 4:14, 10:8, 10:9, 10:16, 20:23, 21:6, 25:1, 25:24 particular [2] - 16:3, 23:15 parties [7] - 5:10, 7:24, 10:25, 11:4, 12:6, 12:18, 17:7 THE REPORTING partnership [1] -

13:19 parts [1] - 15:14 party [1] - 30:17 party's [2] - 28:13, 30:18 past [1] - 3:15 patent [1] - 30:21 path [1] - 30:13 pending [1] - 23:8 people [8] - 3:13, 5:16, 5:21, 6:12, 11:11, 11:21, 15:6, 29:1 PERRY [17] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:13, 2:23, 4:23, 6:19, 7:11, 9:21, 12:12, 12:21, 19:9, 22:21, 24:8, 31:7, 32:19, 32:24, 33:4 perry [1] - 29:12 **Perry** [13] - 2:13, 2:21, 5:22, 6:19, 7:3, 10:16, 17:17, 18:22, 19:10, 22:21, 29:4, 30:5, 31:4 Perry's [3] - 18:24, 28:20, 28:23 person [7] - 3:16, 6:5, 6:12, 8:21, 11:12, 25:6, 34:8 person's [1] - 11:3 personal [2] - 18:12, 20:8 perspective [1] - 7:5 **Phil** [4] - 2:13, 6:19, 19:10, 22:21 **PHILIP** [1] - 1:16 phone [2] - 6:4, 6:8 phytoplankton [1] -26:23 Pierce [1] - 1:10 pivoted [1] - 25:10 place [1] - 6:17 **Plaintiff** [1] - 1:4 planning [1] - 13:22 **Planning** [1] - 15:25 plant [1] - 25:14 plants [1] - 30:23 pleased [1] - 9:15 **point** [8] - 6:10, 6:13, 11:6, 11:18, 15:13, 20:20, 22:11, 25:8 pointed [1] - 16:13 points [1] - 27:5 Policy [1] - 16:1 portion [1] - 14:16

premature [2] - 24:19, 27:2 premium [1] - 16:9 preparation [1] -23:24 prepare [1] - 24:17 Present [1] - 1:21 present [1] - 29:19 presented [1] - 18:11 presently [1] - 18:7 pressed [1] - 29:17 pressure [1] - 11:20 previously [1] - 21:18 **PRIMIS** [18] - 1:18, 2:25, 3:10, 5:7, 6:6, 7:2, 7:21, 8:12, 10:15, 12:9, 17:3, 20:24, 22:24, 23:5, 28:19, 32:21, 33:1, 33:5 Primis [13] - 2:25, 3:10, 3:18, 4:24, 5:8, 6:21, 7:17, 17:4, 19:11, 20:22, 28:18, 31:9, 32:1 **principal** [1] - 30:7 private [1] - 14:14 problem [1] - 24:20 proceeding [2] - 7:23, 22:2 proceedings [1] - 34:6 PROCEEDINGS [1] process [12] - 5:1, 5:3, 6:12, 7:9, 7:12, 8:4, 8:7, 9:23, 10:11, 11:16, 18:3, 27:22 processes [1] - 11:20 produce [4] - 14:24, 18:8, 19:2, 20:1 produced [2] - 17:9, 19:21 **producing** [1] - 21:20 product [1] - 30:18 production [2] -12:24, 14:23 productivity [6] -25:18, 25:19, 25:22, 26:10, 30:7, 31:20 professor [1] - 16:6 professors [3] - 12:17, 13:8. 19:22 progress [9] - 3:24, 4:12, 4:17, 6:22, 9:16, 11:23, 12:15, 22:10, 32:12

nromnt [1] - 32:10

power [1] - 9:10 32:15 practically [1] - 24:16 **Protection** [1] - 13:20 **provide** [1] - 24:25 provided [5] - 18:4, 21:2, 21:3, 21:18, 23:2 Public [3] - 1:14, 34:2, 34:15 purposes [1] - 6:14 pursue [2] - 13:10, 23:6 pursuing [1] - 16:25 put [2] - 5:9, 20:20 putting [2] - 16:9, 24:21 Q

questions [5] - 4:16, 12:8, 22:18, 30:5, 32:18 quickly [6] - 8:8, 9:18, 10:1, 10:12, 11:14, 18:25 quite [1] - 13:3

R

raised [4] - 12:15, 23:1, 26:3, 26:5 **RALPH** [1] - 1:10 range [3] - 15:6, 15:8, 16:24 rapidly [1] - 10:24 rather [2] - 6:4, 12:3 reaction [1] - 15:20 read [1] - 15:19 ready [2] - 6:1, 7:2 reason [2] - 25:3, 28:6 reasonable [4] -14:23, 17:19, 19:1, 21:7 reassemble [1] -15:15 received [2] - 15:12, 17:10 recently [2] - 16:11, 25:4 recognize [1] - 22:5 recognizes [2] -10:13, 28:9 recommended [1] -11:7 reduce [1] - 26:10 reduced [3] - 16:19, 26:8, 30:6 reduction [1] - 26:19 references [1] - 29:23 referring [1] - 3:14 reflect [1] - 12:5

GROUP sed [2] - 5:11, Mason & Lockhart

Portland [1] - 1:12

position [1] - 29:21

reflected [1] - 18:6 regard [3] - 18:17, 21:9, 23:14 regarding [1] - 27:3 regularly [1] - 24:2 regulatory [1] - 31:24 rehash [1] - 21:13 relevance [1] - 15:17 relevant [3] - 15:3, 15:8, 15:24 remaining [1] - 27:20 remark [1] - 25:8 remind [1] - 4:13 reminds [1] - 20:10 repeat [1] - 4:5 replay [1] - 22:1 report [9] - 12:5, 12:15, 17:25, 18:6, 22:14, 25:9, 28:2, 30:16, 32:13 reporter [1] - 2:10 reports [6] - 3:24, 4:12, 4:17, 21:16, 22:10, 32:23 representative [1] -31:1 representatives [1] -8:19 represented [1] -19:19 reputable [1] - 5:18 request [1] - 30:20 required [1] - 5:2 resolution [2] - 22:18, 28:4 resolved [2] - 8:9, 22:17 respect [2] - 20:4, 20:7 respond [2] - 19:10, 20:23 responding [2] - 4:16, 18:2 response [1] - 17:9 responses [2] - 26:13, 27:9 responsive [1] - 19:4 result [1] - 20:6 results [2] - 14:19, 19:1 retired [1] - 16:4 review [4] - 19:2, 21:12, 22:11, 29:13 reviewing [1] - 18:7 revised [1] - 23:17 ripe [1] - 22:17 **River** [1] - 16:3 **RMR** [1] - 1:13 road [1] - 10:2

ruling [1] - 17:12 run [2] - 14:2, 21:3

S salinities [1] - 26:1 salinity [4] - 25:23, 26:9, 26:18, 27:6 satisfied [1] - 27:15 saw [1] - 24:14 schedule [4] - 10:3, 20:11, 20:16, 25:6 scheduled [3] - 24:4, 24:10. 27:19 scheduling [1] - 32:11 scientifically [1] -31:18 scope [3] - 16:12, 23:18, 28:7 search [15] - 13:13, 13:23, 14:2, 14:5, 14:19, 16:12, 16:14, 16:16, 17:18, 18:9, 18:16, 18:22, 18:25, 21:1, 21:21 search-termnarrowed [1] - 21:21 second [1] - 14:9 secondly [1] - 12:4 sections [1] - 4:12 secure [2] - 11:8, 23:9 see [3] - 10:2, 32:3 seek [3] - 12:16, 12:22, 27:2 seeking [5] - 15:6, 15:23, 17:16, 29:6, 30:18 seem [1] - 24:5 select [2] - 8:15, 9:5 **selected** [1] - 6:9 selecting [1] - 5:21 selection [4] - 5:4, 6:6, 6:23, 8:7 sense [1] - 7:11 separate [1] - 18:11 **September** [1] - 23:7 serious [1] - 17:20 2:22, 3:8, 3:11 serve [1] - 28:12 **standing** [1] - 25:11 served [1] - 23:7 stands [2] - 4:6, 6:1 Service [1] - 31:19 start [2] - 2:11, 4:9 sessions [1] - 10:3 state [4] - 9:4, 13:22, set [1] - 9:19 30:11, 31:2 sets [1] - 21:21 **STATE** [2] - 1:3, 1:6 settlement [1] - 4:11 State [8] - 1:14, 1:16, several [2] - 14:13, 1:18, 3:1, 13:19, 23:8 15:24, 29:2, 34:3

share [2] - 12:1, 19:13

shortly [1] - 5:10

shown [1] - 15:16

shrinking [1] - 25:

side [1] - 8:21 sides [1] - 5:15 similar [2] - 14:21, 16:5 simply [2] - 20:24, 30:1 **situation** [1] - 19:25 **smaller** [1] - 25:23 smelt [1] - 31:21 sometimes [2] -11:19, 28:10 soon [7] - 8:9, 16:8, 16:15, 20:5, 20:14, 20:18, 28:15 sooner [1] - 7:6 **sorry** [1] - 8:13 sort [1] - 27:7 sought [2] - 29:7, 29:9 **sounds** [1] - 30:13 source [1] - 24:1 **SPECIAL** [36] - 1:9, 2:3, 2:6, 2:17, 2:21, 2:24, 3:7, 3:17, 3:23, 4:9, 5:5, 6:3, 6:16, 7:1, 7:7, 7:20, 8:10, 8:16, 10:14, 11:24, 12:10, 12:13, 17:2, 19:7, 20:22, 22:4, 22:23, 22:25, 24:7, 28:18, 31:4, 32:8, 32:20, 32:22, 32:25, 33:2 species [7] - 25:14, 26:21, 26:22, 27:4, 27:5, 29:18, 29:23 **specific** [2] - 13:15, specifically [3] - 13:6, 16:2, 16:20 specifications [1] -27:13 speed [2] - 11:13, 16:10 spent [1] - 13:1 spoken [1] - 3:15 spokesperson [3] -

8:19 status 181 - 12:5. 17:25, 22:15, 25:9, 28:2, 30:16, 32:13, 32:23 stenographic [1] -34:5 **step** [2] - 10:23, 11:8 steps [1] - 5:25 still [4] - 14:18, 23:18, 24:5, 25:22 Street [1] - 1:11 strict [1] - 20:11 **structure** [1] - 26:20 studied [1] - 30:11 **study** [1] - 29:2 subject [3] - 4:3, 18:9, 22:9 **subpart** [1] - 27:15 subpoena [3] - 12:17, 17:10, 27:13 **subscribe** [1] - 34:10 subset [1] - 14:7 success [2] - 10:5, 10:10 suffering [1] - 26:17 sufficiently [1] - 20:14 suggest [6] - 8:17, 9:2, 9:4, 9:11, 21:5, 22:13 suggested [1] - 18:1 **suggesting** [1] - 32:2 suggestion [2] - 9:9, 10:7 suggestions [2] -10:18. 12:2 **superior** [1] - 11:5 **supervisor** [1] - 13:12 **supply** [1] - 27:10 **support** [1] - 29:3 **SUPREME** [1] - 1:1 surprise [1] - 4:11 surprised [1] - 17:24 suspects [1] - 2:7 switch [1] - 11:25 T

tailor [1] - 13:7 talented [1] - 9:3 taught [1] - 13:3 team [1] - 3:14 Tech [5] - 14:5, 14:22, 18:10, 18:16, 20:4 tecum [1] - 17:10 tee [1] - 21:15 telephone [3] - 1:9, 6:14, 33:6 term [1] - 21:21 THE REPORTING GROUP [15] - 6:6, 13:13,

13:23, 14:2, 14:6, 16:12, 16:14, 16:17, 17:18, 18:9, 18:16, 18:22, 18:25, 21:1, 23:18 testify [1] - 23:23 testimony [8] - 24:20, 24:23, 27:2, 27:21, 27:25, 28:22, 29:9, 30:20 THE [1] - 1:1 theories [2] - 31:17, 31:23 thereafter [1] - 10:4 thoughts [1] - 4:3 three [1] - 13:8 thrust [1] - 29:14 **Thursday** [1] - 18:5 timing [1] - 12:7 today [1] - 2:22 together [1] - 10:25 topic [3] - 6:24, 23:14, 32:6 topics [16] - 23:3, 23:12, 23:15, 23:20, 23:24, 24:11, 24:14, 24:16, 24:18, 25:2, 25:4, 25:5, 27:20, 29:8, 29:10 totally [1] - 30:16 towards [1] - 8:6 transcript [3] - 4:15, 21:12, 34:5 transcripts [1] - 22:11 transparent [1] -18:21 trial [1] - 12:23 true [1] - 21:10 **try** [4] - 9:13, 18:20, 21:7, 23:17 **trying** [9] - 5:20, 10:9, 17:18, 20:12, 23:6, 23:9, 27:22, 30:1, 30:8 turn [1] - 12:14 **two** [7] - 3:13, 11:24, 14:2, 15:22, 21:11, 21:24, 24:9 type [3] - 26:17, 30:19, 31:23

U

types [1] - 31:20

U.S [1] - 31:19 **U.S.A** [1] - 1:20 unassembled [1] -15:13 under [1] - 32:17 underlying [3] - 24:21,

statements [1] - 17:25

States [2] - 3:19, 3:22

STATES (4) _ 1.1

27:21, 27:24 unique [1] - 25:20 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 United [2] - 3:19, 3:22 universe [2] - 17:15, 18:20 universities [2] -12:18, 13:9 **University** [6] - 14:5, 15:24, 16:5, 19:19, 19:20, 19:22 university [2] - 17:8, 19:14 unrelated [1] - 3:16 **up** [3] - 3:12, 11:13, 21:15 usual [1] - 2:7

V

valuable [1] - 20:15 value [1] - 21:22 various [4] - 12:17, 26:21, 27:5, 31:24 VAZQUEZ [2] - 1:17, 2:19 Vazquez [1] - 2:20 view [1] - 5:19 volume [1] - 22:5 voluntary [1] - 8:7

W

wait [1] - 28:25 waiting [1] - 14:18 water [4] - 13:22, 16:2, 29:25, 30:25 Water [1] - 15:25 ways [1] - 17:19 week [7] - 4:24, 5:23, 5:25, 6:25, 7:4, 18:6, 24:10 weeks [2] - 12:25, 28:6 Wharf [1] - 1:11 **WHEREOF** [1] - 34:10 whole [2] - 15:6, 16:24 Wildlife [1] - 31:19 Winn [1] - 3:15 WINSOR [2] - 1:16, 2:15 Winsor [1] - 2:16 WITNESS [1] - 34:10 witness [3] - 24:17, 24:21, 28:16 witnesses [9] - 23:2, 23:10, 23:11, 23:19, 25:1, 27:10, 30:14, 32:11, 32:15

Υ

yield [1] - 13:24 yielding [1] - 18:22

Z

zooplankton [1] -26:23

THE REPORTING GROUP

Mason & Lockhart

word [1] - 28:23